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Introduction

The Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) hereby submits its three-year overall Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal for federal fiscal years (FFYs) 2016 through 2018 to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) pursuant to 49 CFR Part 26, section 26.45.

Utilizing the two-step goal-setting methodology outlined in 49 CFR Part 26, section 26.45, the United States Department of Transportation’s (USDOT) Tips for Goal-Setting, and other USDOT official guidance; ADOT based its goal on data from a recently completed Disparity Study. ADOT commissioned Keen Independent Research LLC (Keen Independent) in February 2014 to conduct an Availability and Disparity Study related to its implementation of the Federal DBE Program. The data from the completed Disparity Study (submitted with this document as supporting information) and the methodology described below produced an overall DBE goal for FFYs 2016 - 2018 of 7.25% for FTA contracts; all of which is expected to be accomplished through race-neutral means (ADOT outreach, training and other program efforts).

Step 1. Determining a Base Figure – Pursuant to 49 CFR Section 26.45(c)

ADOT began the process of determining its overall DBE goal by establishing a base figure. Consistent with USDOT regulations and guidance, ADOT established a base figure from a 2015 Disparity Study conducted by Keen Independent.

Based on this information and similar data for all contracts, Keen Independent determined that Arizona should be selected as the relevant geographic market area for the availability study portion of the Disparity Study. About 94% of ADOT and local agency FTA-funded contract dollars from July 2007 - June 2013 went to firms with locations in Arizona. Therefore, the availability analysis examined firms with locations in Arizona.

Keen Independent analyzed the availability of minority- and women-owned businesses to participate in FTA-funded transportation contracts — both prime contracts and subcontracts — that ADOT and its Subrecipients awarded in FYs 2007 - 2013 in Arizona.

A “custom census” approach as described below was used to estimate the availability of MBEs/WBEs and majority-owned businesses for 139 FTA-funded transit and research prime contracts and subcontracts that ADOT and local agencies awarded for State FYs 2007 - 2013. The availability estimate is expressed as the percentage of the associated contracting dollars that one might expect DBEs to receive based on various factors including the type of work involved, the location of the work, and the size of the contract or subcontract.

Head Count Availability of Minority- and Women-owned Firms

Keen Independent began the availability analysis by building a database of firms that expressed qualifications and interest in ADOT and local public agency work related to transportation-related construction and engineering, transit services, vehicle sales, and other goods and services. Keen Independent identified 1,429 companies in Arizona available for that work.

Figure 1 presents the number of businesses included in the availability database for each racial, ethnic and gender group. Of the 1,429 businesses reporting that they were available for specific types, sizes and locations...
of ADOT and local agency transportation-related prime contracts and subcontracts, 500 (about 35%) were MBEs or WBEs.

Figure 1. Availability “Head Count” of Businesses Included in Availability Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/ethnicity and gender</th>
<th>Number of firms</th>
<th>Percent of firms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American-owned</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian-Pacific American-owned</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontinent Asian American-owned</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic American-owned</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American-owned</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MBE</strong></td>
<td><strong>289</strong></td>
<td><strong>20.2 %</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBE (white women-owned)</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MBE/WBE</strong></td>
<td><strong>500</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.0 %</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total majority-owned firms</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total firms</td>
<td>1,429</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers rounded to nearest tenth of 1 percent.
Source: Keen Independent 2015 DBE Availability and Disparity Study

Dollar-Weighted Availability

Using the availability database described above, Keen Independent performed an availability analysis for each of the 139 FTA-funded prime contracts and subcontracts during the study period, and then summarized results.

The resulting “dollar-weighted” availability estimate represents the percentage of ADOT transportation contracting dollars that MBEs/WBEs might be expected to receive based on their availability for specific types, sizes and locations of ADOT transportation-related construction and engineering prime contracts and subcontracts. This approach to calculating availability was a bottom-up, contract-by-contract process of “matching” available firms to specific prime contracts and subcontracts based on the types, sizes and locations of work they do.

Using this dollar-weighted approach typically results in more refined availability estimates for MBEs and WBEs than a “head count” approach in part due to the consideration of “bid capacity” in measuring availability and because of dollar-weighting availability results for each contract element (i.e., a large prime contract has a greater weight in calculating overall availability than a small contract or subcontract). The largest contracts that MBEs/WBEs have bid on or performed in Arizona tend to be smaller than those of other businesses. Therefore, MBEs/WBEs are less likely to be identified as available for the largest prime contracts and subcontracts.

The “dollar-weighted” availability is much more precise than a simple “head count” of businesses because it considers the following factors:

1. **Type of Work.** USDOT suggests calculating availability based on businesses’ abilities to perform specific types of work and gives the following example in Part II F of “Tips for Goal-Setting in the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program”:
For instance, if 90 percent of your contract dollars will be spent on heavy construction and 10 percent on trucking, you should weight your calculation of the relative availability of firms by the same percentages.1

The type of work was taken into account by examining 40 different sub-industries related to construction and engineering as part of estimating availability for ADOT and local agency work.2

2. Qualifications and Interest in Transportation-Related Prime Contract and Subcontract Work. Information was collected on whether businesses are qualified and interested in working as prime contractors, subcontractors, or both on ADOT and local agency transportation work, in addition to the consideration of several other factors related to prime contracts and subcontracts (e.g., contract types, sizes and locations):

• Only businesses that reported being qualified for and interested in working as prime contractors were counted as available for prime contracts (or included because contract data for ADOT or local agencies indicated that they had prime contracts in the past seven years).

• Only businesses that reported being qualified for and interested in working as subcontractors were counted as available for subcontracts (or included because contract data for ADOT or local agencies indicated that they had subcontracts in the past seven years).

• Businesses that reported being qualified for and interested in working as both prime contractors and subcontractors were counted as available for both prime contracts and subcontracts.

3. Size of Prime Contracts and Subcontracts. Also considered was the size — in terms of dollar value — of the prime contracts and subcontracts that a business bid on or received in the previous seven years (i.e., “bid capacity”) when determining whether to count that business as available for a specific prime contract or subcontract. When counting available businesses for a particular prime contract or subcontract, the availability analysis considered whether businesses had previously bid on or received at least one contract of an equivalent or greater dollar value in Arizona in the previous seven years, based on the most inclusive information from survey results and analysis of past ADOT and local agency prime contracts and subcontracts.

This approach is consistent with many recent, key court decisions that have found relative capacity measures to be important to measuring availability (e.g., Associated General Contractors of America, San Diego Chapter, Inc. v. California Department of Transportation, et al.;3 Western States Paving Company v. Washington State DOT;4 Rothe Development Corp. v. U.S. Department of Defense;5 and Engineering Contractors Association of S. Fla. Inc. vs. Metro Dade County6).

---


2 The sub-industries considered were (in descending order of dollars): general road construction and widening; asphalt paving; pavement surface treatment; design engineering; bridge work; guardrail, signs or fencing; trucking and hauling; steel work; structural concrete work; concrete flatwork; temporary traffic control; electrical work including lighting and signals; landscaping and related work; excavation, grading and drainage; Portland cement concrete paving; drilling and foundations; soils and materials testing; concrete cutting; surveying and mapping; underground utilities; striped or pavement marking; milling; transportation planning; environmental consulting; construction management; erosion control; painting for road or bridge projects; wrecking and demolition; concrete pumping; asphalt, concrete or other paving materials; petroleum; and fence, guardrail materials. Sub-industries also included other construction-related; other engineering-related; other materials; and other services.


5 Rothe Development Corp. v. U.S. Department of Defense, 545 F.3d 1023 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

4. **Geographic Location of the Work.** This was determined by using the location where work was performed for ADOT and local agency contracts (Northern, Central or Southern Arizona). Only firms reporting that they were able to work in a region were counted as available for contracts in that region.

5. **Dollar-Weighted Results.** Relative availability was determined on a contract-by-contract basis and then dollar-weighted to determine overall DBE availability for FTA-funded contracts. For each prime contract and subcontract, Keen Independent calculated (a) the number of DBEs available for that type, size and location of work, (b) the total number of firms available for that work, and (c) the percentage DBE availability for that prime contract or subcontract, calculated by dividing (a) by (b). The factor used to dollar-weight the availability results for each of the 139 prime contracts and subcontracts was calculated by dividing the dollars for that prime contract/subcontract by $17,060,000 (the total FTA-funded contract dollars examined). Small prime contracts or subcontracts received small weights and the largest contracts received the highest weights. For example, availability results for a $1.7 million prime contract would receive a weight of 10% ($1,700,000 ÷ $17,060,000 = 10%). Thus, the results of relatively large contract elements contributed more to overall availability estimates than those of relatively small contract elements. Once weighted, the DBE availability percentage results for each prime contract and subcontract were added to develop the overall availability figure. This approach is consistent with USDOT’s “Tips for Goal-Setting in the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program,” which suggests a dollar-weighted approach to calculating availability.

Figure 2 below provides an example of the contract-by-contract dollar-weighted availability calculation that was conducted on each prime and subcontract during the study period.

**Figure 2. Example of an Availability Calculation**

One of the subcontracts Keen Independent examined in the disparity study was for landscaping ($10,500) on a 2013 contract for a local agency in Central Arizona. To determine the number of MBEs/WBEs and majority-owned firms available for that subcontract, Keen Independent identified businesses in the availability database that:

a. Were in business in 2013;

b. Indicated that they performed landscaping on transportation-related projects;

c. Reported working or bidding on subcontracts in Arizona in the past seven years;

d. Reported bidding on work of similar or greater size in the past seven years;

e. Reported ability to perform work in Central Arizona; and

f. Reported qualifications and interest in working as a subcontractor on local government transportation projects.

There were 144 businesses in the availability database that met those criteria. Of those businesses, 62 were MBEs or WBEs. Therefore, MBE/WBE availability for the subcontract was 43 percent (62/144 = 43.1%).

Source: Keen Independent Availability Analysis from 2014 Availability Study

Keen Independent used the approach described above to estimate the availability of MBE/WBEs and majority-owned businesses for FTA-funded prime contracts and subcontracts that ADOT and local agencies awarded during the study period. Figure 3 below presents overall dollar-weighted availability estimates by MBE/WBE groups for those contracts. Overall, MBE/WBE availability for FTA-funded contracts is 33.74 percent. Dollar-weighted availability was highest for Hispanic American-owned businesses (18.84%), white women-owned
firms (9.09%) and Native American-owned companies (2.25%). Availability was 1.14 percent for African American-owned businesses, and less than 2 percent for Subcontinent Asian American-owned firms (1.47%) and Asian-Pacific American-owned companies (0.95%).

**Figure 3. Overall Dollar-Weighted Availability Estimates by MBEs/WBEs for FTA-Funded Contracts, July 2007-June 2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity and Gender</th>
<th>Availability Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African-American-owned</td>
<td>1.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian-Pacific American-owned</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontinent Asian American-owned</td>
<td>1.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic American-owned</td>
<td>18.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American-owned</td>
<td>2.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MBE</td>
<td>24.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBE (White-Women-owned)</td>
<td>9.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MBE/WBE</td>
<td>33.74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Keen Independent 2015 DBE Availability ad Disparity Study

**Calculation of Final Base Figure for ADOT’s Overall FTA DBE Goal**

Keen Independent considered whether it was appropriate to use 33.74 percent or a value close to those results as ADOT’s base figure for FTA-funded contracts. As described in Chapter 10 of the Disparity Study, Keen Independent instead recommended using dollar-weighted availability for current DBEs, not all MBE/WBEs, as the approach to calculating the base figure analysis for FTA-funded contracts.

- Keen Independent determined that more than 25 percentage points of the 33.74 percent availability figure for MBE/WBEs are firms that are not currently certified as DBEs. Achieving utilization close to this goal would require many non-DBE firms to immediately become certified.

- ADOT retained Donato Consulting to research potential DBEs identified in the 2014 Availability Study. The Donato Consulting follow-up interviews with potential DBEs found that many would not qualify or were not interested in DBE certification with ADOT. Therefore, it might not be appropriate to use non-certified MBE/WBEs to determine the base figure for FTA-funded contracts.

- A goal of 33.74 percent is ten times ADOT’s current goal for FTA-funded contracts (3.38%).

- For these reasons, Keen Independent recommended that ADOT use currently-certified DBEs in the base figure analysis for FTA-funded contracts.

Keen Independent’s analysis indicates that the dollar-weighted availability of current DBEs is 7.25 percent for ADOT’s FTA-funded contracts based on current availability information and analysis of FTA-funded contracts awarded from July 2007 through June 2013. Chapter 5 of the Disparity Study explains the methodology for the base figure calculation in considerable detail.

**Step 2. Determining if an Adjustment is Needed – 49 CFR Section 26.45(d)**

Per the Federal DBE Program, ADOT considered potential step 2 adjustments to the base figure as part of determining its overall annual DBE goal for FTA-funded contracts. Federal regulations outline factors that an agency must consider when assessing whether to make any step 2 adjustments to its base figure:
1. Current capacity of DBEs to perform work, as measured by the volume of work DBEs have performed in recent years;

2. Information related to employment, self-employment, education, training, and unions;

3. Any disparities in the ability of DBEs to get financing, bonding and insurance; and

4. Other relevant factors.\(^7\)

Keen Independent completed an analysis of each of the above step 2 factors and was able to quantify the effect of certain factors on the base figure. Other information examined was not as easily quantifiable but is still relevant to ADOT as it was determining whether to make any step 2 adjustments.

1. **Current capacity of DBEs to perform work, as measured by the volume of work DBEs have performed in recent years.** USDOT’s “Tips for Goal-Setting” suggests that agencies should examine data on past DBE participation on their USDOT-funded contracts in recent years (i.e., the percentage of contract dollars going to DBEs).

Based on DBE participation indicated in ADOT Uniform Reports to FTA. Figure 4 presents information about past DBE participation based on payments from ADOT Uniform Reports of DBE Awards or Commitments and Payments reported to the FTA. Participation is shown for FFYs 2012, 2013 and 2014, the three most recent complete federal fiscal years at the time of the disparity study. Median DBE participation based on payments for these three years is 7.61 percent. ADOT achieved this participation entirely through neutral means.

![Figure 4. ADOT reported past DBE participation on FTA-funded contracts based on payments, federal fiscal years 2012, 2013 and 2014](image)

Since the past DBE participation is close to value of the base figure, ADOT does not find it necessary to make a step 2 adjustment.\(^8\)

---

\(^7\) 49 CFR Section 26.45.

\(^8\) Note that “Tips for Goal-Setting” considers the possibility that the base figure and the goal based on a step-2 adjustment might be very close. “If your records suggest levels of past participation very similar to the number you calculated in Step One, then it is not necessary to make any adjustment for past participation.” The example USDOT provides for “very similar” is when the base figure and the calculation after the step 2 adjustment are within 1.4%.
DBE participation based on Keen Independent utilization analysis for FTA-funded contracts. Keen Independent’s analysis identified 26.90 percent participation of DBEs on FTA-funded contracts from July 2007 through June 2013. ADOT also considered these data when determining whether to make a step 2 adjustment based on past DBE participation.

2. Information related to employment, self-employment, education, training, and unions. Chapter 4 of the Disparity Study summarizes information about conditions in the Arizona transportation contracting industry for minorities, women and MBE/WBEs. Detailed quantitative analyses of marketplace conditions in Arizona are presented in Appendices E through H of the Disparity Study. Keen Independent’s analyses indicate that there are barriers that certain minority groups and women face related to entry and advancement and business ownership in the Arizona construction and engineering industries. Such barriers may affect the availability of MBE/WBEs to obtain and perform ADOT and local agency transportation contracts.

It may not be possible to quantify the cumulative effect that barriers in employment, education, and training may have had in depressing the availability of minority- and women-owned firms in the Arizona transportation contracting industry. However, the effects of barriers in business ownership can be quantified, as explained in Chapter 8.

The study team used regression analyses to investigate whether race, ethnicity and gender affected rates of business ownership among workers in the Arizona construction and engineering industries. The regression analyses allowed the study team to examine those effects while statistically controlling for various personal characteristics including education and age (Appendix F of the Disparity Study provides detailed results of the business ownership regression analyses). Those analyses revealed that African Americans, Native Americans and white women working in construction were less likely than non-minorities and white men to own construction businesses, even after accounting for various gender-neutral personal characteristics. Each of these disparities was statistically significant.

In Chapter 8 of the Disparity Study Keen Independent analyzed the impact that barriers in business ownership would have on the base figure for FHWA-funded contracts if African Americans, Native Americans and white women owned businesses at the same rate as similarly-situated non-minorities and white men. This type of inquiry is sometimes referred to as a “but for” analysis because it estimates the availability of MBE/WBEs but for the effects of race- and gender-based discrimination.

The analysis indicated a possible upward step 2 adjustment of 3.71 percentage points (see Figure 8-2 in Chapter 8 of the Disparity Study). This range of potential upward adjustment, 3.71 percentage points, might reasonably apply to the overall goal for FTA-funded contracts as well.

3. Any disparities in the ability of DBEs to get financing, bonding and insurance. Analysis of access to financing and bonding revealed quantitative and qualitative evidence of disadvantages for minorities, women and MBE/WBEs.

- Any barriers to obtaining financing and bonding might affect opportunities for minorities and women to successfully form and operate construction and engineering businesses in the Arizona marketplace.

---

percentage points of one another. “In that case, you do not need to make an adjustment for past participation. Nevertheless, you must explicitly state that the reason you are not making an adjustment for past participation is that your past participation has been very similar to your Step One Base Figure.” (See III.A.2. of USDOT, “Tips for Goal-Setting.”)

9 The study team examined U.S. Census data on business ownership rates using methods similar to analyses examined in court cases involving state departments of transportation in California, Illinois and Minnesota.
Any barriers that MBE/WBEs face in obtaining financing and bonding would also place those businesses at a disadvantage in obtaining ADOT and local agency construction and engineering prime contracts and subcontracts.

Note that financing and bonding are closely linked, as discussed in Chapter 4 and Appendix J of the Disparity Study.

There is also evidence that some firms cannot bid on certain public sector projects because they cannot afford the levels of insurance required by the agency. This barrier appears to affect small businesses, which might disproportionately impact minority- and women-owned firms.

The information about financing, bonding and insurance supports an upward step 2 adjustment in ADOT’s overall annual goal for DBE participation in FTA-funded contracts.

4. **Other factors.** The Federal DBE Program suggests that federal aid recipients also examine “other factors” when determining whether to make any step 2 adjustments to their base figure.¹⁰

**Success in the Arizona marketplace.** Among the “other factors” examined in this disparity study was the success of MBE/WBEs relative to majority-owned businesses in the Arizona marketplace. There is quantitative evidence that certain groups of MBE/WBEs are less successful than majority-owned firms, and face greater barriers in the marketplace, even after considering neutral factors. Chapter 4 of the Disparity Study summarizes that evidence and Appendix H presents supporting quantitative analyses. There is also qualitative evidence of barriers to the success of minority- and women-owned businesses, as summarized in Chapter 4 of the Disparity Study. Some of this qualitative information suggests that discrimination on the basis of race, ethnicity and gender affects minority- and women-owned firms in the Arizona transportation contracting industry.

**Approaches for making step 2 adjustments.** Quantification of potential step 2 adjustments is discussed below.

1. **Current capacity of DBEs to perform work, as measured by the volume of work DBEs have performed in recent years.** Analysis of this factor might indicate a downward step 2 adjustment if ADOT analyzed its estimates of past DBE participation (based on payments) — for recent years, the median reported DBE participation on FTA-funded contracts was 7.61 percent (from Figure 4).

2. **Information related to employment, self-employment, education, training, and unions.** The study team was not able to quantify all of the information regarding barriers to entry for MBE/WBEs. Quantification of the business ownership factor indicates an upward step 2 adjustment of 3.71 percentage points to reflect the “but-for” analyses of business ownership rates presented in Figure 8-1 in Chapter 8.

If ADOT made this same adjustment for FTA-funded contracts, the overall DBE goal would be 10.96 percent (7.25% + 3.71% = 10.96%).

3. **Any disparities in the ability of DBEs to get financing, bonding and insurance.** Analysis of financing, bonding and insurance indicates that an upward adjustment is appropriate. However, impact of these factors on availability could not be quantified.

4. **Other factors.** Impact of the many barriers to success of MBE/WBEs in Arizona could not be specifically quantified. However, the evidence supports an upward adjustment.

¹⁰ 49 CFR Section 26.45.
Summary. ADOT had to consider whether to make a downward, upward, or no step 2 adjustment when determining its overall DBE goal.

ADOT chose not to make a step 2 adjustment based on the information from the Disparity Study data.

- The median past participation from the most three recent complete fiscal years, 7.61 percent, is very close to the proposed overall DBE goal of 7.25 percent. As noted previously in this document, USDOT guidance is that a public agency need not make a step 2 adjustment if its past participation has been very similar to their base figure. (See III.A.2. of USDOT, “Tips for Goal-Setting.”)

- An overall goal of 7.25 percent is about double ADOT’s current three-year goal for FTA-funded contracts (3.82%). ADOT may need to make substantial new effort to meet this higher goal. Increasing the goal further might not be appropriate given the level of ADOT’s recent goal.

ADOT might be able to consider potential upward adjustments in the future if it demonstrates it can meet an overall goal of 7.25 percent or more.

Race-/Gender-Neutral and Race/Gender-Conscious Split – 49 CFR Section 26.51 (c)

In accordance with federal regulations and USDOT guidance, ADOT proposes to meet the maximum feasible portion of its proposed 7.25 percent overall DBE goal by using race- and gender-neutral measures. As part of the current ongoing 2014-2015 Disparity Study, Keen Independent analyzed a number of factors related to ADOT’s race- and gender-neutral DBE program component based on 49 CFR Part 26, including:

- Is there evidence of discrimination within the local transportation contracting marketplace for any racial, ethnic or gender groups?
- What has been the agency’s past experience in meeting its overall DBE goal?
- What has DBE participation been when the agency did not use race- or gender-conscious measures?  
- What is the extent and effectiveness of race- and gender-neutral measures that the agency could have in place for the next fiscal year?

1. Is there evidence of discrimination within the local transportation contracting marketplace for any racial, ethnic or gender groups? The 2015 Disparity Study provides results of the local marketplace research (summarized in Chapter 4) and analyses of MBE/WBE utilization and availability (see Chapters 6 and 7). This information is also summarized below.

Marketplace Conditions. As discussed in Chapter 4 of the Disparity Study, Keen Independent examined conditions in the Arizona marketplace, including:

- Entry and advancement;
- Business ownership;
- Access to capital, bonding and insurance; and
- Success of businesses.

There was quantitative evidence of disparities in outcomes for minority- and women-owned firms in general and for certain MBE/WBE groups concerning the above issues. Qualitative information indicated some

---

US DOT guidance suggests evaluating (a) certain DBE participation as prime contractors if the DBE contract goals did not affect utilization, (b) DBE participation as prime contractors and subcontractors for agency contracts without DBE goals, and (c) overall utilization for other state, local or private contracting where contract goals are not used.
evidence that discrimination may have been a factor in these outcomes. However, it is important to note that some minority and female business owners interviewed did not think they had been affected by race or gender discrimination.

ADOT reviewed the information about marketplace conditions presented in Chapter 4 and Appendices E through H of the Disparity Study, as well as other information, when it considered the extent to which it can meet its overall DBE goal through neutral measures.

**Disparity Analysis.** Overall, there was no disparity between the utilization of MBE/WBEs (45.8%), higher than what might be expected from the availability analysis (33.8%).

- Although MBEs received 15.2 percent of FTA-funded contracts, this level of utilization was less than what might be expected based on the availability analysis for MBEs for these contracts (24.7%). The disparity index for MBEs was 62. Utilization exceeded availability for African American-, Asian-Pacific American- and Native American-owned firms. There were disparities for Subcontinent Asian American- and Hispanic American-owned firms.

- White women-owned firms received 31 percent of contract dollars, higher than the 9.1 percent that might be expected based on the availability analysis for FTA-funded contracts.

Figure 5 presents overall utilization and availability results for MBEs and WBEs in FTA-funded contracts.

![Figure 5](image.png)

**Figure 5.** MBE and WBE utilization and availability for FTA-funded contracts, July 2007-June 2013

Note: Number of contracts/subcontracts analyzed is 139.
Source: Keen Independent disparity analysis.

**Summary.** There is substantial evidence that there is not a level playing for minority- and women-owned firms in the Arizona marketplace. However, minority- and women-owned firms received nearly one-half of the FTA contract dollars during the study period, more than what might be anticipated from the availability analysis.

**2. What has been the agency's past experience in meeting its overall DBE goal?** Figure 6 summarizes ADOT’s reported certified DBE participation for the most recent three federal fiscal years. As shown, reported DBE participation based on DBE commitments/awards on FTA-funded contracts was less than the DBE goal of 3.82
percent for two of the three years, but so exceeded the goal in FFY 2014 that overall attainment was 12.05 percent for the three-year period.

Results based on payments also indicate that ADOT utilization of DBEs was considerably above the 3.82 percent overall goal. Overall DBE utilization over these three years was 9.02 percent based on payments. In sum, DBE participation on ADOT’s FTA-funded contracts has exceeded its 3.82 percent goal in recent years.

Figure 6. ADOT overall DBE goal and reported DBE participation on FTA-funded contracts, FFY 2011 through FFY 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal fiscal year</th>
<th>DBE goal</th>
<th>DBE commitments/ awards</th>
<th>DBE payments</th>
<th>Difference from DBE goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3.82 %</td>
<td>1.07 %</td>
<td>0.00 %</td>
<td>-2.75 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td>-3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>35.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ADOT Uniform Reports of DBE Awards/Commitments and Payments.

Keen Independent separately examined participation of DBEs on FTA-funded contracts for the July 2007 through June 2013 study period. As reported in Chapter 6 of the Disparity Study, this analysis indicates 26.9 percent participation of DBEs in that time period.

3. What has DBE participation been when ADOT has not applied DBE contract goals (or other race-conscious remedies)? All of ADOT’s DBE participation on FTA contracts has been in a race and gender neutral environment.

4. What are the extent and effectiveness of race- and gender-neutral measures that ADOT currently has in place and will put in place for the next fiscal year? When determining the extent to which it could meet its overall DBE goal through the use of neutral measures, ADOT reviewed the race- and gender-neutral measures that it and other organizations currently have in place, and those it has planned or could consider for future implementation.

ADOT Initiatives. ADOT currently has a broad range of extensive neutral programs and initiatives to encourage participation of small businesses — including DBEs — in its transportation contracts. Examples include:

- Tri-level Business Development Program (BDP) targeting DBEs at different levels of development;
- Outreach events for minority- and women-owned businesses and other small businesses;
- Participation in procurement fairs and similar events throughout the state;
- Regular meetings with the construction and professional services industries;
- Joint meetings and training sessions with the Arizona Chapter of the Associated General Contractors of America (AGC) and the American Council of Engineering Companies of Arizona (ACEC);
A bi-monthly e-newsletter on DBE news and events, ADOT contract opportunities and other topics;

Regular webinars and in-person training opportunities covering topics such as finance, bidding, marketing and operations; and

One-on-one technical assistance.

Figure 11 represents descriptions of some other race- and gender-neutral measures being undertaken by ADOT.

Figure 11. Some of ADOT’s Current Race- and Gender-Neutral Measures

| Outreach recruitment and training events for minority- and women-owned businesses and other small businesses | Regular Task Force meetings with the construction and professional services industries |
| Annual DBE Conferences and Expos | One-on-one technical assistance for DBEs. |
| Provide ADOT, local and federal government agencies, Universities, and other entities projects bidding opportunities on one webpage | Provide DBE/SBC News website/blog featuring ADOT and statewide bidding, training, teaming and financing opportunities |
| Regular webinars and in-person training opportunities covering topics such as finance, bidding, marketing and operations (which is held in conjunction with AGC) | Joint meetings and training sessions with the Arizona Chapter of the Associated General Contractors of America (AGC) and the American Council of Engineering Companies of Arizona (ACEC) |
| Providing construction plans and specifications to DBEs | Complaint process for investigating DBE fraud and abuse |
| Participation in procurement fairs and similar events throughout the state | Bi-weekly e-newsletters on DBE news and events, ADOT contract opportunities and other topics |
| Online Prompt Payment mechanism that requires Prime Contractors to pay subcontractors within 7 calendar days of being paid by ADOT and online mechanisms for subcontractors to report to ADOT if they received timely payment. | Training internal staff, consultants, contractors, and local public agency staff on DBE recruitment, utilization and compliance |
| Enrollment in tri-level Business Development Program for new and emerging DBEs, Pacesetter (mid-level) and Master (advanced) level DBEs. | Provide field compliance visits on construction sites to ensure DBEs are performing a commercially useful function |
| Holds Summits and other “listening” events with DBEs and stakeholder groups. | Targeted outreach at AZ annual Roads & Streets Conference and other procurement and networking events |
| Operates the AZ UTRACS web portal for online Bidder’s List/Vendor Registration, DBE certification and Annual Update, Small Business Concern Registration, DBE/SBC and Vendor Directories and online DBE compliance | Provides training at pre-bid, post award, and pre-construction meetings |
| Offers DBE training and one-on-one consulting sessions on construction and engineering related issues. | Provide email and outreach service for prime consultants and contractors looking for DBEs to work on their projects |
| Train Prime consultants and contractors on Good Faith Efforts | Offers “Bidding Boot Camp” training proposed by AZ AGC |

Future race and gender initiatives that could impact participation include:

- ADOT operated a mentor-protégé program in past years and recently developed a Small Business Concern (SBC) component of its DBE Program, which it expects to be revised and expanded in the future.

- ADOT has retained Donato Consulting to conduct one-on-one meetings with potential DBEs identified in the 2014 Availability Study to encourage firms to become DBE certified. This is one way to increase reported DBE participation through neutral means, as some of these companies may already be doing business with ADOT. Certification will allow this participation to count toward ADOT’s overall DBE goal.
ADOT plans to expand online information available to small businesses, including DBEs, through a Virtual Business Assistant website. This new website might be launched within the next six months.

ADOT plans to launch a “Just One More” campaign to encourage Prime Contractors and Consultants to use at least one more DBE and small business beyond what is needed to meet ADOT’s FTA aspirational DBE goal.

At this time, it is difficult to quantify how much the above initiatives can increase race-neutral participation of DBEs in ADOT’s FTA-funded contracts.

Keen Independent also examined other potential neutral measures that ADOT could implement. Research into expanded SBC programs, such as SBC contract goals and an SBC set-aside program, indicate that ADOT does not have the authority under state law to implement such measures. Although ADOT will consider further research into these two initiatives, it does not appear that they could be implemented within the FFY 2015 through FFY 2017 time period for which these projections apply.

Summary. In making decisions about future implementation of the federal DBE program, ADOT considered (1) all of the information above based on the results of a recently concluded Disparity Study to determine its overall DBE goal for FFY 2016 through FFY 2018 for FTA-funded contracts; and (2) projection of the portion of its overall DBE goal to be achieved through neutral means.

1. Overall DBE goal for FTA-funded contracts. ADOT is proposing an overall DBE goal of 7.25 percent based on information in the Disparity Study.

2. Should ADOT project that it can meet all of its overall DBE goal through neutral means? Based on the results of the Disparity Study, ADOT concluded that it can achieve 100 percent of its overall DBE goal through neutral means. The historical information summarized in Chapter 10 of the Disparity Study supports the conclusion that ADOT can achieve an overall DBE goal of 7.25 percent entirely through neutral means.

Public Participation – 49 CFR Section 26.45(g)

Public participation is a key component of ADOT’s process in projecting its overall DBE goal for FTA-funded contracts for FFYs 2016-2018. ADOT has made a variety of efforts to engage the public as part of its DBE goal-setting process. It held meetings with the following stakeholder groups in May and June 2015:

- ADOT Internal Departments and Stakeholder Groups on May 14, 2015;
- ADOT External Stakeholders Group on May 18, 2015;
- ADOT DBE Construction and Professional Services Task Forces on May 26, 2015;
- Local Public Agencies on May 28, 2015;
- Arizona Association of General Contractors on May 19, 2015; and
- American Council of Engineering Companies, AZ Chapter on June 9, 2015

On June 8, 2015, ADOT will publish its FTA DBE Goal and Methodology along with the Draft 2015 Disparity Study for public review via a Press Release and its website. ADOT will solicited public review and comment through July 23, 2015. ADOT will make wide-ranging efforts to publicize the goal and opportunities for public input, including distribution of information to more than 4,000 individuals and organizations throughout the state.
Consultation with Organizations. ADOT consulted with several contracting groups, community organizations, and other organizations that have information about local marketplace conditions for minority- and women-owned businesses and other companies throughout the course of the Availability and Disparity Study, including:

- ADOT’s DBE Professional Services and Construction Task Forces;
- Members of the Arizona Unified Certification Program (AZ UCP);
- American Council of Engineering Companies (ACEC) of Arizona;
- Associated General Contractors (AGC) of Arizona;
- American Indian Chamber of Commerce of Arizona;
- American Subcontractors Association of Arizona;
- Arizona Concrete Promotional Council;
- Arizona Hispanic Chamber of Commerce;
- Arizona Small Business Association;
- Arizona Society of Civil Engineers;
- Grand Canyon Minority Supplier Development Council;
- Great Phoenix Black Chamber of Commerce;
- Minority and Small Business Alliance of Southern Arizona;
- National Association of Women Business Owners – Phoenix Metro Chapter;
- Phoenix Minority Business Development Agency;
- Arizona Transportation Builders Association (Formerly TUCA);
- Yuma Southwest Contractors Association;
- National Association of Minority Contractors (AMC) of Arizona; and
- Minority Supplier Development Council (MSDC).
ADOT will discuss and/or distribute its proposed overall final refined DBE goal and rationale for the goal to a wide variety of businesses and government entities, including 1000+ DBEs, 800+ small businesses, 300+ other contractors, 250+ other consultant engineering firms and 175 Local Public Agencies and Subrecipients of ADOT federal funding. Requests for comments will be made along with the distribution of information. The information will also be distributed to the following organizations and their membership:

- ADOT’s DBE Professional Services and Construction Task Forces;
- Members of the Arizona Unified Certification Program (AZ UCP);
- American Council of Engineering Companies (ACEC) of Arizona;
- Associated General Contractors (AGC) of Arizona;
- American Indian Chamber of Commerce of Arizona;
- American Subcontractors Association of Arizona;
- Arizona Concrete Promotional Council;
- Arizona Hispanic Chamber of Commerce;
- Arizona Small Business Association;
- Arizona Society of Civil Engineers (Northern, Southern and Phoenix Chapters);
- Grand Canyon Minority Supplier Development Council;
- Great Phoenix Black Chamber of Commerce;
- Minority and Small Business Alliance of Southern Arizona;
- National Association of Women Business Owners – Phoenix Metro Chapter;
- Phoenix Minority Business Development Agency;
- Arizona Transportation Builders Association (Formerly TUCA);
- Yuma Southwest Contractors Association;
- National Association of Minority Contractors (AMC) of Arizona; and
- Minority Supplier Development Council (MSDC).
**Public Notice.** ADOT will publish a series of public notices announcing its proposed overall FTA DBE goal and rationale for the goal in newspapers throughout the state. ADOT also used email blasts, meetings and other communication tools to make the public aware of the proposed goal. The notices will state that ADOT will accept comments on its overall DBE goal for 45 days. The notices will also be posted on ADOT’s website. ADOT will also email a public notice to all certified DBEs and pre-qualified engineering consultants and prime contractors.

**Public Forums.** ADOT will conduct four public hearings in four Arizona locations in the summer of 2015 as it did in the summer of 2014 to provide information about its proposed overall FTA DBE goal and rationale for the goal, as well as to solicit comments about the goal and Disparity Study results from meeting participants. During each public hearing, ADOT will solicit participants for testimony about its goal, Disparity Study and about local marketplace conditions for minority- and women-owned businesses and other companies. Participants will be invited to submit testimony in either verbal or written format. The public hearings that ADOT will conduct will be held on the following dates in the following locations:

- Flagstaff, AZ – July 8, 2015; High Country Conference Center 1899 Ballroom 201 W. Butler Ave. Flagstaff, AZ 86001
- Yuma, AZ - July 13, 2015; Hilton Garden Inn Yuma Anza/Redondo Room 301 N. Madison Ave. Yuma, AZ 85364
- Tucson, AZ - July 14, 2015; Ellie Towne Flowing Wells Community Center 1660 W. Rathrauff Rd. Tucson, AZ 85705
- Phoenix, AZ - July 16, 2015 Human Resources Development Center 1130 N. 22nd Ave., Phoenix 85009

All hearings will be held from 3 p.m. – 5:30 p.m. Arizona time with a presentation at 3:15 p.m. to maximize public participation. Attendees will be asked to sign in at each public meeting and will have the opportunity to fill out comment cards. Court reporters will transcribe the questions and answer period and the public comments portion of each public hearing.

Comments on the proposed goal and methodology will also be taken during the 45-day public comment period. Comments can be submitted via:

- Verbal testimony at a public hearing;
- Email at info@adotdbestudy.com or directly to ADOT;
- Fax to 928-684-3021; and
- Mail to Keen Independent Research, 172 N. Washington Street, Wickenburg, AZ 85390.

**Appendix A.** Appendix A will provide updated comments received from the public at scheduled public hearings and via email.