



STATE AVIATION SYSTEM PLAN UPDATE

ADOT Kimley»Horn PAC Mtg. #2 July 12, 2017



Agenda

- Introductions
- SASP process
- Updated goals, performance measures, and system indicators
- Inventory findings
- Airport roles/classifications methodologies
- Forecast methodology
- Next steps



Arizona Aviation



SASP Process

SASP Purpose

To provide a framework for the integrated planning operation, and development of Arizona's aviation assets









SASP UPDATE SCHEDULE

TAS	SKS	QUARTER 1	QUARTER 2	QUARTER 3	QUARTER 4
1	Define Goals, Performance Measures, and Targets	PAC	Meeting		
2	Review Current Policies and Airport Development Guidelines	-		_	
3	Inventory of Existing Airport Assets				
4	Develop Aviation Forecasts	_			-
5	Analyze Airport Classification Roles	_		PAC Meeting	
6	Evaluate Current Airport System Performance				
7	Define Future Airport Sytem Performance Targets			_	PAC Meeting
8	Develop Specific Recomendations / Identify Potential Funding Sources			-	
9	Final SASP Update Report				

Updated Goals

2017 SASP Goals



SAFETY AND SECURITY

Arizona should maintain a safe and secure airport system as measured by compliance with applicable safety and security standards while supporting health and safety-related services and activities.



ECONOMIC SUPPORT

Arizona should advance a system of airports that supports Arizona's economic growth and development and promotes partnerships in a manner that reflects Arizona's socioeconomic and demographic characteristics.



FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY

Arizona should implement cost-effective investment strategies to meet current and projected demand while remaining adequately accessible to Arizona's citizens, visitors, and businesses

2017 Performance Measures versus System Indicators





19
57
<
1
<u> </u>
2
ures



Support

Percent of airports that are recognized in local comprehensive plans

Percent of airports with the facilities to support jet aircraft



Goal Cate	gory	System Indicators
Safety and Security		Percent of airports that have a written emergency response plan
		Percent of airports with clear approaches to primary runway end
		Percent of airports with adopted wildlife plans in accordance with appropriate FAA regulations
		Percent of airports that support aerial firefighting operations
	Fiscal	Percent of population within 30 minutes of a system airport meeting business user needs
2	Responsibility	Percent of communities in the state with a population greater than 5,000 with a 60-minute drive time of a commercial service airport
		Percent of communities in the state with a population greater than 1,000 with a 30-minute drive time of a general aviation airport
		Number of airports with utilities (i.e., electricity, telephone, water, sewer, and gas)
		Percent of population with 30 minutes of a NPIAS airport
¢	Economic Support	Percent of system airports supporting flight training
	Capport	Dollars of direct and indirect economic impact on the state from aviation

Inventory Findings

Inventory of Existing Airport Assets

- Gather data to evaluate system performance, develop statewide aviation forecasts, and identify regional aviation system needs
- Serves as a primary foundation for all subsequent analyses
- Contacted 86 airports for participation

Task



Privately Owned Airports/ Excluded Study Airports





Inventory Findings – Based Aircraft

Aircraft by Type	2008	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total
Single-Engine	6,353	77.4%	4,002	72.9%
Multi-Engine	861	10.5%	573	10.4%
Jets	358	4.4%	303	5.5%
Helicopter	317	3.9%	248	4.5%
Gliders	53	0.6%	80	1.5%
Ultralights/other	101	1.2%	116	2.1%
Military	170	2.1%	166	3.0%
Total	8,213		5,488	

Sources: 2008 SASP, 2017 Kimley-Horn



Inventory Findings – Annual Aircraft Operations

Operations by Type	2008	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total
		12.00/	422 225	12.0%
Commercial Service	675,194	13.9%	433,325	12.0%
General Aviation-Local	2,051,040	42.4%	1,595,411	44.3%
General Aviation-Itinerant	1,791,696	37.0%	1,188,640	33.0%
Military	323,537	6.7%	386,659	10.7%
Total	4,841,467		3,604,035	

Sources: 2008 SASP, 2017 Kimley-Horn



Inventory Findings – Passenger Enplanements

Passenger Enplanements
23,200,000
24,657,169
0.76%

Sources: 2008 SASP, 2017 Kimley-Horn Note: Passenger enplanements in 2008 rounded to nearest hundred thousand

The compound annual growth rate (CAGR) calculates a constant rate of change over a given time-period. It dampens the effect of volatility during periods that experience significant change, and is essentially a "smoothed" growth rate.



Inventory Findings – Instrument Approach Procedures (IAPs) and Fueling

IAPs	2008	2016
Airports with IAPs	40	38
Fueling	2008	2016
AvGas and/or Jet A	53	55
AvGas	52	37
Jet A	43	45

Sources: 2008 SASP, 2017 Kimley-Horn



Aerial Wildland Firefighting – Sierra Vista Municipal Airport (FHU)









Arizona's Amazing Airports!























Airport Roles/ Classifications Methodology

Why do we have roles/ classifications?

- Functions and activities at airports
- Coordinated planning of facilities
- Facility needs based on activities supported
- Potential funding-related uses:
 - Different programs by classification
 - Project priorities and eligibility
 - Element in priority rating system
 - Measuring system performance compared to investment

To understand how airports contribute to community and state



ask

67

2008 ADOT Roles



Role (# of Airports)	Definition
Commercial Service (12)	Publicly owned airports which enplane 2,500 or more passengers annually and receive scheduled passenger air service
Reliever (8)	FAA-designated airports that relieve congestion at a commercial service airport
GA- Community (28)	Airports that serve regional economies, connecting to state and national economies, and serve all types of general aviation aircraft
GA-Rural (25)	Airports that serve a supplemental role in local economies, primarily serving smaller business, recreational, and personal flying
GA-Basic (10)	Airports that serve a limited role in the local economy, primarily serving recreational and personal flying



Re-examination of ADOT Roles

- 2008 SASP included many factors that were not quantifiable and hard to determine how airports moved from one classification to another
- FAA conducted General Aviation Asset Study and developed new categories
- Aviation industry changes



Comparison of Criteria

2008 SASP

- Total based aircraft
- Based turbine aircraft
- Registered pilots served
- Airside facilities/infrastructure
- Landside facilities/infrastructure
- Airport approach type
- Expansion potential
- Commercial service
- Design aircraft
- Aviation services provided
- Military or other special tenant organizations
- Businesses served
- Population served
- Industry groups served/economic development
- Retail sales
- Accommodations within a 30 minute drive
- Emergency use
- RPZ development controls
- Height zoning
- Community support
- Community outreach efforts

FAA Asset

- Instrument operations
- Based jets
- International flights
- Domestic flights
- Enplanements
- Charter enplanements
- Cargo weight
- Located in MSA
- Scheduled commercial service
- Proximity to nearest NPIAS airport (30+ miles)
- Used by U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Marshals, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, or U.S. Postal Service
- Essential Air Service
- New/replacement facility after January 1, 2001
- Reliever designation

2017 Proposed

- Commercial service domestic or international
- Reliever status
- Instrument operations
- Operations
- Based aircraft
- Fuel availability



Proposed Roles/Classification Methodology





Airport Roles Decision Tree



What should the criterion ranges be?

- **Commercial** international, domestic, none
- **Instrument operations** 500 to 2,000
- **Operations** 1,250 to 5,000
- **Based aircraft** with or without jets
- Based aircraft 5 to 200
- Fuel Jet A and/or 100LL fuel



Role Methodology Options

		2017 Methodology					
Role/ Classification	2008 SASP	Low Activity	Medium Activity	High Activity			
Commercial Service- International	Publicly owned airports which enplane 2,500 or more passengers annually and	International commercial service					
Commercial Service- National	receive scheduled passenger air service	Domestic commercial service					
Reliever	FAA-designated airports that relieve congestion at a commercial service airport	Reliever status and at least 500 instrument operations, 50 based aircraft including 1 jet, and aircraft fuel	Reliever status and at least 1,000 instrument operations, 100 based aircraft including 2 jets, and aircraft fuel	Reliever status and at least 2,000 instrument operations, 200 based aircraft including 4 jets, and aircraft fuel			
GA-Community	Airports that serve regional economies ¹ , connecting to state and national economies, and serve all types of general aviation aircraft	125 instrument operations and 5 based aircraft <i>OR</i> 1 based jet and aircraft fuel	250 instrument operations and 10 based aircraft <i>OR</i> 1 based jet and aircraft fuel	500 instrument operations and 20 based aircraft <i>OR</i> 1 based jet and aircraft fuel			
GA-Rural	Airports that serve a supplemental role in local economies ² , primarily serving smaller business, recreational, and personal flying	1,250 operations <i>OR</i> 5 based aircraft and aircraft fuel	2,500 operations <i>OR</i> 10 based aircraft and aircraft fuel	5,000 operations <i>OR</i> 20 based aircraft and aircraft fuel			
GA-Basic	Airports that serve a limited role in the local economy, primarily serving recreational and personal flying		All other GA airports				

Notes: 1. For the purpose of this report, a regional economy is defined as the economic activity of an area that encompasses multiple communities or political jurisdictions. 2. For the purpose of this report, a local economy is defined as the economic activity of a single community or a largely rural area.

Results of Methodologies

		2017 Methodology				
Role/Classification	2008 SASP Results	Low Activity	Medium Activity	High Activity		
Commercial Service- International	11	3	3	3		
Commercial Service- National		8		8		
Reliever	8	8	↓1	↓ 3		
GA-Community	25	↓2	↓4	↓6		
GA-Rural	25	↓3	↓3	↓6		
GA-Basic	9	↑7	11	↑17		



Relationship to the NPIAS

	Low Activity		Medium Activity			High Activity			
Role	No.	NPIAS	Non- NPIAS	No.	NPIAS	Non- NPIAS	No.	NPIAS	Non- NPIAS
Commercial Service- International	3	3	0	3	3	0	3	3	0
Commercial Service- National	8	8	0	8	8	0	8	8	0
Reliever	8	8	0	7	7	0	5	5	0
GA-Community	23	21	2	21	19	2	19	17	2
GA-Rural	22	13	9	22	14	8	19	14	5
GA-Basic	16	6	10	19	8	11	26	12	14





Feedback?





Facility and Service Objectives

What are Facility & Service Objectives?

- Not standards or requirements
- Recommendations of provided services and facilities based on system role/classification
- Minimum levels of development


2008 GA-Community Objectives

General Aviation – Community Airport				
Component	Minimum Objectives			
ARC	B-II			
Runway Length	Accommodate 75% of large aircraft at 60% useful load			
Runway Width	To meet ARC			
Taxiway	Full or partial-parallel; width per ARC			
Surface	Paved			
Approach Capability	Non-precision			
Visual Aids	Rotating beacon, lighted wind cone/segmented circle, REILs, VGSI			
Lighting	MIRL/MITL			
Approach Lighting System	None			
Fencing	Perimeter fence			
Services	Limited services FBO/limited maintenance/on-site ground transportation/phone/restroom/fuel (Jet A and AvGas)			
Facilities	Terminal with appropriate facilities Hangars: 60% of based fleet and 25% overnight Apron: 40% of based fleet and 50% for transient Auto parking: 33% of based fleet			

Proposed – Three Components

Component	Airport Criteria		
General	ARC	Surface	
Airfield	Runway Length	Approach Capability	
	Taxiway	Visual Aids	
	Lighting	Approach Lighting System	
Airside	Operations/Maintenance Hanga	r	
Facilities	Hangars	Auto Parking	
	Apron	Terminal/Pilot's Lounge	
Services	FBO	Aircraft Maintenance	
	Avionics Sales and Service	Off-Site Rental Car	
	On-Site Rental Car	Restroom	
	Phone	U.S. Customs	
	Fuel	Deicing	
	Snow Removal	Oxygen	
	Weather Reporting	Air Taxi/Charter Service	
	Aircraft Rental		





Facility and Service Objectives Activity

Separate into <u>three</u> small groups and provide feedback on boards on what services and facilities <u>you</u> think are most important, by role/classification

Feedback?



Overview of Forecasts Task

Elements of Forecasts Task

- Industry trends review
- Forecast indicators:
 - o Based aircraft
 - o General aviation ops
- Comparison of GA activity indicators to TAF for NPIAS airports
- Utilize TAF for enplanements and commercial activity
- Identify design aircraft and operational activity by turbo jet and prop aircraft over 12,500 pounds

Task 4

GA Forecast Methodologies

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5050-7, The Airport System Planning Process:

Level of detail in the forecasts should be based upon airports' activity, planning issues to be addressed, and the future use of the forecasts.

Approaches:

- Top-down: examine larger system and utilize market share
- Bottom-up: look at individual airport-level activity



Accomplishments and Next Steps

Today's Accomplishments

- Reviewed the updated goals and inventory findings
- Obtained feedback on:
 - Airport roles/classification
 - Facility and service objectives



Next Steps

- Complete draft of Inventory Chapter
- Complete draft of Roles/Classifications Chapter using data obtained from today's meeting
- Complete draft of Forecasts of Aviation
 Demand chapter



Thoughts?

Thank You!

- Matt Smith, ADOT Project Manager
 P: (602) 712-7597
 E: MSmith3@azdot.gov
- Pam Keidel-Adams, Kimley-Horn Project Manager
 P: (480) 207-2670
 E: pam.keidel-adams@kimley-horn.com









ARIZONA SASP UPDATE – CLASSIFICATIONS/ROLES METHODOLOGY Summary Results

	2008 (Number of Proposed 2017 (Number o			
Role	Airports)	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
Commercial - International	3	3	3	3
Commercial - National	8	8	8	8
Reliever	8	8	7	5
GA-Community	25	23	21	19
GA-Rural	25	22	22	19
GA-Basic	9	16	19	26
Percent of Airports with the	e same role as 2008 SASP	71.3%	70.0%	60.0%

Results by Airport

			Proposed 2017 Classifications/Roles		ns/Roles
Associated City	Airport Name	2008 SASP Role	LOW	MEDIUM	нідн
Aguila	Eagle Roost Airpark	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Ajo	Eric Marcus Municipal	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Bagdad	Bagdad	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Benson	Benson Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Bisbee	Bisbee Municipal	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Buckeye	Buckeye Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Bullhead City	Eagle Airpark	NA	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Bullhead City	Laughlin/Bullhead City Int'l	Commercial Service	Commercial - National	Commercial - National	Commercial - National
Bullhead City	Sun Valley	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Carefree	Sky Ranch at Carefree	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community



			Propos	ed 2017 Classification	ns/Roles
Associated City	Airport Name	2008 SASP Role	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
Casa Grande	Casa Grande Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Chandler	Chandler Municipal	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever
Chinle	Chinle Municipal	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Cibecue	Cibecue	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Clifton	Greenlee County	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Colorado City	Colorado City Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Basic
Coolidge	Coolidge Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Cottonwood	Cottonwood Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Douglas	Bisbee-Douglas International	GA-Rural	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Douglas	Cochise College	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Douglas	Douglas Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Basic
Eloy	Eloy Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Flagstaff	Flagstaff Pulliam	Commercial Service	Commercial - National	Commercial - National	Commercial - National
Gila Bend	Gila Bend Municipal	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Glendale	Glendale Municipal	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever	GA-Community
Globe	San Carlos Apache	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Goodyear	Phoenix Goodyear	Reliever	Reliever	GA-Community	GA-Community
Grand Canyon	Grand Canyon National Park	Commercial Service	Commercial - National	Commercial - National	Commercial - National
Holbrook	Holbrook Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Basic
Kayenta	Kayenta	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Kearny	Kearny	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Kingman	Kingman	Commercial Service	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Lake Havasu City	Lake Havasu City	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Marana	Marana Regional	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever
Marana	Pinal Airpark	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community

STATE AVIATION SYSTEM PLAN UPDATE



STATE AVI SYSTEM P						
UPDATE						
				Propos	ed 2017 Classification	s/Roles
	Associated City	Airport Name	2008 SASP Role	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
	Marble Canyon	Marble Canyon	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Basic
	Maricopa	Ak Chin Regional	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
	Maricopa	Estrella Sailport	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
	Meadview	Pearce Ferry Airport	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
	Mesa	Falcon Field	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever
	Nogales	Nogales	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
	Page	Page Municipal	Commercial Service	Commercial - National	Commercial - National	Commercial - National
	Parker	Avi Suquilla	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Rural
	Payson	Payson	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
	Peach Springs	Grand Canyon Caverns	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
	Peach Springs	Grand Canyon West	GA-Rural	Commercial - National	Commercial - National	Commercial - National
	Peach Springs	Hualapai	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
	Peoria	Pleasant Valley	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
	Phoenix	Phoenix Deer Valley	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever
	Phoenix	Phoenix Sky Harbor	Commercial Service	Commercial - International	Commercial - International	Commercial - International
	Phoenix	Phoenix-Mesa Gateway	Commercial Service	Commercial - International	Commercial - International	Commercial - International
	Polacca	Polacca	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
	Prescott	Ernest A. Love Field	Commercial Service	Commercial - National	Commercial - National	Commercial - National
	Safford	Safford Regional	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
	San Luis	Rolle Airfield	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Basic
	San Manuel	San Manuel	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
	Scottsdale	Scottsdale	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever
	Sedona	Sedona	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
	Seligman	Seligman	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic



			Propos	ed 2017 Classificatior	ns/Roles
Associated City	Airport Name	2008 SASP Role	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
Sells	Sells	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Show Low	Show Low Regional	Commercial Service	Commercial - National	Commercial - National	Commercial - National
Sierra Vista	Sierra Vista Municipal-Libby Army Airfield	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Springerville	Springerville Municipal	NA	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Rural
St. Johns	St. Johns Industrial Air Park	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Rural
Superior	Superior	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Taylor	Taylor	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Basic
Temple Bar	Temple Bar	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Tombstone	Tombstone Municipal	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Tuba City	Tuba City	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Tucson	La Cholla Airpark	GA-Rural	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Tucson	Ryan Field	Reliever	Reliever	Reliever	GA-Community
Tucson	Tucson International	Commercial Service	Commercial - International	Commercial - International	Commercial - International
Whiteriver	Whiteriver	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Basic
Whitmore	Grand Canyon Bar 10	GA-Basic	GA-Rural	GA-Basic	GA-Basic
Wickenburg	Wickenburg Municipal	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community
Willcox	Cochise County	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Rural
Williams	H.A. Clark Memorial Field	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Window Rock	Window Rock	GA-Rural	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Winslow	Winslow-Lindbergh Regional	GA-Community	GA-Community	GA-Rural	GA-Rural
Yuma	Yuma International	Commercial Service	Commercial - National	Commercial - National	Commercial - National

STATE AVIATION SYSTEM PLAN UPDATE





2008 Facility and Service Objectives

Co	mmercial Service Airports		
Airport Criteria	Minimum Objectives		
ARC	Consistent with Master Plan		
Runway Length	Consistent with Master Plan		
Runway Width	To meet ARC		
Taxiway	Consistent with Master Plan		
Surface	Asphalt/paved		
Approach Capability	Precision desired; near prevision (minimum)		
Visual Aids	Rotating beacon, lighted wind cone/segmented circle, REILs, VGSI		
Lighting	HIRL/HITL desired; MIRL/MITL (minimum)		
Approach Lighting System	ALS		
Fencing	Perimeter fencing and controlled access		
Services	Full-service fixed base operator (FBO)/maintenance/on-site rental		
	car/phone/restroom/24-7 fuel (Jet and AvGas)		
Facilities	Consistent with Master Plan		
	Reliever Airports		
Airport Criteria	Minimum Objectives		
ARC	C-III		
Runway Length	Accommodate 75% or large aircraft or 90% useful load		
Runway Width	To meet ARC		
Тахіwау	Full parallel; width per ARC		
Surface	Asphalt/paved		
Approach Capability	Near-precision desired; non-precision (minimum)		
Visual Aids	Rotating beacon, lighted wind cone/segmented circle, REILs, VGSI		
Lighting	MIRL/MITL		
Approach Lighting System	ALS desired		
Fencing	Perimeter fencing and controlled areas		
Services	Full-service FBO/maintenance/on-site rental		
	car/phone/restroom/24-7 fuel (Jet and AvGas)		
	 Terminal with pilots' lounge 		
Facilities	 Hangars: 75% of based fleet and 25% overnight 		
	 Apron: 25% of based fleet and 75% for transient 		
	 Auto parking: 75% of based fleet 		
General	Aviation – Community Airports		
Airport Criteria	Minimum Objectives		
ARC	B-II		
Runway Length	Accommodate 75% of large aircraft at 60% useful load		
Runway Width	To meet ARC		
Taxiway	Full or partial parallel; width per ARC		
Surface	Asphalt/paved		
Approach Capability	Non-precision		
Visual Aids	Rotating beacon, lighted wind cone/segmented circle, REILs, VGSI		





General A	viation – Community Airports (continued)		
Airport Criteria	Minimum Objectives		
Lighting	MIRL/MITL		
Approach Lighting System	None		
Fencing	Perimeter fencing		
	Limited service FBO/limited maintenance/on-site ground		
Services	transportation/phone/restroom/fuel (Jet and AvGas)		
	 Terminal with appropriate facilities 		
	 Hangars: 60% of based fleet and 25% overnight 		
Facilities	 Apron: 40% of based fleet and 50% for transient 		
	 Auto parking: 33% of based fleet 		
	General Aviation – Rural Airports		
Airport Criteria	Minimum Objectives		
Anport criteria	B-I		
Runway Length	Accommodate 75% of small airplanes		
Runway Width	To meet ARC		
Taxiway	Full or partial parallel, connectors, or turnarounds; width per ARC		
Surface	Asphalt desired; unpaved		
Approach Capability	Non-precision or circling		
Visual Aids	Rotating beacon, wind cone/segmented circle, VGSI		
Lighting	MIRL/MITL None		
Approach Lighting System	None Derivetor foreing		
Fencing	Perimeter fencing		
Services	Phone/restroom/fuel (AvGas)/ground transportation		
	 Hangars: 50% of based fleet and 25% for overnight 		
Facilities	 Apron: 50% of based fleet and 25% for transient 		
	 Auto parking: Equal to # of based fleet 		
	General Aviation – Basic Airports		
Airport Criteria	Minimum Objectives		
ARC	A-I Maintain quisting		
Runway Length	Maintain existing		
Runway Width	To meet ARC		
Taxiway	None Crevel (dirt		
Surface	Gravel/dirt		
Approach Capability	None Deteting because wind cools		
Visual Aids	Rotating beacon, wind sock		
Lighting	LIRL or reflectors		
Approach Lighting System	None		
Fencing	Perimeter fencing desired		
Services	Phone and restroom desired		
Facilities	None		

Sources: 2008 Arizona SASP





List of Possible Facility and Service Objectives

Component	Airport Criteria		
	ARC	Surface	
	Runway Length	Approach Capability	
General Airfield	Taxiway	Visual Aids	
	Lighting	Approach Lighting System	
	Fencing	Pavement Condition	
	Operations/Maintenance Ha	angar	
Airside Facilities	Hangars	Auto Parking	
	Apron	Terminal/Pilot's Lounge	
	FBO	Aircraft Maintenance	
	Avionics Sales and Service	Off-Site Rental Car	
	On-Site Rental Car	Restroom	
Services	Phone	U.S. Customs	
Services	Fuel	Deicing	
	Snow Removal	Oxygen	
	Weather Reporting	Air Taxi/Charter Service	
	Aircraft Rental		





SASP Update – Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) - Meeting 2

Date, 1	Time	July 12, 2017, 2017; 10:00 – 12:00 AM	M		
Locatio	on	Kimley-Horn & Associates – Phoenix Office			
		7740 N 16th Street, Suite 300, Phoer			
		PAC Attendees:	Consultant Staff:		
		Kenneth Potts, Glendale Airport	🖾 Pam Keidel-Adams (PKA), Kimley-		
		🛛 Zenia M. Cornejo, Falcon Field	Horn (KHA)		
		Airport	⊠ Thomas Gibson (TG), KHA		
		Scott Robidoux, Tucson Airport	☑ Catherine Woodwell (CW), KHA		
		Authority (AA)	Mary Ortega-Itsell (MOI), Genesis		
		🖾 Kyler Erhard, FAA – AZ ADO	Consulting Group (GCG)		
		⊠ James Timm, Arizona Pilots			
		Association (APA)	ADOT Aeronautics		
		DAC Attendees by Phone:	Don Kriz, ADOT Aeronautics		
PAC At	ttendees	PAC Attendees by Phone:	Matt Smith, ADOT Aeronautics		
		Marisa Walker, AZ Commerce			
		Authority			
		Gladys Wiggins, Airport Director, Yuma Airport			
		Authority			
		⊠ Arlando Teller, Sovereign			
		Navajo Nation, Division of			
		Transportation			
		🛛 Lisa Marra, Cochise County			
		🛛 Heidi Yaqub, ADOT Multimodal			
		Planning Division			
	ntroductions &	a. Self-Introduction for those in att	endance and on the phone		
A	Agenda				
	ASP Process and	a. SASP Process			
Т	ask Updates	To provide a framework for ir	ntegrated planning, development, and		
		operations at airports in AZ av			
		b. SASP Update - PKA provided an u	pdate on the study process and status		
			oals, Performance Measures and		
		Targets			
		 Task 3 (Complete) – Inventory 	u of Existing Assots		
			y of Existing Assets		





			 Task 2 (In progress) – Review of current policies is in discussions with ADOT based on changes within the organization. By the end of September, Task 2 should be complete. 		
		c.	One month behind original project schedule		
3	Updated Goals, Performance	a.	PKA discussed the outcomes of Task 1 – Define Goals, Performance Measures and Targets		
	Measures, and System Indicators	b.	Updated 2017 SASP Go	pals	
	Task 1		• Safety and Security	,	
	TOSK I		• Economic Support		
			Fiscal Responsibilit		
		с.	2017 Performance Me		
				and Indicators will be carried through the entire	
			study		
				leasures – Action-oriented	
			-	ors – Informational AZ Commerce Authority, asked if we should	
				or on the number of enplanements or	
			ssengers.		
		1 ·	— Ans: PKA, Yes, we	will add this indicator.	
		me	asures would produce a	how the goal categories and performance action-oriented data sets; Examples:	
		d.	Goal Category	Performance Measures	
			Safety and Security	Percent of airports controlling RPZ	
			Fiscal Responsibility	Number of airports with Master Plans	
			Economic Support	Percent of airports with 24/7 fuel	
		e.	·	nples of how the goal categories and system produce informational data sets. Example: System Indicators Percent of airports with Airport Emergency Plan	
				(AEP)	
			Fiscal Responsibility	Percent of population within 30 min of NPIAS airport	
			Economic Support	Dollars of economic impact	



	STATE AVIATION SYSTEM PLAN
	UPDATE
T	

		Question: How are percentages of controlled RPZ quantified?		
		 Ans: PKA stated that as part of the airport inventory and site visits, the question was asked if each airport controlled their RPZ. These questions produced a number value rather than a detailed analysis. 		
		Question: Is GIS being used in the RSA and RPZ analysis?		
		— Ans: PKA, No		
		Comment: FAA ADO spoke of a current push by the office to update 5010 data as it relates to obstructions/vegetation in the RPZ. ADO office can assist airports in updating their 5010 forms upon removal of obstructions/vegetation. ADO is reviewing form for compliance prior to issuing grants.		
		Question: Are the performance measures listed by order of importance?		
		— Ans: PKA, No		
4	Inventory	a. TG presented the Inventory of Existing Airport Assets Section		
	Findings, Task 3	 Data gathering serves as a basis for all subsequent analysis 		
		 Contacted 86 airports for participation, with six declining to participate 		
		b. Inventory Findings - Based Aircraft		
		 This summary compared based aircraft by type and year (2008 and 2016 data) to provide insight on how based aircraft have changed since the last system plan in 2008. The change in percent of total was compared. 		
		 — Decline in percent of Single-engine based aircraft 		
		 Increase in percent of Jets, and Helicopter based aircraft 		
		c. Inventory Findings – Annual Aircraft Operations		
		 This summary compared total operations by type and year (2008 and 2016 data) to provide insight on how aircraft operations have changed since the last system plan in 2008. The change in percent of total was compared: 		
		 Decrease in percent of Commercial Service operations (mergers, upgauging, etc.) 		





			— Increase in percent of General Aviation (GA) Local operations
			(flight schools)
			 Increase in percent of Military operations
			Inventory Findings – Passenger Enplanements
			 Increase of 0.76 percent (compound annual growth rate) from 2008 to 2016
		e.	Inventory Findings – Instrument Approach Procedures (IAPs) and Fueling
			 From 2008 to 2016, airports with at least one IAP has stayed constant. Data identified 40 airports with IAPs in 2008 and 38 in 2016. The difference is from airports in the 2008 study being removed from 2016 study (Stellar Airpark, Sky Ranch at Carefree Airport)
			 Decrease of airports providing AvGas, increase in airports providing fuel
		f.	Arizona Amazing Airports (TG, CW, and MOI) provided some interesting and fun facts about the airports they visited during the inventory process
			Aerial Wildland Firefighting – Sierra Vista Municipal Airport
			Show Low Regional Airport – Fire Training
			• Tucson International Airport – Public joint civil-military airport
			Grand Canyon Caverns Airport – Tourist/Research Attraction
5	Airport	a.	Classification system of Arizona airports is needed for:
Roles/Classificatio Methodologies			 Coordination of planning activities and facility needs
	Task 5		 Funding by classification and system performance measures
		b.	2008 ADOT roles included 5 types of airports and included a definition of each type
		c.	It is being proposed that updates/revisions to roles/classification should be considered based on industry changes, including FAA ASSET categories. The 2008 SASP included too many non-quantifiable factors.
		d.	FAA National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS) ASSET classification works on a national level but not state level. ADOT classification system is tailored to Arizona system.





e. The proposed 2017 classifications are modeled after the FAA ASSET criteria and significantly reduce the ADOT 2008 SASP methodology Proposed Classifications:

- Commercial Service International
- Commercial Service Domestic
- Reliever
- Reliever
- GA Community
- GA Rural
- GA Basic
- f. PKA walked PAC through an Airport Roles Decision Tree exercise, to arrive at quantifiable airport classifications. The decision tree is modeled after the FAA ASSET Program modified for the current Arizona system.
- g. PKA requested feedback to the proposed classifications, including what the proposed criteria range for each class should be, including:
 - Commercial international or domestic
 - Instrument operations 500 to 2,000
 - **Operations** 1,250 to 5,000
 - Based jets with or without jets
 - Based aircraft 5 to 200
 - Fuel Jet-A and/or 100LL fuel
- g. A Role Methodology Options worksheet (Attachment) was distributed to the PAC, showing the proposed airport role, 2008 SASP classification explanation, and a proposed 2017 Methodology with a further breakdown by activity level.
- h. The results of the proposed Methodology, ARIZONA SASP UPDATE Classification/Roles Methodology Summary Results (Attachment) was provided to detail the outcomes of the proposed classification/roles options. This attached also listed the results of the proposed classifications/roles results by airport for the entire system.
 Question: What is the purpose of using the low, medium, high activity methodology?

 Ans: The purpose is to provide three options to classify airports in the system with a less strict requirement (low), a mid-level





		requirement (MED), and a strict requirement (HIGH). Testing each methodology was intended to provide an in-depth view of how each methodology would affect the current system Comment: PKA will develop a survey, to be distributed to the PAC, to		
		allow more time for thoughts, comments, and deliberations and into the		
		proposed Classification/Roles Methodology.		
6	Facility and Service Objectives	a. Objectives are not based on standards or requirements, but based on proposed minimum levels of development		
		b. The three general components under consideration:		
		General Airside		
		General Landside		
		Services		
		c. PKA, led a group exercise to provide feedback on what recommended minimum levels of development should delineate each proposed airport role/classification		
		Comment: PKA will develop a survey, to be distributed to the PAC, to allow more time for thoughts, comments, and deliberations into the proposed minimum level of development objectives		
		Question: How are percentages of controlled RPZ quantified?		
		— Ans: PKA stated that as part of the airport inventory and site visits, the question was asked if each airport controlled their RPZ. These questions produced a number value rather than a were important to the PAC		
		c. Attachment – 2008 Facility and Service Objectives		
		 Data based on airport criteria and minimum objectives 		
7	Overview of Forecast	a. Elements of Forecasts Task		
	Methodologies	Industry trends review		
	Task 4	Forecast indicators:		
		— Based aircraft		
		— General aviation ops		
		 Comparison of GA activity indicators to TAF for NPIAS airports 		
		 Utilize TAF for enplanements and commercial activity 		
		 Identify design aircraft and operational activity by turbo jet and prop aircraft over 12,500 pounds. PKA discussed using the FAA Traffic Flow Management System Counts (TFMSC) to quantify these operations activities. 		



ア			
		Comment: FAA Phoenix ADO noted that he could run the same reports to ensure what data he gets coincides with study data (which other consultants have noted is sometimes different)	
7	Accomplishments, Feedback, and Next Steps	 a. Presented updated goals, performance measures, and system indicators b. Reviewed inventory findings c. Re-examined ADOT airport roles and classifications d. Discussed what services and facilities are most important for an airport based on role/classification e. Previewed Task 4, Aviation Forecast approach • Woolpert will be assisting KHA with Forecast Task 	
8	Adjournment	a. Contact information for Matt Smith and PKA provided	

Action Items

Date	Action Item	Owner	Status/Notes
07/20/17	Publish on-line survey for feedback on proposed 2017 SASP Classification Methodology	КНА	Ongoing
	Publish on-line survey for feedback on Facilities and Services proposed Objectives	КНА	Ongoing
	Send Chapter One to PAC for Review	KHA	Ongoing
	Send FAA – Phoenix ADO sample of TFMSC	КНА	Sent

