ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS SECTION STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

PANEL COMMENT FORM Contract No.: xxxx-xxx

FIRM NAME______ #____ PANEL MEMBER_____ #____ 1. PROJECT UNDERSTANDING AND APPROACH (Maximum 45 points) a. How well has the consultant expressed an understanding of the nature and scope of the project and the major tasks and issues that will need to be addressed? How successfully, clearly and precisely has the consultant expressed their understanding of the project? (20 points) Points b. How well has the consultant identified and dealt with the major tasks and issues of the project? (15 points) Points ____ c. How realistic and timely is the schedule? How well has the consultant presented strategies to avoid or make up any slippage in the schedule? (10 points) Points ____ PROJECT UNDERSTANDING AND APPROACH SUMMARY (Comments Required): Firm Strength: Firm Weakness: **Suggestions for Improvement:**

Panel Comment Form Revised 10.25.13 PROJECT UNDERSTANDING AND APPROACH TOTAL POINTS _____

a. Does the Project Principal have the authority necessary to commit firm resources and act on behalf of the consultant regarding contractual matters, disputes, quality and timeliness of services to be provided? What is this person's experience and record of performance on past projects of similar type and magnitude? How has this individual been responsive to clients in the past? (3.5 points) Points ____ b. What is the level of ability and experience of the proposed Project Manager? What is the person's record of accomplishing similar projects in the past in terms of (1) quality of work, (2) meeting schedules, and (3) responsiveness to special needs and concerns of the client? To what degree does the Project Manager demonstrate having adequate time to commit to the project? (14 points) Points c. What level of expertise do other key members of the project team provide to deal with the scope of this project? How well are the roles of the key members of the team clearly defined and how well do these definitions support the delivery of the project? Do they have all the required licenses and registrations? What other essential training and/or experience do they have that uniquely supports their ability to perform the work? (7 points) d. Did the consultant specify who will be responsible for the construction cost estimating? How does the person's experience relate directly to the project? (3.5 points) Points e. How successfully does the team matrix demonstrate the ability, qualifications and time commitment of each key team member to complete project requirements? How has the consultant demonstrated that there is sufficient time to be committed by key staff to successfully complete project requirements? What is the degree to which key staff members are currently involved with other projects? (7 points) Points PROJECT TEAM SUMMARY (Comments Required): Firm Strength:

2.

PROJECT TEAM (Maximum 35 points)

restions for Improvement:
PROJECT TEAM TOTAL POINTS
1 CAPABILITY (Maximum 20 points)
What level of experience as a Prime Consultant does the firm have that is relevant to this project? Are the firm's employees with the relevant experience participating in this project? What relevant similar sized projects has the firm worked on in the past? Were most of the projects completed by the proposing Prime Consultant? What is the degree of familiarity the Prime Consultant demonstrates with required standards and procedures? What level of expertise and commitment does the firm demonstrate to successfully complete the requirements of this project? (8 points)
Points
What is the degree to which the Subconsultant(s) included on this team have the technical experience, available personnel and record of performance appropriate for their anticipated roles? How did the firm fit the subconsultant's qualifications/duties into the overall picture? (5 points)
Points
How has the firm demonstrated financial and staff resource capacity to complete the project by the length of time it has been in business and its ability to complete projects of similar size and complexity to that of this project? In what way has the consultant shown there will be qualified personnel available to complete this project as proposed? (5 points)
Points
How will the Prime Consultant's quality control program ensure a high quality final product? How has the Consultant's quality control program been successfully used on other similar sized and type projects in the past? (2 points)

3.

	Points
FIRM CAPABILITY SUMMARY <i>(Comments Required)</i> :	
Firm Strength:	
Firm Weakness:	
Suggestions for Improvement:	
	FIRM CAPABILITY TOTAL POINTS
	CATEGORY 1 – 3 TOTAL POINTS

4. O	RAL INTERVIEW - SCORED BY THE PANEL* (Maximum Points 20)
a.	How well did the presentation complement or enhance the materials presented in the consultant's SOQ? (10 points)
	Points
b.	How did the Consultant Team respond to the panel questions? Were the answers accurate, lacking, demonstrate an understanding of the requirements of the project, etc.? (10 points)
	Points
ORAL	NTERVIEW SUMMARY <i>(Comments Required)</i> :
Fi	rm Strength:
_	
_	
Fi	rm Weakness:
_	
-	
Sı	ggestions for Improvement:
_	
	ORAL INTERVIEW TOTAL POINTS

^{*}To be completed by the panel if Oral Interviews are required.