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1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Coordination Plan

The Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) was enacted in 2005. It addresses the many challenges facing our nation’s transportation system, such as improving safety, reducing traffic congestion, improving efficiency in freight movement, increasing intermodal connectivity, and protecting the environment. In 2012, the provisions of Section 6002 of SAFETEA-LU were amended by Section 1305 of Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) and further refined in 2015 by 1304(g) of Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act).

Section 6002 of SAFETEA-LU requires the lead agencies for any federally funded project performing an environmental impact statement (EIS) to establish a plan for coordinating public and agency involvement during the environmental review process. MAP-21 continued this requirement and FAST Act added that the Coordination Plan is required no later than 90 days after the publication of EIS Notice of Intent (NOI) and must include a schedule.

Because the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is expected to provide funding for this project, it is the lead federal agency for the project. The Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), as the direct recipient of federal funds for the project, is the joint lead agency and the project sponsor.

This coordination plan defines the process by which ADOT and FHWA will communicate information about the North–South Corridor Study EIS to the Cooperating and Participating agencies and to the public. The plan also identifies how input from agencies and the public will be solicited and considered.

This coordination plan facilitates and documents the lead agencies’ interaction with the public and other agencies. It describes how the coordination will be accomplished throughout the environmental review process. This coordination plan promotes an efficient and streamlined process and good project management through coordination, scheduling, and early resolution of issues.

This coordination plan:

- identifies early coordination efforts
- identifies Cooperating and Participating agencies and stakeholders
- establishes the timing and methods for gathering Cooperating and Participating agencies and stakeholders input on the project’s purpose and need, study area, range of alternatives, study methodologies and criteria, technical reports, findings of the draft EIS, preferred alternative, and avoidance, minimization and or mitigation strategies
- establishes the timing and methods for gathering public input on the project’s purpose and need, study area, range of alternatives, issues of concern, environmental features, and findings of the draft EIS
- describes the communication methods for informing the community about the project
- establishes a conflict resolution process for the Cooperating agencies
This coordination plan is being developed in conjunction with a separate public involvement plan. It will be updated periodically to reflect any changes to the project schedule and other items that may require updating over the course of the project.

1.2 Background and Study Description

ADOT, in cooperation with FHWA, has prepared this coordination plan as required by Section 6002 of SAFETEA-LU for the North–South Corridor Study. The North–South Corridor is a major new transportation corridor proposed in Pinal County, Arizona. ADOT and FHWA are advancing this study through preparation of a Tier 1-level EIS. The North–South Corridor Study’s National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) clearance was initially advanced as a project-level EIS; however, since no funding has been identified for the project, and it is not included in a fiscally constrained regional transportation plan, it is now advanced as a Tier 1 EIS.

The North–South Corridor is bounded on the north by United States Route 60, in the vicinity of Apache Junction, and extends south for approximately 45 miles to Interstate 10 between Eloy and Marana (Figures 1 and 2). In the fall of 2015, the extension of State Route 24 (SR-24) was incorporated into the study. This addition combines the interrelated and previously distinct North-South Corridor Study and SR-24 extension study into one integrated study. Alternatives are being developed that would connect the existing SR-24 (which currently extends to Ellsworth Road, with plans to extend it to Ironwood Road) to the North–South Corridor alternatives being studied.

The proposed North–South Corridor has been acknowledged in local, regional, and statewide plans to achieve identified transportation objectives. Several of these plans are listed below, with the authors in parentheses.

- Southeast Maricopa/Northern Pinal County Area Transportation Study, 2003 (Maricopa Association of Governments, Central Arizona Association of Governments, ADOT)
- Pinal County Corridors Definition Study, 2007 (ADOT)
- Queen Creek Small Area Transportation Study, 2007 (Town of Queen Creek, ADOT)
- Coolidge-Florence Regional Transportation Plan, 2008 (City of Coolidge, Town of Florence, ADOT)
- Pinal County Regionally Significant Routes Plan for Safety and Mobility, 2008 (Pinal County)
- Pinal County Comprehensive Plan, 2009 (Pinal County)
- Statewide Transportation Planning Framework, 2010 (ADOT)

The purpose of the proposed corridor, as currently defined, is to accommodate projected travel demand, relieve congestion anticipated to result from projected growth, provide traffic relief to Interstate 10, and provide a direct connection to the eastern portion of the Phoenix metropolitan area.
Figure 1. North–South Corridor location in the state
Figure 2. North–South Corridor vicinity
2 Lead, Cooperating, and Participating Agencies and Key Stakeholders

This section identifies the lead, Cooperating, and Participating agencies that will be involved with the North–South Corridor Study’s Tier 1 EIS. It defines their roles and responsibilities and also identifies several nongovernmental entities that will be key stakeholders.

2.1 Agency Roles and Responsibilities

The agencies discussed in this section (except for the lead agencies) have been invited by letter to participate in the North–South Corridor Study. All Cooperating and Participating agencies are responsible for:

- Participating in the scoping process
- providing comments on the purpose and need, study methodologies and criteria, and range of alternatives
- identifying any issues of concern regarding the project’s impacts on the natural and human environments
- providing timely input on unresolved issues

Lead Agencies

Table 1 shows the lead agencies for this project, including their roles and responsibilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Responsibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Manage Section 6002 process, prepare environmental impact statement, provide opportunity for public and agency involvement, approve environmental document, provide funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Lead, project sponsor</td>
<td>Manage Section 6002 process, prepare environmental impact statement, provide opportunity for public and agency involvement, provide state funding match, construct and maintain facility (if applicable)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cooperating Agencies

Cooperating agencies are specifically requested by the lead agency to participate during the environmental evaluation process for the project. NEPA regulations—codified in 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 771.111(d)—require that those federal agencies with jurisdiction by law (with permitting or land transfer authority) or with special expertise regarding any potential project-related environmental impact be invited to serve as Cooperating agencies for an EIS. A state or local agency with similar qualifications may also become a Cooperating agency. When the potential impacts would occur on land of tribal interest, a Native American tribe may also become a Cooperating agency.

Should a federal agency choose to decline Cooperating agency status, that agency and will automatically be considered a Participating agency whether a written response is provided or not. If a federal agency should choose to decline both Cooperating and Participating status, that agency must submit a written response
stating that it (1) has no jurisdiction or authority with respect to the project, (2) has no expertise or information relevant to the project, and (3) does not intend to submit comments on the project.

In October 2016, agencies listed in Table 2 were invited to serve as Cooperating agencies for the study’s Tier 1 EIS (the list of agencies invited to serve as Cooperating and Participating agencies prior to conversion to a Tier 1 EIS may be found in Appendix A). The status of responses from each of these agencies is identified in the table. If new information reveals the need to request another agency to serve as a Cooperating agency, FHWA will issue an invitation to that agency.

Table 2. Agencies invited to serve as Cooperating agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>• 2010 – accepted invitation; • 2016 – accepted invitation.</td>
<td>Cooperating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs</td>
<td>• 2015 – no response to invitation; • 2016 – accepted as Participating Agency.</td>
<td>Participating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs – San Carlos Irrigation Project</td>
<td>• 2015 – accepted invitation; • 2016 – accepted invitation.</td>
<td>Cooperating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management</td>
<td>• 2010 – no response to invitation; • 2016 – accepted invitation.</td>
<td>Cooperating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation</td>
<td>• 2010 – accepted invitation; • 2016 – accepted as Participating Agency.</td>
<td>Participating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>• 2010 – accepted invitation; • 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Cooperating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
<td>• 2011 – accepted invitation; • 2016 – accepted invitation.</td>
<td>Cooperating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Area Power Administration</td>
<td>• 2010 – accepted invitation; • 2016 – accepted invitation.</td>
<td>Cooperating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration</td>
<td>• 2010 – accepted invitation; • 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016)</td>
<td>Participating¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Game and Fish Department²</td>
<td>• 2017 – accepted invitation.</td>
<td>Cooperating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: List up to date with responses as of January 13, 2017
¹. Participating pending response to invitation.
². Initially invited to be a Participating agency in 2016 (continued role from 2010), the Arizona Game and Fish Department (AZGFD) responded by requesting Cooperating agency status; subsequent invitation sent in January 2017 to be a Cooperating agency.

Letters accepting the most recent (October 2016) invitations to serve as Cooperating agencies are included in Appendix B.
Participating Agencies

Section 6002 of SAFETEA-LU created a new category of agencies to participate in the EIS environmental review process: federal, state, tribal, regional, and local governmental agencies with an interest in the project. Agencies invited to participate in the environmental review process shall be designated as a Participating agency unless the invited agency informs the lead agency, in writing, by the deadline specified in the invitation that it: (1) has no jurisdiction or authority with respect to the project, (2) has no expertise or information relevant to the project, and (3) does not intend to submit comments on the project. Nongovernmental organizations and private entities cannot serve as Participating agencies.

State, tribal, and local agencies are asked to respond affirmatively to the invitation to be designated as a Participating agency. If an agency fails to respond by the stated deadline or declines the invitation, the agency will be considered a stakeholder and continue to receive periodic project information.

The invited Participating agencies and their responses are shown in Table 3. Designation as a Participating agency does not imply project support and, if applicable, does not provide an agency with increased oversight or approval authority beyond its statutory limits. Letters accepting the most recent (October 2016) invitations to serve as Participating agencies are included in Appendix C.

Table 3. Agencies invited to serve as Participating agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal agencies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service</td>
<td>• 2010 – no response to invitation; • 2016 – declined invitation.</td>
<td>Stakeholder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration</td>
<td>• 2010 – no response to invitation; • 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration</td>
<td>• 2010 - no response to invitation; • 2016 – declined invitation.</td>
<td>Stakeholder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tribal nations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ak-Chin Indian Community</td>
<td>• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gila River Indian Community</td>
<td>• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohono O’odham Nation</td>
<td>• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community</td>
<td>• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopi Tribe</td>
<td>• 2016 – accepted invitation.</td>
<td>Participating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pascua Yaqui Tribe</td>
<td>• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos Apache Tribe</td>
<td>• 2016 – accepted invitation.</td>
<td>Participating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonto Apache Tribe</td>
<td>• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Mountain Apache Tribe</td>
<td>• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yavapai-Apache Nation</td>
<td>• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State agencies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Agencies invited to serve as Participating agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Arizona Department of Emergency and Military Affairs | • 2010 – accepted invitation  
• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016). | Stakeholder¹          |
| Arizona Department of Corrections             | • 2010 – no response to invitation;  
• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016). | Stakeholder¹          |
| Arizona Department of Environmental Quality    | • 2010 – no response to invitation;  
• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016). | Stakeholder¹          |
| Arizona Department of Public Safety           | • 2010 – no response to invitation;  
• 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| Arizona Game and Fish Department³            | • 2010 – accepted invitation;  
• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016). | See Table 2.          |
| Arizona State Land Department                 | • 2010 – no response to invitation;  
• 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| Arizona State Parks                           | • 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| Arizona State Parks – State Historic Preservation Office | • 2010 – accepted invitation;  
• 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| **Counties, governmental and quasi-governmental organizations** | | |
| Central Arizona Project                       | • 2016 – pending response (letter sent 12/2016). | Stakeholder¹          |
| Central Arizona Governments                  | • 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| Maricopa Association of Governments           | • 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016) | Stakeholder¹          |
| Maricopa County Department of Transportation  | • 2010 – no response to invitation;  
• 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| Maricopa County Flood Control District        | • 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport                  | • 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| Pinal County                                  | • 2010 – no response to invitation;  
• 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| Salt River Project                            | • 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| Sun Corridor Metropolitan Planning Organization | • 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| **Cities and towns**                          | | |
| City of Apache Junction                       | • 2010 – accepted invitation;  
• 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| City of Casa Grande                           | • 2010 – accepted invitation;  
• 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| City of Coolidge                              | • 2010 – accepted invitation;  
• 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| City of Eloy                                  | • 2010 – accepted invitation;  
• 2016 – accepted invitation. | Participating         |
| City of Mesa                                  | • 2010 – accepted invitation;  
• 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016). | Stakeholder¹          |
**Table 3. Agencies invited to serve as Participating agencies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Town of Florence</td>
<td>• 2010 – accepted invitation; • 2016 – accepted invitation.</td>
<td>Participating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Queen Creek</td>
<td>• 2010 – accepted invitation; • 2016 – pending response (letter sent 10/2016).</td>
<td>Stakeholder&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** List up to date with responses as of February 22, 2017

1. Stakeholder, pending response to invitation. When no response is received from an entity invited to be a Participating agency, the entity will be considered a “stakeholder”, and added to the stakeholder list.
2. On December 2, 2016, FHWA invited NPS to become Cooperating agency.
3. Refer to Arizona Game and Fish Department Cooperating agency entry in Table 2, superseding invitation to become Participating agency.

Agencies that do not respond to the request for Participating agency status, or decline the offer of Participating agency, will be considered a “stakeholder”, and added to the stakeholder list. Any agency that is not designated as a Cooperating or Participating agency, as well as the public) will be free to comment on the study. The lead agencies will take substantive comments of any interested parties into consideration throughout the study process.

**2.2 Stakeholders**

State, tribal, and local agencies that were invited to serve as Participating agencies but did not respond to the invitation, as well as members of the public that have expressed an interest in the project and have provided contact information, will be included in the list of stakeholders and receive any email updates or other notifications.

**2.3 Contact Information**

Contacts for the Cooperating, and Participating agencies contacts are listed in Appendix D.
3 Coordination Activities

This section describes coordination activities to be conducted throughout the environmental review process, including initial coordination, coordination points (milestones), and distribution of information.

3.1 NEPA EIS Process Coordination

On March 17, 2009, in conformance with requirements of SAFETEA-LU, ADOT formally notified FHWA of its intent to initiate the NEPA EIS process for this project. Following the project initiation, FHWA, with assistance from ADOT, prepared a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a project-level EIS, as required by Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations, codified at 40 CFR § 1501.7. The NOI was published in the Federal Register on September 20, 2010.

Notification of the preparation of the EIS and an announcement of the 2010 public scoping meetings were published in the following local newspapers: Tri-Valley Dispatch, Apache Junction Independent, Queen Creek Independent, Apache Junction/Gold Canyon News, Arizona Republic, Coolidge Examiner, Eloy Enterprise, and Florence Reminder/Blade-Tribune. Scoping meetings were held in October 2010.

Between October 2010 and early 2016, the NEPA EIS phase of the North-South Corridor Study progressed with the development and evaluation of alternatives as documented in the Alternatives Selection Report in October 2014, environmental technical studies for the alternatives advancing to the project-level Draft EIS, and conceptual design work to support the EIS. Through these efforts, ADOT and FHWA held regular meetings with Cooperating agencies, Participating agencies, and many key stakeholders, and also conducted public meetings for the ASR and several individual stakeholder meetings as the project advanced. In 2016, ADOT and FHWA decided to convert the project-level NEPA EIS process to a Tier 1-level EIS, in accordance with CEQ regulations codified at 40 CFR § 1502.02. On October 3, 2016, a revised NOI was published in the Federal Register.

3.2 NEPA EIS Process Coordination Points, Information Distribution, and Required Actions

Table 4 lists key coordination points (study milestones), including which agency is responsible for activities during that coordination point. It specifies the information required at each coordination point.

This section also provides information regarding how the lead agencies will make information available to Cooperating agencies, Participating agencies, and the public. Table 4 also specifies who is responsible for transmitting that information and by what means.

3.3 Schedule

The North-South Corridor Study project website has up-to-date project information. This website is periodically updated, and stakeholders are alerted when substantive updates have occurred through email blasts (see https://www.azdot.gov/planning/transportation-studies/north-south-corridor-study/overview).
## Table 4. Coordination points, information distribution, and requested actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordination point</th>
<th>Lead Agency Action</th>
<th>Recipient(s)</th>
<th>Information Distribution</th>
<th>Requested Action of Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal (date)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Intent</td>
<td>Publish Notice of Intent in Federal Register; invite agencies and the public to scoping meetings</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies, Stakeholders</td>
<td>Review NOI in Federal Register; review letter by mail</td>
<td>Comments on Notice of Intent</td>
<td>Published September 20, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public and Agency Scoping</td>
<td>Conduct Scoping Meetings</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies, Stakeholders</td>
<td>Direct email notification and invitation; Stakeholder email blast; newspaper advertisements; website</td>
<td>Input on project to better define the scope of the project</td>
<td>Agency scoping occurred within 30 days after Notice of Intent was published (October 5, 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose and need (draft)</td>
<td>Provide Cooperating and Participating agencies with draft purpose and need statement; solicit comments</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies, Stakeholders</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed by email; Stakeholder email blast of availability; website</td>
<td>Comments on purpose and need statement and issues of concern (30-day comment period for Cooperating and Participating agencies)</td>
<td>Published in December 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Alternative Selection Report (ASR)</td>
<td>Provide Cooperating and Participating agencies with opportunity to comment on the ASR.</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed by email (printed copy provided upon request)</td>
<td>Comments on ASR (30 day comment period for Cooperating and Participating agencies)</td>
<td>Distributed for comment to Cooperating and Participating agencies (March 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>Provide stakeholders and the public opportunity to provide input.</td>
<td>All Stakeholders</td>
<td>ASR published on project website</td>
<td>Comments on ASR</td>
<td>ASR published on project website (November 2014); presentations at public meetings in late 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Selection Report Public Meetings</td>
<td>Public meetings held November 2014</td>
<td>All Stakeholders</td>
<td>Stakeholder email blast; newspaper advertisements; website</td>
<td>Participate and provide comments on the ASR</td>
<td>Public meetings / materials posted to project website (November 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Selection Report Public Meeting Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td>All Stakeholders</td>
<td>Stakeholder email blast; website</td>
<td>No action requested</td>
<td>Materials posted to project website (July 2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 4. Coordination points, information distribution, and requested actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordination point</th>
<th>Lead Agency Action</th>
<th>Recipient(s)</th>
<th>Information Distribution</th>
<th>Requested Action of Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal (date)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Intent (Revised with change to Tier 1)</td>
<td>Publish Notice of Intent in Federal Register</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Email to Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Comments on Notice of Intent</td>
<td>Published October 3, 2016 (45-day review period)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>Email blast to stakeholders; project website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project conversion – project level EIS to Tier 1 EIS</td>
<td>Update Cooperating and Participating agencies on the change in approach as a result of conversion to tiered EIS</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed by email</td>
<td>No action requested</td>
<td>November 1, 2016 meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>Stakeholder email blast of availability; website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 6002 coordination plan</td>
<td>Revise Coordination Plan to reflect change in project from project-level EIS to Tier 1 EIS</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed by email</td>
<td>Comments on Coordination Plan (30-day comment period for Cooperating and Participating agencies)</td>
<td>Draft available for comment within 90 days of Notice of Intent [January 2017]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>Stakeholder email blast of availability; website</td>
<td>No action requested; comments accepted</td>
<td>Available once Coordination Plan is finalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact assessment methodologies / Evaluation criteria</td>
<td>Provide Cooperating and Participating agencies opportunity to collaborate on the development and review of the methodologies and level of detail required for the analysis of alternatives</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed by email</td>
<td>Collaboration and input through the development of methodologies, and comments on proposed methodologies (30-day comment period for Cooperating and Participating agencies)</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating Agency workshop [Jan 2017]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose and need</td>
<td>Provide Cooperating and Participating agencies, and other stakeholders, with draft purpose and need statement updated with project conversion; solicit comments</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed by email</td>
<td>Comments on purpose and need (30-day comment period for Cooperating and Participating agencies)</td>
<td>Draft available for comment [March 2017]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>Stakeholder email blast of availability; website</td>
<td>No action requested; comments accepted</td>
<td>Available once finalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of alternatives</td>
<td>Provide Cooperating and Participating agencies, and other stakeholders, with range of alternatives being considered; solicit comments</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed by email</td>
<td>Comments on range of alternatives and issues of concern (30-day comment period for Cooperating and Participating agencies)</td>
<td>Draft available for comment [May 2017]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note change in project status - project converted to a Tier 1 EIS.*
### Table 4. Coordination points, information distribution, and requested actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordination point</th>
<th>Lead Agency Action</th>
<th>Recipient(s)</th>
<th>Information Distribution</th>
<th>Requested Action of Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal (date)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluation of alternatives</strong></td>
<td>Provide Cooperating and Participating agencies with evaluation of alternatives; solicit input comments</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed by email</td>
<td>Provide input and solicit comments on the evaluation of alternatives</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating Agency workshop [August 2017]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preferred alternative corridor</strong></td>
<td>Provide Cooperating and Participating agencies opportunity to review preliminary results of analyses of all Draft EIS alternatives and provide input on recommended preferred alternative</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed by email</td>
<td>Identification of preferred alternative occurs prior to completion of Administrative Draft EIS and provides basis for developing implementation plan and potential segments of independent utility for Tier 2 study</td>
<td>Available for Cooperating and Participating agency review [January 2018]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Review of Administrative Draft EIS</strong></td>
<td>Review of Administrative Draft EIS after FHWA review; DEIS selects the preferred alternative and identifies the environmental resources and likely effects of the alternatives on the natural, social, and built environment</td>
<td>Cooperating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed electronically</td>
<td>Provision of substantive comments on the Administrative Draft EIS and identification of any issues that could substantially delay approval (30-day review and comment period for Cooperating agencies)</td>
<td>Available for Cooperating agency review [February 2018]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public review of Draft EIS</strong></td>
<td>Detailed description of the affected environment, range of alternatives, and an analysis of impacts for each alternative; includes relevant agency and public input to date</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Direct electronic distribution of Draft EIS to stakeholders on mailing list</td>
<td>Comments on range of alternatives, affected environment, impact analysis, and identified preferred corridor (45-day public review period, including public hearings)</td>
<td>Available for agency and public review and comment [July 2018]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Coordination points, information distribution, and requested actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordination pointa</th>
<th>Lead Agency Action</th>
<th>Recipient(s)</th>
<th>Information Distribution</th>
<th>Requested Action of Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal (date)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selection of Preferred Corridor and development of Phased Implementation Plan</td>
<td>Detailed evaluation of alternatives, including the selection of the Preferred Corridor; draft Phased Implementation Plan for Tier II studies</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft documents distributed electronically</td>
<td>Comments on Evaluation of the Preferred Corridor and the draft Phased Implementation Plan</td>
<td>Available for Cooperating and Participating agency review [January 2019]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of Administrative Draft Final EIS</td>
<td>Review of Administrative Draft Final EIS after FHWA review; FEIS presents the final evaluation of environmental resources and likely effects of the selected corridor on the natural, social, and built environment.</td>
<td>Cooperating agencies</td>
<td>Progress meeting; draft distributed electronically</td>
<td>Provision of substantive comments on the Administrative Draft Final EIS and identification of any issues that could substantially delay approval; concurrence on selected corridor. (30-day review and comment period for Cooperating agencies)</td>
<td>Available for Cooperating agency review [June 2019]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final EIS/Record of Decision (ROD)</td>
<td>Combined FEIS / ROD; includes responses to comments on Draft EIS and any refinements to selected corridor</td>
<td>Cooperating and Participating agencies</td>
<td>Direct electronic distribution of Final EIS/ROD to stakeholders on mailing list</td>
<td>All stakeholders can review the documents and have 150 days from the date of Notice of Availability to protest</td>
<td>Available for agency and public review [October 2019]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 2 studies and approvals</td>
<td></td>
<td>Affected agencies</td>
<td>Notice of availability in Federal Register, stakeholder email blast; newspaper advertisements; website; FEIS/ROD availability for review at certain locations</td>
<td></td>
<td>To be determined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Dates in brackets are tentative or pending.

a Lead agencies will distribute information to reviewing and commenting parties associated with these coordination points.

b Information available to stakeholders and the public may be made available for comment through open houses and/or the study website, depending on coordination point.
3.4 Study Schedule

Table 4 also lists the anticipated date of information “out”. Note that dates in brackets are tentative or pending. Review times for documents distributed to Cooperating and Participating agencies at each coordination point are 30 calendar days, unless noted otherwise. It is important to note that the schedule is subject to change, and that periodically through the EIS process, the scheduled in the Coordination Plan will updated to reflect the current approach and understanding. When these changes are made to update the schedule, an email notice will be sent to the Cooperating and Participating agencies, and the draft Coordination Plan will be updated on the project website.

4 Conflict Resolution

SAFETEA-LU provides a formal process for resolving serious issues that may delay the proposed project or may result in denial of a required approval for the proposed project. ADOT or the Governor of Arizona may invoke the Section 6002 process for issue resolution at any time. However, the conflict resolution process discussed below should be considered the first option for issue resolution prior to invocation of Section 6002.

Should an issue come to an impasse, the general process for addressing and resolving the issue would be:

1. Involved parties will identify and agree on the issue to be resolved at the project manager level.
2. The manager at the area, district, or section level will initiate the conflict resolution process.
3. ADOT or FHWA will notify the Cooperating agency manager at the regional or deputy level.
4. ADOT or FHWA will compile and submit all pertinent information to involved parties.
5. Involved parties will determine whether all information necessary for issue resolution has been received.
6. ADOT or FHWA will hold a formal meeting (involving the first three tiers of management) to resolve the issue, followed by a 30-day review/decision period.
7. A decision will be made, recorded, and passed on to the appropriate team members.
8. The process is completed.

If issue resolution does not occur, a discussion of the issue and why resolution could not be reached will be submitted to the heads (administrator, director, or commander) of the Cooperating agencies for further review.

5 Revision History

Table 5 identifies changes to this coordination plan.

Note that if a schedule item in the coordination plan requires modification, concurrence on the schedule change is required only if the schedule is being shortened and then only from joint lead agencies, not all Cooperating and Participating agencies.
### Table 5. Document revision history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Revision description and justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>March 9, 2010</td>
<td>Overall document</td>
<td>General comments, revisions, and refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>September 29, 2010</td>
<td>Overall document</td>
<td>Specific clarifications to study details, schedule, and agency invitation list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>January 7, 2011</td>
<td>Overall document</td>
<td>Update purpose and need discussion, Cooperating and Participating agency status, coordination activities, and study schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>April 19, 2011</td>
<td>Overall document</td>
<td>Update status of Cooperating and Participating agencies, discuss review times, and add conflict resolution process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>November 3, 2011</td>
<td>Sections 4 and 5</td>
<td>Revisions in response to agency comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>August 23, 2013</td>
<td>Sections 2 and 4</td>
<td>Update status of Cooperating and Participating agencies, schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>February 29, 2016</td>
<td>Sections 2 and 4</td>
<td>Update status of Cooperating and Participating agencies, schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>January, 2017</td>
<td>Overall document</td>
<td>Change of National Environmental Policy Act approach from project-level Environmental Impact Statement to Tier-1-level Environmental Impact Statement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A. List of agencies invited to serve as Cooperating and Participating agencies prior to the Tier 1 EIS Conversion
Table 1 lists the agencies invited to serve as Cooperating agencies at the commencement of the project in 2010 (project-level EIS), prior to the conversion to a Tier 1 EIS.

**Table 1. Agencies invited to serve as cooperating agencies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Responsibilities</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>Ensure Clean Water Act compliance; provide Section 404 permit; provide comments on purpose and need, impact assessment methodologies, and range of alternatives</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – cooperating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management</td>
<td>As trustee for federal land, provide input on potential impacts to federal land; provide comments on purpose and need, impact assessment methodologies, and range of alternatives</td>
<td>No response to invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation</td>
<td>As a water management agency, provide input on potential impacts to water and hydropower facilities; provide comments on purpose and need, impact assessment methodologies, and range of alternatives</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – cooperating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>Protect human health and the environment; provide comments on purpose and need, impact assessment methodologies, and range of alternatives</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – cooperating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
<td>Conserve, protect, and enhance fish, wildlife, and plants and their habitats; ensure compliance with the Endangered Species Act; provide comments on purpose and need, impact assessment methodologies, and range of alternatives</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – cooperating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Area Power Administration</td>
<td>As owner of power facilities, provide input on potential impacts to these federal facilities; provide comments on purpose and need, impact assessment methodologies, and range of alternatives</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – cooperating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Indian Affairs</td>
<td>Enhance the quality of life, to promote economic opportunity, and to carry out the responsibility to protect and improve the trust assets of American Indians, Indian tribes, and Alaska Natives</td>
<td>No response to invitation – cooperating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos Irrigation Project</td>
<td>San Carlos Irrigation Project (SCIP) manages electric and water utilities in the study area consisting mainly of canals</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – cooperating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration</td>
<td>The Federal Rail Administration (FRA) has expressed interest in the study as decisions for the Study Corridor could impact the Arizona Passenger Rail Corridor Study: Tucson to Phoenix Project.</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – cooperating agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 lists the agencies invited to serve as Participating agencies at the commencement of the project in 2010 (project-level EIS), prior to the conversion to a Tier 1 EIS.

Table 2. Agencies invited to serve as participating agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Responsibilities</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal agencies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation – participating agency (any invited federal agency that does not submit a letter declining the invitation is designated as a participating agency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation – participating agency (any invited federal agency that does not submit a letter declining the invitation is designated as a participating agency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>FRA requests cooperating agency status on the EIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation – participating agency (any invited federal agency that does not submit a letter declining the invitation is designated as a participating agency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State agencies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Air National Guard</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Department of Corrections</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Department of Environmental Quality</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Department of Public Safety</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Game and Fish Department</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Land Department</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Parks</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Counties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maricopa County</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinal County</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cities and towns</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Apache Junction</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Casa Grande</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Coolidge</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Eloy</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Agencies invited to serve as participating agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Responsibilities</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Mesa</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Florence</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Gilbert</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>No response to invitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Queen Creek</td>
<td>Provide input relative to agency’s interest in the project</td>
<td>Accepted invitation – participating agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B. Cooperating Agency Letters
North-South Corridor Study
Cooperating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Western Area Power Administration
wished to be a Cooperating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the
Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental
Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

Thank you but, the [complete agency name]___________________________________________
wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the
Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental
Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name]______________________________________________
does not wish to be a Cooperating Agency or Partnering Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and
40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS.

[Note: A Cooperating Agency that fails to respond or chooses not to continue as a
Cooperating Agency will be designated a Participating Agency for the project.]

Date: 12.7.16

Name of Organization: Western Area Power Administration
Agency contact for this project: Melissa Ardis
Address: 12155 W. Alameda Parkway, Lakewood CO 80228
Email Address: Ardisse.wapa.gov
Phone Number: 720-962-1260

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1600
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave, MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Cooperating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Bureau of Indian Affairs, San Carlos Irrigation Project wishes to be a Cooperating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

Thank you but, the [complete agency name]___________________________________________ wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name]____________________________________________________ does not wish to be a Cooperating Agency or Partnering Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS.

[Note: A Cooperating Agency that fails to respond or chooses not to continue as a Cooperating Agency will be designated a Participating Agency for the project.]

Date: 1/2/16

Name of Organization: San Carlos Irrigation Project
Agency contact for this project: Beau Goldstein
Address: 13805 N. Arizona Ave., Coolidge, AZ 85128
Email Address: beau.goldstein@bia.gov
Phone Number: 602-7589335 (mobile)

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 205 S.17th Ave, MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973 (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Cooperating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Cooperating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

Thank you but, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Cooperating Agency or Partnering Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS.

[Note: A Cooperating Agency that fails to respond or chooses not to continue as a Cooperating Agency will be designated a Participating Agency for the project.]

Date: 11/8/16

Name of Organization: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Agency contact for this project: Jesse Rice
Address: 3636 N. Central Ave. Ste. 900 Phoenix AZ 85012
Phone Number: 602-230-4854
Email Address: jesse.m.rice@usace.army.mil

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange Senior Urban Engineer Federal Highway Administration 4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (602) 382-8973 aryan.lirange@dot.gov
or Victor Yang Project Manager Arizona Department of Transportation 205 S. 17th Ave, MD605E Phoenix AZ 85007 (602) 712-8715 VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Cooperating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service wishes to be a Cooperating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or,

Thank you but, the [complete agency name]__________________________________________
wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or,

No, the [complete agency name]__________________________________________
does not wish to be a Cooperating Agency or Partnering Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS.

[Note: A Cooperating Agency that fails to respond or chooses not to continue as a Cooperating Agency will be designated a Participating Agency for the project.]

Date: ________________

December 5, 2016

Name of Organization: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Agency contact for this project: ________________

Robert Lehman

Address: ________________

9828 N 31st Ave., Suite C3, Phoenix, AZ 85051

Email Address: ________________

Robert Lehman@FWS.Gov

Phone Number: ________________

602-243-0210

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave., MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Cooperating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Arizona Game & Fish Department wishes to be a Cooperating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

Thank you but, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Cooperating Agency or Partnering Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS.

[Note: A Cooperating Agency that fails to respond or chooses not to continue as a Cooperating Agency will be designated a Participating Agency for the project.]

Date: 2/7/17

Name of Organization: Arizona Game & Fish Department
Agency contact for this project: Cheri Boucher
Address: 5050 W. Carefree Highway, Phoenix AZ 85084
Email Address: cboucher@azgfd.gov
Phone Number: 623-231-7615

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or
Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave, MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study  
Cooperating Agencies Acceptance Form  

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement  

Yes, the [complete agency name] Bureau of Land Management wishes to be a Cooperating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).  

Or;  

Thank you but, the [complete agency name]________________________________________, wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).  

Or;  

No, the [complete agency name]________________________________________, does not wish to be a Cooperating Agency or Partnering Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS.  

[Note: A Cooperating Agency that fails to respond or chooses not to continue as a Cooperating Agency will be designated a Participating Agency for the project.]  

Date: 2/8/17  

Name of Organization: BLM  

Agency contact for this project: Lane Cowger  

Address: 1 N Central Ave, #300  

Email Address: Cowger@blm.gov  

Phone Number: 602-417-9612  

Please return to:  

Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang  

Senior Urban Engineer or Project Manager  

Federal Highway Administration or Arizona Department of Transportation  

4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 or 205 S.17th Ave, MD605E  

Phoenix, Arizona 85012 or Phoenix AZ 85007  

(602) 382-8973 or (602) 712-8715  

aryan.lirange@dot.gov or VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Cooperating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier I Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Cooperating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier I Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

Thank you but, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier I Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Cooperating Agency or Partnering Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS.

[Note: A Cooperating Agency that fails to respond or chooses not to continue as a Cooperating Agency will be designated a Participating Agency for the project.]

Date: 2/27/2017

Name of Organization: U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Agency contact: CLIFTON MECK
for this project: meck. clifton @ epa.gov
Address: 75 Hawthorne St, ENF 4-2, San Francisco, CA 94105
Phone Number: 415-972-3370

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave, MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
Appendix C. Participating Agency Letters
North-South Corridor Study
Cooperating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Cooperating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

Thank you but, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Cooperating Agency or Partnering Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS.

[Note: A Cooperating Agency that fails to respond or chooses not to continue as a Cooperating Agency will be designated a Participating Agency for the project.]

Date: 11/8/2016

Name of Organization: Bureau of Reclamation
Agency contact for this project: Carol Evans
Address: 6150 W Thunderbird Rd, Glendale, AZ 85306
Email Address: CAEvans@USBR.gov
Phone Number: (623) 773-6257

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave, MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] _______________ wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or,

No, the [complete agency name] ____________________________ does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: _______________ 11/8/16 _______________

Name of Organization: _______________ CITY OF APACHE JUNCTION _______________

Agency contact for this project: _______________ GIAO N. PHAM _______________

Address: _______________ 575 E. BASELINE AVE 85119 _______________

Email Address: _______________ GPHAM @ AJCITY.NET _______________

Phone Number: _______________ (480) 474-8573 _______________

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange  
Senior Urban Engineer  
Federal Highway Administration  
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012  
(602) 382-8973  
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang  
Project Manager  
Arizona Department of Transportation  
205 S.17th Ave MD605E  
Phoenix AZ 85007  
(602) 712-8715  
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] City of Casa Grande wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] _____________________________________________ does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 12/16/16

Name of Organization: City of Casa Grande
Agency contact for this project: Duane S. Etel, P.E.
Address: 3181 N. Lear Ave, Casa Grande, AZ 85194
Email Address: deitel@casagrandeaz.gov
Phone Number: 480-606-2091

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973 (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Arizona State Land Department wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or,

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: Nov 28, 2016

Name of Organization: Arizona State Land Department
Agency contact for this project: Michelle Green
Address: 1616 W. Adams St. Phx 85007
Email Address: mgreen@azland.gov
Phone Number: 602-364-2502

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or
Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Highway Patrol Division of AZ-DPS
wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the
Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental
Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] _______________________________________
does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the
CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be
listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 12/07/14

Name of Organization: AZ Department of Public Safety
Agency contact for this project: Capt. Paul Etri and Capt. Glen Swavely
Address: 3103 W. Encanto Blvd, MD1340, Phx 85001
Email Address: pentire@azdps.gov & gswavely@azdps.gov
Phone Number: 602.258.3354

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or
Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Cooperating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Cooperating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

Thank you but, the [complete agency name] BIA, Western Region wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Cooperating Agency or Partnering Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS.

[Note: A Cooperating Agency that fails to respond or chooses not to continue as a Cooperating Agency will be designated a Participating Agency for the project.]

Date: December 1, 2016

Name of Organization: BIA – Western Region
Agency contact for this project: Chip Lewis
Address: 2600 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004
Email Address: Chip.Lewis@bia.gov
Phone Number: 602-379-6750 EXT. 1257

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave, MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] CENTRAL ARIZONA GOVERNMENTS (CAG) wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] ________________________________ does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date:  

November 1, 2016

Name of Organization:  
CENTRAL ARIZONA GOVERNMENTS (CAG)

Agency contact for this project:  
Travis Ashbaugh

Address:  
1075 South Idaho Road, Suite 300, Apache Junction, AZ 85119

Email Address:  
tashaugh@cagaz.org

Phone Number:  
480-474-9300

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange  
Senior Urban Engineer  
Federal Highway Administration  
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012  
(602) 382-8973  
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang  
Project Manager  
Arizona Department of Transportation  
205 S. 17th Ave MD605E  
Phoenix AZ 85007  
(602) 712-8715  
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] City of Eloy
wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the
Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental
Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the
CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be
listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: November 3, 2016

Name of Organization: City of Eloy
Agency contact for this project: Harvey Krauss, City Manager
Address: 628 N. Main Street, Eloy, AZ 85131
Email Address: hKrauss@eloyaz.gov
Phone Number: 520-466-9201

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973 (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Town of Florence, AZ wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 11/8/2016

Name of Organization: Town of Florence, Arizona
Agency contact for this project: Mark Eckhoff
Address: 224 W. 20th St., Florence, AZ 85132
Email Address: Mark.eckhoff@florenceaz.gov
Phone Number: 520-868-7540

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov
or
Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name],
wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the
Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental
Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the
CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be
listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 11/16/2016

Name of Organization: Federal Transit Administration Region 9
Agency contact for this project: Dominique M. Parkoudis
Address: 90 Seventh St, Suite 15-300
San Francisco, CA 94103
Email Address: 415-734-9469
Phone Number:

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973 (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Hopi Tribe, wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 11-4-16

Name of Organization: Hopi Tribe
Agency contact for this project: Herman Henry, Chairman

Address: P.O. Box 123, Kayenta, AZ 86034

Email Address: hernan@hpt.org

Phone Number: 928-734-3101

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973 (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 12/1/14

Name of Organization: Maricopa County Department of Transportation
Agency contact for this project: Denise Lacey
Address: 2901 W. Durango St. Phoenix, AZ 85308
Email Address: denise.lacey@email.maricopa.gov
Phone Number: 602-506-6172

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Pinal County Public Works wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 11/7/2016

Name of Organization: Pinal County
Agency contact for this project: Andy Smith
Address: 31 W. Pinal Street Florence, AZ 85132
Email Address: Andew.smith@pinalcounty.az.gov
Phone Number: 520-866-6407

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VyYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] _________ San Carlos Apache Tribe wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] ____________________________________________________________, does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 11/18/2011

Name of Organization: Historic Preservation & Archaeology Dept.  
Agency contact for this project: Vernelda Grant
Address: P.O. Box 8, San Carlos, AZ 85550
Email Address: apacheverrn@yahoo.com
Phone Number: (928) 475-5797

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange  or  Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer  Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration  Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500  205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012  Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973  (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov  VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 11/1/16

Name of Organization: Sun Corridor MPO
Agency contact for this project: Irene Higgs
Address: 211 N. Florence St. Ste 103 Casa Grande, AZ 85122
Email Address: ihiggs2@scmpo.org
Phone Number: (520) 705-5143

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973 (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 7 Nov 16

Name of Organization: Arizona State Historic Preservation Office
Agency contact for this project: 

Address: 1100 West Washington Street, Phoenix, AZ 85007

Email Address: djacobs@azstateparks.gov

Phone Number: 602-542-7140

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or

Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] CITY OF COOLIDGE wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: November 4, 2016

Name of Organization: CITY OF COOLIDGE
Agency contact for this project: GILBERT LOPEZ
Address: 131 W. PINKLEY AVE., COOLIDGE AZ 85128
Email Address: glopez@coolidge.az.com
Phone Number: 520-723-6075

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or
Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or:

USDA

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 11/7/16

Name of Organization: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Agency contact for this project: Cheryl Lambert, Environmental Coordinator
or D'Andre Yancey, State Soil Scientist

Address: 230 N 1st Ave, Phoenix AZ 85003

Email Address: Cheryl.Lambert@az.usda.gov
or DAndre.Yancey@az.usda.gov

Phone Number: 602-280-8787
or 602-280-8817

Please return to:

Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973 (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Arizona State Parks wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name], does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: Oct. 31, 2014

Name of Organization: Arizona State Parks
Agency contact for this project: Paula M Pflepsen
Address: 23751 N 23rd Ave ste 190 Phoenix, AZ 85085
Email Address: ppflepsen@azstateparks.gov
Phone Number: 602 542 7160

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange
Senior Urban Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 382-8973
aryan.lirange@dot.gov

or
Victor Yang
Project Manager
Arizona Department of Transportation
205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 712-8715
VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 11/9/16

Name of Organization: 
Flood Control District of Maricopa County

Agency contact for this project: 
Feucia Terry

Address: 
2801 W DURANGO ST. 
PHOENIX, AZ 85009

Email Address: 
pet@mail.maricopa.gov

Phone Number: 
402-504-8111

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973 (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov VYang@azdot.gov
North-South Corridor Study
Participating Agencies Acceptance Form

North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement

Yes, the [complete agency name] Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport Authority, wishes to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Or;

No, the [complete agency name] __________________________________, does not wish to be a Participating Agency under Section 40 CFR 1501.6 and 40 CFR 1508.5 of the CEQ for the North-South Corridor Study Tier 1 EIS. Unless requested otherwise your agency will be listed as a stakeholder agency and continue to receive information on the project.

Date: 2/22/17

Name of Organization: Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport Authority
Agency contact for this project: Tony Bianchi, Airport Planner
Address: 5835 South Sossaman Road
Email Address: tbianchi@gatewayairport.com
Phone Number: 480-988-7649

Please return to:
Aryan Lirange or Victor Yang
Senior Urban Engineer or Project Manager
Federal Highway Administration Arizona Department of Transportation
4000 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 205 S.17th Ave MD605E
Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Phoenix AZ 85007
(602) 382-8973 (602) 712-8715
aryan.lirange@dot.gov VYang@azdot.gov
Appendix D. Agency Contact List
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Name, first</th>
<th>Name, last</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Agency Role*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ak-Chin Indian Community</td>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Miguel</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Department of Corrections</td>
<td>Carson</td>
<td>McWilliams</td>
<td>Division Director, Offender Operations</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Department of Emergency and</td>
<td>Russell</td>
<td>Carter</td>
<td>Deputy Construction &amp; Facility Management</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Department of Environmental</td>
<td>Wendy</td>
<td>Lestarge</td>
<td>Rules Specialist</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Department of Public Safety</td>
<td>Eric</td>
<td>Anspach</td>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Game &amp; Fish Department</td>
<td>Cheri</td>
<td>Bouchér</td>
<td>Project Evaluation Transportation Coordinator</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Land Department</td>
<td>Mark</td>
<td>Edelman</td>
<td>Planning and Engineering Manager</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Parks</td>
<td>Paula</td>
<td>Pflepsen</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Manager/ Tribal Liaison</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Parks Historic Preservation</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Jacobs</td>
<td>Compliance Specialist/ Archaeologist</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Arizona Governments</td>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>Ashbaugh</td>
<td>Transportation Planning Manager</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Arizona Project</td>
<td>Paul</td>
<td>Zellmer</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Apache Junction</td>
<td>Giao</td>
<td>Pham</td>
<td>Public Works Director</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Casa Grande</td>
<td>Duane</td>
<td>Eitel</td>
<td>City Traffic Engineer</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Coolidge</td>
<td>Gilbert</td>
<td>Lopez</td>
<td>Development Services Director</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Eloy</td>
<td>Ken</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Public Works Director</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mesa</td>
<td>Jim</td>
<td>Hash</td>
<td>Senior Planner</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gila River Indian Community</td>
<td>Stephen</td>
<td>Roe</td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopi Tribe</td>
<td>Herman G.</td>
<td>Honanie</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maricopa Association of Governments</td>
<td>Chaun</td>
<td>Hill</td>
<td>Senior Transportation Engineer</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maricopa County Department of</td>
<td>Denise</td>
<td>Lacey</td>
<td>Systems Planning Branch Manager</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maricopa County Flood Control District</td>
<td>Felicia</td>
<td>Terry</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pascua Yaqui Tribe</td>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>Yucupicio</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport</td>
<td>Tony</td>
<td>Bianchi</td>
<td>Airport Planner</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinal County</td>
<td>Andrew</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>Principal Planner</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Agency role as invited; agencies’ responses to invitations are included in Table 2 and Table 3 of the Coordination Plan.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Name, first</th>
<th>Name, last</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Agency Role*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community</td>
<td>Delbert W.</td>
<td>Ray, Sr.</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt River Project</td>
<td>Janeen</td>
<td>Rohovit</td>
<td>State and Local Government Relations</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos Apache Tribe</td>
<td>Vernelda</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Historic Preservation and Archaeology Department</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun Corridor Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
<td>Irene</td>
<td>Higgs</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohono O’odham Nation</td>
<td>Edward D.</td>
<td>Manuel</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonto Apache Tribe</td>
<td>Vivian L.</td>
<td>Burdette</td>
<td>Chairwoman</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Florence</td>
<td>Mark</td>
<td>Eckhoff</td>
<td>Community Development Director</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Queen Creek</td>
<td>Brett</td>
<td>Burningham</td>
<td>Planning Administrator</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>Jesse</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Regulatory Project Manager</td>
<td>Cooperating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs - San Carlos Irrigation District</td>
<td>Beau</td>
<td>Goldstein</td>
<td>Environmental Coordinator</td>
<td>Cooperating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs - Western Regional Office</td>
<td>Chip</td>
<td>Lewis</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Specialist</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management - Arizona State Office</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>Cowger</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>Cooperating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation</td>
<td>Carol</td>
<td>Evans</td>
<td>Biologist</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
<td>Bob</td>
<td>Lehman</td>
<td>Fish and Wildlife Biologist</td>
<td>Cooperating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior National Park Service</td>
<td>Sherry</td>
<td>Plowman</td>
<td>Superintendent, Arizona State Coordinator</td>
<td>Cooperating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration</td>
<td>Williams</td>
<td>Mike N.</td>
<td>Phoenix Airport District Office Manager</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Rail Administration</td>
<td>Andrea E.</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Specialist</td>
<td>Cooperating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9</td>
<td>Clifton</td>
<td>Meek</td>
<td>Life Scientist</td>
<td>Cooperating Agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Agency role as invited; agencies’ responses to invitations are included in Table 2 and Table 3 of the Coordination Plan.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Name, first</th>
<th>Name, last</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Agency Role*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Area Power Administration</td>
<td>Melissa</td>
<td>Ardis</td>
<td>Natural Resources Specialist</td>
<td>Cooperating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Mountain Apache Tribe</td>
<td>Ronnie</td>
<td>Lupe</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yavapai-Apache Nation</td>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Russell Winiecki</td>
<td>Chairwoman</td>
<td>Participating Agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Agency role as invited; agencies' responses to invitations are included in Table 2 and Table 3 of the Coordination Plan.