## 2-Span Precast Prestressed I-Girder Bridge

This example illustrates the design of a two span precast prestressed I-Girder bridge. The bridge has two equal spans of 112.00 feet. An AASHTO modified Type VI girder will be used. The bridge has a 30 degree skew. Standard ADOT parapet and fence as shown in SD 1.04 and SD 1.05 will be used. A half section of the bridge consists of a $1^{\prime}-0$ "' parapet, a $6^{\prime}-0$ " sidewalk, a $14^{\prime}-0$ " outside shoulder, one $12^{\prime}-0$ " lane and half a $12^{\prime}-0$ " turning land. The overall out-to-out width of the bridge is 78 ' $-0^{\prime \prime}$. A plan view and typical section of the bridge are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

The following legend is used for the references shown in the left-hand column:
[2.2.2] LRFD Specification Article Number
[2.2.2-1] LRFD Specification Table or Equation Number
[C2.2.2] LRFD Specification Commentary
[A2.2.2] LRFD Specification Appendix
[BPG] ADOT LRFD Bridge Practice Guideline

## Bridge Geometry

Span lengths
Bridge width
Roadway width
Superstructure depth
$112.00,112.00 \mathrm{ft}$
78.00 ft
64.00 ft

Girder spacing
6.83 ft

Web thickness
Top slab thickness
Deck overhang 9.00 ft 6.00 in 8.00 in
3.00 ft

## Minimum Requirements

The minimum span to depth ratio for a simple span precast prestressed concrete I-girder bridge is 0.045 resulting in a minimum depth of $(0.045)(110.75)=4.98$ feet. Since the girder depth of 6 feet exceeds the minimum, the criteria is satisfied.

Concrete Deck Slab Minimum Requirements

| Slab thickness | 8.00 in |
| :--- | :--- |
| Top concrete cover | 2.50 in |
| Bottom concrete cover | 1.00 in |
| Wearing surface | 0.50 in |

## Future Configuration

The bridge will be evaluated for both the current configuration and a future configuration with additional lanes but without sidewalks.


Figure 1


TYPICAL SECTION
Figure 2

## Material Properties

[5.4.3.2]
[5.4.4.1-1]
[5.4.4.1-1]
[5.4.4.2]
[3.5.1-1]
[C5.4.2.4]
[5.7.1]
[5.7.2.2]

## Reinforcing Steel

Yield Strength $\quad f_{y}=60 \mathrm{ksi}$
Modulus of Elasticity $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}=29,000 \mathrm{ksi}$

## Prestressing Strand

Low relaxation prestressing strands
$1 / 2$ " diameter strand $\quad \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ps}} \quad=0.153$ in $^{2}$
Tensile Strength $\quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}} \quad=270 \mathrm{ksi}$
Yield Strength $\quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{py}} \quad=243 \mathrm{ksi}$
Modulus Elasticity $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad=28500 \mathrm{ksi}$

## Concrete

The final and release concrete strengths are specified below:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{\text { Precast I-Girder }} & \quad \underline{\text { Deck }} \\
\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=5.0 \mathrm{ksi} & \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=4.5 \mathrm{ksi} \\
\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ci}}=4.7 \mathrm{ksi} &
\end{array}
$$

Unit weight for normal weight concrete is listed below:
Unit weight for computing $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}=0.145 \mathrm{kcf}$
Unit weight for DL calculation $=0.150 \mathrm{kcf}$
The modulus of elasticity for normal weight concrete where the unit weight is 0.145 kcf may be taken as shown below:

Precast I-Girder

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{c}=1820 \sqrt{f^{\prime}}=1820 \sqrt{5.0}=4070 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& E_{c i}=1820 \sqrt{f_{c i}^{\prime}}=1820 \sqrt{4.7}=3946 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Deck Slab

$$
E_{c}=1820 \sqrt{f^{\prime}{ }_{c}}=1820 \sqrt{4.5}=3861 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

The modular ratio of reinforcing to concrete should be rounded to the nearest whole number.

Precast I-Girder

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=\frac{28,500}{4070}=7.00 \text { Use } \mathrm{n}=7 \text { for Prestressing in Girder } \\
& n=\frac{29,000}{4070}=7.13 \text { Use } \mathrm{n}=7 \text { for Reinforcing in Girder }
\end{aligned}
$$

Deck Slab

$$
n=\frac{29,000}{3861}=7.51 \text { Use } \mathrm{n}=8 \text { for Deck }
$$

[5.7.2.2]

## Modulus of Rupture [5.4.2.6]

## Service Level Cracking

$\beta_{1}=$ The ratio of the depth of the equivalent uniformly stressed compression zone assumed in the strength limit state to the depth of the actual compression zone stress block.

Precast I-Girder

$$
\beta_{1}=0.85-0.05 \cdot\left[\frac{f^{\prime}-4.0}{1.0}\right]=0.85-0.05 \cdot\left[\frac{5.0-4.0}{1.0}\right]=0.800
$$

Deck Slab

$$
\beta_{1}=0.85-0.05 \cdot\left[\frac{f^{\prime}{ }_{c}-4.0}{1.0}\right]=0.85-0.05 \cdot\left[\frac{4.5-4.0}{1.0}\right]=0.825
$$

The modulus of rupture for normal weight concrete has two values. When used to calculate service level cracking, as specified in Article 5.7.3.4 for side reinforcing or in Article 5.7.3.6.2 for determination of deflections, the following equation should be used:

$$
f_{r}=0.24 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}
$$

Precast I-Girder

$$
f_{r}=0.24 \sqrt{5.0}=0.537 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Deck Slab

$$
f_{r}=0.24 \sqrt{4.5}=0.509 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

When the modulus of rupture is used to calculate the cracking moment of a member for determination of the minimum reinforcing requirement as specified in Article 5.7.3.3.2, the following equation should be used:

$$
f_{r}=0.37 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}
$$

Precast I-Girder

$$
f_{r}=0.37 \sqrt{5.0}=0.827 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Deck Slab

$$
f_{r}=0.37 \sqrt{4.5}=0.785 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

## Limit States

[1.3.2]
[1.3.3]
[1.3.4]
[1.3.5]
[BPG]

In the LRFD Specification, the general equation for design is shown below:

$$
\sum \eta_{i} \gamma_{i} Q_{i} \leq \varphi R_{n}=R_{r}
$$

For loads for which a maximum value of $\gamma_{i}$ is appropriate:

$$
\eta_{i}=\eta_{D} \eta_{R} \eta_{I} \geq 0.95
$$

For loads for which a minimum value of $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}$ is appropriate:

$$
\eta_{i}=\frac{1}{\eta_{D} \eta_{R} \eta_{I}} \leq 1.0
$$

## Ductility

For strength limit state for conventional design and details complying with the LRFD Specifications and for all other limit states:

$$
\eta_{\mathrm{D}}=1.00
$$

## Redundancy

For the strength limit state for conventional levels of redundancy and for all other limit states:

$$
\eta_{R}=1.0
$$

Operational Importance
For the strength limit state for typical bridges and for all other limit states:

$$
\eta_{\mathrm{I}}=1.0
$$

For an ordinary structure with conventional design and details and conventional levels of ductility, redundancy, and operational importance, it can be seen that $\eta_{\mathrm{i}}=1.0$ for all cases. Since multiplying by 1.0 will not change any answers, the load modifier $\eta_{\mathrm{i}}$ has not been included in this example.

For actual designs, the importance factor may be a value other than one. The importance factor should be selected in accordance with the ADOT LRFD Bridge Practice Guidelines.

## DECK DESIGN

[BPG]

Effective Length
[9.7.2.3]

Method of Analysis

## Live Loads

[A4.1]

As bridges age, decks are one of the first element to show signs of wear and tear. As such ADOT has modified some LRFD deck design criteria to reflect past performance of decks in Arizona. Section 9 of the Bridge Practice Guidelines provides a thorough background and guidance on deck design.

ADOT Bridge Practice Guidelines specify that deck design be based on the effective length rather than the centerline-to-centerline distance specified in the LRFD Specification. The effective length for Type VI modified precast girders is the clear spacing between flange tips plus the distance between the flange tip and the web. For this example with a centerline-to-centerline web spacing of 9.00 feet and a top flange width of 40 inches, clear spacing $=9.00-$ $40 / 12=5.67$ feet. The effective length is then $5.67+(17 / 12)=7.08$ feet. The resulting minimum deck slab thickness per ADOT guidelines is 8.00 inches.

In-depth rigorous analysis for deck design is not warranted for ordinary bridges. The empirical design method specified in [9.7.2] is not allowed by ADOT Bridge Group. Therefore the approximate elastic methods specified in [4.6.2.1] will be used. Dead load analysis will be based on a strip analysis using the simplified moment equation of [ $\mathrm{w}^{2} / 10$ ] where " $S$ " is the effective length. Metal stay-in-place forms with a weight of 0.012 ksf including additional concrete will be used.

The unfactored live loads found in Appendix A4.1 will be used. Multiple presence and dynamic load allowance are included in the chart. Since ADOT bases deck design on the effective length, the chart should be entered under S equal to the effective length of 7.08 feet rather than the centerline-to-centerline distance of 9.00 feet. Since the effective length is used the correction for negative moment from centerline of the web to the design section should be zero. Entering the chart under $S=7.25$ feet for simplicity yields:

Pos LL M = $5.32 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$
Neg LL $M=-6.13 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ ( 0 inches from centerline)


Figure 3

## Positive Moment Design

## Service I

Limit State
[3.4.1]
t

Determine stress due to service moment:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=\frac{A_{s}}{b d_{s}}=\frac{0.572}{(12) \cdot(6.19)}=0.007701 \\
& \mathrm{np}=8(0.007701)=0.06161 \\
& k=\sqrt{2 n p+n p^{2}}-n p=\sqrt{2 \cdot(0.06161)+(0.06161)^{2}}-0.06161=0.295 \\
& j=1-\frac{k}{3}=1-\frac{0.295}{3}=0.902 \\
& f_{s}=\frac{M_{s}}{A_{s} j d_{s}}=\frac{(6.01) \cdot(12)}{(0.572) \cdot(0.902) \cdot(6.19)}=22.58 \mathrm{ksi}<24 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the applied stress is less than 24 ksi, the LRFD Bridge Practice Guideline service limit state requirement is satisfied.

Control of Cracking [5.7.3.4]

## [5.7.3.4-1]

For all concrete components in which the tension in the cross-section exceeds 80 percent of the modulus of rupture at the service limit state load combination the maximum spacing requirement in equation $5.7 .3 .4-1$ shall be satisfied.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{sa}}=0.80 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}=0.80\left(0.24 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}\right)=0.80(0.509)=0.407 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{cr}}=(12.00)(7.50)^{2} \div 6=112.5 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
& f_{c r}=\frac{M_{s}}{S_{c r}}=\frac{(6.01) \cdot(12)}{112.5}=0.641 \mathrm{ksi}>\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{sa}}=0.407 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the service limit state cracking stress exceeds the allowable, the spacing, $s$, of mild steel reinforcing in the layer closest to the tension force shall satisfy the following:

$$
s \leq \frac{700 \gamma_{e}}{\beta_{s} f_{s}}-2 d_{c}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{\mathrm{e}}=0.75 \text { for Class } 2 \text { exposure condition for decks } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.0 \text { clear }+0.625 \div 2=1.31 \text { inches }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}=22.58 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{\text {net }}=7.50 \text { inches } \\
& \beta_{s}=1+\frac{d_{c}}{0.7\left(h-d_{c}\right)}=1+\frac{1.31}{0.7 \cdot(7.50-1.31)}=1.30 \\
& s \leq \frac{(700) \cdot(0.75)}{(1.30) \cdot(22.58)}-(2) \cdot(1.31)=15.27 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the spacing of 6.50 inches is less than 15.27 inches, the cracking criteria is satisfied.

## Strength I <br> Limit State <br> [3.4.1]

Flexural
Resistance
[5.7.3]
[5.7.3.2.2-1]
[5.7.3.1.1-4]
[5.7.3.2.3]
[5.5.4.2.1]

Factored moment for Strength I is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{u}=\gamma_{D C}\left(M_{D C}\right)+\gamma_{D W}\left(M_{D W}\right)+1.75\left(M_{L L+I M}\right) \\
& M_{u}=1.25 \cdot(0.56)+1.50 \cdot(0.13)+1.75 \cdot(5.32)=10.21 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The flexural resistance of a reinforced concrete rectangular section is:

$$
M_{r}=\phi M_{n}=\phi A_{s} f_{y}\left(d_{s}-\frac{a}{2}\right)
$$

$$
c=\frac{A_{s} f_{y}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} \beta_{1} b}=\frac{(0.572) \cdot(60)}{(0.85) \cdot(4.5) \cdot(0.825) \cdot(12)}=0.906 \text { in }
$$

$$
a=\beta_{1} c=(0.825)(0.906)=0.75 \text { in }
$$

The tensile strain must be calculated as follows:

$$
\varepsilon_{T}=0.003 \cdot\left(\frac{d_{t}}{c}-1\right)=0.003 \cdot\left(\frac{6.19}{0.906}-1\right)=0.017
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{T}}>0.005$, the member is tension controlled and $\varphi=0.90$.

$$
M_{r}=(0.90) \cdot(0.572) \cdot(60) \cdot\left(6.19-\frac{0.75}{2}\right) \div 12=14.97 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

Since the flexural resistance, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}$, is greater than the factored moment, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$, the strength limit state is satisfied.

Maximum
Reinforcing
[5.7.3.3.1]

Minimum
Reinforcing
[5.7.3.3.2]

The 2006 Interim Revisions eliminated this limit. Below a net tensile strain in the extreme tension steel of 0.005 , the factored resistance is reduced as the tension reinforcement quantity increases. This reduction compensates for the decreasing ductility with increasing overstrength.

The LRFD Specification specifies that all sections requiring reinforcing must have sufficient strength to resist a moment equal to at least 1.2 times the moment that causes a concrete section to crack or $1.33 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$. A conservative simplification for positive moments is to ignore the 0.5 inch wearing surface for this calculation. If this check is satisfied there is no further calculation required. If the criteria is not satisfied one check should be made with the wearing surface subtracted and one with the full section to determine which of the two is more critical.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=(12.0)(8.00)^{2} / 6=128 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
& f_{r}=0.37 \sqrt{f^{\prime}{ }_{c}}=0.785 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
1.2 M_{c r}=1.2 f_{r} S_{c}=(1.2) \cdot(0.785) \cdot(128) \div 12=10.05 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
1.2 M_{c r}=10.05 \leq M_{r}=14.97 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$\therefore$ The minimum reinforcement limit is satisfied.

Fatigue need not be investigated for concrete deck slabs in multigirder applications.

The interior deck is adequately reinforced for positive moment using \#5 @ $61 / 2^{\prime \prime}$.

Distribution Reinforcement
[9.7.3.2]

## Skewed Decks

[9.7.1.3] [BPG]

Reinforcement shall be placed in the secondary direction in the bottom of slabs as a percentage of the primary reinforcement for positive moments as follows:

$$
\frac{220}{\sqrt{S}}=\frac{220}{\sqrt{7.08}}=83 \text { percent }<67 \text { percent maximum }
$$

Use 67\% Maximum.

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.67(0.572)=0.383 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

$$
\text { Use \#5 @ 9" } \Rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.413 \text { in }^{2}
$$

The LRFD Specification does not allow for a reduction of this reinforcing in the outer quarter of the span as was allowed in the Standard Specifications.

For bridges with skews greater than 25 degrees, the LRFD Specification states that the primary reinforcing shall be placed perpendicular to the girders. However, the Bridge Practice Guidelines has modified this limit to 20 degrees. For the 30 degree skew in this example, the transverse deck reinforcing is placed normal to the girders.

## Negative Moment Design

## Service I

Limit State
[3.4.1]

A summary of negative moments follows:
DC Loads
Deck
$0.150(8.00 / 12)(7.08)^{2} \div 10=-0.50 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
SIP Panel 0.012 $(7.08)^{2} \div 10$

$$
\mathrm{DC}=-\overline{0.56} \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

DW Loads
FWS $\quad 0.025(7.08)^{2} \div 10 \quad=-0.13 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Vehicle

$$
\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM} \quad=-6.13 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

Deck design is normally controlled by the service limit state. The working stress in the deck is calculated by the standard methods used in the past. For this check Service I moments should be used.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{S}=1.0\left(M_{D C}+M_{D W}\right)+1.0\left(M_{L L+I M}\right) \\
& M_{\mathrm{s}}=1.0(0.56+0.13)+1.0(6.13)=6.82 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Try \#5 reinforcing bars

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}}=8.00-2.50 \text { clear }-0.625 / 2=5.19 \text { inches }
$$

Determine approximate area reinforcing as follows:

$$
A_{s} \approx \frac{M_{s}}{f_{s} j d_{s}}=\frac{(6.82) \cdot(12)}{(24.0) \cdot(0.9) \cdot(5.19)}=0.730 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

Try \#5 @ 5 inches

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=(0.31)(12 / 5)=0.744 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

## Allowable Stress

Determine stress due to service moment:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=\frac{A_{s}}{b d_{s}}=\frac{0.744}{(12) \cdot(5.19)}=0.01195 \\
& \mathrm{np}=8(0.01195)=0.09557 \\
& k=\sqrt{2 n p+n p^{2}}-n p=\sqrt{2 \cdot(0.09557)+(0.09557)^{2}}-0.09557=0.352
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j=1-\frac{k}{3}=1-\frac{0.352}{3}=0.883 \\
& f_{s}=\frac{M_{s}}{A_{s} j d_{s}}=\frac{(6.82) \cdot(12)}{(0.744) \cdot(0.883) \cdot(5.19)}=24.00 \mathrm{ksi} \leq 24.0 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the applied stress is less than the allowable specified in the LRFD Bridge Practice Guidelines, the service limit state stress requirement is satisfied.

Control of Cracking [5.7.3.4]
[5.7.3.4-1]
The deck must be checked for control of cracking. For all concrete components in which the tension in the cross section exceeds 80 percent of the modulus of rupture at the service limit state load combination the maximum spacing requirement in equation 5.7.3.4-1 shall be satisfied.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{sa}}=0.80 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}=0.80\left(0.24 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}\right)=0.80(0.509)=0.407 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{cr}}=(12.00)(7.50)^{2} \div 6=112.5 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
& f_{c r}=\frac{M_{s}}{S_{c r}}=\frac{(6.82) \cdot(12)}{112.5}=0.727 \mathrm{ksi}>\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{sa}}=0.407 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the service limit state cracking stress exceeds the allowable, the spacing, s , of mild steel reinforcing in the layer closest to the tension force shall satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s \leq \frac{700 \gamma_{e}}{\beta_{s} f_{s}}-2 d_{c} \\
& \gamma_{\mathrm{e}}=0.75 \text { for Class } 2 \text { exposure condition for decks } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=2.50 \text { clear }+0.625 \div 2=2.81 \text { inches } \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}=24.00 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{~h}=8.00 \text { inches } \\
& \beta_{s}=1+\frac{d_{c}}{0.7\left(h-d_{c}\right)}=1+\frac{2.81}{0.7 \cdot(8.00-2.81)}=1.77 \\
& s \leq \frac{(700) \cdot(0.75)}{(1.77) \cdot(24.00)}-(2) \cdot(2.81)=6.74 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the 5 inch spacing is less than 6.74 ", the cracking criteria is satisfied.

Strength I Limit State [3.4.1]

Flexural
Resistance
[5.7.3]
[5.7.3.1.1-4]
[5.7.3.2.3]
[5.5.4.2.1]

Maximum
Reinforcing [5.7.3.3.1]

Minimum
Reinforcing
[5.7.3.3.2]

Factored moment for Strength I is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{u}=\gamma_{D C}\left(M_{D C}\right)+\gamma_{D W}\left(M_{D W}\right)+1.75\left(M_{L L+I M}\right) \\
& M_{u}=1.25 \cdot(0.56)+1.50 \cdot(0.13)+1.75 \cdot(6.13)=11.62 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The flexural resistance of a reinforced concrete rectangular section is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{r}=\phi M_{n}=\phi A_{s} f_{y}\left(d-\frac{a}{2}\right) \\
& c=\frac{A_{s} f_{y}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} \beta_{1} b}=\frac{(0.744) \cdot(60)}{(0.85) \cdot(4.5) \cdot(0.825) \cdot(12)}=1.179 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{a}=\beta_{1} \mathrm{C}=(0.825)(1.179)=0.97 \text { inches } \\
& \varepsilon_{T}=0.003 \cdot\left(\frac{d_{t}}{c}-1\right)=0.003 \cdot\left(\frac{5.19}{1.179}-1\right)=0.010
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{T}}>0.005$, the member is tension controlled and $\varphi=0.90$.

$$
M_{r}=(0.90) \cdot(0.744) \cdot(60) \cdot\left(5.19-\frac{0.97}{2}\right) \div 12=15.75 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

Since the flexural resistance, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}$, is greater than the factored moment, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$, the strength limit state is satisfied.

The 2006 Interim Revisions has eliminated this requirement.

The LRFD Specification specifies that all sections requiring reinforcing must have sufficient strength to resist a moment equal to at least 1.2 times the moment that causes a concrete section to crack or $1.33 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$. The most critical cracking load for negative moment will be caused by ignoring the 0.5 inch wearing surface and considering the full depth of the section.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=12(8.00)^{2} \div 6=128 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
& 1.2 M_{c r}=1.2 f_{r} S_{c}=(1.2) \cdot(0.785) \cdot(128) \div 12=10.05 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& 1.2 M_{c r}=10.05 \leq M_{r}=15.75 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ The minimum reinforcement limit is satisfied.

Fatigue
Limit State
[9.5.3] \&
[5.5.3.1]

Shear
[C4.6.2.1.6]

Fatigue need not be investigated for concrete deck slabs in multigirder applications.

The interior deck is adequately reinforced for negative moment using \#5 @ 5".

Past practice has been not to check shear in typical decks. For a standard concrete deck shear need not be investigated.

## Overhang Design

 [A13.4.1]
## Design Case 1

[A13.2-1]

The overhang shall be designed for three design cases described below:

## Design Case 1: Transverse forces specified in [A13.2]

Extreme Event Limit State


Figure 4

The deck overhang must be designed to resist the forces from a railing collision using the forces given in Section 13, Appendix A. A TL-4 railing is generally acceptable for the majority of applications on major roadways and freeways. A TL-4 rail will be used. A summary of the design forces is shown below:

| Design Forces |  | Units |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$, Transverse | 54.0 | kips |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{l}}$, Longitudinal | 18.0 | kips |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}$, Vertical Down | 18.0 | kips |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{l}}$ | 3.5 | feet |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 18.0 | feet |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ Minimum | 42.0 | inch |

## Rail Design

A13.3.3

A13.3.1
[A13.3.1-1]
[A13.3.1-2]
[BPG]

The philosophy behind the overhang analysis is that the deck should be stronger than the barrier. This ensures that any damage will be done to the barrier which is easier to repair and that the assumptions made in the barrier analysis are valid. The forces in the barrier must be known to analyze the deck.

The resistance of each component of a combination bridge rail shall be determined as specified in Article A13.3.1 and A13.3.2.

## Concrete Railing

$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ total transverse resistance of the railing.
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ critical length of yield line failure. See Figures 5 and 6.
For impacts within a wall segment:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{w}=\left(\frac{2}{2 L_{c}-L_{t}}\right)\left(8 M_{b}+8 M_{w}+\frac{M_{c} L_{c}{ }^{2}}{H}\right) \\
& L_{c}=\frac{L_{t}}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{L_{t}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{8 H\left(M_{b}+M_{w}\right)}{M_{c}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The railing used on the bridge is the standard pedestrian rail and parapet as shown in ADOT SD 1.04 and SD 1.05 with a single rail. From previous analysis of the concrete parapet the following values have been obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}=0 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}=12.04 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=30.15 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The height of the concrete parapet and rail are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Parapet } \\
\text { Rail }
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{H}=2.00+9.16 / 12=2.76 \text { feet }
\end{array} \\
& L_{c}=\frac{3.50}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{3.50}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{8 \cdot(2.76) \cdot(0+30.15)}{12.04}}=9.39 \mathrm{ft} \\
& R_{w}=\left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot(9.39)-3.50}\right) \cdot\left(8 \cdot(0)+8 \cdot(30.15)+\frac{(12.04) \cdot(9.39)^{2}}{2.76}\right)=81.92 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A13.3.2

[A13.3.2-1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\frac{16 M_{p}+(N-1) \cdot(N+1) P_{p} L}{2 N L-L_{t}} \\
& \text { For } N=1: R=\frac{16 \cdot(12.65)+0}{2 \cdot(1) \cdot(6.67)-3.50}=20.57 \mathrm{kips} \\
& \text { For } N=3: R=\frac{16 \cdot(12.65)+(3-1) \cdot(3+1) \cdot(11.24) \cdot(6.67)}{2 \cdot(3) \cdot(6.67)-3.50}=21.97 \mathrm{kips} \\
& \text { For } N=5: R=\frac{16 \cdot(12.65)+(5-1) \cdot(5+1) \cdot(11.24) \cdot(6.67)}{2 \cdot(5) \cdot(6.67)-3.50}=31.67 \mathrm{kips}
\end{aligned}
$$

For failure modes involving an even number of railing spans, N :
[A13.3.2-2]

## Post-and Beam Railing

From previous analysis of the post-and-beam rail as shown on SD 1.04 and SD 1.05 with a single traffic rail, the following values have been obtained:
$\mathrm{L}=6.67$ feet max
Rail $\quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}=3.30 \mathrm{in}^{3} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=(3.30)(46) / 12=12.65 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ per rail
Post $\quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}=3.91 \mathrm{in}^{3} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=(3.91)(46) / 12=14.99 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{p}}=(14.99) /(1.3333)=11.24 \mathrm{kips}$

Inelastic analysis shall be used for design of post-and-beam railings under failure conditions. The critical rail nominal resistance shall be taken as the least value of the following:

For failures modes involving an odd number of railing spans, N :

$$
R=\frac{16 M_{p}+N^{2} P_{p} L}{2 N L-L_{t}}
$$

For $N=2: R=\frac{16 \cdot(12.65)+(2)^{2} \cdot(11.24) \cdot(6.67)}{2 \cdot(2) \cdot(6.67)-3.50}=21.67 \mathrm{kips}$
For $N=4: R=\frac{16 \cdot(12.65)+(4)^{2} \cdot(11.24) \cdot(6.67)}{2 \cdot(4) \cdot(6.67)-3.50}=28.12 \mathrm{kips}$
For $N=6: R=\frac{16 \cdot(12.65)+(6)^{2} \cdot(11.24) \cdot 6.67}{2 \cdot(6) \cdot(6.67)-3.50}=37.91 \mathrm{kips}$

## [A13.3.3]

[A13.3.3-1]
[A13.3.3-2]
[A13.3.3-3]
[A13.3.3-5]
[A13.3.3-4]

## Concrete Parapet and Metal Rail

The resistance of the combination parapet and rail shall be taken as the lesser of the resistances determined for the following two failure modes.

For impact at midspan of a rail (One Span Failure):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{bar}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{bar}}=20.57+81.92=102.49 \text { kips } \\
& Y_{b a r}=\frac{R_{R} H_{R}+R_{w} H_{W}}{R_{b a r}} \\
& Y_{\text {bar }}=\frac{(20.57) \cdot(4.09)+(81.92) \cdot(2.76)}{102.49}=3.027 \text { feet }
\end{aligned}
$$

When the impact is at a post (2 Span Failure):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{bar}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{W}} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\prime}=\text { Ultimate transverse resistance of rail over two spans }=21.67 \mathrm{k} \\
& R_{W}^{\prime}=\frac{R_{W} H_{W}-P_{P} H_{R}}{H_{W}}=\frac{(81.92) \cdot(2.76)-(11.24) \cdot(4.09)}{2.76}=65.26 \mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{bar}}=11.24+21.67+65.26=98.17 \mathrm{k} \\
& Y_{\text {bar }}=\frac{P_{P} H_{R}+R_{R}^{\prime} H_{R}+R_{W}^{\prime} H_{W}}{R_{\text {bar }}} \\
& Y_{\text {bar }}=\frac{(11.24) \cdot(4.09)+(21.67) \cdot(4.09)+(65.26) \cdot(2.76)}{98.17}=3.206 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the resistance, the lesser of the above values, equals 98.17 kips which is greater than the applied load of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}=54.00$ kips, the rail is adequately designed.

## Barrier Connection To Deck

The strength of the attachment of the parapet to the deck must also be checked. The deck will only see the lesser of the strength of the rail or the strength of the connection. For the parapet, \#4 at 8 inches connects the parapet to the deck.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=(0.20)(12) /(8)=0.300 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{s}}=10.00-11 / 2 \text { clear }-0.50 / 2=8.25 \text { inches } \\
& c=\frac{A_{s} f_{y}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} \beta_{1} b}=\frac{(0.300) \cdot(60)}{(0.85) \cdot(4.0) \cdot(0.850) \cdot(12)}=0.519 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{a}=\beta_{1} \mathrm{C}=(0.850)(0.519)=0.44 \text { inches } \\
& M_{n}=A_{s} f_{y}\left(d_{\mathrm{s}}-\frac{a}{2}\right) \\
& M_{n}=(0.300) \cdot(60) \cdot\left(8.25-\frac{0.44}{2}\right) \div 12=12.05 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \varphi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=(1.00)(12.05)=12.05 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \varphi \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=(12.05) \div(3.206)=\underline{3.759 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The barrier to deck interface must also resist the horizontal collision load. The strength is determined using shear friction analysis. For \#4 @ 8":

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{vf}}=(0.20)(12) /(8)=0.300 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{c} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{cv}}+\mu\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{vf}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right] \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.100(120.0)+1.0[(0.300)(60)+0]=30.00 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\varphi V_{\mathrm{n}}=(1.0)(30.00)=30.00 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}
$$

The strength of the connection is limited by the lesser of the shear or flexural strength. In this case, the resistance of the connection is $3.759 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$. The distribution at the base of the parapet is $9.39+2(2.76)=14.91$ feet as shown in Figure 6. Thus the connection will transmit (3.759)(14.91) $=56.05$ kips which is greater than the required force of 54 kips.


PLAN
Figure 5


## ELEVATION

Figure 6

Face of Barrier
Location 1
Figure 4

The design horizontal force in the barrier is distributed over the length $L_{b}$ equal to $L_{c}$ plus twice the height of the barrier. See Figures 5 and 6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}=9.39+2(2.76)=14.91 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=98.17 / 14.91=6.584 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \Rightarrow \text { Use } \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=3.759 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \text { per connection. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}=9.00+(4.00)(1.00) /(1.333)=12.00 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{1}=12.00-2.50 \mathrm{clr}-0.625 / 2=9.19 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moment at Face of Barrier

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Deck } \quad=0.150(9.00 / 12)(1.00)^{2} \div 2=0.06 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& 0.150(3.00 / 12)(1.00)^{2} \div 6=\underline{0.01 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}} \\
& =0.07 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fence, Rail \& Parapet: $\mathrm{w}=0.075+0.15(10 / 12)(2.76)=0.420 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$
FR \& P $=0.420(0.417) \quad=0.18 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Collision $=3.759[3.206+(12.00 / 12) / 2]=13.93 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
The load factor for dead load shall be taken as 1.0.
$M_{u}=1.00(0.07+0.18)+1.00(13.93)=14.18 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=(14.18)(12) /(3.759)=45.27$ in
Determine resulting forces in the top reinforcing (\#5 @ 5"):

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}=(0.744)(60)=44.64 \mathrm{k}
$$

A simplified method of analysis is available. If only the top layer of reinforcing is considered in determining strength, the assumption can be made that the reinforcing will yield. By assuming the safety factor for axial tension is 1.0 the strength equation can be solved directly. This method will determine whether the section has adequate strength. However, the method does not consider the bottom layer of reinforcing, does not maintain the required constant eccentricity and does not determine the maximum strain. For development of the equation and further discussion on the in-depth solution refer to Appendix A.

$$
\varphi M_{n}=\varphi\left[T_{1}\left(d_{1}-\frac{a}{2}\right)-P_{u}\left(\frac{h}{2}-\frac{a}{2}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { where } a=\frac{T_{1}-P_{u}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b}=\frac{44.64-3.759}{(0.85) \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.89 \text { in } \\
& \varphi M_{n}=(1.00) \cdot\left[(44.64) \cdot\left(9.19-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)-(3.759) \cdot\left(\frac{12.00}{2}-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)\right] \div 12 \\
& \varphi M_{n}=30.79 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=30.79 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=14.18 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{k}$, the overhang has adequate strength at Location 1. Note that the resulting eccentricity equals (30.79)(12) $\div 3.759=$ 98.29 inches compared to the actual eccentricity of 45.27 inches that is fixed by the constant deck thickness, barrier height and dead load moment.

## Development <br> Length

[5.11.2.1]
[5.11.2.1.1]
[5.11.2.4.1-1]

The reinforcing must be properly developed from the parapet face towards the edge of deck. The available embedment length equals 12 inches minus 2 inches clear or 10 inches.

For No. 11 bar and smaller $\frac{1.25 A_{b} f_{y}}{\sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}}=\frac{(1.25) \cdot(0.31) \cdot(60)}{\sqrt{4.5}}=10.96$ in
But not less than $0.4 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=0.4(0.625)(60)=15.00$ in

Even with modification factors, the minimum required length is 12 inches. Since the available length is less than the required, the reinforcing is not adequately developed using straight bars.

Try developing the top bar with 180 degree standard hooks.

$$
l_{h b}=\frac{38.0 d_{b}}{\sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}}=\frac{(38.0) \cdot(0.625)}{\sqrt{4.5}}=11.2 \mathrm{in}
$$

Modify the basic development length with the modification factor of 0.7 for side cover of at least 2.50 inches for \#11 bars and less.

$$
\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{hb}}=(11.2)(0.7)=7.8 \text { inches }
$$

Since the required development length of the hooked bar including modification factors is less than the available, the bars are adequately developed using hooked ends.

## Exterior Support

Location 2
Figure 4

The deck slab must also be evaluated at the exterior overhang support. At this location the design horizontal force is distributed over a length $L_{s 1}$ equal to the length $L_{c}$ plus twice the height of the barrier plus a distribution length from the face of the barrier to the exterior support. See Figures 4, 5 and 6. Assume composite action between deck and girder for this extreme event. Using a distribution of 30 degrees from the face of barrier to the exterior support results in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{s 1}=9.39+2(2.76)+(2)[\tan (30)](1.04)=16.11 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=98.17 / 16.11=6.094 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \Rightarrow U s e \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=3.759 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \text { per connection }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}=8.00+5.00+3.00(8.5 / 17)=14.50 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{1}=14.50-2.50 \mathrm{clr}-0.625 / 2=11.69 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moment at Exterior Support

DC Loads
Deck $=0.150(9.00 / 12)(2.04)^{2} / 2 \quad=0.23 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
=0.150(5.50 / 12)(2.04)^{2} / 6 \quad=0.05 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\text { Parapet }=0.420(0.417+1.042) \quad=0.61 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\text { Sidewalk }=0.150(9.16 / 12)(1.04)^{2} / 2 \quad=\underline{0.06} \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\mathrm{DC}=0.95 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

DW Loads
FWS

$$
=0.00 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\text { Collision }=3.759[3.206+(14.50 / 12) / 2] \quad=14.32 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

The load factor for dead load shall be taken as 1.0.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{u}=1.00(0.95)+1.00(0.00)+1.00(14.32)=15.27 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& e=M_{u} / P_{u}=(15.27)(12) /(3.759)=48.75 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine resulting force in the reinforcing:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}=(0.744)(60)=44.64 \mathrm{k}
$$

The simplified method of analysis is used based on the limitations previously stated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi M_{n}=\varphi\left[T_{1}\left(d_{1}-\frac{a}{2}\right)-P_{u}\left(\frac{h}{2}-\frac{a}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \text { where } a=\frac{T_{1}-P_{u}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b}=\frac{44.64-3.759}{(0.85) \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.89 \text { in } \\
& \varphi M_{n}=(1.00) \cdot\left[(44.64) \cdot\left(11.69-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)-(3.759) \cdot\left(\frac{14.50}{2}-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)\right] \div 12 \\
& \varphi M_{n}=39.70 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=39.70 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=15.27 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$, the overhang has adequate strength at Location 2.

## Interior Support

Location 3
Figure 4

## [A13.4.1]

## Extreme Event II

 [3.4.1]The deck slab must also be evaluated at the interior point of support. The critical location will be at the edge of the girder flange where the deck will be the thinnest. Only the top layer of reinforcing will be considered. At this location the design horizontal force is distributed over a length $L_{s 2}$ equal to the length $L_{c}$ plus twice the height of the barrier plus a distribution length from the face of the barrier to the interior support. See Figures 4, 5 and 6. Using a distribution of 30 degree from the face of the barrier to the interior support results in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s} 2}=9.39+2(2.76)+(2)[\tan (30)](3.67)=19.15 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=98.17 / 19.15=5.126 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \Rightarrow \text { Use }_{\mathrm{u}}=3.759 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \text { per connection }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}=8.00 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{1}=8.00-2.50 \mathrm{clr}-0.625 / 2=5.19 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moment at Interior Support

For dead loads use the maximum negative moments for the interior cells used in the interior deck analysis

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { DC } & =0.56 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
\text { DW } & =0.13 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { Collision }=3.759[3.206+(8.00 / 12) / 2]=13.30 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

The load factor for dead load shall be taken as 1.0.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.00(0.56)+1.00(0.13)+1.00(13.30)=13.99 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=(13.99)(12) /(3.759)=44.66 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine resulting force in the reinforcing:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}=(0.744)(60)=44.64 \mathrm{k}
$$

The simplified method of analysis is used based on the limitations previously stated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi M_{n}=\varphi\left[T_{1}\left(d_{1}-\frac{a}{2}\right)-P_{u}\left(\frac{h}{2}-\frac{a}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \text { where } a=\frac{T_{1}-P_{u}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b}=\frac{44.64-3.759}{(0.85) \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.89 \mathrm{in} \\
& \varphi M_{n}=(1.00) \cdot\left[(44.64) \cdot\left(5.19-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)-(3.759) \cdot\left(\frac{8.00}{2}-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)\right] \div 12 \\
& \varphi M_{n}=16.54 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=16.54 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=13.99 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$, the deck has adequate strength at Location 3.

## Design Case 1

Since the axial and flexural strength of the deck at the three locations investigated exceeds the factored applied loads, the deck is adequately reinforced for Design Case I.

## Design Case 2

[A13.4.1]
[A13.2-1]
[3.6.1]
[A13.4.1]
Extreme Event II [3.4.1]

Design Case 2: Vertical forces specified in [A13.2]
Extreme Event Limit State


DESIGN CASE 2
Figure 7
This case represents a crashed vehicle on top of the parapet and is treated as an extreme event. The downward vertical force, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}=18.0 \mathrm{kips}$, is distributed over a length, $\mathrm{F}_{1}=18.0$ feet. The vehicle is assumed to be resting on top of the center of the barrier. See Figure 7.

At the exterior support:
DC Dead Loads $\quad=1.03 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DW Dead Load $\quad=0 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

Vehicle

$$
\text { Collision }=[18.0 / 18.0][2.042-(7 / 12)]=1.46 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

The load factor for dead load shall be taken as 1.0.

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.00(1.03)+1.00(0)+1.00(1.46)=2.49 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

Flexural Resistance [5.7.3.2]
[5.7.3.1.1-4]
[5.7.3.2.3]
[5.5.4.2.1]
[1.3.2.1]

Maximum
Reinforcing
[5.7.3.3.1]
Minimum
Reinforcing
[5.7.3.3.2]

The flexural resistance of a reinforced concrete rectangular section is:

$$
M_{r}=\varphi M_{n}=\varphi A_{s} f_{y}\left(d-\frac{a}{2}\right)
$$

Try \#5 reinforcing bars

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}}=14.50-2.50 \mathrm{clr}-0.625 / 2=11.69 \text { inches }
$$

Use \#5 @ 5", the same reinforcing required for the interior span and overhang Design Case 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=\frac{A_{s} f_{y}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} \beta_{1} b}=\frac{(0.744) \cdot(60)}{(0.85) \cdot(4.5) \cdot(0.825) \cdot(12)}=1.179 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{a}=\beta_{1} \mathrm{c}=(0.825)(1.179)=0.97 \text { inches } \\
& \varepsilon_{T}=0.003 \cdot\left(\frac{d_{t}}{c}-1\right)=0.003 \cdot\left(\frac{11.69}{1.179}-1\right)=0.027
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{T}}>0.005$ the member is tension controlled.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n}=(0.744) \cdot(60) \cdot\left(11.69-\frac{0.97}{2}\right) \div 12=41.68 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \varphi=1.00 \\
& M_{\mathrm{r}}=\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=(1.00)(41.68)=41.68 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the flexural resistance, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}$, is greater than the factored moment, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$, the extreme limit state is satisfied.

The 2006 Interim Revisions eliminated this requirement.

The LRFD Specification requires that all sections requiring reinforcing must have sufficient strength to resist a moment equal to at least 1.2 times the moment that causes a concrete section to crack or $1.33 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{bh}^{2} / 6=(12)(14.50)^{2} / 6=420.5 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
& 1.2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cr}}=1.2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.2(0.785)(420.5) / 12=33.01 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=41.68 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the strength of the section exceeds $1.2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cr}}$, the minimum reinforcing criteria is satisfied.

## Design Case 3

[A13.4.1]
[4.6.2.1.3-1]

Design Case 3: The loads specified in [3.6.1] that occupy the overhang Strength and Service Limit State


DESIGN CASE 3
Figure 8
Due to the sidewalk, the normal vehicular live load (LL 1) does not act on the overhang. However, the overhang should be investigated for the situation where a vehicle is on the sidewalk (LL 2). Since this is not an ordinary event the Service Limit States should not be investigated. The occurrence of the event is not as rare as an Extreme Limit State. Therefore, this situation will be evaluated by the Strength I Limit State with a live load factor of 1.35.

For strength limit state, for a cast-in-place concrete deck overhang, the width of the primary strip is $45.00+10.0 \mathrm{X}$ where X equals 0.04 feet, the distance from the point of load to the support.

Width Primary Strip(inches $)=45.0+10.0(0.04)=45.40 \mathrm{in}=3.78 \mathrm{ft}$.
LL 2 + IM
$(1.20)(16.00)(1.33)(0.04) /(3.78)=0.27 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.25(1.03)+1.50(0)+1.35(0.27)=1.65 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

The flexural resistance will greatly exceed the factored applied load and will not be calculated here. The service and strength limit states should be checked for the sidewalk live load but this will not control by inspection. The overhang is adequately reinforced.

Figure 9 shows the required reinforcing in the deck slab.


Figure 9

SUPERSTR DGN
Precast Prestressed I-Girder

The section properties have been calculated subtracting the $1 / 2$-inch wearing surface from the cast-in-place top slab thickness. However, this wearing surface has been included in weight calculations.

## Step 1 - Determine Section Properties

Net and transformed section properties will be used for the structural design but gross section properties will be used for live load distribution and deflection calculations. The use of net section properties simplifies the prestress analysis while the use of transformed section properties simplifies the analysis for external loads.

For a precast prestressed concrete I-girder the net section properties are used for determination of stresses due to prestressing at release, self-weight and time-dependent losses. The transformed section properties are used for noncomposite externally applied loads. The transformed composite section properties are used for the composite dead loads and live loads. The calculation of these properties is an iterative process since the required area of strands is a function of the number and location of the strands and is usually performed with computer software. These steps have been eliminated and the section properties will be shown for the final strand configuration.

For this problem the AASHTO modified Type VI girder section properties will be calculated.


AASHTO Modified Type VI Girder
Figure 10

Effective
Flange Width
[4.6.2.6]
Interior Girder

## Exterior Girder

The effective flange width of the composite section must be checked to determine how much of the flange is effective.

The effective flange width for an interior girder is the lesser of the following criteria:
(1) One quarter the effective span:
$(1 / 4)(110.75)(12)=332$ inches
(2) The greater of the following:

Twelve times the effective slab depth plus the web width:
$(12)(7.50)+6=96$ inches
or twelve times the effective slab depth plus one-half the top flange width:
$(12)(7.50)+(40) / 2=110$ inches
(3) The spacing between the girders:
(9.00)(12) $=108$ inches $\Leftarrow$ Critical

The effective flange width for an interior girder is 108 inches

The effective flange width for an exterior girder is one-half the girder spacing for an interior girder plus the lesser of the following criteria:
(4) One eight the effective span:
$(1 / 8)(110.75)(12)=166$ inches
(5) The greater of the following:

Six times the effective slab depth plus one-half the web width:
(6)(7.50) $+3=48$ inches
or six times the effective slab depth plus one-quarter the top flange width:
(6)(7.50) $+(40) / 4=55$ inches
(6) The overhang dimension:
$(3.00)(12)=36$ inches $\Leftarrow$ Critical
Therefore for an exterior girder the effective flange width equals $36+54=90$ inches.

Modified Type VI Typical Section

## Gross Section Properties

Composite Properties

The section properties for the typical section shown in Figure 10 are shown below:

Gross Section - AASHTO Modified Type VI Girder

|  | No. | W | H | A | y | Ay | Io | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{yb})^{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 26.00 | 8.00 | 208.00 | 4.00 | 832 | 1109 | 218876 |
| 2 | $1 / 2(2)$ | 10.00 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 11.33 | 1133 | 556 | 63046 |
| 3 | 1 | 6.00 | 59.00 | 354.00 | 37.50 | 13275 | 102689 | 399 |
| 4 | $1 / 2(2)$ | 4.00 | 4.00 | 16.00 | 62.67 | 1003 | 14 | 11009 |
| 5 | $1 / 2(2)$ | 13.00 | 3.00 | 39.00 | 66.00 | 2574 | 20 | 34080 |
| 6 | 2 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 24.00 | 65.50 | 1572 | 18 | 20269 |
| 7 | 1 | 40.00 | 5.00 | 200.00 | 69.50 | 13900 | 417 | 218606 |
|  |  |  |  | 941.00 |  | 34289 | 104823 | 566285 |

$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}=941 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=34289 / 941.00=36.439$ in $\quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=36.439-5.50=30.939$ in
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-36.439=35.561$ in
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{g}}=104,823+566,285=671,108 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
$r^{2}=671,108 / 941.00=713.19$ in $^{2}$

Composite Gross Section - Girder \& Deck

$$
n=3861 / 4070=0.949
$$

Interior Girder

| n | W | H | A | y | Ay | Io | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{yb})^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 941.00 | 36.439 | 34289 | 671108 | 293940 |
| 0.949 | 108.00 | 7.50 | 768.69 | 75.75 | 58228 | 3603 | 359870 |
|  |  |  | 1709.69 |  | 92517 | 674711 | 653810 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}=1709.69 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{cb}}=92517 / 1709.69=54.113 \text { in } \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=54.113-5.50=48.613 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{ct}}=72.00-54.113=17.887 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=674,711+653,810=1,328,521 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
& \mathrm{r}^{2}=1,328,521 / 1709.69=777.05 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Exterior Girder

| n | W | H | A | y | Ay | Io | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{yb})^{2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 941.00 | 36.439 | 34289 | 671108 | 253833 |
| 0.949 | 90.00 | 7.50 | 640.58 | 75.75 | 48524 | 3003 | 335545 |
| 0.949 | 16.00 | 1.00 | 15.18 | 71.50 | 1086 | 1 | 5273 |
| 0.949 | $1 / 2 * 16.0$ | 4.00 | 30.37 | 69.67 | 2116 | 27 | 8579 |
|  |  |  | 1627.13 |  | 86015 | 674139 | 603230 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}=1627.13 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=86015 / 1627.13=52.863 \text { in } \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=52.863-5.50=47.363 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-52.863=19.137 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{g}}=674,139+603,230=1,277,369 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
& \mathrm{r}^{2}=1,277,369 / 1627.13=785.04 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stiffness

Parameter

## [4.6.2.2.1-1]

Volume/Surface Ratio

The longitudinal stiffness parameter, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}$, is required for determination of the live load distribution. This property is calculated based on gross section properties.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{I}+{\left.\mathrm{A} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}\right) \text { where } \mathrm{n} \text { is the ratio of beam to slab modulus }}_{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}=72.00-36.439+7.50 / 2=39.311 \text { in }}^{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}=(4070 / 3861)\left(671,108+941(39.311)^{2}\right)=2,240,331 \mathrm{in}^{4}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The surface area of the girder is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Perimeter }=40+26+2(5+13.34+5.66+42+14.14+8)=242 \text { in } \\
& \text { V/S }=941 \div 242=3.89 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

The final strand pattern to be used in determining transformed section properties is shown in Figures 11 and 12.


Figure 11


Figure 12

Midspan Transformed Properties

Transformed section properties are calculated at the midspan based on the strand pattern shown in Figures 11 and 12. The area of prestress and c.g. of the strands are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=(0.153)(48)=7.344 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \text { c.g. }=[10(2)+12(4)+12(6)+10(8)+2(10)+2(12)] \div 48=5.50 \text { in. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Net Section - I-Girder
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}=941-7.344=933.66 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{nb}}=[941(36.439)-7.344(5.50)] \div 933.66=36.682 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=36.682-5.50=31.182$ in
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{nt}}=72.00-36.682=35.318 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}=671,108+941(36.439-36.682)^{2}-7.344(36.682-5.50)^{2}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}=664,023$ in $^{4}$
$r^{2}=I / A=664,023 / 933.66=711.20$ in $^{2}$
Transformed Section - I-Girder $(\mathrm{n}=7.00)$ at Service $\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=5.0 \mathrm{ksi}\right)$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}=933.66+(7.00)(7.344)=985.07 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tb}}=[933.66(36.682)+(7.00)(7.344)(5.50)] \div 985.07=35.055 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=35.055-5.50=29.555$ in
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tt}}=72.00-35.055=36.945$ in
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}=664,023+933.66(36.682-35.055)^{2}+7.00(7.344)(35.055-5.50)^{2}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}=711,399 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
$r^{2}=I / A=711,399 / 985.07=722.18$ in $^{2}$

Composite Section - I-Girder \& Deck

$$
n=3861 / 4070=0.949
$$

Interior Girder

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{tc}}=985.07+0.949(7.50)(108)=1753.76 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tcb}}=[985.07(35.055)+0.949(7.50)(108)(75.75)] \div 1753.76=52.892 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=52.892-5.50=47.392 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tct}}=72.00-52.892=19.108 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{tc}}=711,399+985.07(35.055-52.892)^{2}+0.949(108)(7.50)^{3} \div 12 \\
& \quad+0.949(108)(7.50)(75.75-52.892)^{2}=1,430,042 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exterior Girder

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{tc}}= 985.07+0.949[(90.00)(7.50)+(16.00)(1.00)+0.5(16.00)(4.00)] \\
&= 1671.20 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tcb}}= {[985.07(35.055)+0.949(90.00)(7.50)(75.75)+} \\
&0.949(16.00)(1.00)(71.50)+0.949(0.5)(16.00)(4.00)(69.667)] \\
& \div 1671.20=51.614 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}= 51.614-5.50=46.114 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tct}}= 72.00-51.614=20.386 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{tc}}= 711,399+985.07(35.055-51.614)^{2}+0.949(90.00)(7.50)^{3} \div 12+ \\
& 0.949(16.00)(1.00)^{3} \div 12+0.949(16.00)(4.00)^{3} \div 36+ \\
& 0.949(90.00)(7.50)(75.75-51.614)^{2}+0.949(16.00)(1.00)(71.50- \\
&51.614)^{2}+0.949(0.5)(16.00)(4.00)(69.667-51.614)^{2}=1,373,603 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Transfer Length Properties

Transformed Section - I-Girder ( $\mathrm{n}=7.00$ ) at Service $\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{C}}=5.0 \mathrm{ksi}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}=933.66+7.00(7.344)=985.07 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tb}}=[933.66(36.575)+7.00(7.344)(19.160)] \div 985.07=35.666 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{t}}=35.666-19.160=16.506 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tt}}=72.00-35.666=36.334 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}=668,898+933.66(36.575-35.666)^{2}+7.00(7.344)(35.666-19.160)^{2} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}=683,675 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Composite Section - I-Girder \& Deck

$$
\mathrm{n}=3861 / 4070=0.949
$$

Interior Girder

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{tc}}=985.07+0.949(7.50)(108.00)=1753.76 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tcb}}=[985.07(35.666)+0.949(7.50)(108.0)(75.75)] \div 1753.76=53.235 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tct}}=72.00-53.235=18.765 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{tc}}= 683,675+985.07(53.235-35.666)^{2}+0.949(108.0)(7.50)^{3} \div 12 \\
& \quad+0.949(108.0)(7.50)(75.75-53.235)^{2}=1,381,008 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Design Span

Each girder line has a $1^{\prime}-0$ " gap between the girder ends over the pier. The centerline of bearing is located 9 inches from the end of the girder. The design span is then $112.00-1.00 / 2-0.75=110.75$ feet.

## Dead Load [3.5.1]

## Step 2 - Determine Loads and Stresses

The flexural design of the precast prestressed I-girder is based on simple span positive moments. Normally moments, shears and stresses are calculated at tenth points using computer software programs. For this problem, only critical values will be determined.

In LFRD design, the dead load is separated between DC loads and DW loads since their load factors differ. For precast girders, each load is also separated by the section property used to determine the stresses. The DC loads that use the net section properties include moments from the self-weight of the precast beam. The DC loads that use the transformed section properties include the moments from externally applied loads including the build-up, stay-in-place forms, diaphragm and the cast-in-place deck. For this problem the SIP Forms will be assumed to weight 15 psf . The DC loads that use the composite transformed section properties include the parapet, rail, fence and sidewalk. The DW load that uses the composite transformed section properties includes the 0.025 ksf Future Wearing Surface and any utilities. The composite dead load and future wearing surface are distributed equally to all girders.

## Loads

Non-Composite Dead Loads

> Interior


| Self Weight | $0.150(941 / 144)$ | $=0.980 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Int Slab | $0.150(8.00 / 12)(9.00)$ | $=0.900 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Build-up | $0.150[(2.00)(40.00) / 144]$ | $=0.083 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| SIP Panel | $0.015(5.67)$ | $=0.085 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
|  |  | $=0.750 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Ext Slab | $0.150(8.00 / 12)(7.50)$ | $=0.017 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Overhang | $0.150(1.00 / 12)(1.33)$ | $\underline{0.033} \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| OH Taper | $0.150(4.00 / 12)(1.33)(1 / 2)$ | $\underline{0.800} \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
|  |  |  |
| SIP Panel | $0.015(5.67) / 2$ | $=0.043 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |

Intermediate Diaphragm
$0.150(0.75)[(9.00)(6.00)-(941 / 144)-(0.67)(6.83)]=4.83 \mathrm{k}$
$0.150(4.00)(4.00)(1 / 2)(2)(5.67) / 144 \quad=\underline{0.09} \mathrm{k}$

Composite Dead Load

| Sidewalk | $0.150(6.00)[(7.00+9.16) / 2](2) / 12$ | $=1.212 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parapet | $0.150(0.8333)(2.77)(2)$ | $=0.692 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Rail \& Fence | $0.075(2)$ | $=\underline{0.150} \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
|  |  | $2.054 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |

Composite $\mathrm{DC}=(2.054) /(9$ girders $)=0.228 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$
FWS $\quad 0.025(64.00) /(9$ girders $)=0.178 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$

Midspan Moments

## Interior Girder

DC Loads - Net Section Properties
Self Weight $\quad 0.980(110.75)^{2} \div 8=1503 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DC Loads - Transformed Section Properties
Slab
$0.900(110.75)^{2} \div 8=1380 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Build-up $\quad 0.083(110.75)^{2} \div 8=127 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
SIP Form $\quad 0.085(110.75)^{2} \div 8=130 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Interm Diaph $\quad 4.92(110.75) \div 4=\underline{136} \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$=1773 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

DC Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties Composite DL $\quad 0.228(110.75)^{2} \div 8=350 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

DW Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties
FWS
$0.178(110.75)^{2} \div 8=273 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

## Exterior Girder

DC Loads - Net Section Properties
Self Weight $\quad 0.980(110.75)^{2} \div 8 \quad=1503 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DC Loads - Transformed Section Properties
Slab $\quad 0.800(110.75)^{2} \div 8=1227 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Build-up $\quad 0.083(110.75)^{2} \div 8=127 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
SIP Form $\quad 0.043(110.75)^{2} \div 8=66 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Interm Diaph $\quad(4.92 \div 2)(110.75) \div 4=\underline{68} \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
=1488 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

DC Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties
Composite DL $\quad 0.228(110.75)^{2} \div 8 \quad=350 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DW Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties
FWS
$0.178(110.75)^{2} \div 8=273 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Future Without Sidewalks
DC Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties
Composite DL $\quad[(0.692+0.150) \div 9](110.75)^{2} \div 8=143 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DW Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties
FWS
$[(76.0)(0.025) \div 9](110.75)^{2} \div 8=324 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

Transfer Length Moments

Hold-Down Moments

At a distance x from the support, the moment from a uniform load is:

$$
M_{x}=(w)(x)(L-x) \div 2
$$

Interior Girder
DC Loads - Net Section Properties
Self Weight $\quad 0.980(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=93 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DC Loads - Transformed Section Properties
Slab $\quad 0.900(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=86 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Build-up $\quad 0.083(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=8 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
SIP Form $\quad 0.085(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=8 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Interm Diaph $\quad 4.92(1.75) \div 2 \quad=4 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ $=\overline{106} \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

DC Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties
Composite DL $\quad 0.228(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=22 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DW Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties
FWS $\quad 0.178(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=17 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Exterior Girder
DC Loads - Net Section Properties
Self Weight $\quad 0.980(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=93 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DC Loads - Transformed Section Properties
Slab $\quad 0.800(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=76 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Build-up $\quad 0.083(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=8 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
SIP Form $\quad 0.043(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=4 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Interm Diaph $\quad(4.92 \div 2)(1.75) \div 2 \quad=\quad 2 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$=90 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DC Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties
Composite DL $\quad 0.228(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=22 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DW Loads - Composite Transformed Section Properties
FWS $\quad 0.178(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=17 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

DC Loads - Net Section Properties
Self Weight $\quad 0.980(42.375)(110.75-42.375) \div 2=1420 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

## Live Load

[3.6.1]
[BPG]
Midspan
Moments

## Design Lane

Design Truck

The HL-93 live load in the LRFD specification differs from the HS-20-44 load in the Standard Specifications. For design of the precast prestressed I-Girder, ADOT calculates the live load moments assuming a simple span.

The maximum moment at midspan from the design lane load is caused by loading the entire span. The force effects from the design lane load shall not be subject to a dynamic load allowance. At midspan the moment equals the following:

$$
M_{\text {lane }}=w \cdot(l)^{2} \div 8=0.640 \cdot(110.75)^{2} \div 8=981 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

The maximum design truck moment results when the truck is located with the middle axle at midspan. The truck live load positioned for maximum moment at midspan is shown below:

## DESIGN TRUCK

Figure 13

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=[8 \cdot(69.375)+32 \cdot(55.375)+32 \cdot(41.375)] \div 110.75=32.966 \mathrm{kips} \\
& M_{\text {truck }}=32.966 \cdot(55.375)-8 \cdot(14)=1714 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum design tandem moment results when the tandem is located with one of the axles at midspan. The tandem live load positioned for maximum moment is shown below:


DESIGN TANDEM
Figure 14

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=[25 \cdot(55.375)+25 \cdot(51.375)] \div 110.75=24.097 \mathrm{kips} \\
& M_{\text {tandem }}=24.097 \cdot(55.375)=1334 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

By inspection the moment from the combination of design truck and design lane load is higher than the combination of design tandem and design lane load.

Sidewalk LL
[3.6.1.6]

## LL Distribution

[4.6.2.2.1-1]

Interior
Girder
[4.6.2.2.2b-1]

The sidewalk live load is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{sw}}=0.075(6.00)=0.450 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{sw}}=0.450(110.75)^{2} \div 8=690 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \text { per side }
\end{aligned}
$$

The LRFD Specification has made major changes to the live load distribution factors. The first step is to determine the superstructure type from Table 4.6.2.2.1-1. For precast prestressed concrete girders with a cast-in-place concrete deck the typical cross section is identified as Type (k).

Since the range of applicability of all variables is within the allowable, the live load distribution factor for moment for an interior girder with one lane loaded may be taken as:

## Applicable Range

$\mathrm{S}=$ spacing of girders $=9.00 \mathrm{ft}$ $3.5 \leq$ S $\leq 16.0$
$\mathrm{L}=$ span length of girder $=110.75 \mathrm{ft}$. $20 \leq \mathrm{L} \leq 240$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ long stiffness parameter $=2,240,331 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
$10,000 \leq \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}} \leq 7,000,000$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ deck slab thickness $=7.50$ in
$4.5 \leq \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}} \leq 12.0$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Number Girders $=9$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}} \geq 4$
LL Distribution $=0.06+\left(\frac{S}{14}\right)^{0.4}\left(\frac{S}{L}\right)^{0.3}\left(\frac{K_{g}}{12.0 L t_{s}^{3}}\right)^{0.1}$
LL Distribution $=0.06+\left(\frac{9.0}{14}\right)^{0.4}\left(\frac{9.00}{110.75}\right)^{0.3}\left(\frac{2,240,331}{(12.0) \cdot(110.75) \cdot(7.50)^{3}}\right)^{0.1}=0.513$

The live load distribution factor for moment for an interior girder with two or more lanes loaded is:

LL Distribution $=0.075+\left(\frac{S}{9.5}\right)^{0.6}\left(\frac{S}{L}\right)^{0.2}\left(\frac{K_{g}}{12.0 L t_{s}^{3}}\right)^{0.1}$
$L L$ Distribution $=0.075+\left(\frac{9.0}{9.5}\right)^{0.6}\left(\frac{9.00}{110.75}\right)^{0.2}\left(\frac{2,240,331}{(12.0) \cdot(110.75) \cdot(7.50)^{3}}\right)^{0.1}$
LL Distribution $=0.748 \Rightarrow$ Critical

Exterior
Girder
[4.6.2.2.2d-1]
[4.3]

The live load distribution factor for one design lane loaded for moment for an exterior girder requires use of the lever rule. See Figure 15.

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {wheel }}(3.00) /(9.00)=0.333 \mathrm{P}_{\text {wheel }}
$$

When the lever rule is used to determine live load distribution, the multiple presence factor is applied. For one vehicle, m = 1.20.

LL Distribution $=0.333(1.20)=0.400 \mathrm{P}_{\text {wheel }}=0.200 \mathrm{P}_{\text {axle }}$
Use the lever rule to determine the portion of the pedestrian live load taken by the exterior girder.

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{sw}}(5.00+3.00) / 9.00=0.889 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{sw}}
$$



FUTURE CONF IGURATION
LEVER RULE
Figure 15
The live load distribution factor for two or more design lanes loaded for moment for an exterior girder is:

LL Distribution $=$ e ginterior
$\mathrm{e}=0.77+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}} / 9.1$

## [4.6.2.2.1]

[4.6.2.2.2d]
[C4.6.2.2.2d-1]
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ distance from the exterior web to the inside face of curb in feet. For this problem $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}$ equals -4.00 feet. Since this value is outside the range of applicability, this criteria is not evaluated and the distribution is based on the Lever Rule.

Range of Applicability: $-1.0 \leq \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}} \leq 5.5$

For girder bridges with diaphragms, an additional distribution check must be made. The distribution factor for exterior girders shall not be taken as less than that which would be obtained by assuming that the cross-section deflects and rotates as a rigid cross-section with the multiple presence factor applied.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\frac{N_{L}}{N_{b}}+\frac{X_{e x t} \sum^{N_{L}} e}{\sum^{N_{b}} x^{2}} \\
& \sum^{N_{b}} x^{2}=2\left[(9.0)^{2}+(18.0)^{2}+(27.0)^{2}+(36.0)^{2}\right]=4860
\end{aligned}
$$



## CURRENT CONF IGURATION



FUTURE CONFIGURATION

Figure 16

## Current

 Configuration
## [3.6.1.1.2]

For one vehicle:

$$
R=\frac{1}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(27.00)}{4860}=0.311
$$

For two vehicles:

$$
R=\frac{2}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(27.00+15.00)}{4860}=0.533
$$

For three vehicles:

$$
R=\frac{3}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(27.00+15.00+3.00)}{4860}=0.667
$$

For four vehicles:

$$
R=\frac{4}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(27.00+15.00+3.00-9.00)}{4860}=0.711
$$

For five vehicles:

$$
R=\frac{5}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(27.00+15.00+3.00-9.00-21.00)}{4860}=0.667
$$

The pedestrian live load is treated as a vehicular load as far as the multiple presence factor is concerned. The vehicular distribution factors when the pedestrian load is present are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.311(1.00)=0.311 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.533(0.85)=0.453 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{3}=0.667(0.65)=0.434 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{4}=0.711(0.65)=0.462 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{5}=0.667(0.65)=0.434
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow \text { Critical }
$$

When the pedestrian live load is not present the vehicular distribution factors are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.311(1.20)=0.373 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.533(1.00)=0.533 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{3}=0.667(0.85)=0.567 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{4}=0.711(0.65)=0.462 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{5}=0.667(0.65)=0.434
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow \text { Critical }
$$

For the current configuration, consideration must be given to the possibility of a vehicle on the sidewalk since there is not a separation barrier between the roadway and sidewalk. Only the design truck located two feet from the parapet face will be applied as a design lane load on the sidewalk is unlikely. For this situation use the Lever Rule with the following distribution:

LL Distribution $=(1.20)[(9.00+3.00) / 9.00] \div 2=0.800 \Rightarrow$ Critical
Using the second criteria for girder bridges with diaphragms:

$$
R=[1.20] \cdot\left[\frac{1}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(33.00)}{4860}\right]=0.427
$$

Since the bridge is skewed 30 degrees, the live load skew reduction factor is applied. All appropriate variables are within the allowable range.

Skew Reduction $=1-c_{1}(\tan \theta)^{1.5}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=0.25\left(\frac{K_{g}}{12.0 L t_{s}^{3}}\right)^{0.25}\left(\frac{S}{L}\right)^{0.5} \\
& c_{1}=0.25\left(\frac{2,240,331}{(12.0) \cdot(110.75) \cdot(7.50)^{3}}\right)^{0.25}\left(\frac{9.00}{110.75}\right)^{0.5}=0.1008
\end{aligned}
$$

Skew Reduction $=1-(0.1008)[\tan (30)]^{1.5}=0.956$

The dynamic load allowance IM equals 33\%.
Dynamic load allowance applies to the truck or tandem but not to the design lane load. The dynamic load allowance has been included in the summation of live loads for one vehicle.

## Live Load Summary

## Dynamic Load Allowance <br> [3.6.2]

Skew Effect
[4.6.2.2.2e-1]

## Future Configuration

 [3.6.1.1.1][4.6.2.2.2d-1]
[C4.6.2.2.2d-1]

In addition to the current configuration, consideration should be made for a future configuration consisting of an additional lane without the sidewalks. See Figures 15 and 16. For this configuration, the live load distribution for an exterior girder for one lane loaded is:

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {wheel }}(9.00+3.00) /(9.00)=1.333 \mathrm{P}_{\text {wheel }}
$$

When the lever rule is used to determine live load distribution, the multiple presence factor is applied. For one vehicle, $m=1.20$.

LL Distribution $=1.333 \mathrm{P}_{\text {wheel }}(1.20)=1.600 \mathrm{P}_{\text {wheel }}=0.800 \mathrm{P}_{\text {axle }} \Leftarrow$ Use

The live load distribution factor for two or more design lanes loaded for moment for an exterior girder is:

LL Distribution $=$ e ginterior
$\mathrm{e}=0.77+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}} / 9.1$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ distance from the exterior web to the inside face of barrier in feet. For this problem $d_{e}$ equals 2.00 feet. See Figure 15. The value of $d_{e}$ is inside the range of applicability of the formula $\left(-1.0 \leq d_{e} \leq 5.5\right)$.
$\mathrm{e}=0.77+2.00 / 9.1=0.990$
LL Distribution $=0.990(0.748)=0.741$

For girder bridges with diaphragms, an additional distribution check must be made. The distribution factor for exterior girders shall not be taken as less than that which would be obtained by assuming that the cross-section deflects and rotates as a rigid cross-section with the multiple presence factors applied. See Figure 16.

$$
R=\frac{N_{L}}{N_{b}}+\frac{X_{e x t} \sum^{N_{L}} e}{\sum^{N_{b}} x^{2}}
$$

For one vehicle:

$$
R=\frac{1}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(33.00)}{4860}=0.356
$$

For two vehicles:

$$
R=\frac{2}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(33.00+21.00)}{4860}=0.622
$$

For three vehicles:

$$
R=\frac{3}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(33.00+21.00+9.00)}{4860}=0.800
$$

For four vehicles:

$$
R=\frac{4}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(33.00+21.00+9.00-3.00)}{4860}=0.889
$$

For five vehicles:

$$
R=\frac{5}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(33.00+21.00+9.00-3.00-15.00)}{4860}=0.889
$$

For six vehicles:

$$
R=\frac{6}{9}+\frac{(36.00) \cdot(33.00+21.00+9.00-3.00-15.00-27.00)}{4860}=0.800
$$

The multiple presence factors must be added to the above distribution factors as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.356(1.20)=0.427 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.622(1.00)=0.622 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{3}=0.800(0.85)=0.680 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{4}=0.889(0.65)=0.578 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{5}=0.889(0.65)=0.578 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{6}=0.800(0.65)=0.520
\end{aligned} \Leftarrow \text { Critical }
$$

## Live Load Summary

Exterior Girder

$$
\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM}=[981+1.33(1714)](0.800)(0.956)=2494 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

This moment is higher than the live load for the current configuration and may control the design. For this problem, the exterior girder will not be investigated but in a real problem an investigation for this situation would be required. Due to the reconfiguration, the dead load of the sidewalk will be gone but there will be some additional future wearing surface. It is not obvious whether the exterior girder for the future configuration would control.

Transfer Length Moment

## [3.4.1-1]

Midspan
Moment

Midspan Stresses

At the transfer length the live load moment is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Lane } & \mathrm{M}=0.640(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=61 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
\text { Truck } & \mathrm{M}=1.75[(32)(109.00)+(32)(95.00)+8(81.00)] \div 110.75 \\
& =113 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
\text { Tandem } & \mathrm{M}=1.75[(25)(109.00)+25(105.00)] \div 110.75=85 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
\text { Ped LL } & \mathrm{M}=0.450(1.75)(110.75-1.75) \div 2=43 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \\
\text { Interior } & \\
\text { Exterior } & \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IL}+\mathrm{IM}+\text { Ped }} & =[61+1.33(113)](0.748)(0.956)=151 \mathrm{ft} \text { sdwlk } \\
& =[132 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& =[1.33(113)](0.800)(0.956)=115 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{array}
$$

The LRFD Specification has made major changes to the group load combinations contained in [T3.4.1-1]. There are several limit states that must be considered in design of the superstructure. Limit states for this problem are as follows:

STRENGTH I - Basic load combination relating to the normal vehicular use of the bridge without wind.

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.25(\mathrm{DC})+1.50(\mathrm{DW})+1.75(\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM})
$$

Interior
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.25(1503+1773+350)+1.50(273)+1.75(2332)=9023 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Exterior

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.25(1503+1488+350)+1.50(273)+1.75(2054)=8180 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

SERVICE LIMIT STATES - For the service limit states, the stresses are calculated using the appropriate section property type as follows:

Net Non-Composite
$\mathrm{M}=1503 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}=0.959 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}=-0.996 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Transformed Non-Composite
Interior Girder
$\mathrm{M}=1773 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
f_{t}=\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.945)}{711,399}=1.105 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
f_{b}=\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.055)}{711,399}=-1.048 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Exterior Girder
M = $1488 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$f_{t}=\frac{(1488) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.945)}{711,399}=0.927 \mathrm{ksi}$
$f_{b}=\frac{(1488) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.055)}{711,399}=-0.880 \mathrm{ksi}$

Transformed Composite
Interior Girder

## Dead Load

$\mathrm{M}=350+273=623 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
f_{t}=\frac{(623) \cdot(12) \cdot(19.108)}{1,430,042}=0.100 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
f_{b}=\frac{(623) \cdot(12) \cdot(52.892)}{1,430,042}=-0.277 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{M}=2332 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
f_{t}=\frac{(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(19.108)}{1,430,042}=0.374 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
f_{b}=\frac{(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(52.892)}{1,430,042}=-1.035 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

## Exterior Girder

Dead Load

$$
\mathrm{M}=350+273=623 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
f_{t}=\frac{(623) \cdot(12) \cdot(20.386)}{1,373,603}=0.111 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
f_{b}=\frac{(623) \cdot(12) \cdot(51.614)}{1,373,603}=-0.281 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
\underline{L L}+\mathrm{IM}
$$

$$
\mathrm{M}=2054 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
f_{t}=\frac{(2054) \cdot(12) \cdot(20.386)}{1,373,603}=0.366 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
f_{b}=\frac{(2054) \cdot(12) \cdot(51.614)}{1,373,603}=-0.926 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

SERVICE I - Load combination relating to normal operational use of the bridge including wind loads to control crack width in reinforced concrete structures. For a precast member with a cast-in-place deck where transformed section properties are used each service state must be broken into subgroups depending upon the section properties used to determine the stress.

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}=1.0(\mathrm{DC}+\mathrm{DW})+1.0(\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM})
$$

Interior

$$
\sum \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.0(0.959+1.105+0.100)+1.0(0.374)=2.538 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Exterior

$$
\sum \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.0(0.959+0.927+0.111)+1.0(0.366)=2.363 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

SERVICE III - Load combination relating only to tension in prestressed concrete superstructures with the objective of crack control.

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}=1.0(\mathrm{DC}+\mathrm{DW})+0.80(\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM})
$$

Interior

$$
\sum \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.0(-0.996-1.048-0.277)+0.8(-1.035)=-3.149 \mathrm{ksi} \Leftarrow \text { Critical }
$$

Exterior

$$
\sum f_{b}=1.0(-0.996-0.880-0.281)+0.8(-0.926)=-2.898 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Transfer Length Stresses

Interior Girder

## SERVICE LIMIT STATES

Net Non-Composite
M $=93 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$f_{t}=\frac{(93) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.425)}{668,898}=0.059 \mathrm{ksi}$
$f_{b}=\frac{(93) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.575)}{668,898}=-0.061 \mathrm{ksi}$

Interior Girder
Transformed Non-Composite
$\mathrm{M}=106 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$f_{t}=\frac{(106) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.334)}{683,675}=0.068 \mathrm{ksi}$
$f_{b}=\frac{(106) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.666)}{683,675}=-0.066 \mathrm{ksi}$
Transformed Composite
Dead Load
$\mathrm{M}=22+17=39 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$f_{t}=\frac{(39) \cdot(12) \cdot(18.765)}{1,381,008}=0.006 \mathrm{ksi}$
$f_{b}=\frac{(39) \cdot(12) \cdot(53.235)}{1,381,008}=-0.018 \mathrm{ksi}$

Live Load
$\mathrm{M}=151 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(151) \cdot(12) \cdot(18.765)}{1,381,008}=0.025 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=\frac{(151) \cdot(12) \cdot(52.235)}{1,381,008}=-0.069 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## SERVICE I

$\sum \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.0(0.059+0.068+0.006)+1.0(0.025)=0.158 \mathrm{ksi}$ SERVICE III
$\sum f_{b}=1.0(-0.061-0.066-0.018)+(0.8)(-0.069)=-0.200 \mathrm{ksi}$

## Exterior Girder

Exterior Girder
Transformed Non-Composite M $=90 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
f_{t}=\frac{(90) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.334)}{683,675}=0.057 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
f_{b}=\frac{(90) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.666)}{683,675}=-0.056 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Transformed Composite
Dead Load

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M} & =22+17=39 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
f_{t} & =\frac{(39) \cdot(12) \cdot(20.026)}{1,326,098}=0.007 \mathrm{ksi} \\
f_{b} & =\frac{(39) \cdot(12) \cdot(51.974)}{1,326,098}=-0.018 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Live Load

M = $132 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(132) \cdot(12) \cdot(20.026)}{1,326,098}=0.024 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=\frac{(132) \cdot(12) \cdot(51,974)}{1,326,098}=-0.062 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## SERVICE I

$$
\sum \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.0(0.059+0.057+0.007)+1.0(0.024)=0.147 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

## SERVICE III

$$
\sum f_{b}=1.0(-0.061-0.056-0.018)+0.8(-0.062)=-0.185 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

## Prestress Design

 [5.9]
## Step 3 - Determine Number of Strands

The design of a precast prestressed concrete girder involves making assumptions, calculating results, comparing the results to the assumptions and repeating the process until convergence occurs. The iterative process will not be shown in this example. Rather the final iteration with valid assumptions and calculations will be shown. Since the interior girder controls the design of this bridge, only the interior girder prestress design will be shown. For a real project both the interior and exterior girders would be checked.

The required number of strands, the associated center of gravity, and long-term losses, the release concrete strength and final concrete strength must be assumed. For this problem assume the following:

No. $1 / 2$ " Diameter Strands $=48$
Time-Dependent Losses $=34.00 \mathrm{ksi}$
Total Prestress Losses $=54.58 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ci}}=4.7 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=5.0 \mathrm{ksi}$
The first calculation is to determine a strand pattern and associated center of gravity. For this problem 48 strands are required with the pattern shown in Figure 11. The center of gravity from the bottom equals:

$$
\text { c.g. }=\frac{(10) \cdot(2)+(12) \cdot(4)+(12) \cdot(6)+(10) \cdot(8)+(2) \cdot(10)+(2) \cdot(12)}{48}=5.500
$$

In this pattern 2 strands in each row are harped with the top row 2 inches from the top of the girder and the hold-down point 13'-0" from the center of the girder. See Figure 12.

With the above values the number of strands can be determined from the basic equation for stress for a prestressed member. The number of strands is based on an allowable tensile limit of $0.0948 \sqrt{f^{\prime}}{ }_{c}$ in the bottom fiber at midspan after all losses.

$$
\frac{f_{s e} A_{s t r} N S}{A_{\text {net }}}\left(1+\frac{e_{m} y_{b}}{r^{2}}\right)+\sum f_{b} \geq-0.0948 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}
$$

Solving for the number of strands, NS for an interior girder, results in:

$$
N S \geq\left[-\sum f_{b}-0.0948 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}\right] \cdot \frac{A_{n e t}}{f_{s e} A_{s t r}} \cdot\left(\frac{r^{2}}{r^{2}+e_{m} y_{b}}\right)
$$

Loss of Prestress [5.9.5]

## Relaxation Loss

At Transfer
[5.9.5.4.4b][2004]
[5.9.5.4.4b-1][2004]

Where:

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{se}}=0.75(270)-54.58=147.92 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=36.682-5.500=31.182 \text { in }
$$

$$
\text { Allowable Tension }=0.0948 \sqrt{5.0}=-0.212 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
N S \geq[-(-3.149)-0.212] \cdot \frac{933.66}{(147.92) \cdot(0.153)} \cdot\left(\frac{711.20}{711.20+(31.182) \cdot(36.682)}\right)
$$

$$
\text { NS }=46.5
$$

Use 48 strands

## Step 4 - Determine Losses

Total losses in a prestressed precast member are due to relaxation before transfer, elastic shortening, and the time-dependent losses consisting of shrinkage, creep and relaxation losses.

The relaxation loss is broken up into two parts: the relaxation before transfer and the relaxation after transfer. The relaxation before transfer is the loss in stress from the time the strands are pulled until they are released. The equation for relaxation before transfer in the 2004 Specification is slightly different than the equation shown in the commentary of the Standard Specifications. The denominator has been changed from 45 to 40 . For concrete release strengths less than $4.5 \mathrm{ksi}, 18$ hours may be assumed between time of concrete pour and time of strand release. Typically the strands will be pulled the day before the concrete is poured. Conservatively assume a total of 36 hours exists between time of stressing and time of release. The 2006 Interim Revisions deleted this loss without any explanation. However, when the strands are tensioned relaxation will occur until the strands are cut and the force transferred to the concrete. In some regions of the country fabricators overstress the strands initially to compensate for these losses but the fabricators in Arizona do not.

The relaxation loss before transfer for low-relaxation strands is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta f_{p R b t}=\frac{\log (24.0 t)}{40.0}\left[\frac{f_{p j}}{f_{p y}}-0.55\right] f_{p j} \\
& \Delta f_{p R b t}=\frac{\log (36)}{40.0}\left[\frac{0.75}{0.90}-0.55\right] \cdot(0.75) \cdot(270)=2.23 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The stress before transfer $=(0.75)(270)-2.23=200.27 \mathrm{ksi}$

Elastic
Shortening
[5.9.5.2.3a]
[C5.19.5.3.3a-1]
Modified

Midspan
Losses

Elastic shortening losses can be calculated directly with a rather lengthy equation in lieu of a trial and error method. The equation for calculation of elastic shortening in the LRFD Commentary [C5.9.5.2.3a-1] is correct as long as the variable $f_{p b t}$ includes the relaxation before transfer. The equation shown in the Commentary has been modified by dividing both the numerator and denominator by the area of the girder. This modification eliminates the need to work with large numbers improving the accuracy of the calculations. The net section properties are used in this calculation.

$$
\Delta f_{p E S}=\frac{f_{p b t} A_{p s}\left(r^{2}+e_{m}{ }^{2}\right)-e_{m} M_{g}}{A_{p s}\left(r^{2}+e_{m}^{2}\right)+\frac{I \cdot E_{c i}}{E_{p}}}
$$

The elastic shortening loss will be calculated at the midspan.
0.5 Span

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=36.682-5.500=31.182 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{ps}}=(48)(0.153)=7.344 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& A_{p s}\left(r^{2}+e_{m}{ }^{2}\right)=(7.344) \cdot\left(711.20+(31.182)^{2}\right)=12,364 \\
& \frac{I \cdot E_{c i}}{E_{p}}=\frac{(664,023) \cdot(3946)}{28,500}=91,938 \\
& \Delta f_{p E s}=\frac{(0.75 \cdot 270-2.23) \cdot(12364)-31.182 \cdot(1503) \cdot(12)}{12,364+91,938} \\
& \Delta f_{p E S}=18.35 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate $\mathrm{f}_{\text {cgp }}$ and verify the elastic shortening loss.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{c g p}= & 7.344 \cdot[(0.75) \cdot(270)-2.23-18.35] \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182)^{2}}{664,023}\right) \\
& -\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(31.182)}{664,023}=2.540 \mathrm{ksi} \\
\Delta f_{p E S}= & \frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} \cdot f_{c g p}=\left[\frac{28500}{3946}\right] \cdot(2.540)=18.35 \mathrm{ksi} \text { OK }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Elastic Shortening <br> Losses <br> Transformed <br> Section Properties

An alternate method of determining the elastic shortening losses is to apply the self-weight of the member plus the prestress before transfer to the transformed section. The Commentary in Article C5.9.5.2.3a states that when calculating concrete stresses using transformed section properties, the effects of losses and gains due to elastic deformations are implicitly accounted for and the elastic shortening loss, $\Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pES}}$, should not be included in the prestressing force applied to the transformed section at transfer.

The transformed section properties were previously calculated using a modular ratio of 7.00 at Service. Section properties will be calculated with the modular ratio of 7.22 based on the concrete strength at release.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}-1=28500 / 3946-1=6.22 \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{t}}=941+(6.22)(7.344)=986.68 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{tb}}=[(941)(36.439)+(6.22)(7.344)(5.500)] / 986.68=35.007 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{t}}=35.007-5.500=29.507 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}=671,108+(941)(36.439-35.007)^{2}+(6.22)(7.344)(35.007-5.50)^{2} \\
& =712,809 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The effective prestress force is the jacking stress minus the relaxation loss from time of stressing till time of transfer.

$$
P_{t}=[(0.75)(270)-2.23](0.153)(48)=1470.78 \mathrm{k}
$$

The concrete stress at the centroid of the prestress steel is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c g p}=(1470.78) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{986.68}+\frac{(29.507)^{2}}{712,809}\right]-\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(29.507)}{712,809} \\
& f_{c g p}=2.540 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The elastic shortening loss is then determined as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p E S}=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c t}} f_{c g p}=\frac{28500}{3946} \cdot(2.540)=18.35 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

This method of determining the elastic shortening loss eliminates the need to estimate losses or use a trial and error approach. The method is direct, simple and produces the same elastic shortening loss as the Commentary equation using the net section properties.
Approximate
Time-Dependent
Losses - 2006
$[5.9 .5 .3]$
[5.9.5.3-1]
[5.9.5.3-2]
[5.9.5.3-3]
[5.9.5.1-1]
[BPG]

For standard precast pretensioned members the long-term prestress losses due to creep of concrete, shrinkage of concrete and relaxation of prestress steel may be estimated as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p L T}=10.0 \frac{f_{p i} A_{p s}}{A_{g}} \gamma_{h} \gamma_{s t}+12.0 \gamma_{h} \gamma_{s t}+\Delta f_{p R}
$$

in which:

$$
\gamma_{h}=1.7-0.01 H=1.7-0.01(40)=1.30
$$

$$
\gamma_{s t}=\frac{5}{1+f_{c i}^{\prime}}=\frac{5}{1+4.7}=0.877
$$

$f_{p i}=$ prestressing steel stress immediately prior to transfer.
$f_{p i}=(0.75)(270)-2.23=200.27 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\Delta f_{p R}=$ an estimate of relaxation loss taken as 2.5 ksi for low relaxation strand.
$\Delta f_{p L T}=10.0 \cdot \frac{(200.27) \cdot(7.344)}{941} \cdot(1.30) \cdot(0.877)+12.0 \cdot(1.30) \cdot(0.877)+2.50$

$$
\Delta f_{p L T}=34.00 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

The final loss is shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta f_{p T}=\Delta f_{p R b t}+\Delta f_{p E S}+\Delta f_{p L T} \\
& \Delta f_{p T}=2.23+18.35+34.00=54.58 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The refined method of determining time-dependent losses is shown in Appendix C. At the time this problem was developed, Bridge Group was in the process of evaluating this method of loss calculation. For the purpose of this example, the approximate losses will be used. For actual designs, the method of determining the time-dependent losses should be in accordance with the ADOT LRFD Bridge Practice Guidelines.

Transfer Length Losses

Elastic Shortening [5.9.5.2.3a]

The elastic shortening loss will be calculated at the transfer length.
Transfer Length

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { c.g. }=19.160 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{tl}}=36.575-19.160=17.415 \mathrm{in} \\
& A_{p s}\left(r^{2}+e_{m}{ }^{2}\right)=(7.344) \cdot\left(716.43+(17.415)^{2}\right)=7489 \\
& \frac{I \cdot E_{c i}}{E_{p}}=\frac{(668,898) \cdot(3946)}{28,500}=92,613 \\
& \Delta f_{p E S}=\frac{(0.75 \cdot 270-2.23) \cdot(7489)-17.415 \cdot(93) \cdot(12)}{7489+92,613} \\
& \Delta f_{p E S}=14.79 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cgp}}$ and verify the elastic shortening loss.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{c g p}= & 7.344 \cdot[(0.75) \cdot(270)-2.23-14.79] \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(17.415)^{2}}{668,898}\right) \\
& -\frac{(93) \cdot(12) \cdot(17.415)}{668,898}=2.048 \mathrm{ksi} \\
\Delta f_{p E S}= & \frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} \cdot f_{c g p}=\left[\frac{28500}{3946}\right] \cdot(2.048)=14.79 \mathrm{ksi} \text { OK }
\end{aligned}
$$

The time-dependent losses will the same value at all locations when using the approximate equation.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pLT}}=34.00 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

The final loss is shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta f_{p T}=\Delta f_{p R b t}+\Delta f_{p E S}+\Delta f_{p L T} \\
& \Delta f_{p T}=2.23+14.79+34.00=51.02 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hold-Down Point

Elastic Shortening [5.9.5.2.3a]

The elastic shortening loss must also be calculated at the hold-down point to determine the concrete release stresses.

## Hold-Down Point

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{hd}}=36.682-5.500=31.182 \mathrm{in} \\
& A_{p s}\left(r^{2}+e_{m}{ }^{2}\right)=(7.344) \cdot\left(711.20+(31.182)^{2}\right)=12,364 \\
& \frac{I \cdot E_{c i}}{E_{p}}=\frac{(664,023) \cdot(3946)}{28,500}=91,938 \\
& \Delta f_{p E S}=\frac{(0.75 \cdot 270-2.23) \cdot(12364)-31.182 \cdot(1420) \cdot(12)}{12,364+91,938} \\
& \Delta f_{p E S}=18.65 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cgp}}$ and verify the elastic shortening loss.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{c g p}= & 7.344 \cdot[(0.75) \cdot(270)-2.23-18.65] \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182)^{2}}{664,023}\right) \\
& -\frac{(1420) \cdot(12) \cdot(31.182)}{664,023}=2.581 \mathrm{ksi} \\
\Delta f_{p E S}= & \frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} \cdot f_{c g p}=\left[\frac{28500}{3946}\right] \cdot(2.581)=18.64 \mathrm{ksi} \mathrm{OK}
\end{aligned}
$$

The time-dependent losses will the same value at all locations when using the approximate equation.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pLT}}=34.00 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

The final loss is shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta f_{p T}=\Delta f_{p R b t}+\Delta f_{p E S}+\Delta f_{p L T} \\
& \Delta f_{p T}=2.23+18.65+34.00=54.88 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Prestress
Strand Stress
[5.9.3-1]

## Step 5 - Check Allowable Stress in Strands

There are two limits for the stress in prestress strands for pretensioned members. The first allowable limit is immediately prior to transfer. The elastic shortening loss should not be included. This check is on the stress in the strands immediately prior to transfer. At this time there is no elastic shortening only relaxation before transfer since the stress has not been transferred to the concrete. The strands are usually pulled to a stress equal to $0.75 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}}$.
(1) $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pbt}}=0.75-2.23 / 270=0.742 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}}<0.75 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}}$ OK.

The second stress limit is a service limit state after all losses. The dead load, excluding self-weight and live load plus dynamic load allowance is considered.
$f_{p e}=0.75 f_{p u}-(54.58) /(270)=0.548 f_{p u}$ after all losses
At service limit state added dead load and live load plus dynamic allowance stresses are added to the strand stress since the strands are bonded.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\text {service }}= & {\left[\frac{(1773) \cdot 12 \cdot(29.555)}{711,399}+\frac{(350+273+2332) \cdot 12 \cdot(47.392)}{1,430,042}\right] } \\
& \cdot \frac{28,500}{4070}=14.419 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Strand stress $=0.548 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}}+(14.419) /\left(270 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}}\right)=0.601 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}}$
(2) Strand stress $=0.601 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}}<0.80 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{py}}=0.80(0.90) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}}=0.720 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pu}}$

Since the two criteria for stress in the strand are met, the jacking coefficient of 0.75 is satisfactory.

## Step 6 - Verify Initial Concrete Strength

Once the amount of prestressing steel is determined from tension criteria, the resulting concrete stress and required concrete strength can be determined. Service I Limit State is used to determine the initial concrete compressive stress. The concrete stress in compression before time dependent losses is limited to:

Allowable Compression $=0.60 f^{\prime}{ }_{c i}=(0.60) \cdot(4.7)=2.820 \mathrm{ksi}$
The basic equation for stress in concrete follows:

$$
f_{s}=A_{p s} f_{s i}\left(\frac{1}{A}+\frac{e_{m} y}{I}\right)+\frac{\sum(\gamma M) y}{I}
$$

Transfer Length (1.75 feet from CL Brg)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{si}} & =(0.75)(270)-2.23-14.79=185.48 \mathrm{ksi} \\
f_{b} & =\frac{(93) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.575)}{668,898}=-0.061 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f_{b}=(7.344) \cdot(185.48) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(17.415) \cdot(36.575)}{668,898}\right]-0.061=2.695 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Hold-Down Point (42.375 feet from CL Brg)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{si}}=(0.75)(270)-2.23-18.65=181.62 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=\frac{(1420) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}=-0.941 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=(7.344) \cdot(181.62) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}\right]-0.941=2.785 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## [BPG]

Normally the release strength should be less than 4.5 ksi. Higher values of up to 5.0 ksi may be allowed with permission of ADOT Bridge Group. For this problem the release strength is close enough. Therefore $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ci}}=4.7 \mathrm{ksi}$ is acceptable.

## Step 7 - Temporary Tension at Ends

The ends and hold-down points of the precast girders must be checked to ensure that the eccentricity is limited to keep any tension within the allowable limits. As with the compressive check, the end critical location will be at the end of the transfer length.

The allowable tension in the top of the precast girder without additional mild reinforcement equals:

## [5.9.4.1.2-1]

Allowable Tension $=0.0948 \sqrt{f^{\prime}{ }_{c i}}=0.0948 \sqrt{4.7}=0.206 \mathrm{ksi}<0.200 \mathrm{ksi}$
Transfer Length (1.75 feet from CL Brg)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{si}}=(0.75)(270)-2.23-14.79=185.48 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{t}=\frac{(93) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.425)}{668,898}=0.059 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f_{t}=(7.344) \cdot(185.48) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}-\frac{(17.415) \cdot(35.425)}{668,898}\right]+0.059=0.262 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Hold-Down Point ( 42.375 feet from CL Brg)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{si}} & =(0.75)(270)-2.23-18.65=181.62 \mathrm{ksi} \\
f_{t} & =\frac{(1420) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}=0.906 \mathrm{ksi} \\
f_{t} & =(7.344) \cdot(181.62) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}-\frac{(31.182) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}\right]+0.906=0.122 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since there is no tension in the top of the girder, the criteria is satisfied without adding mild reinforcing in the top of the girder.

## Step 8 - Determine Final Concrete Strength

[5.9.4.2-1]
The required final concrete strength is determined after all prestress losses at the midspan and the transfer length. Service load combinations are used for the three compressive load cases.

Midspan

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pe}}=0.75(270)-54.58=147.92 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{pe}}=(7.344)(147.92)=1086.32 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case I - Permanent Loads plus Effective Prestress
Allowable Compression $=0.45 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{C}}=(0.45)(5.0)=2.250 \mathrm{ksi}$

$$
f_{t}=(1086.32) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}-\frac{(31.182) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}\right]+0.959+1.105+0.100
$$

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.526 \mathrm{ksi}<2.250 \mathrm{ksi} \text { Allowable }
$$

Case II - One-half the Case I loads plus LL + IM
Allowable Compression $=0.40 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=(0.40)(5.0)=2.000 \mathrm{ksi}$
$f_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot[1.526]+0.374=1.137 \mathrm{ksi}$
$f_{t}=1.137 \mathrm{ksi}<2.000 \mathrm{ksi}$ Allowable

Case III - Effective Prestress, Permanent Loads and Transient Loads
Allowable Compression $=0.60 \varphi_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=0.60(1.00)(5.0)=3.000 \mathrm{ksi}$
Since the I-girder is not a hollow section $\varphi_{\mathrm{w}}$ shall be taken equal to 1.0 .

$$
f_{t}=(1086.32) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}-\frac{(31.182) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}\right]+2.538=1.900 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.900 \mathrm{ksi}<3.000$ ksi Allowable

## Transfer Length

## Transfer Length

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pe}}=0.75(270)-51.02=151.48 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{pe}}=(7.344)(151.48)=1112.47 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case I - Permanent Loads plus Effective Prestress

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{b} & =(1112.47) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(17.415) \cdot(36.575)}{668,898}\right]-0.061-0.066-0.018 \\
& =2.106 \mathrm{ksi} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}} & =2.106 \mathrm{ksi}<2.250 \mathrm{ksi} \text { Allowable }
\end{aligned}
$$

Case II - One-half the Case I loads plus LL + IM
Allowable Compression $=0.40 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=(0.40)(5.0)=2.000 \mathrm{ksi}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{b} & =\frac{1}{2} \cdot[2.106]-0.069=0.984 \mathrm{ksi} \\
f_{b} & =0.984 \mathrm{ksi}<2.000 \mathrm{ksi} \text { Allowable }
\end{aligned}
$$

Case III - Effective Prestress, Permanent Loads and Transient Loads
Allowable Compression $=0.60 \varphi_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{f}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=0.60(1.00)(5.0)=3.000 \mathrm{ksi}$
Since the I-girder is not a hollow section $\varphi_{\mathrm{w}}$ shall be taken equal to 1.0.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{b} & =(1112.47) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(17.415) \cdot(36.575)}{668,898}\right]-0.061-0.066-0.018-0.069 \\
& =2.037 \mathrm{ksi} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}} & =2.037 \mathrm{ksi}<3.000 \mathrm{ksi} \text { Allowable }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 9 - Determine Final Concrete Tension

Determination of the tension in the concrete is a Service III Limit State. The allowable tension after all losses is limited to:

$$
\text { Allowable Tension }=0.0948 \sqrt{f^{\prime}{ }_{c}}=0.0948 \sqrt{5.0}=0.212 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

The basic equation for stress in concrete is:

$$
f=A_{p s} f_{s e}\left[\frac{1}{A}+\frac{e_{m} y}{I}\right]-\frac{\sum(\gamma M) y}{I}
$$

Bottom fiber at Midspan

$$
f_{b}=(1086.32) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}\right]-3.149=-0.114 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Since the tension is less than the allowable tension the criteria is satisfied.

Fatigue
Limit State
[5.5.3.1]
Fatigue of the reinforcement need not be checked for fully prestressed components designed to have extreme fiber tensile stress due to Service III Limit State within the tensile stress limit specified in Table 5.9.4.2.2-1.

Flexural
Resistance
[5.7.3]
[5.7.3.1.1-1]
[5.7.3.1.1-2]
[5.7.3.1.1-4]

## Step 10 - Flexural Resistance

The flexural resistance of the I-girder must exceed the applied factored loads. Strength I is used.

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=\varphi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}<\sum \gamma \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}
$$

Midspan
STRENGTH I: $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=9023 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ps}}=(0.153)(48)=7.344 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& f_{p s}=f_{p u}\left(1-k \frac{c}{d_{p}}\right) \\
& k=2\left(1.04-\frac{f_{p y}}{f_{p u}}\right)=2\left(1.04-\frac{243}{270}\right)=0.28 \text { for low relaxation strand }
\end{aligned}
$$

For a rectangular section without mild reinforcing steel:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}=72.00+8.00-0.5 \text { w.s. }-5.50=74.00 \text { inches }
$$

When the depth of the stress block is contained in the top deck slab only:

$$
\begin{gathered}
c=\frac{A_{p s} f_{p u}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} \beta_{1} b+k A_{p s} \frac{f_{p u}}{d_{p}}} \\
c=\frac{(7.344) \cdot(270)}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(0.825) \cdot(108.00)+0.28 \cdot(7.344) \cdot \frac{270}{74.00}}=5.69<\mathrm{t}_{\text {slab }}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the stress block depth is less than the slab thickness, the section is treated as a rectangular section:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=c \beta_{1}=(5.69) \cdot(0.825)=4.69 \text { in } \\
& f_{p s}=(270) \cdot\left(1-(0.28) \cdot \frac{5.69}{74.00}\right)=264.19 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n}=A_{p s} f_{p s}\left(d_{p}-\frac{a}{2}\right) \\
& M_{n}=(7.344) \cdot(264.19) \cdot\left(74.00-\frac{4.69}{2}\right) \div 12=11,585 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The tensile strain must be calculated as follows:

$$
\varepsilon_{T}=0.003\left(\frac{d_{T}}{c}-1\right)=0.003 \cdot\left(\frac{74.00}{5.69}-1\right)=0.036
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{T}}>0.005$, the member is tension controlled and $\varphi=1.00$.

$$
\varphi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=1.0(11,585)=11,585 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}>9023 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$\therefore$ Section is adequate for flexural strength

The 2006 Interim Revisions has eliminated this requirement. The tensile strain check and any adjustment of phi as required will satisfy this requirement.

There is also a minimum amount of reinforcement that must be provided in a section. The amount of prestressed and nonprestressed tensile reinforcement shall be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the lesser of:
$1.2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cr}}$
or
$1.33 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$
[5.7.3.3.2-1]

$$
M_{c r}=S_{c}\left(f_{r}+f_{c p e}\right)-M_{d n c}\left(\frac{S_{c}}{S_{n c}}-1\right) \geq S_{c} f_{r}
$$

The formula does not apply well to using transformed section properties since there are two non-composite dead load section moduli. Therefore gross section properties will be used.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{c}=\frac{I}{y_{b}}=\frac{1,328,521}{54.113}=24,551 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
& S_{n c}=\frac{I}{y_{b}}=\frac{671,108}{36.439}=18,417 \mathrm{in}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The net section properties with the inclusion of the elastic shortening loss in used to determine the effective stress due to prestress.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{p e}=(1086.32) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}\right]=3.035 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& M_{c r}=(24,551) \cdot(0.827+3.035) \div 12-(1773) \cdot\left(\frac{24,551}{18,417}-1\right)=7311 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& S_{c} f_{r}=(24,551) \cdot(0.827) \div 12=1692 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
1.2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cr}}=(1.2)(7311)=8773<\varphi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=11,585 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$\therefore$ The minimum reinforcing requirement is satisfied.

## Positive Moment Continuity Connection

## Creep Factor

The girders must be connected at the bottom to resist any positive moment at the supports. This moment is caused by the restraint of the girders, as the ends tend to rotate due to creep and shrinkage. Usually strands are extended and hooked up into the cast-in-place diaphragm to resist this moment.

The LRFD Specification does not contain any direction as to design for these forces. Therefore, the design for the positive moment connection will follow the procedure outlined in the PCA publication "Design of Continuous Highway Bridges with Precast, Prestressed Concrete Girders", August 1969. Figures referenced PCA in this section refer to Figures in that publication. The end rotations from dead load, prestress and differential shrinkage from the cast-in-place slab are restrained by the continuity connection with appropriate creep factors considered. In addition negative moments will result from the composite dead load such as the parapet and sidewalk. For a two span bridge, positive moments will not result from live loads.

Since creep is time dependent, the amount of positive restraint moment induced depends on the time when the continuity connection is made. The sooner the connection is made the higher the restraint moments will be. For design purposes 30 days will be used. For design, the ultimate creep value of $0.35 \times 10^{-6}$ may be taken from PCA Figure 5 for a concrete with an initial modulus of 3946 ksi using the 20-year creep curve as the ultimate creep. This value must be modified to adjust for the effect of age when the girders are prestressed and for the volume/surface ratio.

Assuming the prestress is transferred to the concrete at day one, the creep adjustment factor is 1.80 from PCA Figure 6. The girder volume/surface ratio is 3.89 . The creep adjustment factor for volume/surface ratio is 1.21 from PCA Figure 7.

The amount of creep that has occurred before the connection is made at an assumed time of 30 days is 40 percent from PCA Figure 8 . This means that 60 percent of the creep occurs after the connection is made contributing to the restraint moment.

The adjusted creep strain is:

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(0.35 \times 10^{-6}\right)(1.80)(1.21)(0.60)=0.457 \times 10^{-6}
$$

The effects of creep under prestress and dead load can be evaluated by standard elastic analysis methods by assuming the elements were cast and prestressed as a monolithic continuous girder. The variable $\varphi$ is the ratio of creep strain to elastic strain. This value can be determined by multiplying the creep strain by the modulus of concrete as follows:

$$
\varphi=\left(0.457 \times 10^{-6}\right)\left(3946 \times 10^{3}\right)=1.803
$$

## Non-Composite DL and $P / S$

The continuity moments are then multiplied by the following factor to account for creep:

$$
\text { Creep Factor }=\left(1-e^{-\varphi}\right)=\left(1-e^{-1.803}\right)=0.835
$$

Once the girders are restrained, additional creep rotation from the noncomposite dead load of the girder, diaphragms and deck slab will cause a restraint moment. This restraint moment is the moment at the support resulting from the analysis of a continuous beam with the weight of the girder, diaphragms and slab adjusted by the dead load creep factor. From the continuous beam analysis the resulting dead loads follow:
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Girder } & -1537 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\ \text { Diaphragms } & -103 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\ \text { Slab \& NC DL } & -\mathbf{- 1 6 5 5} \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\ & -\mathbf{3 2 9 5} \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}\end{array}$
CR: Adjusted DL $=(-3295)(0.835)=-2751 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$


The final prestress force is applied to the continuous beam resulting in a positive support moment of $5779 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$.

CR: Adjusted P/S = (5779)(0.835) $=4825 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
The parapet and sidewalk will cause negative moments at the piers. However, since the loads are applied after the bridge has been made continuous and are not the result of creep restraint, there is no creep modification factor for these loads. The following pier moments result:

DC: Parapet \& Sidewalk -358 ft-k
The remaining force is the differential shrinkage caused by the time delay between casting the girders and placing the deck. During this time, the girder shortens due to shrinkage. When the deck is cured the deck and girder will shorten together. However, the deck must undergo all its shrinkage while the girder has already seen much of its shortening. The deck will shorten more relative to the girder causing a positive moment along the span. This results in a negative restraint moment at the support.

When test data is not available, the ultimate shrinkage of concrete at a relative humidity of 50 percent can be estimated as $0.600 \times 10^{-3}$. This value must be corrected for humidity variances. For a relative humidity of 40 percent the correction factor is 1.09 from PCA Figure 10.

Assuming a 30 day lapse between casting the girders and placing the deck, the girder will have undergone 40 percent of its shrinkage as seen from PCA Figure 8. This means that the girder/deck system will see a differential shrinkage equal to 40 percent of the total shrinkage. The differential shrinkage strain is:

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(0.600 \times 10^{-3}\right)(1.09)(0.40)=0.262 \times 10^{-3}
$$

The equation for the differential shrinkage moment applied to the girder along its entire length is:

$$
M_{d s}=\varepsilon_{s} E_{b} A_{b}\left(y_{t}+\frac{t}{2}\right)
$$

$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{s}}=$ differential shrinkage strain
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ elastic modulus for the deck slab concrete $=3861 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ area of deck slab $=(7.50)(108)=810$ in $^{2}$
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ distance to the top of beam from the centroid of the gross composite section $=17.887$ in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{d s}=\left(0.262 \times 10^{-3}\right) \cdot(3861) \cdot(810) \cdot\left(17.887+\frac{7.50}{2}\right) \div 12 \\
& M_{d s}=1477 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

For a 2 span continuous girder with equal spans, the support moment will equal 1.50 times the uniformly applied moment. Therefore the support moment equals $-(1.50)(1477)=-2216 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$.

The negative support moment due to differential shrinkage is adjusted for creep by the following factor:

$$
\text { Creep Factor }=\frac{\left(1-e^{-\varphi}\right)}{\varphi}=\frac{\left(1-e^{-1.803}\right)}{1.803}=0.463
$$

The support moment for differential shrinkage must be adjusted by the above creep factor resulting in:

CR: Adjusted Differential Shrinkage $=(0.463)(-2216)=-1026 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

## Limit States

## [3.4.1]

Service I
Limit State

Combining the above loads results in the following:

## Service I Limit State

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}=1.0(\mathrm{DC})+1.0(\mathrm{SH}+\mathrm{CR}) \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}=1.0(-358)+1.0(-2751+4825-1026)=690 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Strength I Limit State

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=0.90(\mathrm{DC})+0.5(\mathrm{SH}+\mathrm{CR}) \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=0.90(-358)+0.5(-2751+4825-1026)=202 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The prestress strands will be extended to resist the positive moment. The strands will be designed based on the criteria in Report No. FHWA-RD-77-14, "End Connections of Pretensioned I-Beam Bridge", November 1974.

Try extending 8 strands (4 in the bottom row and 4 in the second row). For a service limit check:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=8(0.153)=1.224 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{g} .=[4(2.0)+4(4.0)] / 8=3.00 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{~d}=80.00-0.5 \mathrm{ws}-3.00=76.50 \text { inch } \\
& p=\frac{A_{s}}{b d}=\frac{1.224}{(108.00) \cdot(76.50)}=0.000148 \\
& \mathrm{np}=(7)(0.000148)=0.00104 \\
& k=\sqrt{2 n p+n p^{2}}-n p=\sqrt{2 \cdot(0.00104)+(0.00104)^{2}}-0.00104=0.045 \\
& j=1-\frac{k}{3}=1-\frac{0.045}{3}=0.985 \\
& f_{s}=\frac{M_{s}}{A_{s} j d}=\frac{(690) \cdot(12)}{(1.224) \cdot(0.985) \cdot(76.50)}=89.77 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

From test data in the research report, the recommended development length due to service loads for strands bent $90^{\circ}$ over a reinforcing bar is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{e}}=0.228 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}+8.25^{\prime \prime} \text { when } \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{pb}} \leq 8.25 \text { " } \\
& L_{e}=0.225\left[f_{s}-\frac{L_{p b}-8.25}{0.472}\right]+L_{p b} \text { when } \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{pb}}>8.25^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Normally the gap between girders is 12 inches. With two rows of strands extended, the lower one is extended 10 inches, while the upper is extended 8 inches. To simplify the design assume that both rows are extended only 8 inches. Therefore $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{pb}}$, the length to the bend, is $\leq 8.25$ inches.

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{e}}=(0.228)(89.77)+8.25=28.7 \text { inches }
$$

The strength limit state must also be checked. From the research report an upper limit of 150 ksi is placed on the stress in the strand with the required development length as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{e}}=0.163 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ps}}+8.25 \text { when } \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{pb}} \leq 8.25 \text { " } \\
& L_{e}=0.163\left[f_{p s}-\frac{L_{p b}-8.25}{0.337}\right]+L_{p b} \text { when } \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{pb}}>8.25 \text { " }
\end{aligned}
$$

Try a 29 inch extension and rearrange the equation to solve for $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ps}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{p s}=\frac{L_{e}-8.25}{0.163}=\frac{29-8.25}{0.163}=127.30 \mathrm{ksi} \leq 150 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& a=\frac{A_{s} f_{p s}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b}=\frac{(1.224) \cdot(127.30)}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(108.00)}=0.38 \mathrm{in} \\
& \varphi M_{n}=\phi A_{s} f_{p s}\left(d-\frac{a}{2}\right)=(0.90) \cdot(1.224) \cdot(127.30) \cdot\left(76.50-\frac{0.38}{2}\right) \div 12 \\
& \varphi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=892 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=202 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the service and strength limit states are satisfied by extending 8 strands a total of 29 inches.

## Negative Moment Continuity Reinforcement

## Creep Factor

## Differential Shrinkage

The precast prestressed I-girder behaves as a simple span under self-weight and the non-composite dead loads. However, this bridge type is made continuous to eliminate the expansion joints and improve the riding surface of the deck. Continuity is provided by designing an adequate amount of mild reinforcing steel in the top slab of the deck to resist the negative moments from the composite dead loads, live load plus dynamic load allowance and any creep or shrinkage restraint moment.

To maximize the negative moment, the restraint moment should be determined at a time of 120 days. This longer time will produce a greater negative shrinkage restraint moment than the normal 60 days assumed for the deck pour and the 30 days assumed for positive connection design. The method of determining the shrinkage restraint forces was shown in the previous section on positive moment continuity connection.

The only variable that changes from the previous calculation is the time used for creep. The amount of creep that has occurred before the connection is made, at an assumed time of 120 days, is 65 percent from PCA Figure 8. This means that 35 percent of the creep occurs after the connection is made contributing to the restraint moment.

The adjusted creep strain is:

$$
\varepsilon_{s}=\left(0.35 \times 10^{-6}\right) \cdot(1.80) \cdot(1.21) \cdot(0.35)=0.267 \times 10^{-6}
$$

The variable $\varphi$ is determined as follows:

$$
\varphi=\left(0.267 \times 10^{-6}\right)\left(3946 \times 10^{3}\right)=1.054
$$

The continuity moments are then multiplied by the following factor to account for creep:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Creep Factor }=\left(1-e^{-\varphi}\right)=\left(1-e^{-1.054}\right)=0.651 \\
& \text { CR: Adjusted DL }=(-3295)(0.651)=-2145 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

CR: Adjusted P/S = (5779)(0.651) $=3762 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Assuming a 120 day lapse between casting the girders and placing the deck, the girder will have undergone 65 percent of its shrinkage as seen from PCA Figure 8. This means that the girder/deck system will see a differential shrinkage equal to 65 percent of the total shrinkage. The differential shrinkage strain is:

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(0.600 \times 10^{-3}\right)(1.09)(0.65)=0.425 \times 10^{-3}
$$

The differential shrinkage moment equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ds}}=\left(0.425 \times 10^{-3}\right)(3861)(810)(17.887+7.50 / 2) \div 12 \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ds}}=2397 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The negative support moment due to differential shrinkage is adjusted for creep by the following factor:

$$
\text { Creep Factor }=\frac{\left(1-e^{-\varphi}\right)}{\varphi}=\frac{\left(1-e^{-1.054}\right)}{1.054}=0.618
$$

From a continuous beam analysis of uniformly applied moment from differential shrinkage, the support moment equals $-(1.50)(2397)=-3596 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$.
This value must be adjusted by the creep factor of 0.618 resulting in a moment equal to $(0.618)(-3596)=-2222 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$.

A continuous beam analysis is made for DC, DW and LL+IM for two 112 foot spans. For an interior girder the live load from one vehicle is modified by the distribution factor of 0.748 and the skew reduction factor of 0.956 . The sum of the creep and shrinkage moments equal $-2145+3762-2222=-605 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

## Strength I <br> Limit State

For the Strength I Limit State where DC and DW moments are positive the FWS is ignored and the following equation applies:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=0.90(\mathrm{DC})+1.75(\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM})+0.5(\mathrm{CR}+\mathrm{SH})
$$

When both DC and DW are negative the following equation applies:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.25(\mathrm{DC})+1.50(\mathrm{DW})+1.75(\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM})+0.5(\mathrm{CR}+\mathrm{SH})
$$

A summary of negative moments follows:
Span 1

|  | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DC (Parapet) | 200 | 179 | 129 | 50 | -57 | -193 | -358 |
| DW (FWS) | 156 | 140 | 100 | 39 | -45 | -151 | -279 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Vehicle | -602 | -752 | -903 | -1053 | -1203 | -1493 | -2648 |
| LL + IM | -430 | -538 | -646 | -753 | -860 | -1068 | -1894 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SH + CR | -242 | -303 | -363 | -424 | -484 | -545 | -605 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service I | -472 | -662 | -880 | -1127 | -1446 | -1957 | -3136 |
| Strength I | -694 | -932 | -1196 | -1485 | -1886 | -2609 | 4483 |

## Negative Moment Design

## Service I

Limit State
[3.4.1]

## Allowable Stress

Even though the top surface serves as the deck, the allowable stress in the longitudinal direction is not limited to 24 ksi as is the case for transverse reinforcing. Therefore the service limit state may not control the design.

$$
M_{s}=1.0\left(M_{D C}+M_{D W}\right)+1.0\left(M_{L L+I M}\right)+1.0\left(M_{S H+C R}\right)
$$

Composite Loads:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM}}=(-2648)(0.748)(0.956)=-1894 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}=1.0(-358-279)+1.0(-1894)+1.0(-605)=-3136 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

Try \#8 reinforcing bars

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}}=80.00-2.50 \text { clear }-0.625-1.00 / 2=76.38 \text { inches }
$$

Since there is no direct check for the allowable stress, assume a stress of 36 ksi maximum with the understanding that the resulting area of steel may have to be adjusted.

$$
A_{s} \approx \frac{M_{s}}{f_{s} j d_{s}}=\frac{(3136) \cdot(12)}{(36.0) \cdot(0.9) \cdot(76.38)}=15.21 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

Try \#8 @ 6 inches

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=(18)(0.79)=14.22 \text { in }^{2} \text { per girder }
$$

Determine stress block depth assuming a rectangular section.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=\frac{A_{s}}{b d_{s}}=\frac{14.22}{(26.00) \cdot(76.38)}=0.00716 \\
& n p=7(0.00716)=0.05012 \\
& k=\sqrt{2 n p+n p^{2}}-n p=\sqrt{2 \cdot(0.05012)+(0.05012)^{2}}-0.05012=0.270
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
k d=(0.270)(76.38)=20.62 \text { in }>8.00 \text { inch deep flange of girder }
$$

Since the depth of the stress block exceeds the depth of the flange, the section must be treated as T-section. To simplify the analysis a conservative assumption is made to ignore the 10 inch triangular flanges and treat the section as a T-section with a 26 inch wide by 8 inch deep flange and a 6 inch web as shown in Figure 17.


Figure 17

Transform the area of reinforcing into an equivalent area of concrete and take moments about the neutral axis resulting in the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n A_{s}(d-k d)=\left(b-b_{w}\right) h_{f}\left(k d-h_{f} \div 2\right)+b_{w}(k d)^{2} \div 2 \\
& \left(b_{w} \div 2\right)(k d)^{2}+\left[\left(b-b_{w}\right) h_{f}+n A_{s}\right](k d)-\left(b-b_{w}\right) h_{f}^{2} \div 2-n A_{s} d=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving the quadratic equation for kd results in the following coefficients:
$A=b_{w} \div 2=6.00 \div 2=3.00$
$B=\left(b-b_{w}\right) h_{f}+n A_{s}=(26-6)(8.00)+7(14.22)=259.54$
$C=-\left(b-b_{w}\right) h_{f}^{2} \div 2-n_{s} d=-(26-6)(8.00)^{2} \div 2-7(14.22)(76.38)$ $=-8243$
$k d=\frac{-259.54+\sqrt{(259.54)^{2}-4 \cdot(3.00) \cdot(-8243)}}{2 \cdot(3.00)}=24.71$ in

Determine the moment of inertia of the cracked section about the neutral axis as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{c r}= & n A_{s}(d-k d)^{2}+\frac{b_{\mathrm{w}}(k d)^{3}}{3}+\frac{\left(b-b_{w}\right) h_{f}^{3}}{12}+\left(b-b_{w}\right) h_{f}\left(k d-\frac{h_{f}}{2}\right)^{2} \\
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{cr}}= & (7)(14.22)(76.38-24.71)^{2}+(6.00)(24.71)^{3} \div 3+(26-6)(8.00)^{3} \div 12 \\
& +(26-6)(8.00)(24.71-8.00 \div 2)^{2}=365,404 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}= & 76.38-24.71=51.67 \mathrm{in} \\
f_{s}= & \frac{(7) \cdot(3136) \cdot(12) \cdot(51.67)}{365,404}=37.25 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

There is no direct allowable stress limit in the LRFD Specification. The Bridge Group limit of 24 ksi for decks applies to the transverse reinforcing not the longitudinal reinforcing. However, the reinforcing stress is required in determining the maximum allowable reinforcing spacing.

## Control of Cracking

 [5.7.3.4]For all concrete components in which the tension in the cross-section exceeds 80 percent of the modulus of rupture at the service limit state load combination the maximum spacing requirement in equation 5.7.3.4-1 shall be satisfied.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{sa}}=0.80 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}=0.80\left(0.24 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}\right)=0.80(0.509)=0.407 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{c r}=\frac{M_{s} y_{t}}{I_{g}}=\frac{(3136) \cdot(12) \cdot(17.887+7.5)}{1,328,521}=0.719 \mathrm{ksi}>\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{sa}}=0.407 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the service limit state cracking stress exceeds the allowable, the spacing, s , of mild steel reinforcing in the layer closest to the tension force shall satisfy the following:

$$
s \leq \frac{700 \gamma_{e}}{\beta_{s} f_{s}}-2 d_{c}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{\mathrm{e}}=0.75 \text { for Class } 2 \text { exposure condition for decks } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=2.5 \text { clear }+0.625+1.00 \div 2=3.63 \text { inches } \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}=37.25 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{~h}=80.00 \text { inches }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{s}=1+\frac{d_{c}}{0.7\left(h-d_{c}\right)}=1+\frac{3.63}{0.7 \cdot(80.00-3.63)}=1.07 \\
& s \leq \frac{(700) \cdot(0.75)}{(1.07) \cdot(37.25)}-(2) \cdot(3.63)=5.91 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the spacing of 6.0 inches is approximately equal to 5.91 inches (1.5\% overstress), the cracking criteria is satisfied.

## Strength I <br> Limit State

[3.4.1]
[5.7.3.1.1-4]
[5.7.3.1.1-3]
[5.7.3.2.2-1]
[5.7.3.2.3]

Factored moment for Strength I is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{u}=\gamma_{D C}\left(M_{D C}\right)+\gamma_{D W}\left(M_{D W}\right)+1.75\left(M_{L L+I M}\right)+0.5\left(M_{S H+C R}\right) \\
& M_{u}=1.25 \cdot(-358)+1.50 \cdot(-279)+1.75 \cdot(-1894)+0.5 \cdot(-605) \\
& M_{u}=-4483 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Verify section type by calculating depth of rectangular stress block.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=\frac{A_{s} f_{y}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} \beta_{1} b_{w}} \\
& c=\frac{(14.22) \cdot(60)}{0.85 \cdot(5.0) \cdot(0.800) \cdot(26.00)}=9.65 \text { in }>8.00 \text { in flange depth }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the depth is greater than the flange depth the section must be treated as a T-section. For a T-section the neutral axis is located at the depth c as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=\frac{A_{s} f_{y}-0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c}\left(b-b_{w}\right) h_{f}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} \beta_{1} b_{w}} \\
& c=\frac{(14.22) \cdot(60)-0.85 \cdot(5.0) \cdot(26-6) \cdot(8.00)}{0.85 \cdot(5.0) \cdot(0.800) \cdot(6.00)}=8.49 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The flexural resistance of a reinforced concrete T-section is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{r}=\phi M_{n} \\
& M_{n}=A_{s} f_{y}\left(d_{s}-\frac{a}{2}\right)+0.85 f_{c}^{\prime}\left(b-b_{w}\right) h_{f}\left(\frac{a}{2}-\frac{h_{f}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
a=\beta_{1} c=(0.800) \cdot(8.49)=6.79 \text { in }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n}=(14.22) \cdot(60) \cdot\left(76.38-\frac{6.79}{2}\right) \div 12 \\
& +0.85 \cdot(5.0) \cdot(26-6) \cdot(8.00) \cdot\left(\frac{6.79}{2}-\frac{8.00}{2}\right) \div 12=5155 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The neutral axis is close to the flange depth and the $\beta_{1}$ factor was eliminated from the numerator of the equation for ' $c$ ' in the 2006 Interims. This results in the unusual situation where the depth of the stress block in the web is less than the flange thickness producing a negative number for the second term above.

The tensile strain must be calculated as follows:

$$
\varepsilon_{T}=0.003\left(\frac{d_{t}}{c}-1\right)=0.003 \cdot\left(\frac{76.38}{8.49}-1\right)=0.024
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{T}}>0.005$, the member is tension controlled and $\varphi=0.90$ for the reinforced member.

$$
M_{r}=(0.90) \cdot(5155)=4640 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

Since the flexural resistance, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=4640 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$, is greater than the factored moment, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=4483 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$, the strength limit state is satisfied.

The 2006 Interim Revisions eliminated this requirement.

The LRFD Specification specifies that all sections requiring reinforcing must have sufficient strength to resist a moment equal to at least 1.2 times the moment that causes a concrete section to crack or $1.33 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$. Use the composite gross section properties for this calculation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=(1,328,521) /(17.887+7.50)=52,331 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
& 1.2 M_{c r}=1.2 f_{r} S_{c}=(1.2) \cdot(0.785) \cdot(52,331) \div 12=4108 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& 1.2 M_{c r}=4108 \leq M_{r}=4640 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ The minimum reinforcement limit is satisfied.

## Fatigue

Fatigue Load
[3.6.1.4]

Multiple Presence

## Factor

[3.6.1.1.2]
Fatigue
Limit State
[3.4.1]
[5.5.3.2-1]

The stress range in the continuous reinforcing over the pier must be checked for fatigue.

The fatigue load shall be one design truck but with a constant 30.0 feet between the 32.0 kip axles. The dynamic load allowance of $15 \%$ shall be applied to the fatigue load. From the live load generator, the maximum fatigue truck moment including dynamic load allowance at the support is $-813 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$. The minimum fatigue truck moment is zero since there can be no positive live load moment at the support in a 2 span bridge.

The live load distribution for an interior girder with one design lane loaded is 0.513 .

The multiple presence factor is already included in the distribution factor. Therefore when investigating fatigue, the force effect is divided by 1.20 .

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.75 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM}}=(0.75)(813)(0.513)(0.956) / 1.20=249 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
f_{\text {range }}=\frac{(7) \cdot(249) \cdot(12) \cdot(51.67)}{365,404}=2.96 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
f_{f}=21-0.33 f_{\min }+8\left(\frac{r}{h}\right)
$$

When the actual value of $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{h}$ is not known use a value of 0.30 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\min }=2.96+\frac{(7) \cdot(358+279) \cdot(12) \cdot(51.67)}{365,404}=10.53 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{f}=21-(0.33) \cdot(10.53)+8 \cdot(0.30)=19.93 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the stress range of 2.96 ksi is less than the allowable fatigue stress of 19.93 ksi, the fatigue criteria for the reinforcing is satisfied.

The superstructure is adequately reinforced for negative moment using \#8 at 6 inches over the pier. This reinforcing can be reduced along the span based on the negative moment diagram considering the service, strength and fatigue limit states. The reinforcing anchorage requirements in 5.14.1.2.7b shall be satisfied.

Shear [5.8]

Critical Section
[5.8.3.2]
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}$
[5.8.2.9]

The LRFD method of shear design is a complete change from the methods specified in the Standard Specifications and that used by ADOT. For this example an in-depth shear design will be performed at the critical locations in Span 1 near the abutment and pier.

The critical location is located a distance $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}$ from the face of the support. This creates a problem in that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}$ is largest of three values, two of which are a function of distance from the support. To eliminate the iterative process in determining the critical shear location, a simplification is required. It is recommended that the equation, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=0.72 \mathrm{~h}$ be used to determine the critical shear location. Since $d_{v}$ is the larger of the three values determined in Step 3, using $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=0.72 \mathrm{~h}=(0.72)(72.0+7.50) / 12=4.77$ feet will be conservative.

## Step 1 - Determine Shear

Shears and moments from a simple span analysis will be slightly higher near the abutment than from a continuous beam analysis and will be used in this analysis. Shears and moments from a continuous beam analysis will be used near the pier. The more critical design will be used in the final solution to obtain a symmetrical shear reinforcing pattern.

## Abutment Shear

For a uniform load, w, distributed along the entire span, $L$, the shear at a distance x from the support is: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}=(\mathrm{w})(\mathrm{L} / 2-\mathrm{x})$.

Simple span shears 4.77 feet from the centerline bearing of abutment for an interior girder are determined as follows:

| Girder | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=0.980[(110.75) / 2-4.77]$ | $=49.6 \mathrm{kips}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Diaphragm | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=4.92(1 / 2)$ | $=2.5 \mathrm{kips}$ |
| Non-Comp | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=1.068[(110.75) / 2-4.77]$ | $=54.0 \mathrm{kips}$ |
| Comp DL | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=0.228[(110.75) / 2-4.77]$ | $=11.5 \mathrm{kips}$ |
| DC | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=49.6+2.5+54.0+11.5$ | $=117.6 \mathrm{kips}$ |
| DW | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=0.178[(110.75) / 2-4.77]$ | $=9.0 \mathrm{kips}$ |

For a partially distributed uniform load starting at a distance x from the support the shear at a distance $x$ from the support is: $V_{x}=(w)(L-x)^{2} \div(2 L)$

Design Lane $\quad V_{\text {Crit }}=\left[(0.640)(110.75-4.77)^{2}\right] \div[2(110.75)] \quad=32.5 \mathrm{k}$
Design Truck $V_{\text {Crit }}=[32(105.98)+32(91.98)+8(77.98)] \div 110.75=62.8 \mathrm{k}$
Design Tandm $V_{\text {Crit }}=[25(105.98)+25(101.98)] \div 110.75 \quad=46.9 \mathrm{k}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Vehicle } & V_{\text {Crit }}=32.5+1.33(62.8) & =116.0 \mathrm{k} \\ \text { Ped } & \mathrm{V}_{\text {Git }}=\left[(0.450)(110.75-4.77)^{2}\right] \div[2(110.75)] & =22.8 \mathrm{k}\end{array}$
Ped LL $\quad V_{\text {Crit }}=\left[(0.450)(110.75-4.77)^{2}\right] \div[2(110.75)] \quad=22.8 \mathrm{k}$

The LRFD Specification has made major changes to the live load distribution factors. There are different factors for flexure and shear. For precast prestressed concrete girders with a cast-in-place concrete deck, the typical cross section is identified as Type (k).

The range of applicability of all variables is within the allowable as shown below:
Applicable Range

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{S}=\text { spacing of girders }=9.00 \mathrm{ft} & 3.5 \leq \mathrm{S} \leq 16.0 \\
\mathrm{~L}=\text { span length of girder }=110.75 \mathrm{ft} . & 20 \leq \mathrm{L} \leq 240 \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}=\text { deck slab thickness }=7.50 \text { in } & 4.5 \leq \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}} \leq 12.0 \\
\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}=\text { Number Girders }=9 & \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}} \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

The live load distribution factor for shear will be determined based on the requirements for both an interior and exterior girder. The more critical of the two values will be used in the design. The distribution of live load per lane for shear for an interior beam with one design lane loaded is:

$$
L L \text { Distribution }=0.36+\frac{S}{25.0}=0.36+\frac{9.00}{25.0}=0.720
$$

The distribution for two or more design lanes loaded is:

$$
\text { LL Distribution }=0.2+\frac{S}{12}-\left(\frac{S}{35}\right)^{2.0}=0.2+\frac{9.00}{12}-\left(\frac{9.00}{35}\right)^{2.0}=0.884
$$

## Exterior

LL Distribution
[4.6.2.2.3b-1]

## Interior

LL Distribution
[4.6.2.2.3a-1]
[4.6.2.2.3a-1]

Since $d_{e}$ equals -4.00 and is outside the range of applicability for the

Skew Effect
[4.6.2.2.3c-1]

## Live Load Shear

## Strength I

Limit State
[3.4.1]
distribution formula the formula cannot be used.

For girder bridges with diaphragms see the additional criteria for live load distribution factors for moments where $\mathrm{R}=0.462$ with the pedestrian load and $\mathrm{R}=0.567$ without the pedestrian load.

For skewed bridges, the shear shall be adjusted to account for the effects of shear. The range of applicability of all variables is within the allowable as shown below:
$\theta=$ skew $=30$ degrees
Applicable Range
S $=$ spacing of girders $=9.00 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{L}=$ span length of girder $=110.75 \mathrm{ft}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Number Girders $=9$
$0^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq 60^{\circ}$
$3.5 \leq \mathrm{S} \leq 16.0$
$20 \leq \mathrm{L} \leq 240$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}} \geq 4$

For a 30 degree skew, the correction factor equals:

$$
\text { Skew Effect }=1.0+0.20\left(\frac{12.0 L t_{s}^{3}}{K_{g}}\right)^{0.3} \tan \theta
$$

Skew Effect $=1.0+0.20\left(\frac{(12.0) \cdot(110.75) \cdot(7.50)^{3}}{2,240,331}\right)^{0.3} \tan (30)=1.076$

LL+IM
Interior Girder
$V_{\text {Crit }}=(116.0)(0.884)(1.076)=110.3$ kips
Exterior Girder
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=(116.0)(0.462)(1.076)+(22.8)(0.889)(1.076)=79.5 \mathrm{kips}$
$V_{\text {Crit }}=(116.0)(0.567)(1.076)=70.8$ kips
By inspection the interior girder will control.

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.25(117.6)+1.50(9.0)+1.75(110.3)=353.5 \mathrm{kips}
$$

## Sectional Model

 [5.8.3]
## Step 2 - Determine Analysis Model

The sectional model of analysis is appropriate for the design of typical bridge girders where the assumptions of traditional beam theory are valid. Where the distance from the point of zero shear to the face of the support is greater than 2d the sectional model may be used. Otherwise, the strut-and-tie model should be used. Assume a 12 inch long bearing pad.

For Simple spans:
Point of Zero Shear to Face of Support $=110.75 / 2-0.50=54.88 \mathrm{ft}$ $2 \mathrm{~d}=2(79.50 / 12)=13.25 \mathrm{ft}<54.88 \mathrm{ft}$
$\therefore$ Sectional model may be used.

## Step 3 - Shear Depth, $\mathbf{d}_{v}$

[5.8.2.9]
[C5.8.2.9-1]

The shear depth is the maximum of the following criteria:

1) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=0.9 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{e}}$ where $d_{e}=\frac{A_{p s} f_{p s} d_{p}+A_{s} f_{y} d_{s}}{A_{p s} f_{p s}+A_{s} f_{y}}=d_{p}$ when $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=0$

For the determination of the shear depth ignore the harped strands since they are located in a compressive zone at the critical section.
c.g. $=\frac{(8) \cdot(8.0)+(10) \cdot(6.0)+(10) \cdot(4.0)+(8) \cdot(2.0)}{36}=5.00 \mathrm{in}$
$d_{p}=79.50-5.00=74.50$ in
$d_{v}=0.9 d_{p}=0.9 \cdot(74.50)=67.05 \mathrm{in}$
2) $0.72 \mathrm{~h}=0.72(79.50)=57.24 \mathrm{in}$
3) $d_{v}=\frac{M_{n}}{A_{s} f_{y}+A_{p s} f_{p u}}$

At the critical location, 4.77 feet from the abutment, the 12 harped strands are in the compression zone and will be ignored for strength calculations.

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=(0.153)(36)=5.508 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

[5.7.3.1.1-4]
[5.7.3.1.1-1]
[5.11.4.2-1]
[5.11.4.2-4]

$$
c=\frac{(5.508) \cdot(270)}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(0.825) \cdot(108.00)+0.28 \cdot(5.508) \cdot \frac{270}{74.50}}=4.29 \text { in }
$$

Since c $=4.29$ inches is less than the depth of the top slab $=7.50$ inches, the section can be treated as a rectangular section.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=c \beta_{1}=(4.29)(0.825)=3.54 \mathrm{in} \\
& f_{p s}=(270) \cdot\left[1-(0.28) \cdot \frac{4.29}{74.50}\right]=265.65 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The effect of the bond on the strand stress at the end of the girder must be considered. The embedment length, $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{px}}=9.00+4.77(12)=66.24$ inches is greater than the transfer length of 30 inches. The development length must also be satisfied as follows:
$l_{d} \geq k\left(f_{p s}-\frac{2}{3} f_{p e}\right) d_{b}$
$\mathrm{k}=1.6$ for pretensioned members with a depth greater than 24.0 inches.
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pe}}=$ effective stress in the prestress steel after losses.
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pe}}=(0.75)(270)-51.02=151.48 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ps}}=$ average stress in prestress steel at the time for which the nominal resistance of the member is required $=265.65 \mathrm{ksi}$.

$$
l_{d} \geq(1.6) \cdot\left(265.65-\frac{2}{3} \cdot 151.48\right) \cdot(0.5)=131.7 \text { inches }=10.98 \text { feet }
$$

Since the strand is not fully developed, the flexural resistance is reduced. This is a complex problem to solve. AASHTO has an equation to determine the stress in the strand as a function of embedment length as shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{p x}=f_{p e}+\frac{\left(l_{p x}-60 d_{b}\right)}{\left(l_{d}-60 d_{b}\right)}\left(f_{p s}-f_{p e}\right) \\
& f_{p x}=151.48+\frac{(66.24-60 \cdot 0.5)}{(131.7-60 \cdot 0.5)} \cdot(265.65-151.48)=192.16 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

This reduced strand stress will result in a change in the neutral axis and resulting stress block depth.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=\frac{(5.508) \cdot(192.16)}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(0.825) \cdot(108)+0.28 \cdot(5.508) \cdot \frac{270}{74.50}}=3.06 \text { in } \\
& a=(0.825)(3.06)=2.52 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

For a rectangular section:

$$
d_{v}=\frac{M_{n}}{A_{p s} f_{p s}}=\frac{A_{p s} f_{p s}\left(d_{p}-\frac{a}{2}\right)}{A_{p s} f_{p s}}=d_{p}-\frac{a}{2}=74.50-\frac{2.52}{2}=73.24 \mathrm{in}
$$

A lot of effort is required to determine $d_{v}$ by this third option. It is recommended that only the first two methods be used for sections investigated within the development length of the girder ends unless shear is the controlling element in the design of the member.

Based on the above, the shear depth, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}$, controlled by criteria 1 , equals 67.05 inches.

## Step 4-Calculate, $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{p}}$

Due to the strand upturn at the hold-down points, some of the prestress force is in the upward vertical direction and directly resists the applied shear. Since the critical section for shear is located beyond the transfer length, the effective prestress force is used. Since the critical section for shear is near the transfer length, the transfer length losses are used. See Figure 12 for the angle of the cable path.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\frac{(58.00)}{12 \cdot(43.125)}=0.11208 \mathrm{rads} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{p}}=(12)(0.153)[(0.75)(270)-51.02](0.11208)=31.2 \mathrm{kips}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 5 - Check Shear Width, $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{v}}$

The LRFD Specification requires that web width be checked for minimum width to protect against crushing.
[5.8.3.3-2]
[5.8.2.9-1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}<=\varphi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\varphi\left(0.25 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \\
& \text { Required } b_{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{\frac{353.5}{0.9}-31.2}{(0.25) \cdot(5.0) \cdot(67.05)}=4.31 \text { inches }
\end{aligned}
$$

Available $b_{v}=6.00$ inches, ok

## Step 6 - Evaluate Shear Stress

The equation for shear stress follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{u}=\frac{V_{u}-\varphi V_{p}}{\varphi b_{v} d_{v}}=\frac{353.5-0.90 \cdot(31.2)}{0.90 \cdot(6.00) \cdot(67.05)}=0.899 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \frac{v_{u}}{f_{c}^{\prime}}=\frac{0.899}{5.0}=0.180
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 7 - Estimate Crack Angle $\theta$

The LRFD method of shear design usually involves several cycles of iteration. The first step is to estimate a value of $\theta$, the angle of inclination of diagonal compressive stress. Since the formula is not very sensitive to this estimate assume that $\theta=26.5$ degrees. This simplifies the equation for the first iteration by setting the coefficient $0.5 \cot \theta=1.0$.

## Step 8 - Calculate strain, $\varepsilon_{x}$

There are two formulae for the calculation of strain for sections containing at least the minimum amount of transverse reinforcing. The first formula is used for positive values of strain indicating tensile stresses, while the second formula is used for negative values of strain indicating compressive stresses.
[5.8.3.4.2-1]
[5.8.3.4.2-2]

General Procedure [5.8.3.4.2]

Formula for $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}$ for positive values:

$$
\varepsilon_{x}=\left[\frac{\frac{\left|M_{u}\right|}{d_{v}}+0.5 N_{u}+0.5\left|V_{u}-V_{p}\right| \cot \theta-A_{p s} f_{p o}}{2\left(E_{s} A_{s}+E_{p} A_{p s}\right)}\right]
$$

Formula for $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{x}}$ for negative values:

$$
\varepsilon_{\chi}=\left[\frac{\frac{\left|M_{u}\right|}{d_{v}}+0.5 N_{u}+0.5\left|V_{u}-V_{p}\right| \cot \theta-A_{p s} f_{p o}}{2\left(E_{c} A_{c}+E_{s} A_{s}+E_{p} A_{p s}\right)}\right]
$$

where:
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ area of concrete on the flexural tension side of the member. The tension side of the member is half the total depth $=0.5(79.50)=39.75 \mathrm{in}$. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}=(26)(8)+2(1 / 2)(10.0)(10.0)+(6.0)(31.75)=498.5 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ps}}=$ area of prestressing steel on the flexural tension side of the member. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ps}}=0.153(36)=5.508 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ area of nonprestressed steel on the flexural tension side of the member. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=0$.
$f_{p o}=a$ parameter taken as the modulus of elasticity of prestressing tendons multiplied by the locked-in difference in strain between the prestressing tendons and the surrounding concrete. For the usual levels of prestressing, a value of $0.7 \mathrm{f}_{\text {pu }}$ will be appropriate where the section investigated is beyond the transfer length of 2.50 feet.
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{po}}=0.70(270)=189 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ factored axial force taken as positive if tensile.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{u}}=0 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ factored shear force.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=353.5$ kips
$M_{u}=$ factored moment, not to be taken less than $V_{u} d_{v}$.

For a uniformly distributed load, the moment at a distance x from the support is:

$$
M_{x}=(w)(x)(L-x) \div 2
$$

The moments at the critical shear location are calculated below:

| Girder | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=0.980(4.77)(110.75-4.77) / 2$ | $=248 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diaphragm | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=4.92(1 / 2)(4.77)$ | $=12 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| Non-Comp | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=1.068(4.77)(110.75-4.77) / 2$ | $=270 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| Comp DL | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=0.228(4.77)(110.75-4.77) / 2$ | $=58 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |

DC $\quad M_{\text {Crit }}=248+12+270+58=588 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
DW $\quad \mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=0.178(4.77)(110.75-4.77) / 2=45 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Design Lane $\quad \mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=(32.5)(4.77)=155 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Design Truck $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=(62.8)(4.77)=300 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Design Tandm $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=(46.9)(4.77)=224 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
LL+IM $\quad \mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=[155+1.33(300)](0.748)(0.956)=396 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=1.25(588)+1.50(45)+1.75(396)=1496 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1496 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}<(353.5)(67.05) / 12=1975 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$\varepsilon_{x}=\left[\frac{\frac{|1975 \cdot 12|}{67.05}+0+1.0 \cdot|353.5-31.2|-(5.508) \cdot(189)}{2(29000 \cdot 0+28500 \cdot 5.508)}\right]$
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=-0.00116=-1.16 \times 1000$
[5.8.3.4.2-2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\left[\frac{\frac{|1975 \cdot 12|}{67.05}+0+1.0|353.5-31.2|-(5.508) \cdot(189)}{2(4070 \cdot 498.5+28500 \cdot 5.508)}\right] \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=-0.000084=-0.084 \times 1000
\end{aligned}
$$

[5.8.3.4.2-1]
[5.8.3.3-3]
[5.8.3.3-4]

Now go into [T5.8.3.4.2-1] to read the values for $\theta$ and $\beta$. From the previously calculated value of $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{u}} / \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=0.180$, enter the $\leq 0.200$ row and from the calculated value of $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=-0.084 \times 1000$, enter the $\leq-0.05$ column. The new estimate for values is shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=26.7 \text { degrees } \\
& \beta=2.52
\end{aligned}
$$

With the new value of $\theta$, the strain must be recalculated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\left[\frac{\frac{|1975 \cdot 12|}{67.05}+0+0.5 \cdot|353.5-31.2| \cot (26.7)-(5.508) \cdot(189)}{4,371,746}\right] \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{X}}=-0.000084=-0.084 \times 1000
\end{aligned}
$$

With this new estimate for strain, reenter the table and determine new values for $\theta$ and $\beta$. Since our new values are the same as assumed, our iterative portion of the design is complete.

## Step 9 - Calculate Concrete Shear Strength, $\mathbf{V}_{\text {c }}$

The nominal shear resistance from concrete, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$, is calculated as follows:

$$
V_{c}=0.0316 \beta \sqrt{f^{\prime}{ }_{c}} b_{v} d_{v}
$$

$$
V_{c}=0.0316 \cdot(2.52) \cdot \sqrt{5.0} \cdot(6.00) \cdot(67.05)=71.6 \mathrm{kips}
$$

## Step 10 - Determine Required Vertical Reinforcement, $V_{s}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y} d_{v}(\cot \theta+\cot \alpha) \sin \alpha}{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y} d_{v} \cot \theta}{s} \text { where } \alpha=90^{\circ} \\
& V_{u} \leq V_{R}=\phi V_{n}=\phi\left(V_{c}+V_{s}+V_{p}\right) \\
& V_{s}=\frac{V_{u}}{\phi}-V_{c}-V_{p}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y} d_{v} \cot \theta}{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
s=\frac{A_{v} f_{y} d_{v} \cot \theta}{\frac{V_{u}}{\phi}-V_{c}-V_{p}}=\frac{(0.62) \cdot(60) \cdot(67.05) \cdot \cot (26.7)}{\frac{353.5}{0.90}-71.6-31.2}=17.1 \text { in }
$$

## Minimum

 Reinforcing[5.8.2.5-1]

Maximum Spacing
[5.8.2.7]
[5.8.2.7-1]
[5.8.2.7-2]
[5.8.3.3-1]
[5.8.3.3-2]

For normal girder design the reinforcing area will be fixed as a two-legged stirrup. The minimum transverse reinforcing requirement is satisfied by limiting the maximum allowable spacing to the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{\max } \leq \frac{A_{v} f_{y}}{0.0316 \sqrt{f^{\prime}{ }_{c}} b_{v}} \\
& s_{\max } \leq \frac{(0.62) \cdot(60)}{0.0316 \sqrt{5.0}(6.00)}=87.7 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum spacing of transverse reinforcing is limited by the following:
For $v_{u}<0.125 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} \Rightarrow s_{\text {max }}=0.8 d_{v} \leq 24.0$
For $v_{u} \geq 0.125 f_{c}^{\prime} \Rightarrow s_{\text {max }}=0.4 d_{v} \leq 12.0$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{u}}=0.899 \mathrm{ksi} \geq 0.125(5.0)=0.625 \mathrm{ksi}$
$s_{\text {max }}=0.4(67.05)=26.8$ inches but not greater than 12 in
Use \#5 stirrups at 12 inch spacing

$$
V_{s}=\frac{(0.62) \cdot(60) \cdot(67.05) \cot (26.7)}{12}=413.3 \mathrm{kips}
$$

The shear strength is the lesser of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{n}=V_{c}+V_{\mathrm{s}}+V_{\mathrm{p}}=[71.6+413.3+31.2]=516.1 \mathrm{kips} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.25 f^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=[0.25(5.0)(6.00)(67.05)+31.2]=534.1 \mathrm{kips} \\
& \varphi \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=(0.90)(516.1)=464.5 \mathrm{k}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=353.5 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ The transverse shear reinforcing is adequate.
[5.8.3.5-1]

## Bonded Strand

[5.11.4.2]
[5.11.4.2-1]
[5.11.4.2-4]

## Step 11 - Longitudinal Reinforcement

In addition to transverse reinforcement, shear requires a minimum amount of longitudinal reinforcement. The requirement for longitudinal reinforcement follows:

$$
A_{s} f_{y}+A_{p s} f_{p s} \geq \frac{\left|M_{u}\right|}{d_{v} \phi_{f}}+0.5 \frac{N_{u}}{\phi_{c}}+\left(\left|\frac{V_{u}}{\phi_{v}}-V_{p}\right|-0.5 V_{s}\right) \cot \theta
$$

Where $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is limited to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}} / \varphi=353.5 / 0.90=392.8 \mathrm{kips}$
The effect of the bond on the strand at the end of the girder must be considered. The development length equals the following:
$l_{d} \geq k\left(f_{p s}-\frac{2}{3} f_{p e}\right) d_{b}$
$\mathrm{k}=1.6$ for pretensioned members with a depth greater than 24.0 inches .
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pe}}=$ effective stress in the prestress steel after losses.
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pe}}=(0.75)(270)-51.02=151.48 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ps}}=$ average stress in prestress steel at the time for which the nominal resistance of the member is required $=265.65 \mathrm{ksi}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{d} \geq(1.6) \cdot\left(265.65-\frac{2}{3} \cdot 151.48\right) \cdot(0.5)=131.7 \text { inches } \\
& f_{p x}=f_{p e}+\frac{\left(l_{p x}-60 d_{b}\right)}{\left(l_{d}-60 d_{b}\right)}\left(f_{p s}-f_{p e}\right) \\
& f_{p x}=151.48+\frac{(66.24-60 \cdot 0.5)}{(131.7-60 \cdot 0.5)} \cdot(265.65-151.48)=192.16 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering only the prestressing steel in the tension side of the member yields the following:
(5.508) $\cdot(192.16) \geq \frac{|1975 \cdot 12|}{(67.05) \cdot(1.00)}+\left(\left|\frac{353.5}{0.9}-31.2\right|-0.5 \cdot 392.8\right) \cot (26.7)$

$$
1058 \text { kips > } 682 \text { kips }
$$

In addition, at the inside edge of the bearing area of simple end supports to the section of critical shear, the longitudinal reinforcement on the flexural tension side of the member shall satisfy:

$$
A_{s} f_{y}+A_{p s} f_{p s} \geq\left(\frac{V_{u}}{\varphi_{v}}-0.5 V_{s}-V_{p}\right) \cot \theta
$$

Assuming a 12 inch long bearing pad, the development length is $9+6=15$ inches. The transfer length is 30 inches so the effective prestress stress accounting for development is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ps}}=(15 / 30)(151.48)=75.74 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& (5.508) \cdot(75.74) \geq\left(\frac{353.5}{0.90}-0.5 \cdot(392.8)-31.2\right) \cot (26.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

417 kips > 328 kips
$\therefore$ The bottom prestressing strands are adequate for longitudinal reinforcement without the addition of mild reinforcing.

## Critical Shear At Pier

The shear calculations will also be shown in Span 1 near the pier where both a large shear and a large negative moment are present. The critical location is located a distance $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}$ from the face of the support. The equation, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=0.72 \mathrm{~h}=$ $0.72(72+7.50) / 12=4.77$ feet will be used to determine the critical shear location near the pier.

## Determine Shear

The shears based on a continuous beam analysis were determined for Span 1 from a computer program as follows:

|  | 0.9 | 1.0 | Unit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| DC (Comp DL) | -13.4 | -16.0 | k |
| DW (FWS) | -10.5 | -12.5 | k |
| LL+IM Vehicle | -123.1 | -136.6 | k |
| SH + CR | -5.4 | -5.4 | k |

The shear at a distance of 4.77 feet from the support is determined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\text { Girder } & \mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=0.980[(110.75) / 2-4.77] & =49.6 \mathrm{kips} \\
\text { Diaphragm } & \mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=4.92(1 / 2) & =2.5 \mathrm{kips} \\
\text { Non-Comp } & \mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=1.068[(110.75) / 2-4.77] & =54.0 \mathrm{kips} \\
\text { Comp DL } & \mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=16.0-0.228(4.77) & =14.9 \mathrm{kips} \\
\text { DC } & \mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=49.6+2.5+54.0+14.9=121.0 \mathrm{kips} \\
& & \\
\text { DW } & \mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=12.5-0.178(4.77)=11.7 \mathrm{kips} \\
\text { Vehicle } & \mathrm{V}_{\text {Crit }}=136.6-(136.6-123.1)(4.77) / 11.20=130.9 \mathrm{kips}
\end{array}
$$

Interior Girder
LL+IM $\quad V_{\text {Crit }}=(130.9)(0.884)(1.076)=124.5 \mathrm{kips}$

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.25(121.0)+1.50(11.7)+1.75(124.5)+0.5(5.4)=389.4 \mathrm{kips}
$$

## Shear Depth, $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{v}}$

For the shear design, the harped strands will be ignored in the determination of the shear depth. The shear depth is the maximum of the following criteria:

1) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=0.9 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{e}}$ where $d_{e}=\frac{A_{p s} f_{p s} d_{p}+A_{s} f_{y} d_{s}}{A_{p s} f_{p s}+A_{s} f_{y}}=d_{s}$ when $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ps}}=0$

$$
d_{s}=80.00-2.50 c l r-0.625-1.00 / 2=76.38 \text { in }
$$

$$
d_{v}=0.9 d_{s}=0.9 \cdot(76.38)=68.74 \text { in }
$$

2) $0.72 \mathrm{~h}=0.72(72.00+7.50)=57.24 \mathrm{in}$
3) $d_{v}=\frac{M_{n}}{A_{s} f_{y}+A_{p s} f_{p u}}$

From the negative moment continuity connection design, the flexural resistance $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$ equals 5155 ft -k for \#8 @ 6 inches. For mild reinforcing, this reinforcing must be properly developed on both sides of each location under investigation.

$$
d_{v}=\frac{(5155) \cdot(12)}{(14.22) \cdot(60)+0}=72.50 \text { in } \Leftarrow \text { Critical }
$$

Based on the above, the shear depth, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}$, is controlled by criteria 3 and equals 72.50 inches.

## Calculate, $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{p}}$

Due to symmetry the upward shear force near the pier equals that near the abutment.

$$
V_{p}=(12)(0.153)[(0.75)(270)-51.02](0.11208)=31.2 \mathrm{kips}
$$

[5.8.3.3-2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}<=\varphi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\varphi\left(0.25 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \\
& \text { Required } b_{v}=\frac{\frac{389.4}{0.9}-31.2}{(0.25) \cdot(5.0) \cdot(72.50)}=4.43 \text { inches }
\end{aligned}
$$

Available $b_{v}=6.00$ inches, ok

## Evaluate Shear Stress

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{u}=\frac{V_{u}-\varphi V_{p}}{\varphi b_{v} d_{v}}=\frac{389.4-0.90 \cdot(31.2)}{0.90 \cdot(6.00) \cdot(72.50)}=0.923 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \frac{v_{u}}{f^{\prime}{ }_{c}}=\frac{0.923}{5.0}=0.185
\end{aligned}
$$

## General Procedure

 [5.8.3.4.2]
## Calculate strain, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}$

$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ area of concrete on the flexural tension side of the member.
$A_{c}=(0.949)(108)(7.50)+941.00-498.50=1211.19 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ps}}=$ area of prestressing steel on the flexural tension side of the member. $A_{p s}=0$ in $^{2}$. (There are 12 harped strands in the flexural tension side at this location but it is conservative to ignore them.)
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ area of nonprestressed steel on the flexural tension side of the member. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=14.22 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{po}}=0.70(270)=189 \mathrm{ksi}$ since the critical section is located more than the transfer length of 2.50 feet from the girder end.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ factored axial force taken as positive if tensile $=0$ kips
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ factored shear force $=389.4 \mathrm{kips}$
$M_{u}=$ factored moment, not to be taken less than $V_{u} d_{v}$.
The moment at the critical shear location is required. The moments from the continuous beam analysis at the critical location near the pier follow:

|  | 0.9 | 1.0 | Units |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| DC (Comp DL) | -193 | -358 | $\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| DW (FWS) | -151 | -279 | $\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| One Vehicle | -1493 | -2648 | $\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| SH + CR | -545 | -605 | $\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |


| Girder | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=0.980(4.77)(110.75-4.77) / 2$ | $=248 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diaphragm | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=4.92(1 / 2)(4.77)$ | $=12 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| Non-Comp | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=1.068(4.77)(110.75-4.77) / 2$ | $=270 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| Comp DL | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=-358+16.0(4.77)-0.228(4.77)^{2} / 2$ | $=-284 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |
|  |  |  |
| DW | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}=-279+12.5(4.77)-0.178(4.77)^{2} / 2=-221 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{LL}+\mathrm{IM} \quad \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }} & =[-2648+(2648-1493)(4.77) / 11.20](0.748)(0.956) \\
& =-1542 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned} \\
& \\
& \mathrm{SH}+\mathrm{CR} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\text {Crit }}= {[-605+(605-545)(4.77) / 11.20=-579 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Crit}}= 0.90(248+12+270)+1.25(-284)+1.50(-221)+1.75(-1542) \\
&+0.50(-579)=-3198 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\left[\frac{\frac{\mid 3198 \cdot 12}{72.50}+0+1.0 \cdot|389.4-31.2|-(0) \cdot(189)}{2(29000 \cdot 14.22+28500 \cdot 0)}\right] \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=0.00108=1.08 \times 1000
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the value is positive the first formula must be used.

Now go into [T5.8.3.4.2-1] to read the values for $\theta$ and $\beta$. From the previously calculated value of $v_{u} / f^{\prime}{ }_{c}=0.185$, enter the $\leq 0.200$ row and from the calculated value of $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.02 \times 1000$, enter the $\leq 1.00$ column. For an initial cycle using the $\leq 1.00$ column is fine. However, the strain limit for the final cycle must be within the stated limits. The new estimate for values is shown below:

$$
\theta=36.1 \text { degrees }
$$

$$
\beta=1.79
$$

With the new value of $\theta$, the strain must be recalculated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\left[\frac{\frac{|3198 \cdot 12|}{72.50}+0+0.5 \cdot|389.4-31.2| \cot (36.1)-0 \cdot(189)}{824,760}\right] \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=0.00094=0.94 \times 1000
\end{aligned}
$$

With this new estimate for strain, reenter the table and determine new values for $\theta$ and $\beta$. Since these new values are the same as assumed, our iterative portion of the design is complete.
[5.8.3.3-3]

Minimum
Reinforcing
Maximum Spacing
[5.8.2.7-2]
[5.8.3.3-4]
[5.8.3.3-1]
[5.8.3.3-2]

## Calculate Concrete Shear Strength, $\mathbf{V}_{\text {c }}$

The nominal shear resistance from concrete, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$, is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{c}=0.0316 \beta \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{v} d_{v} \\
& V_{c}=0.0316 \cdot(1.79) \cdot \sqrt{5.0} \cdot(6.00) \cdot(72.50)=55.0 \mathrm{kips}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Determine Required Vertical Reinforcement, $\mathbf{V}_{\text {s }}$

$$
s=\frac{A_{v} f_{y} d_{v} \cot \theta}{\frac{V_{u}}{\phi}-V_{c}-V_{p}}=\frac{(0.62) \cdot(60) \cdot(72.50) \cdot \cot (36.1)}{\frac{389.4}{0.90}-55.0-31.2}=10.7 \text { in }
$$

Based on minimum transverse reinforcing requirements previously calculated, $\mathrm{s}_{\text {max }}=87.7$ inches.

Based on the maximum spacing requirements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{u}}=0.923>0.125(5.0)=0.625 \\
& \therefore \mathrm{~s}_{\max }=0.4 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{v}}=0.4(72.50)=29.0 \text { inches but not greater than } 12 \text { inches. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Use \#5 stirrups at 10 inch spacing

$$
V_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{(0.62) \cdot(60) \cdot(72.50) \cot (36.1)}{10}=369.9 \mathrm{kips}
$$

The shear strength is the lesser of:
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=[55.0+369.9+31.2]=456.1 \mathrm{kips}$

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.25 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=[0.25(5.0)(6.00)(72.50)+31.2]=575.0 \mathrm{kips}
$$

$$
\varphi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=(0.90)(456.1)=410.5 \mathrm{k}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=389.4 \mathrm{k}
$$

$\therefore$ The transverse shear reinforcing is adequate.

## Longitudinal Reinforcement

In addition to transverse reinforcement, shear requires a minimum amount of longitudinal reinforcement. The requirement for longitudinal reinforcement follows:

$$
A_{s} f_{y}+A_{p s} f_{p s} \geq \frac{\left|M_{u}\right|}{d_{v} \phi_{f}}+0.5 \frac{N_{u}}{\phi_{c}}+\left(\left|\frac{V_{u}}{\phi_{v}}-V_{p}\right|-0.5 V_{s}\right) \cot \theta
$$

Where $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is limited to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}} / \varphi=389.4 / 0.9=432.7 \mathrm{kips}$
Considering the mild reinforcing steel produces the following:

$$
(14.22) \cdot(60) \geq \frac{|3198 \cdot 12|}{(72.50) \cdot(0.90)}+\left(\left|\frac{389.4}{0.90}-31.2\right|-0.5 \cdot(369.9)\right) \cot (36.1)
$$

$$
853 \text { kips < } 885 \text { kips }
$$

Since the demand is greater than the resistance, the longitudinal mild reinforcing steel does not appear to be adequate. However, the area of longitudinal reinforcement on the flexural tension side of the member need not exceed the area required to resist the maximum moment acting alone. Since the longitudinal reinforcing in the top deck is designed to resist the composite dead loads and live load the criteria is satisfied.

Interface Shear Transfer [5.8.4]

Strength I
Limit State
[C5.8.4.1-1]
[5.8.4.1-1]
[5.8.4.2]
[5.8.4.2]
[5.8.4.1-2]
[5.8.4.1-3]

For precast girders, the cast-in-place deck is cast separately. Thus the shear transfer across this surface must be investigated. For this example, the shear transfer will be investigated at the critical shear location near the abutment. Only the composite dead loads and live load plus dynamic load allowance are considered.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.25(11.5)+1.50(9.0)+1.75(110.3)=220.9 \mathrm{k}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ the distance between the centroid of the steel in the tension side of the beam to the center of the compression block in the deck. For simplicity $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}$ may be taken as the distance between the centroid of the tension steel and the midthickness of the deck. Since this location is within the development length of the strand, use the approximate method.
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}=79.50-5.00-7.50 / 2=70.75$ in
$V_{u h}=\frac{V_{u}}{d_{e}}=\frac{220.9}{70.75}=3.12 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}$

The nominal shear resistance of the interface plane is:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{c} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{cv}}+\mu\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{vf}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right]
$$

$A_{c v}=$ the area of concrete engaged in shear transfer.

$$
=40.00 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{in}
$$

$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{vf}}=$ the area of shear reinforcement crossing the shear plane.

$$
=(0.31)(2) /(12 \text { in spacing })=0.0517 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{in}
$$

For concrete placed against clean, hardened concrete with surface intentionally roughened to an amplitude of 0.25 in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=1.0 \lambda=1.0(1.0)=1.0 \\
& c=0.100 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.100(40.00)+1.0[(0.0517)(60)+0]=7.10 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}
$$

The nominal shear resistance used in the design shall not be greater than the lesser of:

$$
V_{n} \leq 0.2 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} A_{c v}=0.2 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(40.00)=36.00 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
V_{n} \leq 0.8 A_{c v}=0.8 \cdot(40.00)=32.00 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}
$$

Since the nominal capacity of $7.10 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}$ is less than the maximum allowed of $32.00 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}$, the nominal horizontal shear resistance is $7.10 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}$. The horizontal shear strength is:

$$
\varphi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=(0.90)(7.10)=6.39 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{uh}}=3.12 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in} \mathrm{ok}
$$

The minimum required area of reinforcing crossing the interface is:

$$
A_{v f} \geq \frac{0.050 b_{v}}{f_{y}}=\frac{(0.050) \cdot(40.00)}{60}=0.0333 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{in}
$$

For \#5 @ 12 inches $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{vf}}=(0.31)(2) / 12=0.0517 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{in}$
$\therefore$ The minimum criteria is satisfied at the critical location near the abutment.
Since the shear reinforcing spacing will typically vary across the span the interface shear must also be checked at numerous locations along the span.

Pre-Tensioned Anchor Zone [5.10.10]

Bursting Resistance [5.10.10.1]

## Confinement Reinforcing

 [5.10.10.2]The design of anchor zone for precast members is relatively simple. The amount of bursting reinforcing equals thearea required to resist 4 percent of the total prestress force acting at a unit stress of 20 ksi . The first reinforcing bar should be placed as close to the face as possible with all the required reinforcing placed within a distance equal to the member depth divided by 4.

$$
A_{s}=(0.04) \cdot \frac{(0.75) \cdot(270) \cdot(48) \cdot(0.153)}{20.0}=2.97 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

Use five 2-legged \#5 stirrups. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=(5)(2)(0.31)=3.10 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
The first stirrup should be placed 2 inches from the girder end. The remaining stirrups should be placed within a distance $=72.00 / 4=18.00$ inches from the end. Use 4 inch spacing between the five stirrups.

The LRFD Specification has changed the criteria for confinement reinforcing. This reinforcing must now be spread over a length of 1.5 d from the end of the girder rather than over a length ' $h$ ' as specified in the Standard Specifications. The maximum spacing has also been reduced to 6 inches with the reinforcing shaped to enclose the strands. The confinement requirements do not have to be applied to the harped strands.


## ELEVATION

Figure 18

## Deflections

[5.7.3.6]

## Release Deflection

Deflections must be calculated so a camber can be put in the superstructure to provide for a smooth riding surface and the build-up can be estimated to determine the appropriate seat elevations and quantities.

Determination of the girder deflections is a tedious task complicated by the fact that the deck not only makes the girder composite but also continuous. If the continuity is not considered properly a rough ride can result.

There are four stages the girder experiences. The first stage is the release deflection when the prestress force is transferred to the girder. The release deflection is the summation of the deflections caused by self-weight of the girder and the prestress force under relaxation before transfer and elastic shortening losses.

The second stage is the initial deflection where some time dependent losses have occurred in the prestress force but where the concrete has also experienced creep and gained strength.

The third and fourth stages comprise the final deflection used to determine screeds. The third stage consists of the addition of non-composite loads with the girder simply supported. The fourth stage includes the addition of all other loads including the effects from time-dependent prestress losses and additional concrete creep under a composite continuous girder.

For calculations for deflection, the prismatic gross section properties and prestress losses at midspan will be used. While this may not be technically correct, it will provide sufficiently accurate deflections considering all the unknowns.

Release Deflection:
The deflection at midspan from self-weight is due to the uniform load from the typical section. At this time the girder concrete is at its release strength.

$$
\Delta_{\text {girder }}=\frac{5 w l^{4}}{384 E_{c i} I}=\frac{5 \cdot(0.980) \cdot(110.75)^{4} \cdot(1728)}{384 \cdot(3946) \cdot(671,108)}=1.253 \text { in }
$$

The deflection from prestressing is more complicated but can be determined using moment area theory. The deflection at midspan from prestressing is:

$$
\Delta_{p / s}=\frac{P}{24 E_{c i} I}\left[e_{m}\left(2 L^{2}+4 a L-4 a^{2}\right)+e_{e}\left(L^{2}-4 a L+4 a^{2}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=[(0.75)(270)-2.23-18.35](0.153)(48)=1336.02 \text { kips } \\
& e_{m}=36.439-5.500=30.939 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

At the centerline of bearing of the abutment (See Figure 12):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{cl}}=2.00+58.00(42.375) / 43.125+10.00=68.991 \text { in } \\
& \text { c.g. }=\frac{(12) \cdot(68.991-5.0)+(8) \cdot(8.0)+(10) \cdot(6.0)+(10) \cdot(4.0)+(8) \cdot(2.0)}{48} \\
& \text { c.g. }=19.748 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{e}}=36.439-19.748=16.691 \text { in } \\
& 2 \mathrm{~L}^{2}+4 \mathrm{aL}-4 \mathrm{a}^{2}=2(110.75)^{2}+4(13.0)(110.75)-4(13.0)^{2}=29,614 \\
& \mathrm{~L}^{2}-4 \mathrm{aL}+4 \mathrm{a}^{2}=(110.75)^{2}-4(13.0)(110.75)+4(13.0)^{2}=7183
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta_{p / s}=\frac{(1336.02) \cdot(144)}{24 \cdot(3946) \cdot(671,108)} \cdot[(30.939) \cdot(29614)+(16.691) \cdot(7183)]
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{s}}=3.136 \text { in }
$$

The deflection of the beam at release equals the algebraic sum of the above deflections:

$$
\Delta_{R}=1.253-3.136=-1.883 \text { in upward }
$$

Initial
Deflection

Initial Deflection:
The initial deflection accounts for a loss of prestress over time and creep in the concrete. The deflection from the girder based on its final concrete strength is:

$$
\Delta_{\text {girder }}=\frac{5 w l^{4}}{384 E_{c} I}=\frac{5 \cdot(0.980) \cdot(110.75)^{4} \cdot(1728)}{384 \cdot(4070) \cdot(671,108)}=1.214 \mathrm{in}
$$

The deflection from prestressing is complicated by the fact that some prestress loss has occurred. For this problem the time from transfer of prestressing force till deck pour is assumed to be 60 days.

The prestress loss equations do not include a time factor. The Bridge Practice Guidelines specify that lacking any better information that $40 \%$ of the creep and $50 \%$ of the time-dependent losses occur at the time of the deck pour.

$$
\text { P/S Loss } 60 \text { days }=2.23+18.35+0.50(34.00)=37.58 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

The prestress force is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=[(0.75)(270)-37.58](48)(0.153)=1211.17 \mathrm{k} \\
& \Delta_{p / s}=\frac{(1211.17) \cdot(144)}{24 \cdot(4070) \cdot(671,108)} \cdot[(30.939) \cdot(29614)+(16.691) \cdot(7183)] \\
& \Delta_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{s}}=2.757 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Final

 DeflectionsThe deflection of the girder at 60 days equals the algebraic sum of the above deflections times a creep factor. The overall creep factor for deflections is 2.00. This means the total deflection is the sum of the initial deflection plus the creep factor at 60 days times the deflection. At 60 days the girder has a creep factor of $0.40(2.00)=0.80$ producing a total creep factor of $1.00+0.80=$ 1.80.
$\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}=1.80(1.214-2.757)=-2.777$ in upward

## Final Deflection:

The final deflection accounts for the remainder of the prestress loss and concrete creep and shrinkage. The final deflection is added to the profile grade to determine the screed elevations. The final deflections must be separated between those that occur while the girder is acting as a simple span and those that occur on the composite continuous girder.

The simple span deflections will occur instantaneously and will consist of the weight of the deck slab, build-up and the SIP forms with a uniform load equal to $0.900+0.083+0.085=1.068 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ and the intermediate diaphragm.

## Simple Span Deflections

## Continuous

 Deflections$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{D L}=\frac{5 w l^{4}}{384 E_{c} I}=\frac{5 \cdot(1.068) \cdot(110.75)^{4} \cdot(1728)}{384 \cdot(4070) \cdot(671,108)}=1.324 \mathrm{in} \\
& \Delta_{\text {diaph }}=\frac{P L^{3}}{48 E_{c} I}=\frac{(4.92) \cdot(110.75)^{3} \cdot(1728)}{48 \cdot(4070) \cdot(671,108)}=0.088 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The parapet, sidewalk and fence will be placed with the now composite girder acting as a continuous member. A standard continuous beam program is used to determine the deflection from the uniform load. The deflection at midspan is 0.060 inches. The above deflections are immediate so a creep factor of 1.00 is used. The resulting deflection equals $1.324+0.088+0.060=1.472$ inches.

The remaining deflections are creep related and occur over time. These deflections are more complicated to determine due to continuity and a computer program is normally required. Input values are shown in Figure 19 but detailed calculations are not.

Long-term deflections include creep of the girder, deck slab, diaphragm, parapet, rail, fence, sidewalk, final prestress force, loss of prestress and differential shrinkage. Different creep factors are applied to the different loads. The girder dead load and prestress have already seen much of their creep with a creep value of $2.00-0.80=1.20$ remaining for the long-term effects. The slab, diaphragm and parapet have not seen any creep so a value of 2.0 remains. A creep factor of 1.00 is applied for the loss of prestress and differential shrinkage. The differential shrinkage moment was calculated under the positive moment continuity connection section.

A summary of output from the continuous beam analysis is shown below:

|  | Deflection | Creep | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Girder | 0.257 | 1.20 | 0.308 |
| Diaphragm | 0.020 | 2.00 | 0.040 |
| Parapet \& SW | 0.060 | 2.00 | 0.120 |
| Final P/S | -0.602 | 1.20 | -0.722 |
| Loss of P/S | 0.162 | 1.00 | 0.162 |
| Slab | 0.277 | 2.00 | 0.554 |
| Diff Shr | 0.185 | 1.00 | 0.185 |
| Total |  |  | 0.647 |

The final downward long-term deflection at midspan is $1.472+0.647=2.119$ inches.


FINAL PRESTRESS
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## APPENDIX A <br> PRECISE OVERHANG ANALYSIS

A simplified method of determining the adequacy of an overhang subjected to both tension and flexure is included in the example. This appendix shows the more complex and precise method along with the assumptions made to derive the approximate simplified equation.

## Tension and

 Flexure[5.7.6.2]
[5.7.2]

## [1.3.2.1]



The solution of the deck design problem involves determining the resistance of the deck overhang to a combination of tension and flexure. Members subjected to eccentric tension loading, which induces both tensile and compressive stresses in the cross section, shall be proportioned in accordance with the provisions of Article 5.7.2.

Assumptions for a valid analysis for an extreme event limit state are contained in Article 5.7.2. Factored resistance of concrete components shall be based on the conditions of equilibrium and strain compatibility and the following:

Strain is directly proportional to the distance from the neutral axis.
For unconfined concrete, the maximum usable strain at the extreme concrete compressive fiber is not greater than 0.003.

The stress in the reinforcement is based on a stress-strain curve of the steel or on an approved mathematical representation.

Tensile strength of the concrete is ignored.
The concrete compressive stress-strain distribution is assumed to be a rectangular stress block in accordance with Article 5.7.2.2.

The development of the reinforcing is considered.
While the article specifies the use of the reduction factors in Article 5.5.4.2, that requirement only applies to a strength limit state analysis. For an extreme event limit state, the resistance factor shall be taken as 1.0.

The above assumptions as shown in Figures A-1, A-2 and A-3 were used in the development of the equations for resistance from tension and flexure that occur with a vehicular collision with a traffic railing.
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Figure A-2
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The design of the deck overhang is complicated because both a bending moment and a tension force are applied. The problem can be solved using equilibrium and strain compatibility. The following trial and error approach may be used:

1. Assume a stress in the reinforcing
2. Determine force in reinforcing
3. Solve for $k$, the safety factor
4. Determine values for ' $a$ ' and ' $c$ '
5. Determine corresponding strain
6. Determine stress in the reinforcing
7. Compare to assumed value and repeat if necessary

The design horizontal force in the barrier is distributed over the length $L_{b}$ equal to $L_{c}$ plus twice the height of the barrier. See Figures 5 and 6.
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}=9.39+2(2.76)=14.91 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=98.17 / 14.91=6.584 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \Rightarrow$ Use $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=3.759 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ per connection.

## Dimensions

$h=9.00+(4.00)(1.00) /(1.333)=12.00$ in
$\mathrm{d}_{1}=12.00-2.50 \mathrm{clr}-0.625 / 2=9.19$ in
$\mathrm{d}_{2}=12.00-8.00+1.00 \mathrm{clr}+0.625 / 2=5.31$ in
Moment at Face of Barrier

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Deck } \quad=0.150(9.00 / 12)(1.00)^{2} \div 2 & =0.06 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
0.150(3.00 / 12)(1.00)^{2} \div 6 & =\underline{0.01 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}} \\
& =0.07 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fence, Rail \& Parapet: w $=0.075+0.15(10 / 12)(2.76)=0.420 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$
FR \& P $=0.420(0.417) \quad=0.18 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Collision $=3.759[3.206+(12.00 / 12) / 2]=13.93 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
[A13.4.1]
Extreme Event II

## Face of Barrier

## Location 1

Figure 4
[3.4.1]

## 1. Assume Stress

2. Determine Forces

Assume both layers of reinforcing yield and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}=60 \mathrm{ksi}$
Determine resulting forces in the reinforcing:
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=(0.744)(60)=44.64 \mathrm{k}$
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=(0.572)(60)=34.32 \mathrm{k}$
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=(0.572)(60)=34.32 \mathrm{k}$

## Strength Equation

## Solution

3. Determine $k$ Safety Factor

Solving the equations of equilibrium by summing the forces on the section and summing the moments about the soffit and setting them equal to zero yields the following two equations. See Figure A-3.

Sum forces in horizontal direction
Eqn 1: $-\mathrm{kP}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}=0$ where $\mathrm{C}_{1}=0.85 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{ab}$
Sum of moments
Eqn 2: $k P_{u}\left(e^{\prime}\right)-T_{1}\left(d_{1}\right)-T_{2}\left(d_{2}\right)+C_{1}(a / 2)=0$
Solving the above equations for $k$, the ratio of strength to applied force and moment, results in a quadratic equation with the following coefficients:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{P_{u}{ }^{2}}{1.70 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b} \\
& B=P_{u}\left(e+\frac{h}{2}-\frac{T_{1}+T_{2}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b}\right) \\
& C=-T_{1} d_{1}-T_{2} d_{2}+\frac{\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)^{2}}{1.70 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in specific values yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{(3.759)^{2}}{1.70 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.153922 \\
& B=(3.759) \cdot\left(45.27+\frac{12.00}{2}-\frac{(44.64+34.32)}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}\right)=186.2575 \\
& C=-(44.64) \cdot(9.19)-(34.32) \cdot(5.31)+\frac{(44.64+34.32)^{2}}{1.70 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=-524.5649
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution of the quadratic equation yields the value $k$, the safety factor.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=\frac{-B+\sqrt{B^{2}-4 A C}}{2 A} \\
& k=\frac{-186.2575+\sqrt{(186.2575)^{2}-4 \cdot(0.153922) \cdot(-524.5649)}}{2 \cdot(0.153922)}=2.810
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Determine ' $a$ ' and ' $c$ '

## 5. Strains <br> 6. Stresses

7. Verify Assumption

Maximum Strain

## Verify Results

Since the value of k is greater than one the deck is adequately reinforced at this location.

Calculate the depth of the compression block from Eqn 1. See Figure A-3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\frac{\left(T_{1}+T_{2}-k P_{u}\right)}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b} \\
& a=\frac{(44.64+34.32-(2.810) \cdot(3.759))}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=1.49 \mathrm{in} \\
& c=\frac{a}{\beta_{1}}=\frac{1.49}{0.825}=1.81 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine the resulting strain in the two layers of reinforcing. See Figure A-2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{y}=f_{y} / E_{s}=60 / 29000=0.00207 \\
& \varepsilon_{1}=0.003\left(d_{1} / c-1\right)=0.003(9.19 / 1.81-1)=0.0122
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{1}>\varepsilon_{y}$ the top layer yields and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s} 1}=60 \mathrm{ksi}$

$$
\varepsilon_{2}=0.003\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2} / \mathrm{c}-1\right)=0.003(5.31 / 1.81-1)=0.00580
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{2}>\varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}$ the bottom layer yields and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s} 2}=60 \mathrm{ksi}$
Since both layers of reinforcing yield the assumptions made in the analysis are valid.

The LRFD Specification does not have an upper limit on the amount of strain in a reinforcing bar. ASTM does require that smaller diameter rebar have a minimum elongation at tensile strength of 8 percent. This appears to be a reasonable upper limit for an extreme event state where $\varphi=1.00$. For this example the strain of 1.2 percent is well below this limit.

Verify the results by calculating the tensile strength and flexural resistance of the section. This step is not necessary for design but is included for educational purposes.

$$
\varphi \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\varphi \mathrm{kP} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=(1.00)(2.810)(3.759)=10.56 \mathrm{k}
$$

Solve for equilibrium from Figure 9 by substituting $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for $\mathrm{kP}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{e}$ and taking moments about the center of the compression block:

$$
M_{n}=T_{1}\left(d_{1}-\frac{a}{2}\right)+T_{2}\left(d_{2}-\frac{a}{2}\right)-k P_{u}\left(\frac{h}{2}-\frac{a}{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}= & (44.64) \cdot\left(9.19-\frac{1.49}{2}\right)+(34.32) \cdot\left(5.31-\frac{1.49}{2}\right) \\
& -(2.810) \cdot(3.759) \cdot\left(\frac{12.00}{2}-\frac{1.49}{2}\right)=478.15 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{k} \\
\varphi M_{\mathrm{n}}= & (1.00)(478.15) / 12=39.85 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor of safety for flexure is $39.85 / 14.18=2.810$ the same as for axial strength. Thus this method provides both a tensile and flexural strength with the same safety factor.

## Simplified Method

A simplified method of analysis is also available. If only the top layer of reinforcing is considered in determining strength, the assumption can be made that the reinforcing will yield. By assuming the safety factor for axial tension is 1.0 the strength equation can be solved directly. This method will determine whether the section has adequate strength. However, the method does not consider the bottom layer of reinforcing, does not maintain the required constant eccentricity and does not determine the maximum strain.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi M_{n}=\varphi\left[T_{1}\left(d_{1}-\frac{a}{2}\right)-P_{u}\left(\frac{h}{2}-\frac{a}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \text { where } a=\frac{T_{1}-P_{u}}{0.85 f_{c}^{\prime} b}=\frac{44.64-3.759}{(0.85) \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.89 \mathrm{in} \\
& \varphi M_{n}=(1.00) \cdot\left[(44.64) \cdot\left(9.19-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)-(3.759) \cdot\left(\frac{12.00}{2}-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)\right] \div 12 \\
& \varphi M_{n}=30.79 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$ the overhang has adequate strength. Note that the resulting eccentricity equals (30.79)(12) $\div 3.759=98.29$ inches compared to the actual eccentricity of 45.27 inches that is fixed by the constant deck thickness, barrier height and dead load moment.

Independent analysis using the more complex method but considering only the top layer of reinforcing results in a flexural strength equal to $29.10 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$. Thus it would appear that the simplified analysis method produces a greater nonconservative result. However, the simplified method uses a safety factor of 1.0 for axial load leaving more resistance for flexure. As the applied load approaches the ultimate strength the two methods will converge to the same result.

## Exterior Support

Location 2
Figure 4

The deck slab must also be evaluated at the exterior overhang support. At this location the design horizontal force is distributed over a length $L_{s 1}$ equal to the length $L_{c}$ plus twice the height of the barrier plus a distribution length from the face of the barrier to the exterior support. See Figures 4, 5 and 6. Assume composite action between deck and girder for this extreme event. Using a distribution of 30 degrees from the face of barrier to the exterior support results in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s} 1}=9.39+2(2.76)+(2)[\tan (30)](1.04)=16.11 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=98.17 / 16.11=6.094 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \Rightarrow \text { Use }_{\mathrm{u}}=3.759 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \text { per connection }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}=8.00+5.00+3.00(8.5 / 17)=14.50 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{1}=14.50-2.50 \mathrm{clr}-0.625 / 2=11.69 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{2}=5.00+3.00(8.5 / 17)+1.00 \mathrm{clr}+0.625 / 2=7.81 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moment at Exterior Support

DC Loads
Deck $=0.150(9.00 / 12)(2.04)^{2} / 2=0.23 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

$$
=0.150(5.50 / 12)(2.04)^{2} / 6 \quad=0.05 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\text { Parapet }=0.420(0.417+1.042) \quad=0.61 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\text { Sidewalk }=0.15(9.16 / 12)(1.04)^{2} / 2 \quad=\underline{0.06} \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\mathrm{DC}=0.95 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
$$

DW Loads
FWS $=\quad=0.00 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
Collision $=3.759[3.206+(14.50 / 12) / 2]=14.32 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
The load factor for dead load shall be taken as 1.0.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{u}=1.00(0.95)+1.00(0.00)+1.00(14.32)=15.27 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& e=M_{u} / P_{u}=(15.27)(12) /(3.759)=48.75 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Assume Stress

2. Determine Forces

Determine resulting forces in the reinforcing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{1}=(0.744)(60)=44.64 \mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{2}=(0.572)(60)=34.32 \mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}=44.64+34.32=78.96 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution

3. Determine k Safety Factor
4. Determine ' $a$ ' and ' $c$ '

Using the previously derived equations for safety factor yields the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{(3.759)^{2}}{1.70 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.153922 \\
& B=(3.759) \cdot\left(48.75+\frac{14.50}{2}-\frac{(78.96)}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}\right)=204.0375 \\
& C=-(44.64) \cdot(11.69)-(34.32) \cdot(7.81)+\frac{(78.96)^{2}}{1.70 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=-721.9649
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution of the quadratic equation yields the value $k$, the safety factor.

$$
k=\frac{-204.0375+\sqrt{(204.0375)^{2}-4 \cdot(0.153922) \cdot(-721.9649)}}{2 \cdot(0.153922)}=3.529
$$

Since the value of $k$ is greater than one, the deck is adequately reinforced at this location.

Calculate the depth of the compression block,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\frac{\left(T_{1}+T_{2}-k P_{u}\right)}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b} \\
& a=\frac{(78.96-(3.529) \cdot(3.759))}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=1.43 \mathrm{in} \\
& c=\frac{a}{\beta_{1}}=\frac{1.43}{0.825}=1.73 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine the resulting strain in the two layers of reinforcing. See Figure A-2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{y}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}=60 / 29000=0.00207 \\
& \varepsilon_{1}=0.003\left(\mathrm{~d}_{1} / \mathrm{c}-1\right)=0.003(11.69 / 1.73-1)=0.01727
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{1}>\varepsilon_{y}$ the top layer yields and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s} 1}=60 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\varepsilon_{2}=0.003\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2} / \mathrm{c}-1\right)=0.003(7.81 / 1.73-1)=0.01054$
Since $\varepsilon_{2}>\varepsilon_{y}$ the bottom layer yields and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s} 2}=60 \mathrm{ksi}$
7. Verify Assumption

Maximum Strain

## Verify Results

## Simplified Method

A simplified method of analysis is available based on the limitations previously stated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi M_{n}=\varphi\left[T_{1}\left(d_{1}-\frac{a}{2}\right)-P_{u}\left(\frac{h}{2}-\frac{a}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \text { where } a=\frac{T_{1}-P_{u}}{0.85 f^{\prime}{ }_{c} b}=\frac{44.64-3.759}{(0.85) \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.89 \text { in } \\
& \varphi M_{n}=(1.00) \cdot\left[(44.64) \cdot\left(11.69-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)-(3.759) \cdot\left(\frac{14.50}{2}-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)\right] \div 12 \\
& \varphi M_{n}=39.70 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Interior Support

Location 3
Figure 4

## [A13.4.1]

Extreme Event II [3.4.1]

1. Assume Stress
2. Determine Force

The deck slab must also be evaluated at the interior point of support. The critical location will be at the edge of the girder flange where the deck will be the thinnest. Only the top layer of reinforcing will be considered. At this location the design horizontal force is distributed over a length $L_{s 2}$ equal to the length $L_{c}$ plus twice the height of the barrier plus a distribution length from the face of the barrier to the interior support. See Figures 4, 5 and 6. Using a distribution of 30 degree from the face of the barrier to the interior support results in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{\mathrm{s} 2}=9.39+2(2.76)+(2)[\tan (30)](3.67)=19.15 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=98.17 / 19.15=5.126 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \Rightarrow \text { Use }_{\mathrm{u}}=3.759 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \text { per connection }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}=8.00 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{1}=8.00-2.50 \mathrm{clr}-0.625 / 2=5.19 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moment at Interior Support

For dead loads use the maximum negative moments for the interior cells used in the interior deck analysis

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{DC} & =0.56 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
\mathrm{DW} & =0.13 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
\text { Collision } & =3.759[3.206+(8.00 / 12) / 2]=13.30 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{array}
$$

The load factor for dead load shall be taken as 1.0.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.00(0.56)+1.00(0.13)+1.00(13.30)=13.86 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=(13.86)(12) /(3.759)=44.25 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume the top layer of reinforcing yields and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}=60 \mathrm{ksi}$
Determine resulting force in the reinforcing:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}=(0.744)(60)=44.64 \mathrm{k}
$$

Using the previously derived equations for safety factor yields the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{(3.759)^{2}}{1.70 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.153922 \\
& B=(3.759) \cdot\left(44.25+\frac{8.00}{2}-\frac{(44.64)}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}\right)=177.7159 \\
& C=-(44.64) \cdot(5.19)+\frac{(44.64)^{2}}{1.70 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=-209.9743
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Determine $k$ Safety Factor

## 4. Determine

 ' $a$ ' and ' $c$ '5. Strain
6. Stress
7. Verify Assumption

Maximum Strain

## Verify Results

Solution of the quadratic equation yields the value $k$, the safety factor.

$$
k=\frac{-177.7159+\sqrt{(177.7159)^{2}-4 \cdot(0.153922) \cdot(-209.9743)}}{2 \cdot(0.153922)}=1.180
$$

Since the value of k is greater than one, the deck is adequately reinforced at this location.

Calculate the depth of the compression block.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\frac{\left(T_{1}-k P_{u}\right)}{0.85 f_{c} b} \\
& a=\frac{(44.64-(1.180) \cdot(3.759))}{0.85 \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.88 \mathrm{in} \\
& c=\frac{a}{\beta_{1}}=\frac{0.88}{0.825}=1.06 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine the resulting strain in the top layer of reinforcing. See Figure A-2.
$\varepsilon_{y}=f_{y} / E_{s}=60 / 29000=0.00207$
$\varepsilon_{1}=0.003\left(\mathrm{~d}_{1} / \mathrm{c}-1\right)=0.003(5.19 / 1.06-1)=0.0117$
Since $\varepsilon_{1}>\varepsilon_{y}$ the top layer yields and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s} 1}=60 \mathrm{ksi}$

Since the top layer of reinforcing yields the assumption made in the analysis is valid.

The maximum strain of 1.2 percent is less than the ADOT limit of 8 percent and is therefore satisfactory.

Verify the results by calculating the tensile strength and flexural resistance of the section.

$$
\varphi \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\varphi \mathrm{kP} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{u}}=(1.00)(1.180)(3.759)=4.44 \mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n}=T_{1}\left(d_{1}-\frac{a}{2}\right)-k P_{u}\left(\frac{h}{2}-\frac{a}{2}\right) \\
& M_{n}=(44.64) \cdot\left(5.19-\frac{0.88}{2}\right)-(1.180) \cdot(3.759) \cdot\left(\frac{8.00}{2}-\frac{0.88}{2}\right) \\
& M_{n}=196.25 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \varphi M_{n}=(1.00)(196.25) / 12=16.35 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor of safety for flexure is $16.35 / 13.86=1.180$ the same as for axial strength.

Simplified Method
A simplified method of analysis is available based on the limitations previously stated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi M_{n}=\varphi\left[T_{1}\left(d_{1}-\frac{a}{2}\right)-P_{u}\left(\frac{h}{2}-\frac{a}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \text { where } a=\frac{T_{1}-P_{u}}{0.85 f_{c}^{\prime} b}=\frac{44.64-3.759}{(0.85) \cdot(4.5) \cdot(12)}=0.26 \text { in } \\
& \varphi M_{n}=(1.00) \cdot\left[(44.64) \cdot\left(5.19-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)-(3.759) \cdot\left(\frac{8.00}{2}-\frac{0.89}{2}\right)\right] \div 12 \\
& \varphi M_{n}=16.54 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is interesting to note that for a safety factor close to one that the results of the precise and approximate methods are the similar.

## APPENDIX B

## PRECISE TRANSFORMED SECTION PROPERTIES

The approximate method of calculating transformed section properties assumes that all the strands act at the center of gravity of the strand pattern. The precise method assumes the strands in each row act as a single strand equal to the area of all the strands in that row.

Both methods produce the same values for the area and location of the neutral axis. At the midspan, where all the strands are closely spaced near the bottom, the moment of inertia of the two methods is very close. Near the ends of the girder where the strands are draped there are some differences in the moment of inertia. However, these differences are small and can be ignored.

Midspan
Transformed Properties

The following transformed section properties are calculated at the midspan based on the strand pattern shown in Figures 11 and 12:

Net Section - I-Girder

| No. | As | A | Y | Ay | Io | A(y-yb) ${ }^{2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 941.00 | 36.439 | 34289 | 671108 | 56 |
| 10 | 0.153 | -1.53 | 2.000 | -3 | 0 | -1841 |
| 12 | 0.153 | -1.84 | 4.000 | -7 | 0 | -1966 |
| 12 | 0.153 | -1.84 | 6.000 | -11 | 0 | -1732 |
| 10 | 0.153 | -1.53 | 8.000 | -12 | 0 | -1259 |
| 2 | 0.153 | -0.31 | 10.000 | -3 | 0 | -221 |
| 2 | 0.153 | -0.31 | 12.000 | -3 | 0 | -189 |
|  |  | 933.64 |  | 34250 | 671108 | -7152 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=933.64 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=34250 / 933.64=36.684 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-36.684=35.316 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}=671,108-7152=663,956 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
& \mathrm{r}^{2}=\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{A}=663,956 / 933.64=711.15 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Transformed Section - I-Girder ( $\mathrm{n}=7.00$ )

| n | No. | As | A | Y | Ay | Io | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{yb})^{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 933.64 | 36.684 | 34250 | 663956 | 2475 |
| 7 | 10 | 0.153 | 10.71 | 2.000 | 21 | 0 | 11703 |
| 7 | 12 | 0.153 | 12.85 | 4.000 | 51 | 0 | 12394 |
| 7 | 12 | 0.153 | 12.85 | 6.000 | 77 | 0 | 10849 |
| 7 | 10 | 0.153 | 10.71 | 8.000 | 86 | 0 | 7840 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.153 | 2.14 | 10.000 | 21 | 0 | 1343 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.153 | 2.14 | 12.000 | 26 | 0 | 1138 |
|  |  |  | 985.04 |  | 34532 | 663956 | 47742 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=985.04 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=34532 / 985.04=35.056 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-35.056=36.944 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}=663,956+47742=711,698 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Composite Section - I-Girder \& Deck

$$
n=3861 / 4070=0.949
$$

Interior Girder

| n | W | H | A | y | Ay | Io | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{yb})^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 985.04 | 35.056 | 34532 | 711698 | 313399 |
| 0.949 | 108.00 | 7.50 | 768.69 | 75.750 | 58228 | 3603 | 401596 |
|  |  |  | 1753.73 |  | 92760 | 715301 | 714995 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=1753.73 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=92760 / 1753.73=52.893 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-52.893=19.107 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}=715,301+714,995=1,430,296 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Transfer Length
[6.11.4.1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=1671.17 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=86258 / 1671.17=51.615 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-51.615=20.385 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}=714,729+659,137=1,373,866 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The section properties are also required near the ends of the beam at a distance equal to the transfer length from the end of the beam. Since transformed section properties are being used, the section properties will vary with the change in center of gravity of the strands. The transfer length of the bonded prestressing strands is 60 times the strand diameter. For 0.5 inch diameter strand the transfer length equals 30 inches. The centerline of bearing is 9 inches from the end. Therefore the critical location is 21 inches from the centerline of bearing.

See Figure 12 for a diagram of the harped strands. The rise in the top strand at the end of the transfer length is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Y}=12.00+(58.00)(40.625) /(43.125)=66.638 \text { in } \\
& c g=\frac{12 \cdot(66.638-5.00)+8 \cdot(2.0)+10 \cdot(4.0)+10 \cdot(6.0)+8 \cdot(8.0)}{48}=19.160
\end{aligned}
$$

Transfer Length
Transformed Properties

At transfer length from the girder end:
Net Section - I-Girder

| No. | As | A | y | Ay | Io | A(y-yb) ${ }^{2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 941.00 | 36.439 | 34289 | 671108 | 18 |
| 2 | 0.153 | -0.31 | 66.638 | -20 | 0 | -280 |
| 2 | 0.153 | -0.31 | 64.638 | -20 | 0 | -244 |
| 2 | 0.153 | -0.31 | 62.638 | -19 | 0 | -211 |
| 2 | 0.153 | -0.31 | 60.638 | -19 | 0 | -179 |
| 2 | 0.153 | -0.31 | 58.638 | -18 | 0 | -151 |
| 2 | 0.153 | -0.31 | 56.638 | -17 | 0 | -125 |
| 8 | 0.153 | -1.22 | 8.00 | -10 | 0 | -996 |
| 10 | 0.153 | -1.53 | 6.00 | -9 | 0 | -1430 |
| 10 | 0.153 | -1.53 | 4.00 | -6 | 0 | -1624 |
| 8 | 0.153 | -1.22 | 2.00 | -2 | 0 | -1459 |
|  |  | 933.64 |  | 34149 | 671108 | -6681 |

$\mathrm{A}=933.64 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=34149 / 933.64=36.576$ in
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-36.576=35.424 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{I}=671,108-6681=664,427 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
$r^{2}=664,427 / 933.64=711.65 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
Transformed Section - I-Girder ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ )

| n | No. | As | A | y | Ay | Io | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{yb})^{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 933.64 | 36.576 | 34149 | 664427 | 770 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.153 | 2.14 | 66.638 | 143 | 0 | 2053 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.153 | 2.14 | 64.638 | 138 | 0 | 1796 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.153 | 2.14 | 62.638 | 134 | 0 | 1557 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.153 | 2.14 | 60.638 | 130 | 0 | 1334 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.153 | 2.14 | 58.638 | 126 | 0 | 1129 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.153 | 2.14 | 56.638 | 121 | 0 | 941 |
| 7 | 8 | 0.153 | 8.57 | 8.00 | 69 | 0 | 6560 |
| 7 | 10 | 0.153 | 10.71 | 6.00 | 64 | 0 | 9427 |
| 7 | 10 | 0.153 | 10.71 | 4.00 | 43 | 0 | 10741 |
| 7 | 8 | 0.153 | 8.57 | 2.00 | 17 | 0 | 9714 |
|  |  |  | 985.04 |  | 35134 | 664427 | 46022 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=985.04 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=35134 / 985.04=35.668 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-35.668=36.332 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}=664,427+46,022=710,449 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Composite Section - I-Girder \& Deck
$\mathrm{n}=3861 / 4070=0.949$
Interior Girder

| n | W | H | A | y | Ay | Io | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{y} \text {-yb) })^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 985.04 | 35.668 | 35134 | 710449 | 304017 |
| 0.949 | 108.00 | 7.50 | 768.69 | 75.75 | 58228 | 3603 | 389634 |
|  |  |  | 1753.73 |  | 93362 | 714052 | 693651 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=1753.73 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=93362 / 1753.73=53.236 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-53.236=18.764 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}=714,052+693,651=1,407,703 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Exterior Girder

| n | W | H | A | y | Ay | Io | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{yb})^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 985.04 | 35.668 | 35134 | 710449 | 261972 |
| 0.949 | 90.00 | 7.50 | 640.58 | 75.75 | 48524 | 3003 | 362058 |
| 0.949 | 16.00 | 1.00 | 15.18 | 71.50 | 1086 | 1 | 5786 |
| 0.949 | $1 / 2 * 16$ | 4.00 | 30.37 | 69.67 | 2116 | 27 | 9508 |
|  |  |  | 1671.17 |  | 86860 | 713480 | 639324 |

$$
\mathrm{A}=1671.17 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

$$
y_{b}=86860 / 1671.17=51.976 \text { in }
$$

$$
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=72.00-51.976=20.024 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
\mathrm{I}=713,480+639,324=1,352,804 \mathrm{in}^{4}
$$

Comparison Properties
Midspan Transfer Length

|  | Precise | Approx | Precise | Approx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At | 985.04 | 985.07 | 985.04 | 985.07 |
| yb | 35.056 | 35.055 | 35.668 | 35.666 |
| It | 711,698 | 711,399 | 710,449 | 683,675 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Ac | 1753.73 | 1753.76 | 1753.73 | 1753.76 |
| Yb | 52.893 | 52.892 | 53.236 | 53.235 |
| Ic | $1,430,296$ | $1,430,042$ | $1,407,703$ | $1,381,008$ |

These results show that at the midspan where the strands are closely spaced, the results are nearly identical but where the strands are more widely spread at the ends differences appear. Use of the precise method could reduce the required concrete strength near the ends for some designs but the extra effort to calculate them is usually not warranted.

## APPENDIX C <br> REFINED PRESTRESSED LOSSES

The refined prestress losses are based on the following timeline of events.


Figure C-1

## Refined

Time-Dependent
Losses - 2006
[5.9.5.4]

For precast pretensioned members more accurate values of creep, shrinkage and relaxation related losses are determined as follows:
$\Delta f_{p L T}=\left(\Delta f_{p S R}+\Delta f_{p C R}+\Delta f_{p R 1}\right)_{i d}+\left(\Delta f_{p S D}+\Delta f_{p C D}+\Delta f_{p R 2}+\Delta f_{p S S}\right)_{d f}$
$\Delta f_{p S R}=$ prestress loss due to shrinkage of girder concrete between transfer and deck placement.
$\Delta f_{p C R}=$ prestress loss due to creep of girder concrete between transfer and deck placement.
$\Delta f_{p R 1}=$ prestress loss due to relaxation of prestressing strands between time of transfer and deck placement.
$\Delta f_{p S D}=$ prestress loss due to shrinkage of girder concrete between time of deck placement and final time.
$\Delta f_{p C D}=$ prestress loss due to creep of girder concrete between time of deck placement and final time.
$\Delta f_{p R 2}=$ prestress loss due to relaxation of prestressing strands in composite section between time of deck placement and final time.
$\Delta f_{p S S}=$ prestress loss due to shrinkage of deck composite section.
$\left(\Delta f_{p S R}+\Delta f_{p C R}+\Delta f_{p R 1}\right)_{i d}=$ sum of time dependent prestress losses between transfer and deck placement.
$\left(\Delta f_{p S D}+\Delta f_{p C D}+\Delta f_{p R 2}+\Delta f_{p S S}\right)_{d f}=$ sum of time-dependent prestress losses after deck placement.

The first and last equations show a plus sign before the term $\Delta f_{p s s}$. The 2006 Interims show a negative sign in front of the variable. The term $\Delta f_{p s s}$ is a gain in stress and should be subtracted from the losses. However, the term $\Delta f_{p s s}$ is a negative number so the negative sign is not required here.

Shrinkage of Girder Concrete [5.9.5.4.2a]
[5.9.5.4.2a-1]
[5.4.2.3.3-1]
[5.4.2.3.2-2]
[5.4.2.3.3-2]
[5.4.2.3.2-4]
[5.4.2.3.2-5]

The prestress loss due to shrinkage of girder concrete between time of transfer and deck placement shall be determined as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p S R}=\varepsilon_{b i d} E_{p} K_{i d}
$$

Where:
$\varepsilon_{\text {bid }}=$ concrete shrinkage strain of girder between the time of transfer and deck placement per Eq. 5.4.2.3.3-1.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{id}}=$ transformed section coefficient that accounts for time-dependent interaction between concrete and bonded steel in the section being considered for time period between transfer and deck placement.

To determine the value of $\varepsilon_{\text {bid }}$ the shrinkage strain of the girder must be determined at the time of deck placement. The basic equation for shrinkage is:

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{sh}}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}} 0.48 \times 10^{-3}
$$

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}}=1.45-0.13(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{S})=1.45-0.13(3.89)=0.944
$$

A humidity of 40 percent is used for this design.

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hs}}=2.00-0.014 \mathrm{H}=2.00-0.014(40)=1.440
$$

$$
k_{f}=\frac{5}{1+f_{c i}^{\prime}}=\frac{5}{1+4.7}=0.877
$$

$$
k_{t d}=\frac{t}{61-4 f_{c i}^{\prime}+t}
$$

At time of deck placement, assumed at 60 days:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{t d}=\frac{60}{61-(4) \cdot(4.7)+60}=0.587 \\
& \varepsilon_{\text {bid }}=-(0.944)(1.440)(0.877)(0.587)\left(0.48 \times 10^{-3}\right)=-0.336 \times 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

To determine the value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{id}}$ the girder creep must be determined at final age as follows:
$\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)=$ girder creep coefficient at final time due to loading introduced at transfer per Eq. 5.4.2.3.2-1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{f}=\text { final age }=(50 \text { years })(365 \text { days } / \text { year })=18,250 \text { days } \\
& t_{i}=\text { age at transfer }=1 \text { day } .
\end{aligned}
$$

The time between pouring of the concrete and transfer of prestress may be taken as 18 hours for release strengths up to 4.5 ksi. Article [5.9.2.3.2] states that one day of accelerated curing by steam or radian heat may be taken as equal to 7 days of normal curing. This statement has caused confusion since it only applies to girders not ssteam cured and is being deleted.
[5.4.2.3.2-1]
[5.4.2.3.2-3]
[5.4.2.3.2-5]
[5.9.5.4.2a-2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)=1.9 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vS}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}}^{-0.118} \\
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}}=1.56-0.008 \mathrm{H}=1.56-(0.008)(40)=1.240 \\
& k_{t d}=\frac{18,250}{61-(4) \cdot(4.7)+18,250}=0.998 \text { Use } 1.0 \text { for design } \\
& \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)=(1.9)(0.944)(1.240)(0.877)(1.0)(1)^{-0.118}=1.951
\end{aligned}
$$

Use gross section properties to determine $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{id}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{i d}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} \frac{A_{p s}}{A_{g}}\left(1+\frac{A_{g} e_{p g}^{2}}{I_{g}}\right)\left[1+0.7 \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)\right]} \\
& K_{i d}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{28500}{3946} \frac{7.344}{941}\left(1+\frac{(941) \cdot(30.939)^{2}}{671,108}\right)[1+(0.7) \cdot(1.951)]} \\
& K_{i d}=0.762
\end{aligned}
$$

The prestress loss due to shrinkage between the time of transfer and the time of deck placement can be determined as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p S R}=(0.000336) \cdot(28500) \cdot(0.762)=7.30 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

## Creep of Girder

 Concrete [5.9.5.4.2b][5.9.5.4.2b-1]

The prestress loss due to creep of girder concrete between time of transfer and deck placement is determined as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p C R}=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} f_{c g p} \psi_{b}\left(t_{d}, t_{i}\right) K_{i d}
$$

$f_{c g p}=$ concrete stress at center of gravity of prestressing tendons.
$\psi_{b}\left(t_{d}, t_{i}\right)=$ girder creep coefficient at time of deck placement due to loading introduced at transfer per Eq. 5.4.2.3.2-1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{b}\left(t_{d}, t_{i}\right)=1.9 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vS}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}^{-0.118} \\
& \psi_{b}\left(t_{d}, t_{i}\right)=(1.9)(0.944)(1.240)(0.877)(0.587)(1)^{-0.118}=1.145
\end{aligned}
$$

The effective prestress force is the jacking stress minus the relaxation loss from time of stressing till time of transfer.

$$
P_{t}=[(0.75)(270)-2.23](7.344)=1470.78 \mathrm{k}
$$

The concrete stress at the centroid of the prestress steel is calculated as follows using the transformed section properties at transfer.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c g p}=(1470.78) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{986.68}+\frac{(29.507)^{2}}{712,809}\right]-\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(29.507)}{712,809} \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{c g p}=2.540 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

All the remaining variables have already been determined. The prestress loss due to creep is calculated as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p C R}=\frac{28500}{3946} \cdot(2.540) \cdot(1.145) \cdot(0.762)=16.01 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Relaxation of Prestressing Strands [5.9.5.4.2c]
[5.9.5.4.2c-1]

Time-Dependent
Losses Prior to Deck Placement

The prestress loss due to relaxation of prestressing strands between time of transfer and deck placement is determined as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p R 1}=\frac{f_{p t}}{K_{L}}\left(\frac{f_{p t}}{f_{p y}}-0.55\right)
$$

$f_{p t}=$ stress in prestressing strands immediately after transfer but shall not be less than $0.55 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{py}}=0.55(243)=133.65 \mathrm{ksi}$. The stress after transfer includes the relaxation loss prior to transfer and the elastic shortening loss.

Determine the elastic shortening loss as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta f_{p E S}=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c t}} f_{c g p}=\frac{28500}{3946} \cdot(2.540)=18.35 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{p t}=(0.75)(270)-2.23-18.35=181.92 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& K_{L}=30 \text { for low relaxation strands. } \\
& \Delta f_{p R 1}=\frac{181.92}{30} \cdot\left(\frac{181.92}{243}-0.55\right)=1.20 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum of these first three losses is the time-dependent loss prior to placement of deck.

$$
\left(\Delta f_{p S R}+\Delta f_{p C R}+\Delta f_{p R 1}\right)_{i d}=7.30+16.01+1.20=24.51 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

## Shrinkage of Girder Concrete

[5.9.5.4.3a]
[5.9.5.4.3a-1]

The losses from the time of deck placement to final time consist of four components: shrinkage of girder concrete, creep of girder concrete, relaxation of prestressing strand and shrinkage of deck concrete.

The prestress loss due to shrinkage of the girder concrete between time of deck placement and final time is determined as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p S D}=\varepsilon_{b d f} E_{p} K_{d f}
$$

where:
$\varepsilon_{\text {bdf }}=$ shrinkage strain of girder between time of deck placement and final time per Eq. 5.4.2.3.3-1.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{df}}=$ transformed section coefficient that accounts for time-dependent interaction between concrete and bonded steel in the section being considered for time period between deck placement and final time.
$e_{p c}=$ eccentricity of strands with respect to centroid of the net composite section.
$A_{c}=$ area of section calculated using the net composite concrete section properties of the girder and the deck and the deck-to-girder modular ratio.
$I_{c}=$ moment of inertia of section calculated using the net composite concrete section properties of the girder and the deck and the deck-togirder modular ratio at service.

The 2006 Interims state that the variable $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{df}}$ is determined using net composite section properties. However, the variable $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{df}}$ should be determined using gross composite section propertiesto be consistent with the usage of pross section properties in determination of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{id}}$.
[5.9.5.4.3a-2]

## Creep of Girder

 Concrete [5.9.5.4.3b][5.9.5.4.3b-1]

For determination of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{df}}$ use gross composite section properties.

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{d f} & =\frac{1}{1+\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} \frac{A_{p s}}{A_{c}}\left(1+\frac{A_{c} e^{2} p c}{I_{c}}\right)\left[1+0.7 \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)\right]} \\
K_{d f} & =\frac{1}{1+\frac{28500}{3946} \frac{7.344}{1710} \cdot\left(1+\frac{(1710) \cdot(48.613)^{2}}{1,328,521}\right) \cdot[1+(0.7) \cdot(1.951)]} \\
K_{d f} & =0.771
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of shrinkage at the time of deck placement has already been calculated. The shrinkage at final time is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{sh}}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}} 0.48 \times 10^{-3} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{sh}}=-(0.944)(1.440)(0.877)(1.0)\left(0.48 \times 10^{-3}\right)=-0.572 \times 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference in strain between time of deck placement and final time is:

$$
\varepsilon_{\text {bid }}=0.572 \times 10^{-3}-0.336 \times 10^{-3}=0.236 \times 10^{-3}
$$

The prestress loss due to shrinkage after deck placement is:

$$
\Delta f_{p S R}=\varepsilon_{b d f} E_{p} K_{d f}=(0.000236)(28500)(0.771)=5.19 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

The prestress loss due to creep of girder concrete between time of deck placement and final time is determined as:

$$
\Delta f_{p C D}=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} f_{c g p}\left[\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)-\psi_{b}\left(t_{d}, t_{i}\right)\right] K_{d f}+\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c}} \Delta f_{c d} \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right) K_{d f} \geq 0.0
$$

where:

$$
\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right)=\underset{\text { placement per Eq. }}{\text { p.4.2.3.2-1 }} \text { girder creep coefficient at final time due to loading at deck }
$$

$$
\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right)=(1.9)(0.944)(1.240)(0.877)(1.0)(60)^{-0.118}=1.203
$$

$\Delta f_{c d}=$ change in concrete stress at centroid of prestressing strands due to long-term losses between transfer and deck placement, combined with deck weight and superimposed loads.

The long-term time-dependent loss between transfer and deck placement is 24.51 ksi . This change in stress will result in the following tensile forces in the concrete at the centroid of the prestressing using transformed section properties at service (28 days).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{P}=\Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pid}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{ps}}=(24.51)(7.344)=180.00 \mathrm{k} \\
& \Delta f_{c d 1}=(180.00) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{985.07}+\frac{(29.555)^{2}}{711,399}\right)=-0.404 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The addition of the weight of the deck and superimposed loads will result in the following tensile forces in the concrete using transformed section properties.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta f_{c d 2}=\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(29.555)}{711,399}+\frac{(350+273) \cdot(12) \cdot(47.392)}{1,430,042}=-1.132 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \Delta f_{c d}=-0.404-1.132=-1.536 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The loss of prestress force is applied at the time of deck placement, the barrier load is applied 30 days afterwards and the FWS is applied at an assumed time of 3650 days. Determine creep losses assuming the three loads are all applied at a time of 90 days as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta f_{p C D}=\frac{28500}{3946} \cdot(2.540) \cdot[1.951-1.145] \cdot(0.771) \\
& +\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(-1.536) \cdot[1.203] \cdot(0.771)=11.40-9.98=1.42 \geq 0.0 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \Delta f_{p C D}=1.42 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Relaxation of Prestressing Strands [5.9.5.4.3c]
[5.9.5.4.3c-1]

The prestress loss due to relaxation of prestressing strands in composite section between time of deck placement and final time is determined as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p R 2}=\Delta f_{p R 1}=1.20 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

## Shrinkage of

 Deck Concrete [5.9.5.4.3d][5.9.5.4.3d-1]
[5.4.2.3.3-1]

The prestress gain due to shrinkage of deck composite section is determined as follows:

$$
\Delta f_{p s S}=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c}} \Delta f_{c d f} K_{d f}\left[1+0.7 \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right)\right]
$$

in which:

$$
\Delta f_{c d f}=\frac{\varepsilon_{d d f} A_{d} E_{c d}}{\left[1+0.7 \psi_{d}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right)\right]}\left(\frac{1}{A_{c}}+\frac{e_{p c} e_{d}}{I_{c}}\right)
$$

$\Delta f_{c d f}=$ change in concrete stress at centroid of prestressing strands due to shrinkage of deck concrete.
$\varepsilon_{d d f}=$ shrinkage strain of deck concrete between placement and final time per Eq. 5.4.2.3.3-1.

The basic equation for shrinkage is:

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{sh}}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}} 0.48 \times 10^{-3}
$$

The volume-to-surface ratio is determined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}=(7.50)(108)=810 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~S}=108 \text { top }+108 \text { bottom }-40 \text { top flange }=176 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{S}=810 / 176=4.60 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}}=1.45-0.13(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{S})=1.45-0.13(4.60)=0.852 \\
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hs}}=2.00-0.014 \mathrm{H}=2.00-0.014(40)=1.440
\end{aligned}
$$

Since there is no specified release strength for the deck, use $80 \% \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{c}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{f}=\frac{5}{1+f^{\prime}{ }_{c i}}=\frac{5}{1+(0.80) \cdot(4.5)}=1.087 \\
& k_{t d}=\frac{t}{61-4 f^{\prime}{ }_{c i}+t}=\frac{18,250}{61-(4) \cdot(0.80) \cdot(4.5)+18,250}=0.997 \text { Use } 1.0 \\
& \varepsilon_{\text {ddf }}=-(0.852)(1.440)(1.087)(1.0) 0.48 \times 10^{-3}=0.640 \times 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

[5.4.2.3.2-1]
[5.9.5.4.3d-2]

Time-Dependent Losses After Deck Placement

## Time-Dependent Losses

## Total Losses

Other variables are defined as follows:

$$
\mathrm{A}_{d}=\text { area of deck concrete }=(7.50)(108)=810 \text { in }^{2}
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cd}}=\text { modulus of elasticity of deck concrete }=3861 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$e_{d}=$ eccentricity of deck with respect to the transformed net composite section, taken as negative in common construction. Use the gross composite properties to be consistent with other calculations.
$e_{d}=-17.887-7.50 / 2=-21.637 \mathrm{in}$
$\psi_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=$ creep coefficient of deck concrete at final time due to loading introduced shortly after deck placement per Eq. 5.4.2.3.2-1

Once the deck sets any shortening will be transmitted to the girder. Therefore assume $t_{i}=1$ day.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{d}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)=1.9 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}^{-0.118} \\
& \psi_{d}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right)=(1.9)(0.852)(1.240)(1.087)(1.0)(1)^{-0.118}=2.182 \\
& \Delta f_{c d f}=\frac{(0.000640) \cdot(810) \cdot(3861)}{[1+(0.7) \cdot(2.182)]} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{1710}+\frac{(48.613) \cdot(-21.637)}{1,328,521}\right)=-0.164 \\
& \Delta f_{p s s}=\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(-0.164) \cdot(0.771) \cdot[1+0.7 \cdot(1.203)]=-1.63 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum of the time-dependent prestress losses after deck placement is:

$$
\left(\Delta f_{p S D}+\Delta f_{p C D}+\Delta f_{p R 2}-\Delta f_{p S S}\right)_{d f}=5.19+1.42+1.20-1.63=6.18 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

The final sum of time-dependent losses is:

$$
\Delta f_{p L T}=24.51+6.18=30.69 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

This compares to the time-dependent loss of 34.00 ksi using the approximate method.

The sum of all losses including relaxation before transfer and elastic shortening is:

Total Loss $=2.23+18.35+30.69=51.27$ ksi

## APPENDIX D <br> APPROXIMATE PRESTRESS LOSSES DEVELOPMENT OF EQUATION

The approximate method for determining time-dependent prestress losses is derived from the refined method shown in Appendix C. The time dependent loss is the sum of the losses before deck placement and those after deck placement. The following equation results:

$$
\Delta f_{p L T}=\left(\Delta f_{p S R}+\Delta f_{p C R}+\Delta f_{p R 1}\right)_{i d}+\left(\Delta f_{p S D}+\Delta f_{p C D}+\Delta f_{p R 2}+\Delta f_{p S S}\right)_{d f}
$$

Substituting the appropriate equation for each loss yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta f_{p L T} & =\varepsilon_{b i d} E_{p} K_{i d}+\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} f_{c g p} \psi_{b}\left(t_{d}, t_{i}\right) K_{i d}+\Delta f_{p R 1} \\
& +\varepsilon_{b d f} E_{p} K_{d f}+\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} f_{c g p}\left[\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)-\psi_{b}\left(t_{d}, t_{i}\right)\right] K_{d f} \\
& +\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c}} \Delta f_{c d} \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right) K_{d f}+\Delta f_{p R 2}+\Delta f_{p S S}
\end{aligned}
$$

The shrinkage loss is the sum of the shrinkage loss before deck placement and that after deck placement.

$$
\text { Shrinkage }=\varepsilon_{b i d} E_{p} K_{i d}+\varepsilon_{b d f} E_{p} K_{d f}
$$

Based on investigation of many examples, assume that $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{id}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{df}}=0.8$
The equation simplifies to:
Shrinkage $=0.8 E_{p}\left(\varepsilon_{b i d}+\varepsilon_{b d f}\right)$
Where $\varepsilon_{b i d}+\varepsilon_{b d}$ is the total shrinkage strain as shown below:

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{sh}}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}} 0.48 \times 10^{-3}
$$

Assume that the volume to surface ratio is 3.5 , then

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}}=1.45-0.13(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{S})=1.45-0.13(3.5)=1.0
$$

$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}}=1.0$ for final time

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{sh}}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}} 0.48 \times 10^{-3}=(1.0) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}(1.0) 0.48 \times 10^{-3} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{sh}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.48 \times 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation for shrinkage loss reduces to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Shrinkage }=0.8 E_{p}\left(\varepsilon_{b i d}+\varepsilon_{b d f}\right)=0.8(28,500) k_{h s} k_{f} 0.48 \times 10^{-3} \\
& \text { Shrinkage }=10.94 k_{h s} k_{f} \Rightarrow \underline{\text { Use }=12.0 k_{h s} k_{f}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Creep Loss

## Assumption 3

Assumption 4

## Assumption 5

## Assumption 6

The creep loss is the sum of the creep loss before deck placement plus the creep loss after deck placement.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Creep }= & \frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} f_{c g p} \psi_{b}\left(t_{d}, t_{i}\right) K_{i d}+\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} f_{c g p}\left[\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)-\psi_{b}\left(t_{d}, t_{i}\right)\right] K_{d f} \\
& +\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c}} \Delta f_{c d} \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right) K_{d f}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again assume that $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{id}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{df}}=0.8$ and combine terms resulting in:

$$
\text { Creep }=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}} f_{c g p} \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right) \cdot(0.8)+\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c}} \Delta f_{c d} \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right) \cdot(0.8)
$$

Where:

$$
\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)=1.9 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{td}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}^{-0.118}
$$

Assume the following:
Volume to surface ratio equals $3.5 \Rightarrow \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{vs}}=1.0$
The load is applied at one day $\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}^{-0.118}=1.0$

$$
\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)=1.9(1.0) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}(1.0)(1)^{-0.118}=1.9 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}
$$

Assume that the creep factor for loads applied after the deck pour equals:

$$
\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right)=0.4 \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)
$$

Assume the following:

1) $\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c i}}=7$ based on $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ci}}=5.0 \mathrm{ksi}$
2) $\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c}}=6$ based on $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=6.8 \mathrm{ksi}$

The equation for creep loss then reduces to the following:
Creep $=(7) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cgp}}\left(1.9 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)(0.8)+(6) \Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cd}}(0.4)\left(1.9 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)(0.8)$

Creep $=10.64 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cgp}}+3.65 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cd}}$

## Assumption 7

Assumption 8

Assumption 9

Assumption 10

Girder Moment

## Deck Stress

This is similar in form to the current prestress creep equation. Additional assumptions are now made to eliminate the two terms $f_{\text {cgp }}$ and $\Delta f_{c d}$.

Assume the following:

1) Final stress at the cg of the strands $=0$
2) Moment from the girder, deck placement and live load are equal, resulting in $\sum \mathrm{M}=3 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{g}}$.
3) $\left(1+\frac{A e^{2}}{I}\right)=2$
4) The effective prestress equals $80 \%$ of the initial prestress.

Sum the stresses at the c.g. of the strands as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c g}=\frac{3 M_{g} e_{p}}{I_{g}}-0.8 P_{i}\left(\frac{1}{A_{g}}+\frac{e^{2}}{I_{g}}\right)=0 \\
& \frac{3 M_{g} e_{p}}{I_{g}}=\frac{0.8 P_{i}}{A_{g}}\left(1+\frac{A e_{p}^{2}}{I_{g}}\right)=\frac{0.8 P_{i}}{A_{g}}(2.0) \\
& M_{g}=\frac{1.6 P_{i} I_{g}}{3 A_{g} e_{p}} \\
& f_{c g p}=\frac{P_{i}}{A_{g}}\left(1+\frac{A_{g} e_{p}^{2}}{I_{g}}\right)-\frac{M_{g} e_{p}}{I_{g}} \\
& f_{c g p}=\frac{0.8 P_{i}}{A_{g}}(2)-\frac{M_{g} e_{p}}{I_{g}}=\frac{1.6 P_{i}}{A_{g}}-\frac{1.6 P_{i} I_{g}}{3 A_{g} e_{p}} \cdot \frac{e_{p}}{I_{g}}=\frac{3.2 P_{i}}{3 A_{g}} \\
& \Delta f_{c d}=\frac{M_{g} e_{p}}{I_{g}}=\frac{1.6 P_{i} I_{g}}{3 A_{g} e_{p}} \cdot \frac{e_{p}}{I_{g}}=\frac{-1.6 P_{i}}{3 A_{g}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Creep $=10.64 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(3.2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} / 3 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)+3.65 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(-1.6 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} / 3 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$
Creep $=9.40\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}\right) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$
Rounding and Substituting $f_{p i} A_{p s}=P_{i}$ Yields $\left.\underline{10.0\left(f_{p i}\right.} \underline{A}_{\underline{p s}} / A_{g}\right) \mathrm{k}_{\underline{\mathrm{nc}}} \underline{k}_{\underline{\underline{f}}}$

Assumption 11
Relaxation Loss Assumption 12

Assumption 1

Assumption 2

Assumption 3
Assumption 4

Assumption 5
Assumption 6
Assumption 7
Assumption 8

Ignore the gain in prestress from the deck shrinkage.
Assume the relaxation from the prestressing strands equals 2.5 ksi for low relaxation strands.

The resulting equation is then:

$$
\Delta f_{p L T}=10.0 \frac{f_{p i} A_{p s}}{A_{g}} \gamma_{h} \gamma_{s t}+12.0 \gamma_{h} \gamma_{s t}+\Delta f_{p R}
$$

in which:
$\gamma_{h}=1.7-0.01 H$ is an average humidity factor for shrinkage and creep.

$$
\gamma_{s t}=\frac{5}{1+f_{c i}^{\prime}}
$$

A summary of the assumptions made in the development of the approximate formula are listed below with the corresponding value from this example.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{\text { Assumption }} & \underline{\text { Actual }} \\
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{id}}=0.8 & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{id}}=0.762 \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{df}}=0.8 & \\
& \\
\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{S}=3.5 & \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{S}=3.89 \\
k_{V S}=1.0 & k_{V S}=0.944
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.0
$$

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.0
$$

$$
\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right)=0.4 \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{d}\right)=0.617 \psi_{b}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ci}}=7 & \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ci}}=7.22 \\
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}=6 & \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}=7.00 \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cgp}}=0 & \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cgp}}=0.481 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \\
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ll}} & \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{g}}=1503 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}=2396 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ll}}=2332 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{array}
$$

## Assumption 9

## Assumption 10

Assumption 11
Assumption 12

Summary

$$
1+\mathrm{Ae}^{2} / \mathrm{I}=2
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {eff }}=0.80 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

$$
\Delta f_{p S S}=0 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
\Delta f_{r}=2.50 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$1+\mathrm{Ae}^{2} / \mathrm{I}=2.34$ gross $1+\mathrm{Ae}^{2} / \mathrm{I}=4.04$ gross composite
$P_{\text {eff }}=0.76 P_{i}$
$\Delta f_{p S S}=1.63 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\Delta f_{r}=2.40 \mathrm{ksi}$

Assumption 2 is a function of the type of girder/beam. This assumption is close for the I-girder.

Assumption 4 appears to be questionable.

Assumptions 5 and 6 do not reflect current ADOT practice on concrete strengths.

Assumption 8 is not bad for this example but could differ considerably for some girder spacings.

## APPENDIX E

## ANALYSIS COMPARISON

## 1) Transformed Section

2) Net Section with Elastic Gain
3) Gross Section with Elastic Gain
4) Gross Section

## 1) Transformed Section

Transfer Stresses P/S and Beam

## Deck Placement

The concrete and prestress strand stresses will be calculated using transformed section properties.

The effective prestress force prior to transfer is:

$$
P_{i}=[(0.75)(270)-2.23](48)(0.153)=1470.78 \mathrm{kips}
$$

The stress in the concrete using transformed section properties at transfer is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=(1470.78) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{986.68}-\frac{(29.507) \cdot(36.993)}{712,809}\right)+\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.993)}{712,809} \\
& f_{t}=-0.762+0.936=0.174 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{c g p}=(1470.78) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{986.68}+\frac{(29.507) \cdot(29.507)}{712,809}\right)-\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(29.507)}{712,809} \\
& f_{c g p}=3.287-0.747=2.540 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=(1470.78) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{986.68}+\frac{(29.507) \cdot(35.007)}{712,809}\right)-\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.007)}{712,809} \\
& f_{b}=3.622-0.886=2.736 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The loss of stress in the prestress strand $=(2.540)(28,500) /(3946)=18.35 \mathrm{ksi}$

The stress in the concrete from the deck pour using transformed section properties at service is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.945)}{711,399}=1.105 \\
& f_{c g p}=-\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(29.555)}{711,399}=-0.884
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f_{b}=-\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.055)}{711,399}=-1.048
$$

The gain in stress in the prestress strand $=(-0.884)(28,500) /(4070)=-6.19 \mathrm{ksi}$

## Composite DL

## Live Load +IM

Time-Dependent Loss

The stress in the concrete from the composite dead load is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(623) \cdot(12) \cdot(19.108)}{1,430,042}=0.100 \\
& f_{c g p}=-\frac{(623) \cdot(12) \cdot(47.392)}{1,430,042}=-0.248 \\
& f_{b}=-\frac{(623) \cdot(12) \cdot(52.892)}{1,430,042}=-0.277
\end{aligned}
$$

The gain in stress in the prestress strand $=(-0.248)(28,500) /(4070)=-1.74 \mathrm{ksi}$

The stress in the concrete from the live load plus dynamic load allowance is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(19.108)}{1,430,042}=0.374 \\
& f_{c g p}=-\frac{(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(47.392)}{1,430,042}=-0.927 \\
& f_{b}=-\frac{(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(52.892)}{1,430,042}=-1.035
\end{aligned}
$$

The gain in stress in the prestress strand $=(-0.927)(28,500) /(4070)=-6.49 \mathrm{ksi}$ The 34.00 ksi prestress loss results in a loss in prestress force of:

$$
P_{i}=(34.00)(48)(0.153)=249.70 \mathrm{kips}
$$

The stress in the concrete using net section properties is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=(-249.70) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}-\frac{(31.182) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}\right)=0.147 \\
& f_{b}=(-249.70) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}\right)=-0.698
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stress Summary

A summary of stresses in the concrete follows:
Service I

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.0(0.174+0.147+1.105+0.100)+1.0(0.374)=1.900 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Service III

$$
f_{b}=1.0(2.736-0.698-1.048-0.277)+0.8(-1.035)=-0.115 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

A summary of stress in the strand is:

$$
f_{p s}=(0.75)(270)-2.23-18.35+6.19+1.74+6.49-34.00=162.34 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

## 2) Net Section

 With Elastic GainTransfer Stresses P/S and Beam

## Deck Placement

The concrete and prestress strand stresses will be calculated using net section properties and including the elastic gain in prestress.

The effective prestress force after transfer including elastic shortening loss is:

$$
P_{i}=[(0.75)(270)-2.23-18.35](48)(0.153)=1336.02 \mathrm{kips}
$$

The stress in the concrete is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=(1336.02) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}-\frac{(31.182) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}\right)+\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023} \\
& f_{t}=-0.785+0.959=0.174 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{c g p}=(1336.02) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182) \cdot(31.182)}{664,023}\right)-\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(31.182)}{664,023} \\
& f_{c g p}=3.387-0.847=2.540 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=(1336.02) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}\right)-\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023} \\
& f_{b}=3.732-0.996=2.736 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The loss of stress in the prestress strand $=(2.540)(28,500) /(3946)=18.35 \mathrm{ksi}$

Since the strands are bonded to the concrete, the addition of external loads will add tension to the prestress strands. Solution of the problem of determining the concrete stress at the c.g. of the strands is similar to that for elastic shortening as shown in the following formula.

The elastic gain from the applied moment, M is shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c g p}=\frac{-M e}{I+\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c t}} A_{p s}\left(r^{2}+e^{2}\right)} \\
& f_{c g p}=\frac{-(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(31.182)}{664,023+\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(7.344) \cdot\left(711.20+(31.182)^{2}\right)}=-0.884 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \Delta f_{\text {gain }}=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c t}} f_{c g p}=\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(-0.884)=-6.19 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {gain }}=(6.19)(7.344)=45.45 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The stress in the concrete from the deck pour is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}+(45.45) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}-\frac{(31.182) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}\right) \\
& f_{t}=1.132-0.027=1.105 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=-\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}+(45.45) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}\right) \\
& f_{b}=-1.175+0.127=-1.048 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The time-dependent loss of 34.00 ksi will not cause any elastic gain so net section properties should be used. The loss of stress in the concrete will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=-(34.00) \cdot(7.344) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}-\frac{(31.182) \cdot(35.318)}{664,023}\right) \\
& f_{t}=0.147 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=-(34.00) \cdot(7.344) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{933.66}+\frac{(31.182) \cdot(36.682)}{664,023}\right) \\
& f_{b}=-0.698 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The net composite section properties must be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}=933.66+(0.949)(108.00)(7.50)=1702.35 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}= {[(933.66)(36.682)+0.949(7.50)(108)(75.75)] \div 1702.35=54.323 \text { in } } \\
& \mathrm{e}= 54.323-5.50=48.823 \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}= 72.00-54.323=17.677 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}= 664,023+933.66(36.682-54.323)^{2}+0.949(108)(7.50)^{3} \div 12 \\
&+0.949(108)(7.50)(75.75-54.323)^{2}=1,311,104 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
& \mathrm{r}^{2}= 1,311,104 / 1702.35=770.17 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Composite Dead Load

Live Load + IM
The elastic gain from the applied moment, M is shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c g p}=\frac{-(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(48.823)}{1,311,104+\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(7.344) \cdot\left(770.17+(48.823)^{2}\right)}=-0.927 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \Delta f_{\text {gain }}=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c t}} f_{c g p}=\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(-0.927)=-6.49 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {gain }}=(6.49)(7.344)=47.69 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The stress in the concrete from the live load is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(17.677)}{1,311,104}+(47.69) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{1702.35}-\frac{(48.823) \cdot(17.677)}{1,311,104}\right) \\
& f_{t}=0.377-0.003=0.374 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=-\frac{(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(54.323)}{1,311,104}+(47.69) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{1702.35}+\frac{(48.823) \cdot(54.323)}{1,311,104}\right) \\
& f_{b}=-1.159+0.124=-1.035 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

A summary of stresses in the concrete follows:

## Service I

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.0(0.174+0.147+1.105+0.100)+1.0(0.374)=1.900 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Service III

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.0(2.736-0.698-1.048-0.277)+0.8(-1.035)=-0.115 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

A summary of stress in the strand is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ps}} & =(0.75)(270)-2.23-18.35+6.19+1.73+6.49-34.00 \\
& =162.33 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3) Gross Section

 With Elastic GainElastic Shortening

## Transfer Stresses P/S and Beam

The concrete and prestress strand stresses will be calculated using gross section properties and including the elastic gain in prestress.

The elastic shortening loss is determined using gross section properties as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta f_{p E S}=\frac{f_{p b t} A_{p s}\left(r^{2}+e_{m}^{2}\right)-e_{m} M_{g}}{A_{p s}\left(r^{2}+e_{m}^{2}\right)+\frac{I \cdot E_{c i}}{E_{p}}} \\
& \Delta f_{p E S}=\frac{(200.27) \cdot(7.344) \cdot\left(713.19+(30.939)^{2}\right)-(30.939) \cdot(1503) \cdot(12)}{(7.344) \cdot\left(713.19+(30.939)^{2}\right)+\frac{(671,108) \cdot(3946)}{28,500}} \\
& \Delta f_{p E S}=18.05 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The effective prestress force after transfer including elastic shortening loss is:

$$
P_{i}=[(0.75)(270)-2.23-18.05](48)(0.153)=1338.22 \mathrm{kips}
$$

The stress in the concrete is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=(1338.22) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{941}-\frac{(30.939) \cdot(35.561)}{671,108}\right)+\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.561)}{671,108} \\
& f_{t}=-0.772+0.956=0.184 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{c g p}=(1338.22) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{941}+\frac{(30.939) \cdot(30.939)}{671,108}\right)-\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(30.939)}{671,108} \\
& f_{c g p}=3.331-0.831=2.500 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=(1338.22) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{941}+\frac{(30.939) \cdot(36.439)}{671,108}\right)-\frac{(1503) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.439)}{671,108} \\
& f_{b}=3.670-0.979=2.691 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The loss in stress in the prestress strand $=(2.500)(28,500) /(3946)=18.06 \mathrm{ksi}$

## Deck Placement

## Time-Dependent

 LossSince the strands are bonded to the concrete, the addition of external loads will add tensile stress to the strands. Solution of the problem of determining the concrete stress at the c.g. of the strands is similar to that of elastic shortening but in this case the prestress component is a function of the applied load.

The elastic gain from the applied moment, M is shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c g p}=\frac{-M e}{I+\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c t}} A_{p s}\left(r^{2}+e^{2}\right)} \\
& f_{c g p}=\frac{-(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(30.939)}{671,108+\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(7.344) \cdot\left(713.19+(30.939)^{2}\right)}=-0.870 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \Delta f_{\text {gain }}=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c t}} f_{c g p}=\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(-0.870)=-6.09 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {gain }}=(6.09)(7.344)=44.72 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The stress in the concrete from the deck pour is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(35.561)}{671,108}+(44.72) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{941}-\frac{(30.939) \cdot(35.561)}{671,108}\right) \\
& f_{t}=1.127-0.026=1.101 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=-\frac{(1773) \cdot(12) \cdot(36.439)}{671,108}+(44.72) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{941}+\frac{(30.939) \cdot(36.439)}{671,108}\right) \\
& f_{b}=-1.155+0.123=-1.032 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The time-dependent loss of 34.00 ksi will not cause any elastic gain. The stress in the concrete from the time-dependent loss is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=-(34.00) \cdot(7.344) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{941}-\frac{(30.939) \cdot(35.561)}{671,108}\right) \\
& f_{t}=0.144 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=-(34.00) \cdot(7.344) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{941}+\frac{(30.939) \cdot(36.439)}{671,108}\right) \\
& f_{b}=-0.685 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Composite Dead Load

## Live Load + IM

The elastic gain from the applied moment, M is shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c g p}=\frac{-(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(48.613)}{1,328,521+\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(7.344) \cdot\left(777.05+(48.613)^{2}\right)}=-0.913 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \Delta f_{\text {gain }}=\frac{E_{p}}{E_{c t}} f_{c g p}=\frac{28500}{4070} \cdot(-0.913)=-6.39 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {gain }}=(6.39)(7.344)=46.95 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The stress in the concrete from the live load is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(17.887)}{1,328,521}+(46.95) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{1709.69}-\frac{(48.613) \cdot(17.887)}{1,328,521}\right) \\
& f_{t}=0.377-0.003=0.374 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& f_{b}=-\frac{(2332) \cdot(12) \cdot(54.113)}{1,328,521}+(46.95) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{1709.69}+\frac{(48.613) \cdot(54.113)}{1,328,521}\right) \\
& f_{b}=-1.140+0.120=-1.020 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

A summary of stresses in the concrete follows:
Service I

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.0(0.184+0.144+1.101+0.100)+1.0(0.374)=1.903 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Service III

$$
f_{b}=1.0(2.691-0.685-1.032-0.273)+0.8(-1.020)=-0.115 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

A summary of stress in the strand is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ps}} & =(0.75)(270)-2.23-18.05+6.09+1.71+6.39-34.00 \\
& =162.41 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Summary

As can be seen in the above table, use of transformed section properties (Method 1) and use of net section properties considering elastic gain (Method 2) produce nearly identical results for each load except for minor differences due to rounding. Use of gross section properties considering elastic gain (Method 3) produces stresses close to the first two methods with the final sum almost identical. Any of these three methods should be acceptable.

Use of gross section properties without considering elastic gain (Method 4) produces higher stresses than the other three methods. While this method is the simpliest to use it is overly conservative and should not be used.

Method 1 requires maximum effort to determine the section properties but once determined the calculation of concrete stresses is simple. Method 2 requires some effort to determine the section properties and also requires consideration of elastic gain. Use of gross section properties is simpliest for calculation of section properties but does require use of the elastic gain to produce reliable results.

