$1.6 Billion Debt Affected

State
AZ

Opinion

NEW YORK, Sep 7, 2011 -- Moody's has downgraded the rating on the Arizona Transportation Board's Highway Revenue Bonds (Senior Lien) to Aa1 from Aaa. At the same time, Moody's has downgraded the rating on the Board's Subordinated Highway Revenue Bonds to Aa2 from Aa1. The rating action affects $1.27 billion senior lien and $330 million subordinated lien bonds. The outlook on the ratings is stable.

RATING RATIONALE

The rating action is primarily attributable to legislation enacted in conjunction with the State of Arizona's fiscal 2012 budget. The legislation changes the allocation of highway user revenues, significantly reducing the deposits into the State Highway Fund (SHF), which secure the Highway Revenue Bonds. As a result, coverage of maximum annual debt service by pledged revenues will drop below the additional bonds test requirements for both the senior and subordinated bonds. At the same time, the ratings also reflect current statutory provisions which require the State, in the event that SHF revenues are insufficient, to pay debt service from broader, unpledged HURF revenues.

STRENGTHS

* The essentiality of the statewide highway program and constitutional requirements that most highway user revenues can only be used for transportation-related purposes.
* The broad, statewide base of the taxes and fees that constitute underlying highway user revenues, which should result in steady growth as the economy recovers.
* Still high, although significantly reduced, coverage of maximum annual debt service by pledged SHF revenues.
* Additional bonds tests of 4.00 times MADS for the senior bonds and 3.00 times MADS for the senior and subordinated bonds combined.
* Statutory provisions which require that the State pay debt service from the broader HURF revenues in the event that pledged SHF revenues are insufficient.

CHALLENGES

* The State's ability to divert, and history of diverting, revenues away from the SHF, negatively affecting coverage on the bonds by pledged revenues.
* Continued weak economic conditions which will likely limit growth in underlying highway user revenues in the near term.

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

LEGISLATIVE DIVERSIONS HAVE REDUCED COVERAGE ON THE BONDS BY PLEDGED REVENUES

The senior and subordinated bonds are secured by a first and second lien, respectively, on highway user revenues deposited in the State Highway Fund (SHF). Highway user revenues consist of fuel taxes, vehicle registration fees, vehicle license (in lieu) taxes, and other transportation-related fees. Pursuant to the constitution, the majority of these revenues may only be used for transportation-related purposes. Upon receipt, highway user revenues are deposited in the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF).

Within constitutional limits, the State legislature controls the allocation of highway user revenues from the HURF to various transportation-related purposes including the SHF, which is the primary operating fund of the Arizona Department of Transportation. The legislature has changed the allocation of highway user revenues in the past, generally to address General Fund budget pressures. A diversion in fiscal 2009 contributed, along with economic conditions, to a 22.3% reduction in SHF revenues in that year.

Legislation enacted in conjunction with the State's 2012 budget would result in a further reduction in pledged SHF revenues. Assuming no growth in underlying highway user revenues from fiscal 2011, fiscal 2012 SHF revenues would provide 3.14 times coverage of maximum annual debt service on the senior bonds and 2.41 times coverage on maximum annual debt service on the senior and subordinated bonds combined. Both of these coverage levels are below the additional bonds test requirements of 4.00 and 3.00 times respectively.

CURRENT STATUTES REQUIRE PAYMENT FROM BROADER, NOT-PLEDGED HURF REVENUES

Although revenues of the broader Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) are not pledged to payment of the bonds, current statutory provisions require the State, in the event that SHF revenues are insufficient, to pay debt service from HURF revenues. Assuming no growth, fiscal 2012 HURF revenues provide 9.02 times coverage on maximum annual debt service on the senior lien bonds and 6.93 times coverage on maximum annual debt service on the senior and subordinated bonds combined.
Outlook

The outlook on the Highway Revenue Bonds is stable, reflecting the modest growth in highway user revenue in 2011 following three years of economically driven declines. The outlook also reflects the expectation that future diversions of pledge SHF revenues will be limited due to reduced General Fund budget pressures and the essentiality of the programs funded from the SHF.

WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATING-UP

* A significant improvement in coverage of debt service by pledged revenues combined with effective legal limitations on the ability of the State to divert pledged revenues going forward.

* A broadening of pledged revenues to include all HURF revenues.

WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATING-DOWN

* A substantive decline in pledged SHF revenues which further reduces coverage levels, either as a result of economic trends or new legislative diversions.

* Any substantial weakening of the current statutory provisions which require the State to pay debt service from the broader HURF revenue is necessary.

The principal methodology used in this rating was Piercing the G.O. Ceiling published in December 2008. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Information sources used to prepare the rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings, public information, and confidential and proprietary Moody's Analytics information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on www.moodys.com for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moodys.com for further information.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.
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