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## Alternative 3 <br> Extended $91^{\text {st }}$ Connector Ramp <br> IHSDM Analysis Results

# Interactive Highway Safety Design Model 

## Crash Prediction Evaluation Report

## Disclaimer

The Interactive Highway Design Model (IHSDM) software is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its content or use thereof. This document does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade and manufacturers' names may appear in this software and documentation only because they are considered essential to the objective of the software.

## Limited Warranty and Limitations of Remedies

This software product is provided "as-is," without warranty of any kind-either expressed or implied (but not limited to the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose). The FHWA do not warrant that the functions contained in the software will meet the end-user's requirements or that the operation of the software will be uninterrupted and error-free.

Under no circumstances will the FHWA be liable to the end-user for any damages or claimed lost profits, lost savings, or other incidental or consequential damages rising out of the use or inability to use the software (even if these organizations have been advised of the possibility of such damages), or for any claim by any other party.

## Notice

The use of the IHSDM software is being done strictly on a voluntary basis. In exchange for provision of IHSDM, the user agrees that the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), U.S. Department of Transportation and any other agency of the Federal Government shall not be responsible for any errors, damage or other liability that may result from any and all use of the software, including installation and testing of the software. The user further agrees to hold the FHWA and the Federal Government harmless from any resulting liability. The user agrees that this hold harmless provision shall flow to any person to whom or any entity to which the user provides the IHSDM software. It is the user's full responsibility to inform any person to whom or any entity to which it provides the IHSDM software of this hold harmless provision.
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## Report Overview

## Report Generated: Mar 22, 2023 12:11 PM

Report Template: System: Single Page [System] (mlcpm3, Mar 7, 2023 12:48 PM)

Evaluation Date: Wed Mar 22 12:11:25 MST 2023
IHSDM Version: v17.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)
Crash Prediction Module: v12.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)

User Name: Jordan Rae Aguirre
Organization Name: Jacobs Engineering
Phone: 5307019417
E-Mail: jordanrae.aguirre@jacobs.com

Project Title: I-10/101L - Alternatives Analysis - Extended 91st Ave Ramp
Project Comment: Created using wizard
Project Unit System: U.S. Customary

Highway Title: Alignment Median SR 101
Highway Comment: Imported from Median SR 101.xml
Highway Version: 2

Evaluation Title: Evaluation 14
Evaluation Comment: Created Wed Mar 22 12:04:46 MST 2023

Minimum Location: 138+40.440
Maximum Location: 236+00.000

Policy for Superelevation: AASHTO 2011 U.S. Customary
Calibration: HSM Configuration
Crash Distribution: HSM Configuration
Model/CMF: HSM Configuration

First Year of Analysis: 2050
Last Year of Analysis: 2050
Empirical-Bayes Analysis: None
First Year of Observed Crashes:
Last Year of Observed Crashes:

## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Section 2 Evaluation

Section: Section 2
Evaluation Start Location: 138+40.440
Evaluation End Location: 236+00.000
Functional Class: Freeway
Type of Alignment: Divided, Multilane
Model Category: Freeway Segment
Calibration Factor: FI_EN=1.0; FI_EX=1.0; FI_MV=1.0; FI_SV=1.0; PDO_EN=1.0; PDO_EX=1.0; PDO_MV=1.0;
PDO_SV=1.0;

Crash Prediction Summary, Section 2 (Divided, Multilane; Urban; Freeway)
Project: l-10/101L - Alternatives Analysis - Extended 91 st Ave Ramp, Evaluation: Evaluation 14 Highway: Alignment Median SR 101



Location (Sta. feet)


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Section 2)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Section 2)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{mi}) \end{gathered}$ | AADT | Median Width (ft) | Type | Effective Median Width (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Four-lane Freeway | Urban | $138+40.440$ | $138+91.440$ | 51.00 | 0.0097 | 2050: 197,400 | 41.71 | Non-Traversable Median | 56.96 |
| 2 | Four-lane Freeway | Urban | $138+91.440$ | 139+84.440 | 93.00 | 0.0176 | 2050: 197,400 | 43.73 | Non-Traversable Median | 59.69 |
| 3 | Four-lane Freeway | Urban | $139+84.440$ | $139+91.440$ | 7.00 | 0.0013 | 2050: 197,400 | 45.12 | Non-Traversable Median | 61.58 |
| 4 | Four-lane Freeway | Urban | 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | 16.00 | 0.0030 | 2050: 197,400 | 45.45 | Non-Traversable Median | 62.01 |
| 5 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $140+07.440$ | $140+43.440$ | 36.00 | 0.0068 | 2050: 197,400 | 46.17 | Non-Traversable Median | 63.00 |
| 6 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $140+43.440$ | $140+91.440$ | 48.00 | 0.0091 | 2050: 197,400 | 47.35 | Non-Traversable Median | 64.59 |
| 7 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $140+91.440$ | $141+92.440$ | 101.00 | 0.0191 | 2050: 197,400 | 49.43 | Non-Traversable Median | 67.41 |
| 8 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $141+92.440$ | $142+46.440$ | 54.00 | 0.0102 | 2050: 197,400 | 51.60 | Non-Traversable Median | 70.34 |
| 9 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $142+46.440$ | 142+70.440 | 24.00 | 0.0045 | 2050: 197,400 | 52.69 | Non-Traversable Median | 72.81 |
| 10 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | 142+70.440 | $143+41.440$ | 71.00 | 0.0134 | 2050: 197,400 | 54.01 | Non-Traversable Median | 75.63 |
| 11 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $143+41.440$ | $144+40.440$ | 99.00 | 0.0187 | 2050: 197,400 | 56.39 | Non-Traversable Median | 78.88 |
| 12 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $144+40.440$ | $145+47.440$ | 107.00 | 0.0203 | 2050: 197,400 | 59.27 | Non-Traversable Median | 82.83 |
| 13 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $145+47.440$ | $146+07.400$ | 59.96 | 0.0114 | 2050: 197,400 | 61.60 | Non-Traversable Median | 86.03 |
| 14 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 146+07.400 | $146+58.500$ | 51.10 | 0.0097 | 2050: 197,400 | 63.16 | Non-Traversable Median | 87.50 |
| 15 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $146+58.500$ | $146+99.440$ | 40.94 | 0.0078 | 2050: 197,400 | 64.44 | Non-Traversable Median | 87.96 |
| 16 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $146+99.440$ | 147+04.440 | 5.00 | 0.0009 | 2050: 197,400 | 65.09 | Non-Traversable Median | 88.13 |
| 17 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 147+04.440 | $147+52.560$ | 48.12 | 0.0091 | 2050: 197,400 | 65.83 | Non-Traversable Median | 88.33 |
| 18 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $147+52.560$ | $148+46.440$ | 93.88 | 0.0178 | 2050: 197,400 | 67.81 | Non-Traversable Median | 89.85 |
| 19 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | 211.00 | 0.0400 | 2050: 197,400 | 72.08 | Non-Traversable Median | 94.22 |
| 20 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 150+57.440 | $151+11.980$ | 54.54 | 0.0103 | 2050: 197,400 | 75.79 | Non-Traversable Median | 98.03 |
| 21 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $151+11.980$ | $154+14.440$ | 302.46 | 0.0573 | 2050: 222,200 | 80.78 | Non-Traversable Median | 103.14 |
| 22 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $154+14.440$ | $156+77.440$ | 263.00 | 0.0498 | 2050: 222,200 | 88.68 | Non-Traversable Median | 111.25 |
| 23 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $156+77.440$ | $157+72.440$ | 95.00 | 0.0180 | 2050: 222,200 | 93.69 | Non-Traversable Median | 116.38 |
| 24 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 157+72.440 | $161+30.440$ | 358.00 | 0.0678 | 2050: 222,200 | 100.02 | Non-Traversable Median | 122.88 |
| 25 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $161+30.440$ | $161+52.440$ | 22.00 | 0.0042 | 2050: 222,200 | 105.33 | Non-Traversable Median | 128.32 |


| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT | Median <br> Width (ft) | Type | Effective Median Width (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $161+52.440$ | $161+94.440$ | 42.00 | 0.0080 | 2050: 222,200 | 105.72 | Non-Traversable Median | 128.33 |
| 27 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $161+94.440$ | $164+83.440$ | 289.00 | 0.0547 | 2050: 222,200 | 99.98 | Non-Traversable Median | 123.12 |
| 28 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $164+83.440$ | $169+45.440$ | 462.00 | 0.0875 | 2050: 222,200 | 87.66 | Non-Traversable Median | 113.39 |
| 29 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $169+45.440$ | $169+84.440$ | 39.00 | 0.0074 | 2050: 222,200 | 84.77 | Non-Traversable Median | 108.35 |
| 30 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $169+84.440$ | $170+94.370$ | 109.93 | 0.0208 | 2050: 222,200 | 83.91 | Non-Traversable Median | 107.54 |
| 31 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $170+94.370$ | $171+35.440$ | 41.07 | 0.0078 | 2050: 222,200 | 83.04 | Non-Traversable Median | 106.37 |
| 32 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $171+35.440$ | 172+54.440 | 119.00 | 0.0225 | 2050: 222,200 | 82.12 | Non-Traversable Median | 104.10 |
| 33 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $172+54.440$ | $173+73.440$ | 119.00 | 0.0225 | 2050: 222,200 | 80.74 | Non-Traversable Median | 100.73 |
| 34 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $173+73.440$ | $174+92.440$ | 119.00 | 0.0225 | 2050: 222,200 | 79.37 | Non-Traversable Median | 97.37 |
| 35 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $174+92.440$ | 175+53.460 | 61.02 | 0.0116 | 2050: 222,200 | 78.33 | Non-Traversable Median | 86.82 |
| 36 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | 116.98 | 0.0222 | 2050: 196,100 | 76.47 | Non-Traversable Median | 76.47 |
| 38 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | 96.00 | 0.0182 | 2050: 196,100 | 73.77 | Non-Traversable Median | 73.77 |
| 40 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 177+66.440 | $178+82.440$ | 116.00 | 0.0220 | 2050: 196,100 | 71.07 | Non-Traversable Median | 71.07 |
| 42 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $178+82.440$ | 180+25.440 | 143.00 | 0.0271 | 2050: 196,100 | 67.78 | Non-Traversable Median | 67.78 |
| 44 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $180+25.440$ | 180+64.440 | 39.00 | 0.0074 | 2050: 196,100 | 65.47 | Non-Traversable Median | 65.47 |
| 45 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | 393.00 | 0.0744 | 2050: 196,100 | 59.98 | Non-Traversable Median | 59.98 |
| 46 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | 39.35 | 0.0075 | 2050: 196,100 | 54.49 | Non-Traversable Median | 54.49 |
| 47 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | 157.65 | 0.0299 | 2050: 196,100 | 51.99 | Non-Traversable Median | 44.50 |
| 48 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | 443.00 | 0.0839 | 2050: 196,100 | 25.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 28.46 |
| 49 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $190+97.440$ | 198+69.900 | 772.46 | 0.1463 | 2050: 196,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.93 |
| 50 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | 198+69.900 | $203+60.970$ | 491.07 | 0.0930 | 2050: 196,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.94 |
| 52 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $203+60.970$ | 205+18.440 | 157.47 | 0.0298 | 2050: 223,400 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.94 |
| 53 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $205+18.440$ | $208+13.580$ | 295.14 | 0.0559 | 2050: 223,400 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.95 |
| 54 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $208+13.580$ | 208+29.440 | 15.86 | 0.0030 | 2050: 223,400 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.95 |
| 55 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $208+29.440$ | $214+67.440$ | 638.00 | 0.1208 | 2050: 223,400 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.95 |
| 56 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 214+67.440 | $224+85.540$ | 1,018.10 | 0.1928 | 2050: 223,400 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.97 |


| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location <br> (Sta. $\mathbf{f t})$ | End Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length <br> $(\mathbf{m i})$ | AADT | Median <br> Width (ft) | Typective <br> Median Width <br> $(\mathbf{f t})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 57 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $224+85.540$ | $228+92.450$ | 406.91 | 0.0771 | $2050: 247,300$ | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median |  |
| 58 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $228+92.450$ | $231+12.440$ | 219.99 | 0.0417 | $2050: 247,300$ | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median |  |
| 60 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $231+12.440$ | $236+00.000$ | 487.56 | 0.0923 | $2050: 226,100$ | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median |  |

Table 2. Evaluation Freeway - Speed Change Lanes (Speed Change)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Ramp Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT | Median Width (ft) | Type | Effective Median Width (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | 116.98 | 0.0222 | 2050: 196,100 | 76.47 | Non-Traversable Median | 76.47 |
| 39 | Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | 96.00 | 0.0182 | 2050: 196,100 | 73.77 | Non-Traversable Median | 73.77 |
| 41 | Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | 177+66.440 | $178+82.440$ | 116.00 | 0.0220 | 2050: 196,100 | 71.07 | Non-Traversable Median | 71.07 |
| 43 | Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | $178+82.440$ | $180+24.760$ | 142.32 | 0.0270 | 2050: 196,100 | 67.79 | Non-Traversable Median | 67.79 |
| 51 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Exit | 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | 491.07 | 0.0930 | 2050: 196,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.94 |
| 59 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | $231+12.250$ | 231+12.440 | 0.19 | 0.0000 | 2050: 226,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.98 |
| 61 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | $231+12.440$ | $236+00.000$ | 487.56 | 0.0923 | 2050: 226,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.99 |

Table 3. Predicted Freeway Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Section 2)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Effective Length (mi) | 1.7101 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 214,127 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 136.70 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 34.34 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 102.36 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 25 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 75 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 79.9350 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 20.0783 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 59.8567 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 133.66 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 1.02 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.26 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.77 |

Note: Effective Length is the segment length minus the length of the speed change lanes if present.

Table 4. Predicted Freeway Speed Change Lane Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Speed Change)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Length (mi) | 0.2746 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 103,095 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 7.29 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 2.29 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 5.00 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 31 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 69 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 26.5531 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 8.3465 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 18.2066 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 10.33 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.71 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.22 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.48 |

Note: Total Travel and Crash Rates/Million Vehicle Miles for Speed Change Lanes reflect AADTs that are half of the Freeway Segment AADTs based on the assumption of 50/50 directional distribution.

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Freeway Segment/Intersection (Section 2)

| Segment Number/Intersection Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Effective <br> Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted Total Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted FI <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO <br> Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/millio n veh-mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $138+40.440$ | $138+91.440$ | 0.0097 | 1.022 | 1.0222 | 0.2334 | 0.7888 | 105.8299 | 1.47 |
| 2 | $138+91.440$ | $139+84.440$ | 0.0176 | 1.827 | 1.8271 | 0.4219 | 1.4052 | 103.7322 | 1.44 |
| 3 | $139+84.440$ | $139+91.440$ | 0.0013 | 0.136 | 0.1355 | 0.0313 | 0.1042 | 102.2357 | 1.42 |
| 4 | $139+91.440$ | 140+07.440 | 0.0030 | 0.306 | 0.3058 | 0.0684 | 0.2374 | 100.9265 | 1.40 |
| 5 | $140+07.440$ | $140+43.440$ | 0.0068 | 0.598 | 0.5982 | 0.1412 | 0.4570 | 87.7430 | 1.22 |
| 6 | $140+43.440$ | $140+91.440$ | 0.0091 | 0.777 | 0.7772 | 0.1851 | 0.5921 | 85.4903 | 1.19 |


| Segment Number/Intersection Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Effective Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted Total Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted FI Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Predicted } \\ \text { Travel Crash } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { (crashes/millio } \\ \mathbf{n ~ v e h - m i ) ~} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | 0.0191 | 1.566 | 1.5656 | 0.3827 | 1.1828 | 81.8427 | 1.14 |
| 8 | 141+92.440 | 142+46.440 | 0.0102 | 0.810 | 0.8099 | 0.2030 | 0.6069 | 79.1935 | 1.10 |
| 9 | 142+46.440 | 142+70.440 | 0.0045 | 0.369 | 0.3691 | 0.0918 | 0.2774 | 81.2095 | 1.13 |
| 10 | 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | 0.0134 | 1.099 | 1.0989 | 0.2718 | 0.8271 | 81.7182 | 1.13 |
| 11 | 143+41.440 | $144+40.440$ | 0.0187 | 1.511 | 1.5111 | 0.3736 | 1.1375 | 80.5913 | 1.12 |
| 12 | $144+40.440$ | 145+47.440 | 0.0203 | 1.590 | 1.5902 | 0.3790 | 1.2112 | 78.4692 | 1.09 |
| 13 | 145+47.440 | $146+07.400$ | 0.0114 | 0.772 | 0.7724 | 0.1964 | 0.5760 | 68.0197 | 0.94 |
| 14 | $146+07.400$ | $146+58.500$ | 0.0097 | 0.657 | 0.6568 | 0.1670 | 0.4898 | 67.8640 | 0.94 |
| 15 | $146+58.500$ | $146+99.440$ | 0.0078 | 0.527 | 0.5273 | 0.1341 | 0.3933 | 68.0070 | 0.94 |
| 16 | $146+99.440$ | 147+04.440 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| 17 | 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | 0.0091 | 0.624 | 0.6238 | 0.1587 | 0.4651 | 68.4491 | 0.95 |
| 18 | 147+52.560 | $148+46.440$ | 0.0178 | 1.200 | 1.2001 | 0.3185 | 0.8816 | 67.4936 | 0.94 |
| 19 | $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | 0.0400 | 3.147 | 3.1471 | 0.8285 | 2.3186 | 78.7509 | 1.09 |
| 20 | 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | 0.0103 | 0.847 | 0.8471 | 0.2196 | 0.6276 | 82.0119 | 1.14 |
| 21 | 151+11.980 | 154+14.440 | 0.0573 | 5.553 | 5.5531 | 1.3921 | 4.1611 | 96.9403 | 1.20 |
| 22 | 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | 0.0498 | 4.409 | 4.4088 | 1.0980 | 3.3107 | 88.5108 | 1.09 |
| 23 | 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | 0.0180 | 1.477 | 1.4769 | 0.3659 | 1.1109 | 82.0823 | 1.01 |
| 24 | 157+72.440 | $161+30.440$ | 0.0678 | 5.559 | 5.5589 | 1.3772 | 4.1817 | 81.9865 | 1.01 |
| 25 | $161+30.440$ | 161+52.440 | 0.0042 | 0.341 | 0.3414 | 0.0846 | 0.2568 | 81.9352 | 1.01 |
| 26 | 161+52.440 | 161+94.440 | 0.0080 | 0.652 | 0.6517 | 0.1615 | 0.4903 | 81.9314 | 1.01 |
| 27 | 161+94.440 | 164+83.440 | 0.0547 | 4.485 | 4.4850 | 1.1112 | 3.3738 | 81.9404 | 1.01 |
| 28 | 164+83.440 | $169+45.440$ | 0.0875 | 7.154 | 7.1538 | 1.7620 | 5.3918 | 81.7572 | 1.01 |
| 29 | 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | 0.0074 | 0.590 | 0.5900 | 0.1501 | 0.4398 | 79.8733 | 0.98 |
| 30 | 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | 0.0208 | 1.560 | 1.5601 | 0.4298 | 1.1303 | 74.9347 | 0.92 |
| 31 | 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | 0.0078 | 0.586 | 0.5863 | 0.1616 | 0.4247 | 75.3755 | 0.93 |
| 32 | $171+35.440$ | 172+54.440 | 0.0225 | 1.725 | 1.7248 | 0.4757 | 1.2491 | 76.5291 | 0.94 |
| 33 | 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | 0.0225 | 1.767 | 1.7673 | 0.4881 | 1.2792 | 78.4145 | 0.97 |
| 34 | 173+73.440 | $174+92.440$ | 0.0225 | 1.816 | 1.8160 | 0.5020 | 1.3140 | 80.5759 | 0.99 |
| 35 | $174+92.440$ | 175+53.460 | 0.0116 | 0.837 | 0.8372 | 0.2479 | 0.5893 | 72.4411 | 0.89 |
| 36 | 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | 0.0111 | 0.613 | 0.6131 | 0.1985 | 0.4146 | 55.3426 | 0.77 |
| 38 | $176+70.440$ | 177+66.440 | 0.0091 | 0.516 | 0.5165 | 0.1663 | 0.3502 | 56.8193 | 0.79 |
| 40 | 177+66.440 | $178+82.440$ | 0.0110 | 0.651 | 0.6510 | 0.2029 | 0.4481 | 59.2630 | 0.83 |
| 42 | $178+82.440$ | 180+25.440 | 0.0136 | 0.788 | 0.7877 | 0.2293 | 0.5584 | 57.8930 | 0.81 |
| 44 | 180+25.440 | 180+64.440 | 0.0074 | 0.412 | 0.4123 | 0.1162 | 0.2961 | 55.8179 | 0.78 |
| 45 | 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | 0.0744 | 4.213 | 4.2127 | 1.1815 | 3.0312 | 56.5978 | 0.79 |
| 46 | 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | 0.0075 | 0.428 | 0.4277 | 0.1193 | 0.3084 | 57.3917 | 0.80 |
| 47 | 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | 0.0299 | 1.849 | 1.8488 | 0.4848 | 1.3640 | 61.9207 | 0.86 |
| 48 | 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | 0.0839 | 5.857 | 5.8574 | 1.4543 | 4.4031 | 69.8130 | 0.97 |
| 49 | 190+97.440 | 198+69.900 | 0.1463 | 11.169 | 11.1692 | 2.7587 | 8.4105 | 76.3449 | 1.07 |
| 50 | 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | 0.0465 | 3.680 | 3.6800 | 0.9751 | 2.7049 | 79.1343 | 1.11 |
| 52 | 203+60.970 | 205+18.440 | 0.0298 | 2.463 | 2.4633 | 0.6181 | 1.8452 | 82.5944 | 1.01 |
| 53 | $205+18.440$ | 208+13.580 | 0.0559 | 4.589 | 4.5891 | 1.0967 | 3.4923 | 82.0979 | 1.01 |
| 54 | 208+13.580 | 208+29.440 | 0.0030 | 0.277 | 0.2768 | 0.0686 | 0.2081 | 92.1357 | 1.13 |
| 55 | 208+29.440 | 214+67.440 | 0.1208 | 10.283 | 10.2827 | 2.5772 | 7.7055 | 85.0979 | 1.04 |
| 56 | 214+67.440 | $224+85.540$ | 0.1928 | 15.165 | 15.1654 | 3.6632 | 11.5021 | 78.6495 | 0.97 |
| 57 | 224+85.540 | $228+92.450$ | 0.0771 | 7.131 | 7.1308 | 1.6710 | 5.4598 | 92.5283 | 1.02 |
| 58 | 228+92.450 | $231+12.440$ | 0.0416 | 4.308 | 4.3084 | 1.0240 | 3.2844 | 103.4509 | 1.15 |
| 60 | 231+12.440 | $236+00.000$ | 0.0462 | 4.410 | 4.4099 | 1.1173 | 3.2926 | 95.5129 | 1.16 |
| Total |  |  | 1.7101 | 136.699 | 136.6994 | 34.3366 | 102.3628 | 79.9350 | 1.02 |

Note: Effective Length is the segment length minus the length of the speed change lanes if present. This may create Freeway segments with zero effective length and zero crashes.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Freeway Speed Change Lane (Speed Change)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr ) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr ) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr ) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | 0.0222 | 0.426 | 0.4260 | 0.1329 | 0.2931 | 19.2283 | 0.54 |
| 39 | 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | 0.0182 | 0.352 | 0.3524 | 0.1100 | 0.2424 | 19.3821 | 0.54 |
| 41 | 177+66.440 | 178+82.440 | 0.0220 | 0.432 | 0.4320 | 0.1345 | 0.2976 | 19.6651 | 0.55 |
| 43 | $178+82.440$ | 180+24.760 | 0.0270 | 0.566 | 0.5661 | 0.1724 | 0.3937 | 21.0025 | 0.59 |
| 51 | 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | 0.0930 | 2.091 | 2.0907 | 0.5867 | 1.5040 | 22.4797 | 0.63 |
| 59 | $231+12.250$ | 231+12.440 | 0.0000 | 0.001 | 0.0013 | 0.0005 | 0.0009 | 37.0813 | 0.90 |
| 61 | $231+12.440$ | $236+00.000$ | 0.0923 | 3.424 | 3.4240 | 1.1554 | 2.2686 | 37.0802 | 0.90 |
| Total |  |  | 0.2746 | 7.293 | 7.2926 | 2.2923 | 5.0003 | 26.5531 | 0.71 |

Note: Travel Crash Rates/Million Vehicle Miles for Speed Change Lanes reflect AADTs that are half of the Freeway Segment AADTs based on the assumption of 50/50 directional distribution.

Table 7. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Section 2)

| Title | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Start } \\ \text { Location } \\ \text { (Sta. ft) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { End } \\ \text { Location } \\ \text { (Sta. ft) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Length } \\ \text { (mi) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Predicted } \\ \text { Crashes for } \\ \text { Evaluation } \\ \text { Period }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Crash } \\ \text { Frequency } \\ \text { (crashes/yr) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { FI Crash } \\ \text { Frequency } \\ \text { (crashes/yr) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { PDO Crash } \\ \text { Frequency } \\ \text { (crashes/yr) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { Crash Rate } \\ \text { (crashes/mi } \\ \text { /yr) } \\ \text { Travel }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crash Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (crashes/mi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| llion veh- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

Table 8. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Section 2)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 143.99 | 36.63 | 25.438 | 107.36 | 74.562 |
| Total | 143.99 | 36.63 | 25.438 | 107.36 | 74.562 |
| Average | 143.99 | 36.63 | 25.438 | 107.36 | 74.562 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 9. Predicted Crash Severity by Freeway Segment (Section 2)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) Crashes (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury (C) Crashes (crashes) | No Injury (O) Crashes (crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0040 | 0.0104 | 0.0741 | 0.1450 | 0.7888 |
| 2 | 0.0072 | 0.0188 | 0.1339 | 0.2620 | 1.4052 |
| 3 | 0.0005 | 0.0014 | 0.0099 | 0.0195 | 0.1042 |
| 4 | 0.0012 | 0.0030 | 0.0217 | 0.0425 | 0.2374 |
| 5 | 0.0022 | 0.0059 | 0.0432 | 0.0899 | 0.4570 |
| 6 | 0.0029 | 0.0078 | 0.0566 | 0.1178 | 0.5921 |
| 7 | 0.0061 | 0.0161 | 0.1170 | 0.2435 | 1.1828 |
| 8 | 0.0035 | 0.0090 | 0.0644 | 0.1261 | 0.6069 |
| 9 | 0.0016 | 0.0041 | 0.0291 | 0.0570 | 0.2774 |
| 10 | 0.0046 | 0.0121 | 0.0863 | 0.1688 | 0.8271 |
| 11 | 0.0064 | 0.0166 | 0.1186 | 0.2320 | 1.1375 |
| 12 | 0.0065 | 0.0168 | 0.1203 | 0.2354 | 1.2112 |
| 13 | 0.0031 | 0.0083 | 0.0600 | 0.1250 | 0.5760 |
| 14 | 0.0027 | 0.0070 | 0.0510 | 0.1063 | 0.4898 |
| 15 | 0.0021 | 0.0056 | 0.0410 | 0.0853 | 0.3933 |
| 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 17 | 0.0025 | 0.0067 | 0.0485 | 0.1010 | 0.4651 |
| 18 | 0.0051 | 0.0134 | 0.0973 | 0.2027 | 0.8816 |
| 19 | 0.0159 | 0.0424 | 0.2781 | 0.4921 | 2.3186 |
| 20 | 0.0044 | 0.0117 | 0.0749 | 0.1286 | 0.6276 |
| 21 | 0.0276 | 0.0744 | 0.4750 | 0.8151 | 4.1611 |
| 22 | 0.0218 | 0.0587 | 0.3746 | 0.6429 | 3.3107 |
| 23 | 0.0073 | 0.0196 | 0.1248 | 0.2143 | 1.1109 |
| 24 | 0.0273 | 0.0736 | 0.4699 | 0.8064 | 4.1817 |
| 25 | 0.0017 | 0.0045 | 0.0289 | 0.0495 | 0.2568 |
| 26 | 0.0032 | 0.0086 | 0.0551 | 0.0945 | 0.4903 |
| 27 | 0.0220 | 0.0594 | 0.3791 | 0.6507 | 3.3738 |
| 28 | 0.0326 | 0.0875 | 0.5816 | 1.0603 | 5.3918 |
| 29 | 0.0024 | 0.0063 | 0.0459 | 0.0955 | 0.4398 |
| 30 | 0.0073 | 0.0191 | 0.1364 | 0.2669 | 1.1303 |
| 31 | 0.0028 | 0.0072 | 0.0513 | 0.1003 | 0.4247 |
| 32 | 0.0081 | 0.0212 | 0.1510 | 0.2954 | 1.2491 |


| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) Crashes (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury (C) Crashes (crashes) | No Injury (O) Crashes (crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 0.0083 | 0.0217 | 0.1549 | 0.3031 | 1.2792 |
| 34 | 0.0086 | 0.0223 | 0.1594 | 0.3118 | 1.3140 |
| 35 | 0.0043 | 0.0111 | 0.0789 | 0.1537 | 0.5893 |
| 36 | 0.0042 | 0.0104 | 0.0701 | 0.1138 | 0.4146 |
| 38 | 0.0035 | 0.0087 | 0.0588 | 0.0953 | 0.3502 |
| 40 | 0.0043 | 0.0108 | 0.0722 | 0.1155 | 0.4481 |
| 42 | 0.0054 | 0.0140 | 0.0854 | 0.1245 | 0.5584 |
| 44 | 0.0025 | 0.0066 | 0.0410 | 0.0662 | 0.2961 |
| 45 | 0.0251 | 0.0666 | 0.4172 | 0.6726 | 3.0312 |
| 46 | 0.0025 | 0.0067 | 0.0421 | 0.0679 | 0.3084 |
| 47 | 0.0103 | 0.0273 | 0.1712 | 0.2760 | 1.3640 |
| 48 | 0.0288 | 0.0777 | 0.4962 | 0.8515 | 4.4031 |
| 49 | 0.0539 | 0.1448 | 0.9347 | 1.6253 | 8.4105 |
| 50 | 0.0179 | 0.0458 | 0.3211 | 0.5902 | 2.7049 |
| 52 | 0.0113 | 0.0290 | 0.2035 | 0.3742 | 1.8452 |
| 53 | 0.0187 | 0.0488 | 0.3481 | 0.6811 | 3.4923 |
| 54 | 0.0012 | 0.0031 | 0.0218 | 0.0426 | 0.2081 |
| 55 | 0.0472 | 0.1211 | 0.8488 | 1.5600 | 7.7055 |
| 56 | 0.0672 | 0.1722 | 1.2065 | 2.2174 | 11.5021 |
| 57 | 0.0306 | 0.0785 | 0.5503 | 1.0115 | 5.4598 |
| 58 | 0.0188 | 0.0481 | 0.3373 | 0.6198 | 3.2844 |
| 60 | 0.0205 | 0.0525 | 0.3680 | 0.6763 | 3.2926 |
| Total | 0.6415 | 1.6852 | 11.3873 | 20.6226 | 102.3628 |

Table 10. Predicted Crash Severity by Speed Change Lane (Speed Change)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury <br> (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | No Injury <br> (O) Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 37 | 0.0028 | 0.0070 | 0.0470 | 0.0762 | 0.2931 |
| 39 | 0.0023 | 0.0058 | 0.0389 | 0.0630 | 0.2424 |
| 41 | 0.0029 | 0.0072 | 0.0479 | 0.0766 | 0.2976 |
| 43 | 0.0042 | 0.0108 | 0.0650 | 0.0925 | 0.3937 |
| 51 | 0.0108 | 0.0276 | 0.1932 | 0.3551 | 1.5040 |
| 59 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 |
| 61 | 0.0212 | 0.0543 | 0.3805 | 0.6994 | 2.2686 |
| Total | 0.0441 | 0.1126 | 0.7726 | 1.3630 | 5.0003 |

Table 11. Predicted Freeway Crash Type Distribution (Section 2)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.04 | 0.0 | 0.62 | 0.5 | 0.66 | 0.5 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 7.50 | 5.5 | 20.16 | 14.8 | 27.66 | 20.2 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.53 | 0.4 | 3.92 | 2.9 | 4.44 | 3.3 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 2.16 | 1.6 | 3.01 | 2.2 | 5.17 | 3.8 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.16 | 0.1 | 0.45 | 0.3 | 0.61 | 0.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 10.38 | 7.6 | 28.16 | 20.6 | 38.54 | 28.2 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.74 | 0.5 | 1.34 | 1.0 | 2.08 | 1.5 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.19 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.2 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.74 | 0.5 | 1.78 | 1.3 | 2.52 | 1.8 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 17.97 | 13.1 | 51.20 | 37.5 | 69.17 | 50.6 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 4.31 | 3.2 | 19.74 | 14.4 | 24.05 | 17.6 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 23.95 | 17.5 | 74.20 | 54.3 | 98.16 | 71.8 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 34.34 | 25.1 | 102.36 | 74.9 | 136.70 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 34.34 | 25.1 | 102.36 | 74.9 | 136.70 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 12. Predicted Exit Speed Change Lane Crash Type Distribution (Speed Change)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 0.5 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.12 | 5.5 | 0.31 | 14.9 | 0.43 | 20.4 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.04 | 2.2 | 0.06 | 2.6 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.03 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 1.7 | 0.06 | 3.0 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.15 | 7.3 | 0.40 | 19.2 | 0.56 | 26.5 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.01 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 0.9 | 0.03 | 1.2 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.3 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.02 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 1.6 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.32 | 15.4 | 0.85 | 40.6 | 1.17 | 56.1 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.09 | 4.4 | 0.21 | 9.9 | 0.30 | 14.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.43 | 20.7 | 1.10 | 52.7 | 1.54 | 73.5 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 0.59 | 28.1 | 1.50 | 71.9 | 2.09 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 0.59 | 28.1 | 1.50 | 71.9 | 2.09 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 13. Predicted Entrance Speed Change Lane Crash Type Distribution (Speed Change)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.1 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.33 | 6.4 | 0.45 | 8.7 | 0.78 | 15.0 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.13 | 2.4 | 0.16 | 3.0 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.11 | 2.2 | 0.06 | 1.1 | 0.17 | 3.3 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.3 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.48 | 9.3 | 0.65 | 12.5 | 1.14 | 21.8 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.06 | 1.1 | 0.09 | 1.7 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.2 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.05 | 1.0 | 0.08 | 1.6 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.93 | 17.8 | 1.85 | 35.6 | 2.78 | 53.4 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.23 | 4.4 | 0.88 | 16.9 | 1.11 | 21.3 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 1.22 | 23.5 | 2.85 | 54.7 | 4.07 | 78.2 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 1.71 | 32.8 | 3.50 | 67.2 | 5.20 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 1.71 | 32.8 | 3.50 | 67.2 | 5.20 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 14. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $138+40.440$ | 138+91.440 | Information: for segment \#1 ( $138+40.440$ to $138+91.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.12$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $138+40.440$ | 138+91.440 | Information: for segment \#1 ( $138+40.440$ to $138+91.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $138+40.440$ | 138+91.440 | Information: for segment \#1 ( $138+40.440$ to $138+91.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $138+91.440$ | 139+84.440 | Information: for segment \#2 ( $138+91.440$ to $139+84.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.45$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $138+91.440$ | 139+84.440 | Information: for segment \#2 (138+91.440 to 139+84.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $138+91.440$ | 139+84.440 | Information: for segment \#2 (138+91.440 to 139+84.440), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Information: for segment \#3 ( $139+84.440$ to $139+91.440$ ), Outside shoulder width ( 3.53 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 4.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Information: for segment \#3 (139+84.440 to $139+91.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.69 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Information: for segment \#3 (139+84.440 to 139+91.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Information: for segment \#3 (139+84.440 to $139+91.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | Information: for segment \#4 (139+91.440 to 140+07.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.74 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | Information: for segment \#4 ( $139+91.440$ to $140+07.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $139+91.440$ | 140+07.440 | Information: for segment \#4 ( $139+91.440$ to $140+07.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Information: for segment \#5 (140+07.440 to $140+43.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Information: for segment \#5 (140+07.440 to 140+43.440), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Information: for segment \#5 (140+07.440 to 140+43.440), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $140+43.440$ | 140+91.440 | Information: for segment \#6 ( $140+43.440$ to $140+91.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+43.440 | 140+91.440 | Information: for segment \#6 ( $140+43.440$ to $140+91.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140+43.440 | 140+91.440 | Information: for segment \#6 ( $140+43.440$ to $140+91.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | Information: for segment \#7(140+91.440 to 141+92.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | Information: for segment \#7(140+91.440 to $141+92.440)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet ) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 141+92.440 | 142+46.440 | Information: for segment \#8 ( $141+92.440$ to $142+46.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 141+92.440 | 142+46.440 | Information: for segment \#8 ( $141+92.440$ to $142+46.440)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 142+46.440 | 142+70.440 | Information: for segment \#9 ( $142+46.440$ to $142+70.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 142+46.440 | 142+70.440 | Information: for segment \#9 ( $142+46.440$ to $142+70.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Information: for segment \#10 (142+70.440 to $143+41.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Information: for segment \#10 ( $142+70.440$ to $143+41.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Information: for segment \#10 ( $142+70.440$ to $143+41.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 143+41.440 | 144+40.440 | Information: for segment \#11 ( $143+41.440$ to $144+40.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet ) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 143+41.440 | 144+40.440 | Information: for segment \#11 ( $143+41.440$ to $144+40.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 143+41.440 | 144+40.440 | Information: for segment \#11 ( $143+41.440$ to $144+40.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 144+40.440 | 145+47.440 | Information: for segment \#12 ( $144+40.440$ to $145+47.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 144+40.440 | 145+47.440 | Information: for segment \#12 (144+40.440 to $145+47.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $144+40.440$ | 145+47.440 | Information: for segment \#12 ( $144+40.440$ to $145+47.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 145+47.440 | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 12.21 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 145+47.440 | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 145+47.440 | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 145+47.440 | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $145+47.440$ | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 (146+07.400 to $146+58.500$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 12.17 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 (146+07.400 to $146+58.500)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 ( $146+07.400$ to $146+58.500$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 (146+07.400 to $146+58.500$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 (146+07.400 to $146+58.500$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+58.500 | 146+99.440 | Information: for segment \#15 ( $146+58.500$ to $146+99.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $146+58.500$ | 146+99.440 | Information: for segment \#15 ( $146+58.500$ to $146+99.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $146+58.500$ | 146+99.440 | Information: for segment \#15 ( $146+58.500$ to $146+99.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+58.500 | 146+99.440 | Information: for segment \#15 ( $146+58.500$ to $146+99.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+99.440 | 147+04.440 | Information: for segment \#16 ( $146+99.440$ to $147+04.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+99.440 | 147+04.440 | Information: for segment \#16 ( $146+99.440$ to $147+04.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+99.440 | 147+04.440 | Information: for segment \#16 (146+99.440 to 147+04.440), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+99.440 | 147+04.440 | Information: for segment \#16 ( $146+99.440$ to $147+04.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | Information: for segment \#17 (147+04.440 to 147+52.560), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | Information: for segment \#17 ( $147+04.440$ to $147+52.560$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | Information: for segment \#17 ( $147+04.440$ to $147+52.560$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | Information: for segment \#17 ( $147+04.440$ to $147+52.560$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $147+52.560$ | 148+46.440 | Information: for segment \#18 (147+52.560 to $148+46.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+52.560 | 148+46.440 | Information: for segment \#18 (147+52.560 to $148+46.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $147+52.560$ | 148+46.440 | Information: for segment \#18 ( $147+52.560$ to $148+46.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | Information: for segment \#19 (148+46.440 to $150+57.440)$, Effective median width ( 94.22 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | Information: for segment \#19 (148+46.440 to $150+57.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | Information: for segment \#19 (148+46.440 to $150+57.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 148+46.440 | 150+57.440 | Information: for segment \#19 ( $148+46.440$ to $150+57.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | Information: for segment \#20 ( $150+57.440$ to $151+11.980$ ), Effective median width ( 98.03 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | Information: for segment \#20 ( $150+57.440$ to $151+11.980$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | Information: for segment \#20 ( $150+57.440$ to $151+11.980$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | Information: for segment \#20 ( $150+57.440$ to $151+11.980$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 151+11.980 | 154+14.440 | Information: for segment \#21 ( $151+11.980$ to $154+14.440$ ), Effective median width ( 103.14 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 151+11.980 | 154+14.440 | Information: for segment \#21 ( $151+11.980$ to $154+14.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 151+11.980 | 154+14.440 | Information: for segment \#21 ( $151+11.980$ to $154+14.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 151+11.980 | 154+14.440 | Information: for segment \#21 ( $151+11.980$ to $154+14.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | Information: for segment \#22 ( $154+14.440$ to $156+77.440$ ), Effective median width ( 111.25 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | Information: for segment \#22 (154+14.440 to $156+77.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | Information: for segment \#22 ( $154+14.440$ to $156+77.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | Information: for segment \#22 ( $154+14.440$ to $156+77.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | Information: for segment \#23 ( $156+77.440$ to $157+72.440$ ), Effective median width ( 116.38 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | Information: for segment \#23 ( $156+77.440$ to $157+72.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | Information: for segment \#23 ( $156+77.440$ to $157+72.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | Information: for segment \#23 ( $156+77.440$ to $157+72.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 157+72.440 | 161+30.440 | Information: for segment \#24 ( $157+72.440$ to $161+30.440$ ), Effective median width ( 122.88 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 157+72.440 | 161+30.440 | Information: for segment \#24 ( $157+72.440$ to $161+30.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 157+72.440 | 161+30.440 | Information: for segment \#24 (157+72.440 to $161+30.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 157+72.440 | 161+30.440 | Information: for segment \#24 (157+72.440 to 161+30.440), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+30.440 | 161+52.440 | Information: for segment \#25 ( $161+30.440$ to $161+52.440$ ), Effective median width ( 128.32 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+30.440$ | 161+52.440 | Information: for segment \#25 ( $161+30.440$ to $161+52.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+30.440$ | 161+52.440 | Information: for segment \#25 ( $161+30.440$ to $161+52.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+30.440$ | 161+52.440 | Information: for segment \#25 ( $161+30.440$ to $161+52.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+52.440 | 161+94.440 | Information: for segment \#26 ( $161+52.440$ to $161+94.440$ ), Effective median width ( 128.33 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+52.440 | 161+94.440 | Information: for segment \#26 ( $161+52.440$ to $161+94.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+52.440 | 161+94.440 | Information: for segment \#26 ( $161+52.440$ to $161+94.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+52.440$ | 161+94.440 | Information: for segment \#26 ( $161+52.440$ to $161+94.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+94.440 | 164+83.440 | Information: for segment \#27 ( $161+94.440$ to $164+83.440$ ), Effective median width ( 123.12 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+94.440 | 164+83.440 | Information: for segment \#27 ( $161+94.440$ to $164+83.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+94.440 | 164+83.440 | Information: for segment \#27 ( $161+94.440$ to $164+83.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+94.440 | 164+83.440 | Information: for segment \#27 ( $161+94.440$ to $164+83.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Effective median width ( 113.39 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 ( $169+45.440$ to $169+84.440$ ), Effective median width ( 108.35 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 ( $169+45.440$ to $169+84.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 ( $169+45.440$ to $169+84.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 (169+45.440 to $169+84.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Effective median width ( 107.54 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Effective median width ( 106.37 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $170+94.370$ | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 171+35.440 | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 ( $171+35.440$ to $172+54.440$ ), Effective median width ( 104.10 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $171+35.440$ | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 (171+35.440 to $172+54.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $171+35.440$ | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 (171+35.440 to $172+54.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $171+35.440$ | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 ( $171+35.440$ to $172+54.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 ( $172+54.440$ to $173+73.440$ ), Effective median width ( 100.73 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 (172+54.440 to $173+73.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $172+54.440$ | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 (172+54.440 to $173+73.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 ( $172+54.440$ to $173+73.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 (173+73.440 to 174+92.440), Effective median width ( 97.37 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 (173+73.440 to $174+92.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 (173+73.440 to $174+92.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 ( $173+73.440$ to $174+92.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 174+92.440 | 175+53.460 | Information: for segment \#35 (174+92.440 to 175+53.460), Outside shoulder width ( 3.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 4.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $175+53.460$ | 176+70.440 | Information: for segment \#36 ( $175+53.460$ to $176+70.440$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $175+53.460$ | 176+70.440 | Information: for segment \#36 ( $175+53.460$ to $176+70.440$ ), Outside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 4.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | Information: for segment \#38 ( $176+70.440$ to $177+66.440$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | Information: for segment \#38 (176+70.440 to 177+66.440), Outside shoulder width ( 2.50 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 4.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 177+66.440 | 178+82.440 | Information: for segment \#40 (177+66.440 to $178+82.440$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $178+82.440$ | 180+25.440 | Information: for segment \#42 ( $178+82.440$ to $180+25.440$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $178+82.440$ | 180+25.440 | Information: for segment \#42 ( $178+82.440$ to $180+25.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.50$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+25.440 | 180+64.440 | Information: for segment \#44 (180+25.440 to 180+64.440), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+25.440 | 180+64.440 | Information: for segment \#44 ( $180+25.440$ to $180+64.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+25.440 | 180+64.440 | Information: for segment \#44 ( $180+25.440$ to $180+64.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | Information: for segment \#45 (180+64.440 to 184+57.440), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | Information: for segment \#45 ( $180+64.440$ to $184+57.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | Information: for segment \#45 (180+64.440 to 184+57.440), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | Information: for segment \#46 ( $184+57.440$ to $184+96.790$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | Information: for segment \#46 ( $184+57.440$ to $184+96.790$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | Information: for segment \#46 ( $184+57.440$ to $184+96.790$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | Information: for segment \#47 ( $184+96.790$ to $186+54.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | Information: for segment \#47 ( $184+96.790$ to $186+54.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 ( $186+54.440$ to $190+97.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 ( $186+54.440$ to $190+97.440$ ), Median barrier offset on the left side of roadway from edge of inside traveled way to barrier face ( 9.00 feet) is greater than inside shoulder width plus median width ( 7.50 feet). This indicates there is problem with the input data. |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 ( $186+54.440$ to $190+97.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 ( $186+54.440$ to $190+97.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 190+97.440 | 198+69.900 | Information: for segment \#49 (190+97.440 to 198+69.900), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 190+97.440 | 198+69.900 | Information: for segment \#49 (190+97.440 to $198+69.900)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | Information: for segment \#50 (198+69.900 to 203+60.970), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | Information: for segment \#50 (198+69.900 to 203+60.970), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 203+60.970 | 205+18.440 | Information: for segment \#52 (203+60.970 to 205+18.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 203+60.970 | 205+18.440 | Information: for segment \#52 (203+60.970 to 205+18.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 205+18.440 | 208+13.580 | Information: for segment \#53 (205+18.440 to 208+13.580), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 205+18.440 | 208+13.580 | Information: for segment \#53 (205+18.440 to 208+13.580), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 205+18.440 | 208+13.580 | Information: for segment \#53 ( $205+18.440$ to $208+13.580$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 208+13.580 | 208+29.440 | Information: for segment \#54 (208+13.580 to 208+29.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 208+13.580 | 208+29.440 | Information: for segment \#54 (208+13.580 to 208+29.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208+29.440 | 214+67.440 | Information: for segment \#55 (208+29.440 to 214+67.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 208+29.440 | 214+67.440 | Information: for segment \#55 (208+29.440 to 214+67.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 214+67.440 | 224+85.540 | Information: for segment \#56 (214+67.440 to 224+85.540), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 214+67.440 | 224+85.540 | Information: for segment \#56 ( $214+67.440$ to $224+85.540$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $224+85.540$ | 228+92.450 | Information: for segment \#57 (224+85.540 to 228+92.450), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $224+85.540$ | 228+92.450 | Information: for segment \#57 $(224+85.540$ to $228+92.450)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 228+92.450 | 231+12.440 | Information: for segment \#58 $(228+92.450$ to $231+12.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 228+92.450 | 231+12.440 | Information: for segment \#58 ( $228+92.450$ to $231+12.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $231+12.440$ | 236+00.000 | Information: for segment \#60 ( $231+12.440$ to $236+00.000)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 231+12.440 | 236+00.000 | Information: for segment \#60 $(231+12.440$ to $236+00.000)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | Information: for segment \#51 ( $198+69.900$ to 203+60.970) , For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 231+12.250 | 231+12.440 | Information: for segment \#59 (231+12.250 to 231+12.440), For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 231+12.440 | 236+00.000 | Information: for segment \#61 (231+12.440 to 236+00.000), For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $138+40.440$ | 138+91.440 | Warning: for segment \#1 $(138+40.440$ to $138+91.440)$, traffic volume $(197,400 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 138+91.440 | 139+84.440 | Warning: for segment \#2 ( $138+91.440$ to $139+84.440$ ), traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Warning: for segment \#3 ( $139+84.440$ to $139+91.440$ ), traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | Warning: for segment \#4 ( $139+91.440$ to $140+07.440$ ), traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $110,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Warning: for segment \#5 (140+07.440 to $140+43.440)$, traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Warning: for segment \#5 ( $140+07.440$ to $140+43.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 140+43.440 | 140+91.440 | Warning: for segment \#6 ( $140+43.440$ to $140+91.440$ ), traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $140+43.440$ | 140+91.440 | Warning: for segment \#6 ( $140+43.440$ to $140+91.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | Warning: for segment \#7(140+91.440 to $141+92.440)$, traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | Warning: for segment \#7 $(140+91.440$ to $141+92.440)$, Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| $141+92.440$ | 142+46.440 | Warning: for segment \#8 $(141+92.440$ to $142+46.440)$, traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 141+92.440 | 142+46.440 | Warning: for segment \#8 ( $141+92.440$ to $142+46.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| $142+46.440$ | 142+70.440 | Warning: for segment \#9 $(142+46.440$ to $142+70.440)$, traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| $142+46.440$ | 142+70.440 | Warning: for segment \#9 $(142+46.440$ to $142+70.440)$, Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Warning: for segment \#10 $(142+70.440$ to $143+41.440)$, traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Warning: for segment \#10 (142+70.440 to 143+41.440), Freeway Segment of type 6F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 143+41.440 | 144+40.440 | Warning: for segment \#11 ( $143+41.440$ to $144+40.440$ ), traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $110,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 4 F |
| 143+41.440 | 144+40.440 | Warning: for segment \#11 ( $143+41.440$ to $144+40.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 144+40.440 | 145+47.440 | Warning: for segment \#12 ( $144+40.440$ to $145+47.440$ ), traffic volume ( $197,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 144+40.440 | 145+47.440 | Warning: for segment \#12 (144+40.440 to $145+47.440)$, Freeway Segment of type 6F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 $(169+84.440$ to $170+94.370)$, Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| $170+94.370$ | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 (170+94.370 to $171+35.440)$, Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| $171+35.440$ | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 (171+35.440 to 172+54.440), Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 (172+54.440 to 173+73.440), Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 (173+73.440 to 174+92.440), Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| $174+92.440$ | 175+53.460 | Information: for segment \#35 (174+92.440 to $175+53.460)$, Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | Warning: for segment \#47 ( $184+96.790$ to $186+54.440$ ), traffic volume ( $196,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6F |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | Information: for segment \#47 (184+96.790 to 186+54.440), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Warning: for segment \#48 ( $186+54.440$ to $190+97.440$ ), traffic volume ( $196,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 (186+54.440 to 190+97.440) , Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 190+97.440 | 198+69.900 | Warning: for segment \#49 (190+97.440 to $198+69.900)$, traffic volume ( $196,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 190+97.440 | 198+69.900 | Information: for segment \#49 (190+97.440 to 198+69.900) , Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | Warning: for segment \#50 $(198+69.900$ to $203+60.970)$, traffic volume $(196,100 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | Information: for segment \#50 (198+69.900 to 203+60.970) , Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 203+60.970 | 205+18.440 | Warning: for segment \#52 (203+60.970 to 205+18.440), traffic volume ( $223,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 203+60.970 | 205+18.440 | Warning: for segment \#52 (203+60.970 to 205+18.440), Freeway Segment of type 8 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $3+5$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 205+18.440 | 208+13.580 | Warning: for segment \#53 ( $205+18.440$ to $208+13.580$ ), traffic volume ( $223,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 205+18.440 | 208+13.580 | Warning: for segment \#53 ( $205+18.440$ to $208+13.580$ ), Freeway Segment of type 8 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $3+5$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 208+13.580 | 208+29.440 | Warning: for segment \#54 (208+13.580 to $208+29.440)$, traffic volume ( $223,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 208+13.580 | 208+29.440 | Warning: for segment \#54 (208+13.580 to 208+29.440), Freeway Segment of type 8F is using unbalanced lane processing with $3+5$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 208+29.440 | 214+67.440 | Warning: for segment \#55 ( $208+29.440$ to $214+67.440$ ), traffic volume ( $223,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 208+29.440 | 214+67.440 | Warning: for segment \#55 ( $208+29.440$ to $214+67.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type 8 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $3+5$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 214+67.440 | 224+85.540 | Warning: for segment \#56 ( $214+67.440$ to $224+85.540$ ), traffic volume ( $223,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 214+67.440 | 224+85.540 | Warning: for segment \#56 ( $214+67.440$ to $224+85.540$ ), Freeway Segment of type 8 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $3+5$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| $224+85.540$ | 228+92.450 | Warning: for segment \#57 ( $224+85.540$ to $228+92.450$ ), traffic volume ( $247,300 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| $224+85.540$ | 228+92.450 | Warning: for segment \#57 ( $224+85.540$ to $228+92.450$ ), Freeway Segment of type 8 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $3+5$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| $228+92.450$ | 231+12.440 | Warning: for segment \#58 ( $228+92.450$ to $231+12.440$ ), traffic volume ( $247,300 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6 F |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $228+92.450$ | 231+12.440 | Information: for segment \#58 (228+92.450 to $231+12.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 231+12.440 | 236+00.000 | Warning: for segment \#60 ( $231+12.440$ to $236+00.000$ ), traffic volume ( $226,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6F |
| 231+12.440 | 236+00.000 | Information: for segment \#60 (231+12.440 to 236+00.000) , Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | Warning: for segment \#51 ( $198+69.900$ to $203+60.970$ ), traffic volume ( $196,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6SC |
| 198+69.900 | 203+60.970 | Information: for segment \#51 (198+69.900 to 203+60.970), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
| 231+12.250 | 231+12.440 | Warning: for segment \#59 (231+12.250 to $231+12.440)$, traffic volume ( $226,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6SC |
| 231+12.250 | 231+12.440 | Information: for segment \#59 (231+12.250 to 231+12.440), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
| $231+12.440$ | 236+00.000 | Warning: for segment \#61 ( $231+12.440$ to $236+00.000$ ), traffic volume ( $226,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6SC |
| 231+12.440 | 236+00.000 | Information: for segment \#61 ( $231+12.440$ to $236+00.000$ ), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
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## Report Overview

Report Generated: Mar 9, 2023 3:32 PM
Report Template: System: Single Page [System] (mlcpm3, Mar 7, 2023 12:48 PM)

Evaluation Date: Thu Mar 09 15:32:07 MST 2023
IHSDM Version: v17.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)
Crash Prediction Module: v12.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)

User Name: Jordan Rae Aguirre
Organization Name: Jacobs Engineering
Phone: 5307019417
E-Mail: jordanrae.aguirre@jacobs.com

Project Title: I-10/101L - Alternatives Analysis - Extended 91st Ave Ramp
Project Comment: Created using wizard
Project Unit System: U.S. Customary

Highway Title: Alignment 91st_RampB Prop
Highway Comment: Imported from 91st_RampB Prop_022823.xml
Highway Version: 1

Evaluation Title: Evaluation 3
Evaluation Comment: Created Thu Mar 09 15:31:18 MST 2023

Minimum Location: 0.000
Maximum Location: 51+63.340

Policy for Superelevation: AASHTO 2011 U.S. Customary
Calibration: HSM Configuration
Crash Distribution: HSM Configuration
Model/CMF: HSM Configuration

First Year of Analysis: 2050
Last Year of Analysis: 2050
Empirical-Bayes Analysis: None
First Year of Observed Crashes:
Last Year of Observed Crashes:

## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 1
Evaluation Start Location: 0.000
Evaluation End Location: 51+63.340
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: EX_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 0.000 | $1+81.000$ | 181.00 | 0.0343 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $1+81.000$ | $3+06.000$ | 125.00 | 0.0237 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $3+06.000$ | $3+35.000$ | 29.00 | 0.0055 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 3+35.000 | 5+61.000 | 226.00 | 0.0428 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 5+61.000 | 5+91.000 | 30.00 | 0.0057 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $5+91.000$ | 6+29.000 | 38.00 | 0.0072 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 6+29.000 | 7+06.000 | 77.00 | 0.0146 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 7+06.000 | 7+82.000 | 76.00 | 0.0144 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $7+82.000$ | 7+87.000 | 5.00 | 0.0009 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 7+87.000 | $8+58.000$ | 71.00 | 0.0134 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 8+58.000 | 9+35.000 | 77.00 | 0.0146 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $9+35.000$ | 10+13.000 | 78.00 | 0.0148 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 10+13.000 | 10+87.000 | 74.00 | 0.0140 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 14 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 10+87.000 | 11+24.990 | 37.99 | 0.0072 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 15 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 11+24.990 | $14+10.000$ | 285.01 | 0.0540 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 16 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $14+10.000$ | $14+84.000$ | 74.00 | 0.0140 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 17 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $14+84.000$ | $18+42.230$ | 358.23 | 0.0678 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 18 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $18+42.230$ | 19+79.000 | 136.77 | 0.0259 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 19 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 19+79.000 | $20+53.000$ | 74.00 | 0.0140 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 20 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $20+53.000$ | $22+62.910$ | 209.91 | 0.0398 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 21 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $22+62.910$ | 28+49.000 | 586.09 | 0.1110 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 22 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $28+49.000$ | $33+07.000$ | 458.00 | 0.0867 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 23 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $33+07.000$ | $34+77.000$ | 170.00 | 0.0322 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 24 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $34+77.000$ | $43+49.680$ | 872.68 | 0.1653 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 25 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $43+49.680$ | $43+72.000$ | 22.32 | 0.0042 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 26 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $43+72.000$ | $44+14.000$ | 42.00 | 0.0080 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 27 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $44+14.000$ | $44+57.000$ | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 28 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 44+57.000 | $45+00.000$ | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 29 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $45+00.000$ | $45+43.000$ | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 30 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $45+43.000$ | $45+86.000$ | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 31 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $45+86.000$ | $46+29.000$ | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 32 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $46+29.000$ | $46+50.000$ | 21.00 | 0.0040 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 33 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $46+50.000$ | $51+63.340$ | 513.34 | 0.0972 | 2050: 12,300 |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 0.9436 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 8,463 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 2.21 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 0.93 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 1.27 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 42 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 58 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 2.3364 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 0.9898 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.3466 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 2.91 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.76 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.32 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.44 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Segment <br> Number/Intersecti on Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted Total Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted FI Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi/y r) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/milli on veh-mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $1+81.000$ | $3+06.000$ | 0.0237 | 0.034 | 0.0344 | 0.0144 | 0.0200 | 1.4521 | 0.61 |
| 3 | $3+06.000$ | $3+35.000$ | 0.0055 | 0.009 | 0.0089 | 0.0043 | 0.0047 | 1.6256 | 0.69 |
| 4 | $3+35.000$ | 5+61.000 | 0.0428 | 0.071 | 0.0711 | 0.0342 | 0.0370 | 1.6621 | 0.70 |
| 5 | 5+61.000 | 5+91.000 | 0.0057 | 0.008 | 0.0081 | 0.0037 | 0.0044 | 1.4260 | 0.60 |
| 6 | 5+91.000 | 6+29.000 | 0.0072 | 0.009 | 0.0090 | 0.0039 | 0.0051 | 1.2544 | 0.53 |
| 7 | 6+29.000 | 7+06.000 | 0.0146 | 0.018 | 0.0185 | 0.0080 | 0.0105 | 1.2666 | 0.53 |
| 8 | 7+06.000 | 7+82.000 | 0.0144 | 0.022 | 0.0216 | 0.0092 | 0.0124 | 1.5022 | 0.63 |
| 9 | 7+82.000 | 7+87.000 | 0.0009 | 0.001 | 0.0014 | 0.0006 | 0.0008 | 1.5180 | 0.64 |
| 10 | 7+87.000 | 8+58.000 | 0.0134 | 0.021 | 0.0206 | 0.0088 | 0.0117 | 1.5298 | 0.65 |
| 11 | 8+58.000 | 9+35.000 | 0.0146 | 0.023 | 0.0234 | 0.0103 | 0.0132 | 1.6072 | 0.68 |
| 12 | $9+35.000$ | 10+13.000 | 0.0148 | 0.025 | 0.0250 | 0.0112 | 0.0138 | 1.6931 | 0.71 |
| 13 | 10+13.000 | 10+87.000 | 0.0140 | 0.022 | 0.0220 | 0.0102 | 0.0118 | 1.5700 | 0.66 |
| 14 | $10+87.000$ | 11+24.990 | 0.0072 | 0.011 | 0.0113 | 0.0053 | 0.0060 | 1.5774 | 0.67 |
| 15 | 11+24.990 | 14+10.000 | 0.0540 | 0.092 | 0.0921 | 0.0434 | 0.0488 | 1.7067 | 0.72 |
| 16 | $14+10.000$ | $14+84.000$ | 0.0140 | 0.026 | 0.0264 | 0.0123 | 0.0141 | 1.8842 | 0.79 |
| 17 | 14+84.000 | 18+42.230 | 0.0678 | 0.128 | 0.1282 | 0.0599 | 0.0683 | 1.8900 | 0.80 |
| 18 | $18+42.230$ | 19+79.000 | 0.0259 | 0.043 | 0.0427 | 0.0202 | 0.0225 | 1.6487 | 0.69 |
| 19 | 19+79.000 | 20+53.000 | 0.0140 | 0.022 | 0.0225 | 0.0107 | 0.0118 | 1.6076 | 0.68 |
| 20 | 20+53.000 | 22+62.910 | 0.0398 | 0.064 | 0.0637 | 0.0302 | 0.0335 | 1.6021 | 0.68 |
| 21 | $22+62.910$ | $28+49.000$ | 0.1110 | 0.193 | 0.1930 | 0.0943 | 0.0987 | 1.7385 | 0.73 |
| 22 | 28+49.000 | $33+07.000$ | 0.0867 | 0.152 | 0.1523 | 0.0747 | 0.0776 | 1.7559 | 0.74 |
| 23 | $33+07.000$ | $34+77.000$ | 0.0322 | 0.057 | 0.0569 | 0.0279 | 0.0289 | 1.7664 | 0.74 |
| 24 | 34+77.000 | 43+49.680 | 0.1653 | 0.509 | 0.5091 | 0.1664 | 0.3428 | 3.0804 | 0.69 |
| 25 | 43+49.680 | $43+72.000$ | 0.0042 | 0.014 | 0.0144 | 0.0055 | 0.0089 | 3.4024 | 0.76 |
| 26 | $43+72.000$ | $44+14.000$ | 0.0080 | 0.028 | 0.0278 | 0.0108 | 0.0170 | 3.4973 | 0.78 |
| 27 | $44+14.000$ | 44+57.000 | 0.0081 | 0.029 | 0.0295 | 0.0116 | 0.0179 | 3.6275 | 0.81 |
| 28 | 44+57.000 | 45+00.000 | 0.0081 | 0.031 | 0.0307 | 0.0123 | 0.0184 | 3.7648 | 0.84 |
| 29 | $45+00.000$ | 45+43.000 | 0.0081 | 0.032 | 0.0318 | 0.0130 | 0.0189 | 3.9080 | 0.87 |
| 30 | 45+43.000 | 45+86.000 | 0.0081 | 0.033 | 0.0330 | 0.0137 | 0.0194 | 4.0575 | 0.90 |
| 31 | $45+86.000$ | 46+29.000 | 0.0081 | 0.034 | 0.0343 | 0.0145 | 0.0199 | 4.2134 | 0.94 |
| 32 | 46+29.000 | $46+50.000$ | 0.0040 | 0.017 | 0.0174 | 0.0074 | 0.0099 | 4.3728 | 0.97 |
| 33 | $46+50.000$ | 51+63.340 | 0.0972 | 0.423 | 0.4233 | 0.1812 | 0.2420 | 4.3534 | 0.97 |
| Total |  |  | 0.9436 | 2.205 | 2.2047 | 0.9340 | 1.2707 | 2.3364 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Start } \\ \text { Location } \\ \text { (Sta. ft) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Location } \\ \text { (Sta. ft) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Length } \\ \text { (mi) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Predicted } \\ \text { Crashes for } \\ \text { Evaluation } \\ \text { Period }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { Total Crash } \\ \text { Frequency } \\ \text { (crashes/yr) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { FI Crash } \\ \text { Frequency } \\ \text { (crashes/yr) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { PDO Crash } \\ \text { Frequency } \\ \text { (crashes/yr) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { Prash Rated } \\ \text { (crashes/mi } \\ \text { /yr) }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Travel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crash Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (crashes/mi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| llion veh- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 2.21 | 0.93 | 42.363 | 1.27 | 57.637 |
| Total | 2.21 | 0.93 | 42.363 | 1.27 | 57.637 |
| Average | 2.21 | 0.93 | 42.363 | 1.27 | 57.637 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) Crashes (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury (C) <br> Crashes (crashes) | No Injury (O) Crashes (crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.0004 | 0.0014 | 0.0057 | 0.0069 | 0.0200 |
| 3 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0017 | 0.0020 | 0.0047 |
| 4 | 0.0011 | 0.0032 | 0.0135 | 0.0164 | 0.0370 |
| 5 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0014 | 0.0018 | 0.0044 |
| 6 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0015 | 0.0019 | 0.0051 |
| 7 | 0.0002 | 0.0008 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 | 0.0105 |
| 8 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 | 0.0036 | 0.0044 | 0.0124 |
| 9 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 |
| 10 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0035 | 0.0042 | 0.0117 |
| 11 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0040 | 0.0049 | 0.0132 |
| 12 | 0.0003 | 0.0011 | 0.0044 | 0.0054 | 0.0138 |
| 13 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0040 | 0.0049 | 0.0118 |
| 14 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 | 0.0060 |
| 15 | 0.0014 | 0.0041 | 0.0171 | 0.0208 | 0.0488 |
| 16 | 0.0004 | 0.0012 | 0.0049 | 0.0059 | 0.0141 |
| 17 | 0.0019 | 0.0057 | 0.0236 | 0.0288 | 0.0683 |
| 18 | 0.0006 | 0.0019 | 0.0080 | 0.0097 | 0.0225 |
| 19 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0042 | 0.0051 | 0.0118 |
| 20 | 0.0009 | 0.0029 | 0.0119 | 0.0145 | 0.0335 |
| 21 | 0.0029 | 0.0089 | 0.0371 | 0.0453 | 0.0987 |
| 22 | 0.0023 | 0.0071 | 0.0294 | 0.0359 | 0.0776 |
| 23 | 0.0009 | 0.0026 | 0.0110 | 0.0134 | 0.0289 |
| 24 | 0.0050 | 0.0150 | 0.0507 | 0.0957 | 0.3428 |
| 25 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0017 | 0.0032 | 0.0089 |
| 26 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0033 | 0.0062 | 0.0170 |
| 27 | 0.0003 | 0.0011 | 0.0036 | 0.0067 | 0.0179 |
| 28 | 0.0004 | 0.0011 | 0.0037 | 0.0071 | 0.0184 |
| 29 | 0.0004 | 0.0012 | 0.0040 | 0.0075 | 0.0189 |
| 30 | 0.0004 | 0.0012 | 0.0042 | 0.0079 | 0.0194 |
| 31 | 0.0004 | 0.0013 | 0.0044 | 0.0083 | 0.0199 |
| 32 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0023 | 0.0043 | 0.0099 |
| 33 | 0.0054 | 0.0163 | 0.0553 | 0.1042 | 0.2420 |
| Total | 0.0285 | 0.0865 | 0.3290 | 0.4900 | 1.2707 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 1.2 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.63 | 28.8 | 0.76 | 35.0 | 1.39 | 63.9 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.04 | 2.0 | 0.15 | 6.8 | 0.19 | 8.8 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.18 | 8.3 | 0.11 | 5.2 | 0.29 | 13.5 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.01 | 0.6 | 0.02 | 0.8 | 0.03 | 1.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.87 | 39.9 | 1.06 | 48.9 | 1.93 | 88.9 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.2 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.3 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.04 | 1.8 | 0.13 | 6.0 | 0.17 | 7.8 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.05 | 2.3 | 0.06 | 2.8 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.05 | 2.4 | 0.19 | 8.7 | 0.24 | 11.1 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 0.92 | 42.4 | 1.25 | 57.6 | 2.17 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 0.92 | 42.4 | 1.25 | 57.6 | 2.17 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

## Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 1+81.000 | Warning: for segment \#1 ( 0.000 to $1+81.000$ ), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 0.000 | $1+81.000$ | Warning: for segment \#1 ( 0.000 to $1+81.000$ ), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 1+81.000 | $3+06.000$ | Information: for segment \#2 ( $1+81.000$ to $3+06.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $3+06.000$ | $3+35.000$ | Information: for segment \#3 ( $3+06.000$ to $3+35.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $3+35.000$ | $5+61.000$ | Information: for segment \#4 ( $3+35.000$ to $5+61.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 5+91.000 | 6+29.000 | Information: for segment \#6 ( $5+91.000$ to $6+29.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 12.75 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 10.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 6+29.000 | 7+06.000 | Information: for segment \#7 ( $6+29.000$ to $7+06.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 11.99 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 10.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 7+06.000 | 7+82.000 | Information: for segment \#8 ( $7+06.000$ to $7+82.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 10.99 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 10.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 7+82.000 | 7+87.000 | Information: for segment \#9 ( $7+82.000$ to $7+87.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 10.46 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 10.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $28+49.000$ | $33+07.000$ | Information: for segment \#22 (28+49.000 to 33+07.000) , Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $46+29.000$ | $46+50.000$ | Information: for segment \#32 (46+29.000 to 46+50.000), Right shoulder width ( 1.24 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $46+50.000$ | 51+63.340 | Information: for segment \#33 (46+50.000 to 51+63.340), Right shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $1+81.000$ | $3+06.000$ | Program error: for segment \#2 ( $1+81.000$ to $3+06.000$ ), GModelDataFRE_Ramp.getFRE_Ramp_BaseAADT(): unknown key: \|0|urban, invalid configuration data or program call |
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## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 2
Evaluation Start Location: 86.350
Evaluation End Location: 30+56.200
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: EX_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start <br> Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 86.350 | $3+50.000$ | 263.65 | 0.0499 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $3+50.000$ | $6+12.350$ | 262.35 | 0.0497 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 12,900 \end{array}$ |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+12.350$ | $6+19.350$ | 7.00 | 0.0013 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 12,900 \end{array}$ |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+19.350$ | $6+45.350$ | 26.00 | 0.0049 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+45.350$ | $6+70.350$ | 25.00 | 0.0047 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+70.350$ | $6+96.350$ | 26.00 | 0.0049 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 2050: \\ 12,900 \end{array}$ |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+96.350$ | $7+21.350$ | 25.00 | 0.0047 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 12,900 \end{array}$ |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $7+21.350$ | 7+55.350 | 34.00 | 0.0064 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 7+55.350 | $11+98.350$ | 443.00 | 0.0839 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 2050: \\ 12,900 \end{array}$ |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $11+98.350$ | $13+90.350$ | 192.00 | 0.0364 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 2050: \\ 12,900 \end{array}$ |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $13+90.350$ | $20+41.350$ | 651.00 | 0.1233 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | $20+41.350$ | $27+18.350$ | 677.00 | 0.1282 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | $27+18.350$ | 30+56.200 | 337.85 | 0.0640 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 0.3703 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 12,900 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 1.25 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 0.62 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 0.63 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 50 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 50 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 3.3814 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.6765 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.7049 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 1.74 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.72 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.36 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.36 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway
Ramp Sections)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start <br> Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 86.350 | $3+50.000$ | 0.0499 | 0.243 | 0.2429 | 0.1071 | 0.1358 | 4.8639 | 1.03 |
| 2 | $3+50.000$ | $6+12.350$ | 0.0497 | 0.219 | 0.2188 | 0.1296 | 0.0891 | 4.4028 | 0.94 |
| 3 | 6+12.350 | $6+19.350$ | 0.0013 | 0.005 | 0.0049 | 0.0026 | 0.0023 | 3.6720 | 0.78 |
| 4 | $6+19.350$ | $6+45.350$ | 0.0049 | 0.017 | 0.0171 | 0.0088 | 0.0083 | 3.4790 | 0.74 |
| 5 | $6+45.350$ | 6+70.350 | 0.0047 | 0.016 | 0.0158 | 0.0081 | 0.0078 | 3.3417 | 0.71 |
| 6 | 6+70.350 | 6+96.350 | 0.0049 | 0.016 | 0.0158 | 0.0079 | 0.0079 | 3.2104 | 0.68 |
| 7 | 6+96.350 | 7+21.350 | 0.0047 | 0.015 | 0.0146 | 0.0072 | 0.0074 | 3.0849 | 0.66 |
| 8 | $7+21.350$ | 7+55.350 | 0.0064 | 0.019 | 0.0191 | 0.0093 | 0.0098 | 2.9657 | 0.63 |
| 9 | 7+55.350 | $11+98.350$ | 0.0839 | 0.239 | 0.2391 | 0.1151 | 0.1240 | 2.8498 | 0.60 |
| 10 | 11+98.350 | $13+90.350$ | 0.0364 | 0.107 | 0.1073 | 0.0523 | 0.0550 | 2.9507 | 0.63 |
| 11 | $13+90.350$ | $20+41.350$ | 0.1233 | 0.357 | 0.3566 | 0.1726 | 0.1840 | 2.8926 | 0.61 |
| Total |  |  | 0.3703 | 1.252 | 1.2520 | 0.6207 | 0.6313 | 3.3814 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway

## Ramp Sections)

| Title | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Predicted } \\ \text { Travel } \\ \text { Crash Rate } \\ \text { (crashes/mi } \\ \text { llion veh- } \\ \text { mi) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tangent | 86.350 | $5+47.620$ | 0.0874 | 0.408 | 0.4077 | 0.2048 | 0.2029 | 4.6663 | 0.99 |
| Simple Curve 1 | 5+47.620 | $9+44.858$ | 0.0752 | 0.244 | 0.2436 | 0.1252 | 0.1184 | 3.2378 | 0.69 |
| Simple Curve 2 | $9+44.858$ | 14+02.494 | 0.0867 | 0.251 | 0.2508 | 0.1214 | 0.1294 | 2.8933 | 0.61 |
| Tangent | 14+02.494 | 15+34.257 | 0.0250 | 0.072 | 0.0722 | 0.0349 | 0.0372 | 2.8926 | 0.61 |
| Simple Curve 3 | 15+34.257 | 20+41.199 | 0.0960 | 0.278 | 0.2777 | 0.1344 | 0.1433 | 2.8926 | 0.61 |
| Tangent | 20+41.199 | $30+56.200$ | 0.1922 | 0.000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0004 | 0.00 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 1.25 | 0.62 | 49.579 | 0.63 | 50.421 |
| Total | 1.25 | 0.62 | 49.579 | 0.63 | 50.421 |
| Average | 1.25 | 0.62 | 49.579 | 0.63 | 50.421 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury <br> (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | No Injury <br> (O) Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.0032 | 0.0097 | 0.0327 | 0.0616 | 0.1358 |
| 2 | 0.0040 | 0.0123 | 0.0510 | 0.0623 | 0.0891 |
| 3 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0010 | 0.0012 | 0.0023 |
| 4 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0035 | 0.0042 | 0.0083 |
| 5 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0032 | 0.0039 | 0.0078 |
| 6 | 0.0002 | 0.0008 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 | 0.0079 |
| 7 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0028 | 0.0035 | 0.0074 |
| 8 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 | 0.0037 | 0.0045 | 0.0098 |
| 9 | 0.0036 | 0.0109 | 0.0453 | 0.0553 | 0.1240 |
| 10 | 0.0016 | 0.0050 | 0.0206 | 0.0251 | 0.0550 |
| 11 | 0.0054 | 0.0163 | 0.0680 | 0.0829 | 0.1840 |
| Total | 0.0192 | 0.0583 | 0.2349 | 0.3083 | 0.6313 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 1.1 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.42 | 33.8 | 0.39 | 30.8 | 0.81 | 64.6 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.03 | 2.4 | 0.07 | 6.0 | 0.10 | 8.4 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.12 | 9.7 | 0.06 | 4.6 | 0.18 | 14.3 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 1.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.59 | 46.8 | 0.54 | 43.1 | 1.12 | 89.9 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.2 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.3 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.03 | 2.1 | 0.06 | 5.1 | 0.09 | 7.2 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.02 | 2.0 | 0.03 | 2.5 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.04 | 2.8 | 0.09 | 7.3 | 0.13 | 10.1 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 0.62 | 49.6 | 0.63 | 50.4 | 1.25 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 0.62 | 49.6 | 0.63 | 50.4 | 1.25 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11+98.350 | $13+90.350$ | Information: for segment \#10 ( $11+98.350$ to $13+90.350$ ), Left shoulder width ( 1.75 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $13+90.350$ | 20+41.350 | Information: for segment \#11 ( $13+90.350$ to $20+41.350$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $20+41.350$ | $27+18.350$ | Warning: for segment \#12 (20+41.350 to 27+18.350), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| $20+41.350$ | $27+18.350$ | Warning: for segment \#12 (20+41.350 to 27+18.350), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| $27+18.350$ | $30+56.200$ | Warning: for segment \#13 (27+18.350 to 30+56.200), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| $27+18.350$ | $30+56.200$ | Warning: for segment \#13 (27+18.350 to 30+56.200), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
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## Report Overview
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Report Template: System: Single Page [System] (mlcpm3, Mar 7, 2023 12:48 PM)

Evaluation Date: Fri Mar 10 11:16:16 MST 2023
IHSDM Version: v17.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)
Crash Prediction Module: v12.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)

User Name: Jordan Rae Aguirre
Organization Name: Jacobs Engineering
Phone: 5307019417
E-Mail: jordanrae.aguirre@jacobs.com

Project Title: I-10/101L - Alternatives Analysis - Extended 91st Ave Ramp
Project Comment: Created using wizard
Project Unit System: U.S. Customary

Highway Title: Alignment IndianSchool_RampA
Highway Comment: Imported from IndianSchool_RampA.xml
Highway Version: 1

Evaluation Title: Evaluation 3
Evaluation Comment: Created Fri Mar 10 11:16:08 MST 2023

Minimum Location: 0.000
Maximum Location: 18+30.680

Policy for Superelevation: AASHTO 2011 U.S. Customary
Calibration: HSM Configuration
Crash Distribution: HSM Configuration
Model/CMF: HSM Configuration

First Year of Analysis: 2050
Last Year of Analysis: 2050
Empirical-Bayes Analysis: None
First Year of Observed Crashes:
Last Year of Observed Crashes:

## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 1
Evaluation Start Location: 0.000
Evaluation End Location: 18+30.680
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: ENT_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; ENT_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; ENT_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0;
ENT_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 0.000 | $1+35.000$ | 135.00 | 0.0256 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 1+35.000 | 1+49.000 | 14.00 | 0.0027 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 1+49.000 | 1+77.000 | 28.00 | 0.0053 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 1+77.000 | 2+05.000 | 28.00 | 0.0053 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 2+05.000 | $2+34.000$ | 29.00 | 0.0055 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 2+34.000 | $2+62.000$ | 28.00 | 0.0053 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 2+62.000 | $2+90.000$ | 28.00 | 0.0053 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 2+90.000 | $3+19.000$ | 29.00 | 0.0055 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $3+19.000$ | $3+32.150$ | 13.15 | 0.0025 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $3+32.150$ | $6+70.000$ | 337.85 | 0.0640 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 6+70.000 | 7+66.000 | 96.00 | 0.0182 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 7+66.000 | $9+47.610$ | 181.61 | 0.0344 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 9+47.610 | $12+42.000$ | 294.39 | 0.0558 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $12+42.000$ | 13+46.000 | 104.00 | 0.0197 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 13,400 \end{array}$ |
| 15 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $13+46.000$ | 16+83.490 | 337.49 | 0.0639 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $16+83.490$ | $16+90.000$ | 6.51 | 0.0012 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 16+90.000 | $17+16.910$ | 26.91 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 17+16.910 | $17+22.000$ | 5.09 | 0.0010 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $17+22.000$ | $17+49.000$ | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 17+49.000 | 18+30.680 | 81.68 | 0.0155 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 0.3057 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 13,400 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 1.43 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 0.51 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 0.92 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 36 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 64 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 4.6799 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.6680 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 3.0119 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 1.50 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.96 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.34 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.62 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway
Ramp Sections)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1+35.000 | 1+49.000 | 0.0027 | 0.007 | 0.0074 | 0.0032 | 0.0042 | 2.7885 | 0.57 |
| 3 | $1+49.000$ | 1+77.000 | 0.0053 | 0.015 | 0.0152 | 0.0067 | 0.0086 | 2.8685 | 0.59 |
| 4 | 1+77.000 | 2+05.000 | 0.0053 | 0.016 | 0.0158 | 0.0070 | 0.0088 | 2.9792 | 0.61 |
| 5 | 2+05.000 | 2+34.000 | 0.0055 | 0.017 | 0.0170 | 0.0077 | 0.0093 | 3.0969 | 0.63 |
| 6 | $2+34.000$ | 2+62.000 | 0.0053 | 0.017 | 0.0171 | 0.0078 | 0.0093 | 3.2199 | 0.66 |
| 7 | 2+62.000 | $2+90.000$ | 0.0053 | 0.018 | 0.0177 | 0.0083 | 0.0095 | 3.3461 | 0.68 |
| 8 | $2+90.000$ | 3+19.000 | 0.0055 | 0.019 | 0.0191 | 0.0090 | 0.0101 | 3.4804 | 0.71 |
| 9 | $3+19.000$ | $3+32.150$ | 0.0025 | 0.009 | 0.0089 | 0.0043 | 0.0047 | 3.5836 | 0.73 |
| 10 | $3+32.150$ | 6+70.000 | 0.0640 | 0.306 | 0.3063 | 0.1006 | 0.2056 | 4.7868 | 0.98 |
| 11 | 6+70.000 | 7+66.000 | 0.0182 | 0.088 | 0.0880 | 0.0291 | 0.0589 | 4.8411 | 0.99 |
| 12 | 7+66.000 | 9+47.610 | 0.0344 | 0.161 | 0.1614 | 0.0525 | 0.1089 | 4.6922 | 0.96 |
| 13 | $9+47.610$ | $12+42.000$ | 0.0558 | 0.262 | 0.2615 | 0.0852 | 0.1763 | 4.6892 | 0.96 |
| 14 | $12+42.000$ | $13+46.000$ | 0.0197 | 0.101 | 0.1005 | 0.0381 | 0.0624 | 5.1013 | 1.04 |
| 15 | 13+46.000 | 16+83.490 | 0.0639 | 0.327 | 0.3272 | 0.1242 | 0.2030 | 5.1190 | 1.05 |
| 16 | 16+83.490 | 16+90.000 | 0.0012 | 0.006 | 0.0064 | 0.0024 | 0.0040 | 5.1841 | 1.06 |
| 17 | 16+90.000 | 17+16.910 | 0.0051 | 0.027 | 0.0267 | 0.0102 | 0.0165 | 5.2376 | 1.07 |
| 18 | 17+16.910 | $17+22.000$ | 0.0010 | 0.005 | 0.0051 | 0.0020 | 0.0031 | 5.2784 | 1.08 |
| 19 | $17+22.000$ | $17+49.000$ | 0.0051 | 0.029 | 0.0293 | 0.0117 | 0.0176 | 5.7245 | 1.17 |
| Total |  |  | 0.3057 | 1.431 | 1.4306 | 0.5099 | 0.9207 | 4.6799 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | Start <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (mi) | Total <br> Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> PDO Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> /yr) | Travel <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> llion veh- <br> mi) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Simple Curve 1 | 0.000 | $9+57.878$ | 0.1814 | 0.683 | 0.6831 | 0.2391 | 0.4440 | 3.7654 | 0.77 |
| Tangent | $9+57.878$ | $18+30.680$ | 0.1653 | 0.748 | 0.7475 | 0.2708 | 0.4766 | 4.5217 | 0.93 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 1.43 | 0.51 | 35.642 | 0.92 | 64.358 |
| Total | 1.43 | 0.51 | 35.642 | 0.92 | 64.358 |
| Average | 1.43 | 0.51 | 35.642 | 0.92 | 64.358 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury <br> (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | No Injury <br> (O) Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0013 | 0.0016 | 0.0042 |
| 3 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 | 0.0086 |
| 4 | 0.0001 | 0.0005 | 0.0029 | 0.0035 | 0.0088 |
| 5 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0032 | 0.0039 | 0.0093 |
| 6 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0032 | 0.0039 | 0.0093 |
| 7 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0034 | 0.0041 | 0.0095 |
| 8 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 | 0.0037 | 0.0045 | 0.0101 |
| 9 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0018 | 0.0021 | 0.0047 |
| 10 | 0.0020 | 0.0018 | 0.0320 | 0.0604 | 0.2056 |
| 11 | 0.0006 | 0.0032 | 0.0093 | 0.0175 | 0.0589 |
| 12 | 0.0011 | 0.0052 | 0.0167 | 0.0315 | 0.1089 |
| 13 | 0.0017 | 0.0023 | 0.0271 | 0.0511 | 0.1763 |
| 14 | 0.0008 | 0.0076 | 0.0121 | 0.0228 | 0.0624 |
| 15 | 0.0025 | 0.0002 | 0.0395 | 0.0745 | 0.2030 |
| 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0008 | 0.0015 | 0.0040 |
| 17 | 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 0.0033 | 0.0061 | 0.0165 |
| 18 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0037 | 0.0012 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.8 | 0.01 | 0.9 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.24 | 16.9 | 0.35 | 24.8 | 0.59 | 41.6 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.02 | 1.2 | 0.07 | 4.8 | 0.09 | 6.0 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.07 | 4.9 | 0.05 | 3.7 | 0.12 | 8.6 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.01 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.9 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.33 | 23.4 | 0.49 | 34.6 | 0.83 | 57.9 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 0.9 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.2 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 1.1 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.13 | 9.2 | 0.29 | 20.5 | 0.43 | 29.8 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.03 | 2.2 | 0.11 | 7.9 | 0.14 | 10.1 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.18 | 12.3 | 0.43 | 29.8 | 0.60 | 42.1 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 0.51 | 35.6 | 0.92 | 64.4 | 1.43 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 0.51 | 35.6 | 0.92 | 64.4 | 1.43 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

## Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 1+35.000 | Warning: for segment \#1 ( 0.000 to $1+35.000$ ), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 0.000 | 1+35.000 | Warning: for segment \#1 (0.000 to $1+35.000$ ), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 1+35.000 | 1+49.000 | Information: for segment \#2 ( $1+35.000$ to $1+49.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $1+49.000$ | 1+77.000 | Information: for segment \#3 ( $1+49.000$ to $1+77.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 1+77.000 | 2+05.000 | Information: for segment \#4 ( $1+77.000$ to $2+05.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 2+05.000 | 2+34.000 | Information: for segment \#5 ( $2+05.000$ to $2+34.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 2+34.000 | 2+62.000 | Information: for segment \#6 ( $2+34.000$ to $2+62.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $2+62.000$ | 2+90.000 | Information: for segment \#7 ( $2+62.000$ to $2+90.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $2+90.000$ | $3+19.000$ | Information: for segment \#8 ( $2+90.000$ to $3+19.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $3+19.000$ | $3+32.150$ | Information: for segment \#9 ( $3+19.000$ to $3+32.150$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $3+32.150$ | 6+70.000 | Information: for segment \#10 ( $3+32.150$ to $6+70.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 6+70.000 | 7+66.000 | Information: for segment \#11 ( $6+70.000$ to $7+66.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 1.50 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 17+22.000 | 17+49.000 | Information: for segment \#19 (17+22.000 to 17+49.000), Left shoulder width ( 1.75 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 17+22.000 | 17+49.000 | Information: for segment \#19 (17+22.000 to 17+49.000), Right shoulder width (1.25 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $17+49.000$ | 18+30.680 | Warning: for segment \#20 (17+49.000 to 18+30.680), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 17+49.000 | 18+30.680 | Warning: for segment \#20 (17+49.000 to 18+30.680), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
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## Report Overview

Report Generated: Mar 29, 2023 8:33 AM
Report Template: System: Single Page [System] (mlcpm3, Mar 7, 2023 12:48 PM)

Evaluation Date: Wed Mar 29 08:33:55 MST 2023
IHSDM Version: v17.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)
Crash Prediction Module: v12.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)

User Name: Jordan Rae Aguirre
Organization Name: Jacobs Engineering
Phone: 5307019417
E-Mail: jordanrae.aguirre@jacobs.com

Project Title: I-10/101L - Alternatives Analysis - Extended 91st Ave Ramp
Project Comment: Created using wizard
Project Unit System: U.S. Customary

Highway Title: Alignment SE Ramp Prop
Highway Comment: Imported from SE Ramp Prop_022823.xml
Highway Version: 1

Evaluation Title: Evaluation 7
Evaluation Comment: Created Wed Mar 29 08:33:38 MST 2023

Minimum Location: 40+43.407
Maximum Location: 109+64.841

Policy for Superelevation: AASHTO 2011 U.S. Customary
Calibration: HSM Configuration
Crash Distribution: HSM Configuration
Model/CMF: HSM Configuration

First Year of Analysis: 2050
Last Year of Analysis: 2050
Empirical-Bayes Analysis: None
First Year of Observed Crashes:
Last Year of Observed Crashes:

## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 1
Evaluation Start Location: 40+43.407
Evaluation End Location: 109+64.841
Functional Class: Freeway C-D Road \& System Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: C-D Road \& System Ramp
Calibration Factor: CD_MV_FI=1.0; CD_MV_PDO=1.0; CD_SV_FI=1.0; CD_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (ft) | Length <br> (mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | $40+43.407$ | $47+27.407$ | 684.00 | 0.1295 | | AADT |
| :--- |
| 48,700 |


| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 47+27.407 | 50+57.407 | 330.00 | 0.0625 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 50+57.407 | 50+71.407 | 14.00 | 0.0027 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 50+71.407 | 50+98.407 | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 50+98.407 | $51+26.407$ | 28.00 | 0.0053 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $51+26.407$ | $51+53.407$ | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $51+53.407$ | $51+80.407$ | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $51+80.407$ | 52+08.407 | 28.00 | 0.0053 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 52+08.407 | $52+35.407$ | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $52+35.407$ | 56+38.407 | 403.00 | 0.0763 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 56+38.407 | $63+93.320$ | 754.91 | 0.1430 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $63+93.320$ | 64+16.407 | 23.09 | 0.0044 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 64+16.407 | 64+62.407 | 46.00 | 0.0087 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 64+62.407 | 65+99.407 | 137.00 | 0.0259 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 65+99.407 | 67+36.407 | 137.00 | 0.0259 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $67+36.407$ | 68+04.420 | 68.01 | 0.0129 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 68+04.420 | 71+75.407 | 370.99 | 0.0703 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 71+75.407 | 79+17.407 | 742.00 | 0.1405 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 79+17.407 | $82+87.320$ | 369.91 | 0.0701 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 82+87.320 | $83+63.407$ | 76.09 | 0.0144 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $83+63.407$ | 85+15.407 | 152.00 | 0.0288 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 85+15.407 | 85+91.407 | 76.00 | 0.0144 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 23 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 85+91.407 | 89+57.407 | 366.00 | 0.0693 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 89+57.407 | $96+89.407$ | 732.00 | 0.1386 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $96+89.407$ | 100+55.407 | 366.00 | 0.0693 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |


| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 100+55.407 | 109+64.841 | 909.43 | 0.1722 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 1.0091 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 48,700 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 49.86 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 31.07 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 18.80 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 62 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 38 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 49.4142 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 30.7866 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 18.6276 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 17.94 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 2.78 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 1.73 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 1.05 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway
Ramp Sections)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 47+27.407 | 50+57.407 | 0.0625 | 2.722 | 2.7218 | 1.7257 | 0.9961 | 43.5481 | 2.45 |
| 3 | 50+57.407 | 50+71.407 | 0.0027 | 0.125 | 0.1246 | 0.0760 | 0.0486 | 46.9914 | 2.64 |
| 4 | 50+71.407 | 50+98.407 | 0.0051 | 0.240 | 0.2403 | 0.1465 | 0.0938 | 46.9914 | 2.64 |
| 5 | 50+98.407 | 51+26.407 | 0.0053 | 0.249 | 0.2492 | 0.1519 | 0.0973 | 46.9914 | 2.64 |
| 6 | 51+26.407 | 51+53.407 | 0.0051 | 0.240 | 0.2405 | 0.1467 | 0.0939 | 47.0407 | 2.65 |
| 7 | 51+53.407 | 51+80.407 | 0.0051 | 0.251 | 0.2510 | 0.1547 | 0.0963 | 49.0771 | 2.76 |
| 8 | 51+80.407 | 52+08.407 | 0.0053 | 0.272 | 0.2718 | 0.1693 | 0.1025 | 51.2513 | 2.88 |
| 9 | 52+08.407 | 52+35.407 | 0.0051 | 0.274 | 0.2737 | 0.1723 | 0.1014 | 53.5317 | 3.01 |
| 10 | $52+35.407$ | 56+38.407 | 0.0763 | 4.864 | 4.8635 | 3.0812 | 1.7823 | 63.7210 | 3.58 |
| 11 | 56+38.407 | $63+93.320$ | 0.1430 | 8.964 | 8.9637 | 5.6505 | 3.3132 | 62.6938 | 3.53 |
| 12 | $63+93.320$ | 64+16.407 | 0.0044 | 0.238 | 0.2380 | 0.1490 | 0.0890 | 54.4237 | 3.06 |
| 13 | $64+16.407$ | 64+62.407 | 0.0087 | 0.468 | 0.4681 | 0.2921 | 0.1760 | 53.7278 | 3.02 |
| 14 | 64+62.407 | 65+99.407 | 0.0259 | 1.347 | 1.3475 | 0.8339 | 0.5136 | 51.9314 | 2.92 |
| 15 | 65+99.407 | 67+36.407 | 0.0259 | 1.281 | 1.2808 | 0.7827 | 0.4982 | 49.3639 | 2.78 |
| 16 | 67+36.407 | $68+04.420$ | 0.0129 | 0.612 | 0.6123 | 0.3706 | 0.2417 | 47.5342 | 2.67 |
| 17 | 68+04.420 | 71+75.407 | 0.0703 | 3.197 | 3.1972 | 1.9333 | 1.2639 | 45.5038 | 2.56 |
| 18 | 71+75.407 | 79+17.407 | 0.1405 | 6.604 | 6.6039 | 4.0263 | 2.5776 | 46.9928 | 2.64 |
| 19 | $79+17.407$ | $82+87.320$ | 0.0701 | 3.400 | 3.4003 | 2.0900 | 1.3102 | 48.5342 | 2.73 |
| 20 | $82+87.320$ | 83+63.407 | 0.0144 | 0.700 | 0.7004 | 0.4305 | 0.2699 | 48.6064 | 2.73 |
| 21 | 83+63.407 | 85+15.407 | 0.0288 | 1.355 | 1.3547 | 0.8259 | 0.5288 | 47.0577 | 2.65 |
| 22 | $85+15.407$ | 85+91.407 | 0.0144 | 0.625 | 0.6249 | 0.3917 | 0.2332 | 43.4132 | 2.44 |
| 23 | 85+91.407 | 89+57.407 | 0.0693 | 3.055 | 3.0555 | 1.9421 | 1.1134 | 44.0797 | 2.48 |
| 24 | 89+57.407 | 96+89.407 | 0.1386 | 5.918 | 5.9185 | 3.7330 | 2.1855 | 42.6910 | 2.40 |
| 25 | 96+89.407 | 100+55.407 | 0.0693 | 2.861 | 2.8612 | 1.7906 | 1.0706 | 41.2757 | 2.32 |
| Total |  |  | 1.0091 | 49.863 | 49.8634 | 31.0665 | 18.7969 | 49.4142 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway
Ramp Sections)

| Title | Start <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (mi) | Total <br> Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> PDO Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> /yr) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pravelicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> llion veh- <br> mi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tangent | $40+43.407$ | $52+49.250$ | 0.2284 | 4.540 | 4.5400 | 2.8490 | 1.6910 | 19.8790 | 1.12 |
| Simple Curve 1 | $52+49.250$ | $63+93.320$ | 0.2167 | 13.660 | 13.6602 | 8.6259 | 5.0342 | 63.0432 | 3.55 |
| Simple Curve 2 | $63+93.320$ | $68+04.418$ | 0.0779 | 3.947 | 3.9466 | 2.4282 | 1.5185 | 50.6893 | 2.85 |
| Tangent | $68+04.418$ | $82+87.317$ | 0.2809 | 13.201 | 13.2014 | 8.0496 | 5.1518 | 47.0048 | 2.64 |
| Simple Curve 3 | $82+87.317$ | $89+00.824$ | 0.1162 | 5.263 | 5.2632 | 3.2900 | 1.9732 | 45.2963 | 2.55 |
| Simple Curve 4 | $89+00.824$ | $96+60.946$ | 0.1440 | 6.161 | 6.1608 | 3.8881 | 2.2727 | 42.7943 | 2.41 |
| Simple Curve 5 | $96+60.946$ | $100+55.334$ | 0.0747 | 3.091 | 3.0907 | 1.9354 | 1.1553 | 41.3778 | 2.33 |
| Tangent | $100+55.334$ | $109+64.841$ | 0.1723 | 0.001 | 0.0006 | 0.0004 | 0.0002 | 0.0033 | 0.00 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 49.86 | 31.07 | 62.303 | 18.80 | 37.697 |
| Total | 49.86 | 31.07 | 62.303 | 18.80 | 37.697 |
| Average | 49.86 | 31.07 | 62.303 | 18.80 | 37.697 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | Nnjury (O) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0.0299 | 0.0906 | 0.0034 | 0.4807 | 1.1245 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.01 | 0.0 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.1 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 1.13 | 2.3 | 1.68 | 3.4 | 2.81 | 5.6 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.08 | 0.2 | 0.33 | 0.7 | 0.41 | 0.8 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.33 | 0.7 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.58 | 1.2 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.04 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.1 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 1.57 | 3.1 | 2.35 | 4.7 | 3.92 | 7.9 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.91 | 1.8 | 0.30 | 0.6 | 1.21 | 2.4 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.24 | 0.5 | 0.03 | 0.1 | 0.27 | 0.5 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.91 | 1.8 | 0.40 | 0.8 | 1.31 | 2.6 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 22.12 | 44.4 | 11.35 | 22.8 | 33.47 | 67.1 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 5.31 | 10.6 | 4.38 | 8.8 | 9.69 | 19.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 29.50 | 59.2 | 16.45 | 33.0 | 45.95 | 92.1 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 31.07 | 62.3 | 18.80 | 37.7 | 49.86 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 31.07 | 62.3 | 18.80 | 37.7 | 49.86 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40+43.407 | 47+27.407 | Warning: for segment \#1 ( $40+43.407$ to 47+27.407 ), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| $40+43.407$ | 47+27.407 | Warning: for segment \#1 ( $40+43.407$ to 47+27.407), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 50+57.407 | 50+71.407 | Information: for segment \#3 ( $50+57.407$ to $50+71.407$ ), Right shoulder width ( 14.73 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 50+71.407 | 50+98.407 | Information: for segment \#4 ( $50+71.407$ to $50+98.407$ ), Right shoulder width ( 13.98 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 50+98.407 | 51+26.407 | Information: for segment \#5 ( $50+98.407$ to $51+26.407$ ), Right shoulder width ( 12.98 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 85+91.407 | 89+57.407 | Information: for segment \#23 ( $85+91.407$ to $89+57.407$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 89+57.407 | 96+89.407 | Information: for segment \#24 (89+57.407 to $96+89.407$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 96+89.407 | 100+55.407 | Information: for segment \#25 ( $96+89.407$ to $100+55.407$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 100+55.407 | 109+64.841 | Warning: for segment \#26 ( $100+55.407$ to 109+64.841), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 100+55.407 | 109+64.841 | Warning: for segment \#26 ( $100+55.407$ to 109+64.841), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 47+27.407 | 50+57.407 | Warning: for segment \#2 $(47+27.407$ to $50+57.407)$, traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 50+57.407 | 50+71.407 | Warning: for segment \#3 ( $50+57.407$ to $50+71.407$ ), traffic volume $(48,700 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 50+71.407 | 50+98.407 | Warning: for segment \#4 ( $50+71.407$ to $50+98.407$ ), traffic volume $(48,700 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 50+98.407 | 51+26.407 | Warning: for segment \#5 ( $50+98.407$ to $51+26.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $51+26.407$ | 51+53.407 | Warning: for segment \#6 $(51+26.407$ to $51+53.407)$, traffic volume $(48,700 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $51+53.407$ | 51+80.407 | Warning: for segment \#7 ( $51+53.407$ to $51+80.407$ ), traffic volume $(48,700 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $51+80.407$ | 52+08.407 | Warning: for segment \#8 $(51+80.407$ to $52+08.407)$, traffic volume $(48,700 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 52+08.407 | 52+35.407 | Warning: for segment \#9 ( $52+08.407$ to $52+35.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 52+35.407 | 56+38.407 | Warning: for segment \#10 $(52+35.407$ to $56+38.407)$, traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $56+38.407$ | 63+93.320 | Warning: for segment \#11 ( $56+38.407$ to $63+93.320$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $63+93.320$ | 64+16.407 | Warning: for segment \#12 ( $63+93.320$ to $64+16.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 64+16.407 | 64+62.407 | Warning: for segment \#13 ( $64+16.407$ to $64+62.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 64+62.407 | 65+99.407 | Warning: for segment \#14 ( $64+62.407$ to $65+99.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 65+99.407 | 67+36.407 | Warning: for segment \#15 ( $65+99.407$ to $67+36.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 67+36.407 | 68+04.420 | Warning: for segment \#16 ( $67+36.407$ to $68+04.420$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 68+04.420 | 71+75.407 | Warning: for segment \#17 ( $68+04.420$ to $71+75.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 71+75.407 | 79+17.407 | Warning: for segment \#18 ( $71+75.407$ to $79+17.407$ ), traffic volume $(48,700 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 79+17.407 | 82+87.320 | Warning: for segment \#19 ( $79+17.407$ to $82+87.320$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $82+87.320$ | 83+63.407 | Warning: for segment \#20 ( $82+87.320$ to $83+63.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $83+63.407$ | 85+15.407 | Warning: for segment \#21 ( $83+63.407$ to $85+15.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $85+15.407$ | 85+91.407 | Warning: for segment \#22 ( $85+15.407$ to $85+91.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $85+91.407$ | 89+57.407 | Warning: for segment \#23 ( $85+91.407$ to $89+57.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 89+57.407 | 96+89.407 | Warning: for segment \#24 ( $89+57.407$ to $96+89.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 96+89.407 | 100+55.407 | Warning: for segment \#25 ( $96+89.407$ to $100+55.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
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## Report Overview
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## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 2
Evaluation Start Location: 56.780
Evaluation End Location: 18+82.428
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: EX_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Location (Sta. <br> ft) | End Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (ft) | Length <br> (mi) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp <br> Exit | Urban | 56.780 | $3+96.230$ | 339.45 | 0.0643 |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 0.3458 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 22,500 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 1.98 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 0.94 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 1.04 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 47 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 53 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 5.7220 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 2.7048 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 3.0172 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 2.84 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.70 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.33 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.37 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway
Ramp Sections)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion veh- mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 56.780 | $3+96.230$ | 0.0643 | 0.496 | 0.4956 | 0.2194 | 0.2762 | 7.7086 | 0.94 |
| 2 | $3+96.230$ | 6+44.340 | 0.0470 | 0.373 | 0.3731 | 0.1648 | 0.2083 | 7.9399 | 0.97 |
| 3 | $6+44.340$ | 6+70.780 | 0.0050 | 0.035 | 0.0346 | 0.0207 | 0.0139 | 6.9102 | 0.84 |
| 4 | 6+70.780 | 7+21.780 | 0.0097 | 0.056 | 0.0563 | 0.0301 | 0.0262 | 5.8298 | 0.71 |
| 5 | 7+21.780 | 7+47.780 | 0.0049 | 0.028 | 0.0276 | 0.0146 | 0.0131 | 5.6114 | 0.68 |
| 6 | 7+47.780 | 7+72.780 | 0.0047 | 0.026 | 0.0259 | 0.0136 | 0.0124 | 5.4718 | 0.67 |
| 7 | 7+72.780 | 8+24.780 | 0.0098 | 0.052 | 0.0519 | 0.0268 | 0.0251 | 5.2683 | 0.64 |
| 8 | $8+24.780$ | 8+75.780 | 0.0097 | 0.048 | 0.0484 | 0.0246 | 0.0238 | 5.0092 | 0.61 |
| 9 | $8+75.780$ | 9+27.780 | 0.0098 | 0.047 | 0.0469 | 0.0234 | 0.0235 | 4.7643 | 0.58 |
| 10 | 9+27.780 | 9+52.780 | 0.0047 | 0.022 | 0.0217 | 0.0107 | 0.0110 | 4.5900 | 0.56 |
| 11 | $9+52.780$ | 9+78.780 | 0.0049 | 0.022 | 0.0221 | 0.0108 | 0.0113 | 4.4786 | 0.55 |
| 12 | 9+78.780 | 10+29.780 | 0.0097 | 0.043 | 0.0429 | 0.0206 | 0.0223 | 4.4413 | 0.54 |
| 13 | 10+29.780 | $10+55.330$ | 0.0048 | 0.021 | 0.0210 | 0.0099 | 0.0110 | 4.3316 | 0.53 |
| 14 | 10+55.330 | $14+29.780$ | 0.0709 | 0.328 | 0.3283 | 0.1593 | 0.1690 | 4.6291 | 0.56 |
| 15 | $14+29.780$ | $18+82.428$ | 0.0857 | 0.382 | 0.3822 | 0.1861 | 0.1961 | 4.4582 | 0.54 |
| Total |  |  | 0.3458 | 1.978 | 1.9785 | 0.9352 | 1.0432 | 5.7220 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Start } \\ \text { Location } \\ \text { (Sta. ft) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { End } \\ \text { Location } \\ \text { (Sta. ft) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Length } \\ \text { (mi) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Predicted } \\ \text { Crashes for } \\ \text { Evaluation } \\ \text { Period }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { Total Crash } \\ \text { Frequency } \\ \text { (crashes/yr) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { FI Crash } \\ \text { Frequency } \\ \text { (crashes/yr) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { PDO Crash } \\ \text { Frequency } \\ \text { (crashes/yr) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { Crash Rate } \\ \text { (crashes/micted } \\ \text { (yr) } \\ \text { Travel }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crash Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (crashes/mi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| llion veh- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 1.98 | 0.94 | 47.270 | 1.04 | 52.730 |
| Total | 1.98 | 0.94 | 47.270 | 1.04 | 52.730 |
| Average | 1.98 | 0.94 | 47.270 | 1.04 | 52.730 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

## Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury <br> (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | No Injury <br> (O) Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0065 | 0.0198 | 0.0669 | 0.1261 | 0.2762 |
| 2 | 0.0049 | 0.0149 | 0.0020 | 0.0503 | 0.0948 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.9 | 0.02 | 1.1 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.60 | 30.5 | 0.59 | 29.9 | 1.19 | 60.4 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.04 | 2.2 | 0.12 | 5.8 | 0.16 | 8.0 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.17 | 8.8 | 0.09 | 4.5 | 0.26 | 13.2 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.01 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.03 | 1.3 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.84 | 42.2 | 0.82 | 41.7 | 1.66 | 84.0 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.4 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.1 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.4 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.07 | 3.8 | 0.15 | 7.6 | 0.23 | 11.4 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.02 | 0.9 | 0.06 | 2.9 | 0.08 | 3.8 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.10 | 5.0 | 0.22 | 11.0 | 0.32 | 16.0 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 0.94 | 47.3 | 1.04 | 52.7 | 1.98 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 0.94 | 47.3 | 1.04 | 52.7 | 1.98 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14+29.780 | 18+82.428 | Information: for segment \#15 (14+29.780 to $18+82.428$ ), Left shoulder width $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 6+44.340 | 6+70.780 | Warning: for segment \#3 ( $6+44.340$ to $6+70.780$ ), traffic volume $(22,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 6+70.780 | $7+21.780$ | Warning: for segment \#4 ( $6+70.780$ to $7+21.780$ ), traffic volume $(22,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 7+21.780 | 7+47.780 | Warning: for segment \#5 $(7+21.780$ to $7+47.780)$, traffic volume $(22,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 7+47.780 | 7+72.780 | Warning: for segment \#6 ( $7+47.780$ to $7+72.780$ ), traffic volume $(22,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 7+72.780 | 8+24.780 | Warning: for segment \#7(7+72.780 to $8+24.780)$, traffic volume $(22,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 8+24.780 | 8+75.780 | Warning: for segment \#8 ( $8+24.780$ to $8+75.780$ ), traffic volume $(22,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 8+75.780 | 9+27.780 | Warning: for segment \#9 $(8+75.780$ to $9+27.780)$, traffic volume $(22,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 9+27.780 | 9+52.780 | Warning: for segment \#10 ( $9+27.780$ to $9+52.780$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| $9+52.780$ | 9+78.780 | Warning: for segment \#11 ( $9+52.780$ to $9+78.780$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 9+78.780 | 10+29.780 | Warning: for segment \#12 $(9+78.780$ to $10+29.780)$, traffic volume $(22,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 10+29.780 | 10+55.330 | Warning: for segment \#13 $(10+29.780$ to $10+55.330)$, traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 10+55.330 | 14+29.780 | Warning: for segment \#14 ( $10+55.330$ to $14+29.780$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| $14+29.780$ | 18+82.428 | Warning: for segment \#15 ( $14+29.780$ to $18+82.428$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
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## Report Overview

## Report Generated: Mar 8, 2023 9:11 AM

Report Template: System: Single Page [System] (mlcpm3, Mar 7, 2023 12:48 PM)

Evaluation Date: Wed Mar 08 09:11:52 MST 2023
IHSDM Version: v17.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)
Crash Prediction Module: v12.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)

User Name: Jordan Rae Aguirre
Organization Name: Jacobs Engineering
Phone: 5307019417
E-Mail: jordanrae.aguirre@jacobs.com

Project Title: I-10/101L - Alternatives Analysis - Extended 91st Ave Ramp
Project Comment: Created using wizard
Project Unit System: U.S. Customary

Highway Title: Alignment 91st_Conn
Highway Comment: Imported from 91st_Conn_022823.xml
Highway Version: 1

Evaluation Title: Evaluation 1
Evaluation Comment: Created Wed Mar 08 09:11:40 MST 2023

Minimum Location: 0.000
Maximum Location: 103+51.907

Policy for Superelevation: AASHTO 2011 U.S. Customary
Calibration: HSM Configuration
Crash Distribution: HSM Configuration
Model/CMF: HSM Configuration

First Year of Analysis: 2050
Last Year of Analysis: 2050
Empirical-Bayes Analysis: None
First Year of Observed Crashes:
Last Year of Observed Crashes:

## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 1
Evaluation Start Location: 0.000
Evaluation End Location: 103+51.907
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: ENT_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; ENT_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; ENT_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0;
ENT_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Type | Area Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 0.000 | 2+69.000 | 269.00 | 0.0509 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 2+69.000 | $3+03.340$ | 34.34 | 0.0065 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $3+03.340$ | $6+16.000$ | 312.66 | 0.0592 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $6+16.000$ | $8+07.000$ | 191.00 | 0.0362 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 8+07.000 | 10+75.030 | 268.03 | 0.0508 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 10+75.030 | 13+82.000 | 306.97 | 0.0581 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $13+82.000$ | $21+46.870$ | 764.87 | 0.1449 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $21+46.870$ | 49+96.000 | 2,849.13 | 0.5396 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $49+96.000$ | 54+47.010 | 451.01 | 0.0854 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 54+47.010 | $56+02.000$ | 154.99 | 0.0294 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $56+02.000$ | 90+55.880 | 3,453.88 | 0.6541 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $90+55.880$ | 97+04.000 | 648.12 | 0.1227 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 97+04.000 | 97+25.000 | 21.00 | 0.0040 | 2050: 5,800 |
| 14 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $97+25.000$ | $103+51.907$ | 626.91 | 0.1187 | 2050: 5,800 |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 1.9606 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 5,800 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 5.63 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 2.32 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 3.31 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 41 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 59 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 2.8713 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.1847 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.6866 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 4.15 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 1.36 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.56 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.80 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway
Ramp Sections)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (mi) } \end{gathered}$ | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.000 | 2+69.000 | 0.0509 | 0.119 | 0.1188 | 0.0360 | 0.0828 | 2.3314 | 1.10 |
| 2 | 2+69.000 | $3+03.340$ | 0.0065 | 0.015 | 0.0153 | 0.0047 | 0.0106 | 2.3497 | 1.11 |
| 3 | $3+03.340$ | $6+16.000$ | 0.0592 | 0.138 | 0.1383 | 0.0424 | 0.0959 | 2.3353 | 1.10 |
| 4 | $6+16.000$ | $8+07.000$ | 0.0362 | 0.088 | 0.0882 | 0.0308 | 0.0575 | 2.4390 | 1.15 |
| 5 | $8+07.000$ | 10+75.030 | 0.0508 | 0.162 | 0.1622 | 0.0580 | 0.1042 | 3.1944 | 1.51 |
| 6 | 10+75.030 | $13+82.000$ | 0.0581 | 0.198 | 0.1975 | 0.0709 | 0.1266 | 3.3977 | 1.60 |
| 7 | $13+82.000$ | $21+46.870$ | 0.1449 | 0.516 | 0.5161 | 0.1860 | 0.3301 | 3.5627 | 1.68 |
| 8 | $21+46.870$ | 49+96.000 | 0.5396 | 1.748 | 1.7483 | 0.6824 | 1.0659 | 3.2399 | 1.53 |
| 9 | $49+96.000$ | $54+47.010$ | 0.0854 | 0.201 | 0.2014 | 0.1003 | 0.1011 | 2.3577 | 1.11 |
| 10 | $54+47.010$ | 56+02.000 | 0.0294 | 0.070 | 0.0699 | 0.0317 | 0.0382 | 2.3808 | 1.12 |
| 11 | 56+02.000 | 90+55.880 | 0.6541 | 1.775 | 1.7753 | 0.7929 | 0.9824 | 2.7140 | 1.28 |
| 12 | 90+55.880 | 97+04.000 | 0.1227 | 0.290 | 0.2899 | 0.1310 | 0.1588 | 2.3614 | 1.11 |
| 13 | 97+04.000 | 97+25.000 | 0.0040 | 0.009 | 0.0091 | 0.0044 | 0.0047 | 2.2914 | 1.08 |
| 14 | $97+25.000$ | $103+51.907$ | 0.1187 | 0.299 | 0.2992 | 0.1513 | 0.1479 | 2.5199 | 1.19 |
| Total |  |  | 1.9606 | 5.629 | 5.6294 | 2.3228 | 3.3066 | 2.8713 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | Ltart <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (mi) | Total <br> Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr <br> ) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr <br> ) | Predicted <br> PDO Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr <br> ) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> /yr) | Predicted <br> Travel <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> llion veh- <br> mi) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Simple Curve 1 | 0.000 | $3+00.000$ | 0.0568 | 0.133 | 0.1326 | 0.0402 | 0.0924 | 2.3333 | 1.10 |
| Tangent | $3+00.000$ | $5+89.093$ | 0.0548 | 0.128 | 0.1279 | 0.0392 | 0.0886 | 2.3355 | 1.10 |
| Simple Curve 2 | $5+89.093$ | $10+00.214$ | 0.0779 | 0.217 | 0.2170 | 0.0762 | 0.1408 | 2.7872 | 1.32 |
| Tangent | $10+00.214$ | $12+36.223$ | 0.0447 | 0.149 | 0.1490 | 0.0534 | 0.0956 | 3.3332 | 1.57 |
| Simple Curve 3 | $12+36.223$ | $20+11.853$ | 0.1469 | 0.519 | 0.5188 | 0.1868 | 0.3320 | 3.5317 | 1.67 |
| Tangent | $20+11.853$ | $22+04.853$ | 0.0366 | 0.127 | 0.1267 | 0.0467 | 0.0800 | 3.4658 | 1.64 |
| Simple Curve 4 | $22+04.853$ | $25+04.853$ | 0.0568 | 0.184 | 0.1841 | 0.0719 | 0.1122 | 3.2399 | 1.53 |
| Tangent | $25+04.853$ | $26+99.496$ | 0.0369 | 0.119 | 0.1194 | 0.0466 | 0.0728 | 3.2399 | 1.53 |
| Simple Curve 5 | $26+99.496$ | $30+06.981$ | 0.0582 | 0.189 | 0.1887 | 0.0736 | 0.1150 | 3.2399 | 1.53 |
| Tangent | $30+06.981$ | $36+92.412$ | 0.1298 | 0.421 | 0.4206 | 0.1642 | 0.2564 | 3.2399 | 1.53 |
| Simple Curve 6 | $36+92.412$ | $43+19.014$ | 0.1187 | 0.385 | 0.3845 | 0.1501 | 0.2344 | 3.2399 | 1.53 |
| Simple Curve 7 | $43+19.014$ | $54+66.483$ | 0.2173 | 0.626 | 0.6256 | 0.2665 | 0.3591 | 2.8786 | 1.36 |
| Tangent | $54+66.483$ | $61+12.539$ | 0.1224 | 0.324 | 0.3235 | 0.1449 | 0.1786 | 2.6441 | 1.25 |
| Simple Curve 8 | $61+12.539$ | $66+12.539$ | 0.0947 | 0.257 | 0.2570 | 0.1148 | 0.1422 | 2.7140 | 1.28 |
| Tangent | $66+12.539$ | $70+83.900$ | 0.0893 | 0.242 | 0.2423 | 0.1082 | 0.1341 | 2.7140 | 1.28 |
| Simple Curve 9 | $70+83.900$ | $90+09.105$ | 0.3646 | 0.990 | 0.9896 | 0.4420 | 0.5476 | 2.7140 | 1.28 |
| Tangent | $90+09.105$ | $91+93.712$ | 0.0350 | 0.086 | 0.0857 | 0.0386 | 0.0471 | 2.4507 | 1.16 |
| Simple Curve 10 | $91+93.712$ | $96+19.913$ | 0.0807 | 0.191 | 0.1906 | 0.0862 | 0.1045 | 2.3614 | 1.11 |
| Tangent | $96+19.913$ | $100+23.855$ | 0.0765 | 0.189 | 0.1894 | 0.0936 | 0.0958 | 2.4750 | 1.17 |
| Simple Curve 11 | $100+23.855$ | $103+51.907$ | 0.0621 | 0.157 | 0.1566 | 0.0792 | 0.0774 | 2.5199 | 1.19 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 5.63 | 2.32 | 41.262 | 3.31 | 58.738 |
| Total | 5.63 | 2.32 | 41.262 | 3.31 | 58.738 |
| Average | 5.63 | 2.32 | 41.262 | 3.31 | 58.738 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury <br> (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | No Injury <br> (O) Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.0006 | 0.0019 | 0.0100 | 0.0234 | 0.0828 |
| 2 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0013 | 0.0030 | 0.0106 |
| 3 | 0.0007 | 0.0022 | 0.0118 | 0.0276 | 0.0959 |
| 4 | 0.0005 | 0.0016 | 0.0085 | 0.0201 | 0.0575 |
| 5 | 0.0008 | 0.0026 | 0.0140 | 0.0406 | 0.1042 |
| 6 | 0.0010 | 0.0031 | 0.0171 | 0.0496 | 0.1266 |
| 7 | 0.0027 | 0.0082 | 0.0449 | 0.1301 | 0.3301 |
| 8 | 0.0100 | 0.0303 | 0.1646 | 0.4775 | 1.0659 |
| 9 | 0.0019 | 0.0057 | 0.0369 | 0.0559 | 0.1011 |
| 10 | 0.0005 | 0.0015 | 0.0103 | 0.0194 | 0.0382 |
| 11 | 0.0127 | 0.0385 | 0.2577 | 0.4840 | 0.9824 |
| 12 | 0.0021 | 0.0064 | 0.0426 | 0.0800 | 0.1588 |
| 13 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0014 | 0.0027 | 0.0047 |
| 14 | 0.0028 | 0.0085 | 0.0557 | 0.0843 | 0.1479 |
| $T 0 t a l$ | 0.0366 | 0.110 | 0.6769 | 1.4983 | 3.3066 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.9 | 0.06 | 1.0 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 1.30 | 23.1 | 1.67 | 29.6 | 2.97 | 52.7 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.09 | 1.6 | 0.32 | 5.8 | 0.42 | 7.4 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.38 | 6.7 | 0.25 | 4.4 | 0.62 | 11.1 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.03 | 0.5 | 0.04 | 0.7 | 0.06 | 1.1 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 1.80 | 32.0 | 2.33 | 41.4 | 4.13 | 73.4 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.02 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 0.3 | 0.03 | 0.6 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.1 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.02 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 0.4 | 0.04 | 0.7 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.39 | 6.9 | 0.68 | 12.0 | 1.06 | 18.9 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.09 | 1.7 | 0.26 | 4.6 | 0.35 | 6.3 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.52 | 9.2 | 0.98 | 17.4 | 1.50 | 26.6 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 2.32 | 41.3 | 3.31 | 58.7 | 5.63 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 2.32 | 41.3 | 3.31 | 58.7 | 5.63 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

## Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 2+69.000 | Information: for segment \#1 (0.000 to $2+69.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $2+69.000$ | $3+03.340$ | Information: for segment \#2 ( $2+69.000$ to $3+03.340$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $97+25.000$ | 103+51.907 | Information: for segment \#14 ( $97+25.000$ to $103+51.907$ ), Right shoulder width $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |

# Alternative 4 Slip Ramp <br> IHSDM Analysis Results 

# Interactive Highway Safety Design Model 

## Crash Prediction Evaluation Report

## Disclaimer

The Interactive Highway Design Model (IHSDM) software is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its content or use thereof. This document does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.
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## Report Overview

## Report Generated: Mar 22, 2023 12:11 PM

Report Template: System: Single Page [System] (mlcpm3, Mar 7, 2023 12:48 PM)

Evaluation Date: Wed Mar 22 12:11:13 MST 2023
IHSDM Version: v17.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)
Crash Prediction Module: v12.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)

User Name: Jordan Rae Aguirre
Organization Name: Jacobs Engineering
Phone: 5307019417
E-Mail: jordanrae.aguirre@jacobs.com

Project Title: I-10/101L - Alternatives Analysis - Slip Ramp
Project Comment: Created using wizard
Project Unit System: U.S. Customary

Highway Title: Alignment Median SR 101
Highway Comment: Imported from Median SR 101.xml
Highway Version: 2

Evaluation Title: Evaluation 15
Evaluation Comment: Created Wed Mar 22 12:04:36 MST 2023

Minimum Location: 138+40.440
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Policy for Superelevation: AASHTO 2011 U.S. Customary
Calibration: HSM Configuration
Crash Distribution: HSM Configuration
Model/CMF: HSM Configuration

First Year of Analysis: 2050
Last Year of Analysis: 2050
Empirical-Bayes Analysis: None
First Year of Observed Crashes:
Last Year of Observed Crashes:

## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Section 2 Evaluation

Section: Section 2
Evaluation Start Location: 138+40.440
Evaluation End Location: 236+00.000
Functional Class: Freeway
Type of Alignment: Divided, Multilane
Model Category: Freeway Segment
Calibration Factor: FI_EN=1.0; FI_EX=1.0; FI_MV=1.0; FI_SV=1.0; PDO_EN=1.0; PDO_EX=1.0; PDO_MV=1.0;
PDO_SV=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Section 2)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Section 2)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{mi}) \end{gathered}$ | AADT | Median Width (ft) | Type | Effective Median Width (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Four-lane Freeway | Urban | $138+40.440$ | $138+91.440$ | 51.00 | 0.0097 | 2050: 203,200 | 41.71 | Non-Traversable Median | 56.96 |
| 2 | Four-lane Freeway | Urban | $138+91.440$ | 139+84.440 | 93.00 | 0.0176 | 2050: 203,200 | 43.73 | Non-Traversable Median | 59.69 |
| 3 | Four-lane Freeway | Urban | $139+84.440$ | $139+91.440$ | 7.00 | 0.0013 | 2050: 203,200 | 45.12 | Non-Traversable Median | 61.58 |
| 4 | Four-lane Freeway | Urban | 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | 16.00 | 0.0030 | 2050: 203,200 | 45.45 | Non-Traversable Median | 62.01 |
| 5 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $140+07.440$ | $140+43.440$ | 36.00 | 0.0068 | 2050: 203,200 | 46.17 | Non-Traversable Median | 63.00 |
| 6 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $140+43.440$ | $140+91.440$ | 48.00 | 0.0091 | 2050: 203,200 | 47.35 | Non-Traversable Median | 64.59 |
| 7 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $140+91.440$ | $141+92.440$ | 101.00 | 0.0191 | 2050: 203,200 | 49.43 | Non-Traversable Median | 67.41 |
| 8 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $141+92.440$ | $142+46.440$ | 54.00 | 0.0102 | 2050: 203,200 | 51.60 | Non-Traversable Median | 70.34 |
| 9 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $142+46.440$ | 142+70.440 | 24.00 | 0.0045 | 2050: 203,200 | 52.69 | Non-Traversable Median | 72.81 |
| 10 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | 142+70.440 | $143+41.440$ | 71.00 | 0.0134 | 2050: 203,200 | 54.01 | Non-Traversable Median | 75.63 |
| 11 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $143+41.440$ | $144+40.440$ | 99.00 | 0.0187 | 2050: 203,200 | 56.39 | Non-Traversable Median | 78.88 |
| 12 | Six-lane Freeway | Urban | $144+40.440$ | $145+47.440$ | 107.00 | 0.0203 | 2050: 203,200 | 59.27 | Non-Traversable Median | 82.83 |
| 13 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $145+47.440$ | $146+07.400$ | 59.96 | 0.0114 | 2050: 203,200 | 61.60 | Non-Traversable Median | 86.03 |
| 14 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 146+07.400 | $146+58.500$ | 51.10 | 0.0097 | 2050: 203,200 | 63.16 | Non-Traversable Median | 87.50 |
| 15 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $146+58.500$ | $146+99.440$ | 40.94 | 0.0078 | 2050: 203,200 | 64.44 | Non-Traversable Median | 87.96 |
| 16 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $146+99.440$ | 147+04.440 | 5.00 | 0.0009 | 2050: 203,200 | 65.09 | Non-Traversable Median | 88.13 |
| 17 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 147+04.440 | $147+52.560$ | 48.12 | 0.0091 | 2050: 203,200 | 65.83 | Non-Traversable Median | 88.33 |
| 18 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $147+52.560$ | $148+46.440$ | 93.88 | 0.0178 | 2050: 203,200 | 67.81 | Non-Traversable Median | 89.85 |
| 19 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | 211.00 | 0.0400 | 2050: 203,200 | 72.08 | Non-Traversable Median | 94.22 |
| 20 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 150+57.440 | $151+11.980$ | 54.54 | 0.0103 | 2050: 203,200 | 75.79 | Non-Traversable Median | 98.03 |
| 21 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $151+11.980$ | $154+14.440$ | 302.46 | 0.0573 | 2050: 228,000 | 80.78 | Non-Traversable Median | 103.14 |
| 22 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $154+14.440$ | $156+77.440$ | 263.00 | 0.0498 | 2050: 228,000 | 88.68 | Non-Traversable Median | 111.25 |
| 23 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $156+77.440$ | $157+72.440$ | 95.00 | 0.0180 | 2050: 228,000 | 93.69 | Non-Traversable Median | 116.38 |
| 24 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 157+72.440 | $161+30.440$ | 358.00 | 0.0678 | 2050: 228,000 | 100.02 | Non-Traversable Median | 122.88 |
| 25 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $161+30.440$ | $161+52.440$ | 22.00 | 0.0042 | 2050: 228,000 | 105.33 | Non-Traversable Median | 128.32 |


| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT | Median <br> Width (ft) | Type | Effective Median Width (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $161+52.440$ | $161+94.440$ | 42.00 | 0.0080 | 2050: 228,000 | 105.72 | Non-Traversable Median | 128.33 |
| 27 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $161+94.440$ | $164+83.440$ | 289.00 | 0.0547 | 2050: 228,000 | 99.98 | Non-Traversable Median | 123.12 |
| 28 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $164+83.440$ | $169+45.440$ | 462.00 | 0.0875 | 2050: 228,000 | 87.66 | Non-Traversable Median | 113.39 |
| 29 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $169+45.440$ | $169+84.440$ | 39.00 | 0.0074 | 2050: 228,000 | 84.77 | Non-Traversable Median | 108.35 |
| 30 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $169+84.440$ | $170+94.370$ | 109.93 | 0.0208 | 2050: 228,000 | 83.91 | Non-Traversable Median | 107.54 |
| 31 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $170+94.370$ | $171+35.440$ | 41.07 | 0.0078 | 2050: 228,000 | 83.04 | Non-Traversable Median | 106.37 |
| 32 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $171+35.440$ | $172+54.440$ | 119.00 | 0.0225 | 2050: 228,000 | 82.12 | Non-Traversable Median | 104.10 |
| 33 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $172+54.440$ | $173+73.440$ | 119.00 | 0.0225 | 2050: 228,000 | 80.74 | Non-Traversable Median | 100.73 |
| 34 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $173+73.440$ | $174+92.440$ | 119.00 | 0.0225 | 2050: 228,000 | 79.37 | Non-Traversable Median | 97.37 |
| 35 | Nine-lane Freeway | Urban | $174+92.440$ | 175+53.460 | 61.02 | 0.0116 | 2050: 228,000 | 78.33 | Non-Traversable Median | 86.82 |
| 36 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | 116.98 | 0.0222 | 2050: 196,100 | 76.47 | Non-Traversable Median | 76.47 |
| 38 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | 96.00 | 0.0182 | 2050: 196,100 | 73.77 | Non-Traversable Median | 73.77 |
| 40 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 177+66.440 | $178+82.440$ | 116.00 | 0.0220 | 2050: 196,100 | 71.07 | Non-Traversable Median | 71.07 |
| 42 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $178+82.440$ | 180+25.440 | 143.00 | 0.0271 | 2050: 196,100 | 67.78 | Non-Traversable Median | 67.78 |
| 44 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | $180+25.440$ | 180+64.440 | 39.00 | 0.0074 | 2050: 196,100 | 65.47 | Non-Traversable Median | 65.47 |
| 45 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | 393.00 | 0.0744 | 2050: 196,100 | 59.98 | Non-Traversable Median | 59.98 |
| 46 | Eight-lane Freeway | Urban | 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | 39.35 | 0.0075 | 2050: 196,100 | 54.49 | Non-Traversable Median | 54.49 |
| 47 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | 157.65 | 0.0299 | 2050: 196,100 | 51.99 | Non-Traversable Median | 44.50 |
| 48 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | 186+54.440 | $190+97.440$ | 443.00 | 0.0839 | 2050: 196,100 | 25.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 28.46 |
| 49 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $190+97.440$ | 197+89.450 | 692.01 | 0.1311 | 2050: 196,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.93 |
| 50 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | 79.99 | 0.0151 | 2050: 196,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.93 |
| 52 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | 613.97 | 0.1163 | 2050: 196,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.94 |
| 54 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $204+83.410$ | $205+18.440$ | 35.03 | 0.0066 | 2050: 223,400 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.94 |
| 55 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $205+18.440$ | 208+29.440 | 311.00 | 0.0589 | 2050: 223,400 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.95 |
| 56 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $208+29.440$ | $213+04.793$ | 475.35 | 0.0900 | 2050: 223,400 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.95 |
| 58 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $213+04.793$ | 214+67.440 | 162.65 | 0.0308 | 2050: 247,300 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.96 |


| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length <br> $(\mathbf{m i})$ | AADT | Median <br> Width (ft) | Effective <br> Median Width <br> (ft) |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $214+67.440$ | $230+25.910$ | $1,558.47$ | 0.2952 | $2050: 247,300$ | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median |  |
| 62 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $230+25.910$ | $231+12.440$ | 86.53 | 0.0164 | $2050: 226,100$ | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median |  |
| 64 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $231+12.440$ | $234+99.370$ | 386.93 | 0.0733 | $2050: 226,100$ | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.98 |
| 66 | Seven-lane Freeway | Urban | $234+99.370$ | $236+00.000$ | 100.63 | 0.0191 | $2050: 226,100$ | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.99 |

Table 2. Evaluation Freeway - Speed Change Lanes (Speed Change)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Ramp Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Location } \\ & \text { (Sta. ft) } \end{aligned}$ | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT | Median <br> Width (ft) | Type | Effective Median Width (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | 116.98 | 0.0222 | 2050: 196,100 | 76.47 | Non-Traversable Median | 76.47 |
| 39 | Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | 96.00 | 0.0182 | 2050: 196,100 | 73.77 | Non-Traversable Median | 73.77 |
| 41 | Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | 177+66.440 | $178+82.440$ | 116.00 | 0.0220 | 2050: 196,100 | 71.07 | Non-Traversable Median | 71.07 |
| 43 | Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | $178+82.440$ | 180+24.760 | 142.32 | 0.0270 | 2050: 196,100 | 67.79 | Non-Traversable Median | 67.79 |
| 51 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Exit | 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | 79.99 | 0.0151 | 2050: 196,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.93 |
| 53 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Exit | 198+69.440 | $204+83.410$ | 613.97 | 0.1163 | 2050: 196,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.94 |
| 57 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Exit | $213+04.790$ | 213+04.793 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 2050: 223,400 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.95 |
| 59 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Exit | $213+04.793$ | 214+67.440 | 162.65 | 0.0308 | 2050: 247,300 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.96 |
| 61 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Exit | $214+67.440$ | 217+94.930 | 327.49 | 0.0620 | 2050: 247,300 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.96 |
| 63 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | $230+25.910$ | $231+12.440$ | 86.53 | 0.0164 | 2050: 226,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.98 |
| 65 | Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change | Entrance | $231+12.440$ | 234+99.370 | 386.93 | 0.0733 | 2050: 226,100 | 3.00 | Non-Traversable Median | 21.99 |

Table 3. Predicted Freeway Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Section 2)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Effective Length (mi) | 1.6459 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 218,539 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 138.81 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 34.52 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 104.29 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 25 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 75 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 84.3382 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 20.9749 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 63.3633 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 131.28 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 1.06 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.26 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.79 |

Note: Effective Length is the segment length minus the length of the speed change lanes if present.

Table 4. Predicted Freeway Speed Change Lane Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Speed Change)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Length (mi) | 0.4032 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 107,280 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 10.75 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 3.25 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 7.50 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 30 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 70 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 26.6620 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 8.0540 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 18.6080 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 15.79 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.68 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.21 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.47 |

Note: Total Travel and Crash Rates/Million Vehicle Miles for Speed Change Lanes reflect AADTs that are half of the Freeway Segment AADTs based on the assumption of 50/50 directional distribution.

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Freeway Segment/Intersection (Section 2)

| Segment Number/Intersection Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Effective <br> Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted Total Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted FI <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO <br> Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/millio n veh-mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $138+40.440$ | $138+91.440$ | 0.0097 | 1.067 | 1.0675 | 0.2413 | 0.8261 | 110.5169 | 1.49 |
| 2 | $138+91.440$ | $139+84.440$ | 0.0176 | 1.909 | 1.9086 | 0.4362 | 1.4724 | 108.3590 | 1.46 |
| 3 | $139+84.440$ | $139+91.440$ | 0.0013 | 0.142 | 0.1416 | 0.0324 | 0.1092 | 106.8206 | 1.44 |
| 4 | 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | 0.0030 | 0.320 | 0.3196 | 0.0708 | 0.2489 | 105.4835 | 1.42 |
| 5 | 140+07.440 | $140+43.440$ | 0.0068 | 0.625 | 0.6246 | 0.1461 | 0.4784 | 91.6012 | 1.24 |
| 6 | $140+43.440$ | $140+91.440$ | 0.0091 | 0.811 | 0.8114 | 0.1915 | 0.6199 | 89.2581 | 1.20 |


| Segment Number/Intersection Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Location } \\ & \text { (Sta. ft) } \end{aligned}$ | Effective Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted Total Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted FI Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/millio n veh-mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | 0.0191 | 1.635 | 1.6351 | 0.3960 | 1.2391 | 85.4786 | 1.15 |
| 8 | 141+92.440 | 142+46.440 | 0.0102 | 0.846 | 0.8461 | 0.2101 | 0.6361 | 82.7341 | 1.11 |
| 9 | 142+46.440 | 142+70.440 | 0.0045 | 0.386 | 0.3857 | 0.0950 | 0.2907 | 84.8506 | 1.14 |
| 10 | 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | 0.0134 | 1.148 | 1.1483 | 0.2813 | 0.8670 | 85.3921 | 1.15 |
| 11 | 143+41.440 | 144+40.440 | 0.0187 | 1.579 | 1.5793 | 0.3867 | 1.1926 | 84.2278 | 1.14 |
| 12 | 144+40.440 | 145+47.440 | 0.0203 | 1.663 | 1.6627 | 0.3926 | 1.2701 | 82.0467 | 1.11 |
| 13 | 145+47.440 | 146+07.400 | 0.0114 | 0.806 | 0.8063 | 0.2034 | 0.6029 | 71.0060 | 0.96 |
| 14 | 146+07.400 | $146+58.500$ | 0.0097 | 0.686 | 0.6857 | 0.1730 | 0.5127 | 70.8469 | 0.95 |
| 15 | 146+58.500 | 146+99.440 | 0.0078 | 0.550 | 0.5505 | 0.1389 | 0.4116 | 70.9987 | 0.96 |
| 16 | 146+99.440 | 147+04.440 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| 17 | 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | 0.0091 | 0.651 | 0.6513 | 0.1644 | 0.4869 | 71.4626 | 0.96 |
| 18 | 147+52.560 | $148+46.440$ | 0.0178 | 1.253 | 1.2532 | 0.3298 | 0.9234 | 70.4845 | 0.95 |
| 19 | 148+46.440 | 150+57.440 | 0.0400 | 3.280 | 3.2802 | 0.8565 | 2.4238 | 82.0838 | 1.11 |
| 20 | 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | 0.0103 | 0.883 | 0.8826 | 0.2269 | 0.6557 | 85.4464 | 1.15 |
| 21 | 151+11.980 | $154+14.440$ | 0.0573 | 5.764 | 5.7643 | 1.4343 | 4.3300 | 100.6271 | 1.21 |
| 22 | 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | 0.0498 | 4.583 | 4.5835 | 1.1329 | 3.4506 | 92.0176 | 1.11 |
| 23 | 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | 0.0180 | 1.538 | 1.5375 | 0.3780 | 1.1595 | 85.4519 | 1.03 |
| 24 | 157+72.440 | $161+30.440$ | 0.0678 | 5.787 | 5.7872 | 1.4227 | 4.3645 | 85.3535 | 1.03 |
| 25 | 161+30.440 | 161+52.440 | 0.0042 | 0.355 | 0.3554 | 0.0874 | 0.2680 | 85.3010 | 1.02 |
| 26 | 161+52.440 | 161+94.440 | 0.0080 | 0.678 | 0.6785 | 0.1668 | 0.5117 | 85.2972 | 1.02 |
| 27 | 161+94.440 | 164+83.440 | 0.0547 | 4.669 | 4.6693 | 1.1480 | 3.5213 | 85.3074 | 1.02 |
| 28 | $164+83.440$ | 169+45.440 | 0.0875 | 7.446 | 7.4460 | 1.8203 | 5.6257 | 85.0966 | 1.02 |
| 29 | 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | 0.0074 | 0.614 | 0.6139 | 0.1550 | 0.4588 | 83.1081 | 1.00 |
| 30 | 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | 0.0208 | 1.622 | 1.6217 | 0.4432 | 1.1785 | 77.8898 | 0.94 |
| 31 | 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | 0.0078 | 0.609 | 0.6094 | 0.1666 | 0.4429 | 78.3502 | 0.94 |
| 32 | 171+35.440 | 172+54.440 | 0.0225 | 1.793 | 1.7929 | 0.4905 | 1.3024 | 79.5519 | 0.96 |
| 33 | 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | 0.0225 | 1.837 | 1.8372 | 0.5033 | 1.3340 | 81.5171 | 0.98 |
| 34 | 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | 0.0225 | 1.888 | 1.8880 | 0.5177 | 1.3703 | 83.7713 | 1.01 |
| 35 | 174+92.440 | 175+53.460 | 0.0116 | 0.871 | 0.8705 | 0.2556 | 0.6149 | 75.3277 | 0.91 |
| 36 | 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | 0.0111 | 0.613 | 0.6131 | 0.1985 | 0.4146 | 55.3426 | 0.77 |
| 38 | 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | 0.0091 | 0.516 | 0.5165 | 0.1663 | 0.3502 | 56.8193 | 0.79 |
| 40 | 177+66.440 | $178+82.440$ | 0.0110 | 0.651 | 0.6510 | 0.2029 | 0.4481 | 59.2630 | 0.83 |
| 42 | $178+82.440$ | 180+25.440 | 0.0136 | 0.788 | 0.7877 | 0.2293 | 0.5584 | 57.8930 | 0.81 |
| 44 | $180+25.440$ | 180+64.440 | 0.0074 | 0.412 | 0.4123 | 0.1162 | 0.2961 | 55.8179 | 0.78 |
| 45 | 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | 0.0744 | 4.213 | 4.2127 | 1.1815 | 3.0312 | 56.5978 | 0.79 |
| 46 | 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | 0.0075 | 0.428 | 0.4277 | 0.1193 | 0.3084 | 57.3917 | 0.80 |
| 47 | 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | 0.0299 | 1.849 | 1.8488 | 0.4848 | 1.3640 | 61.9207 | 0.86 |
| 48 | 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | 0.0839 | 5.857 | 5.8574 | 1.4543 | 4.4031 | 69.8130 | 0.97 |
| 49 | 190+97.440 | 197+89.450 | 0.1311 | 9.670 | 9.6698 | 2.3658 | 7.3040 | 73.7801 | 1.03 |
| 50 | 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | 0.0076 | 0.599 | 0.5989 | 0.1526 | 0.4463 | 79.0622 | 1.10 |
| 52 | 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | 0.0581 | 4.343 | 4.3434 | 1.1543 | 3.1891 | 74.7041 | 1.04 |
| 54 | 204+83.410 | 205+18.440 | 0.0066 | 0.578 | 0.5782 | 0.1418 | 0.4364 | 87.1560 | 1.07 |
| 55 | 205+18.440 | 208+29.440 | 0.0589 | 5.095 | 5.0951 | 1.2408 | 3.8543 | 86.5018 | 1.06 |
| 56 | 208+29.440 | 213+04.793 | 0.0900 | 7.801 | 7.8011 | 1.9884 | 5.8127 | 86.6511 | 1.06 |
| 58 | 213+04.793 | 214+67.440 | 0.0154 | 1.775 | 1.7750 | 0.4208 | 1.3542 | 115.2410 | 1.28 |
| 60 | 214+67.440 | 230+25.910 | 0.2642 | 27.313 | 27.3134 | 6.2932 | 21.0203 | 103.4003 | 1.15 |
| 62 | 230+25.910 | 231+12.440 | 0.0082 | 0.755 | 0.7546 | 0.1846 | 0.5699 | 92.0870 | 1.12 |
| 64 | 231+12.440 | $234+99.370$ | 0.0366 | 3.585 | 3.5847 | 0.9071 | 2.6777 | 97.8335 | 1.19 |
| 66 | $234+99.370$ | 236+00.000 | 0.0191 | 1.671 | 1.6715 | 0.4240 | 1.2475 | 87.7032 | 1.06 |


| Segment <br> Number/Intersection <br> Name/Cross Road | Start Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Effective <br> Length (mi) | Total Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted FI <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi/yr) | Pravel Crash <br> Rate <br> (crashes/millio <br> n veh-mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  | 1.6459 | 138.809 | 138.8087 | 34.5218 | 104.2869 | 84.3382 | 1.06 |

Note: Effective Length is the segment length minus the length of the speed change lanes if present. This may create Freeway segments with zero effective length and zero crashes.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Freeway Speed Change Lane (Speed Change)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr <br> ) | Predicted FI Crash Frequency (crashes/yr ) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr ) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | 0.0222 | 0.426 | 0.4260 | 0.1329 | 0.2931 | 19.2283 | 0.54 |
| 39 | 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | 0.0182 | 0.352 | 0.3524 | 0.1100 | 0.2424 | 19.3821 | 0.54 |
| 41 | 177+66.440 | 178+82.440 | 0.0220 | 0.432 | 0.4320 | 0.1345 | 0.2976 | 19.6651 | 0.55 |
| 43 | $178+82.440$ | 180+24.760 | 0.0270 | 0.566 | 0.5661 | 0.1724 | 0.3937 | 21.0025 | 0.59 |
| 51 | 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | 0.0151 | 0.338 | 0.3379 | 0.0923 | 0.2456 | 22.3048 | 0.62 |
| 53 | 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | 0.1163 | 2.588 | 2.5877 | 0.7073 | 1.8804 | 22.2536 | 0.62 |
| 57 | 213+04.790 | 213+04.793 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 25.3544 | 0.62 |
| 59 | 213+04.793 | 214+67.440 | 0.0308 | 0.858 | 0.8579 | 0.2396 | 0.6183 | 27.8503 | 0.62 |
| 61 | 214+67.440 | 217+94.930 | 0.0620 | 1.727 | 1.7274 | 0.4825 | 1.2449 | 27.8495 | 0.62 |
| 63 | $230+25.910$ | $231+12.440$ | 0.0164 | 0.633 | 0.6328 | 0.2149 | 0.4179 | 38.6143 | 0.94 |
| 65 | $231+12.440$ | 234+99.370 | 0.0733 | 2.830 | 2.8297 | 0.9610 | 1.8686 | 38.6132 | 0.94 |
| Total |  |  | 0.4032 | 10.750 | 10.7499 | 3.2473 | 7.5026 | 26.6620 | 0.68 |

Note: Travel Crash Rates/Million Vehicle Miles for Speed Change Lanes reflect AADTs that are half of the Freeway Segment AADTs based on the assumption of 50/50 directional distribution.

Table 7. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Section 2)

| Title | Start <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (mi) | Total <br> Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> PDO Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> (yr) | Predicted <br> Travel <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> llion veh- <br> mi) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tangent | $138+40.440$ | $148+95.793$ | 0.1999 | 16.845 | 16.8448 | 4.0900 | 12.7548 | 84.2756 | 1.14 |
| Simple Curve 1 | $148+95.793$ | $168+00.529$ | 0.3607 | 31.882 | 31.8818 | 7.9024 | 23.9793 | 88.3775 | 1.08 |
| Tangent | $168+00.529$ | $178+70.632$ | 0.2027 | 14.450 | 14.4500 | 4.0135 | 10.4365 | 71.2979 | 1.08 |
| Simple Curve 2 | $178+70.632$ | $197+92.177$ | 0.3639 | 23.925 | 23.9247 | 6.1664 | 17.7584 | 65.7401 | 0.98 |
| Tangent | $197+92.177$ | $236+00.000$ | 0.7212 | 62.457 | 62.4573 | 15.5968 | 46.8605 | 86.6045 | 1.43 |

Table 8. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Section 2)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 149.56 | 37.77 | 25.254 | 111.79 | 74.746 |
| Total | 149.56 | 37.77 | 25.254 | 111.79 | 74.746 |
| Average | 149.56 | 37.77 | 25.254 | 111.79 | 74.746 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 9. Predicted Crash Severity by Freeway Segment (Section 2)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) Crashes (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury (C) <br> Crashes (crashes) | No Injury (O) Crashes (crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0041 | 0.0107 | 0.0766 | 0.1499 | 0.8261 |
| 2 | 0.0074 | 0.0194 | 0.1385 | 0.2709 | 1.4724 |
| 3 | 0.0006 | 0.0014 | 0.0103 | 0.0201 | 0.1092 |
| 4 | 0.0012 | 0.0031 | 0.0224 | 0.0440 | 0.2489 |
| 5 | 0.0023 | 0.0061 | 0.0447 | 0.0930 | 0.4784 |
| 6 | 0.0030 | 0.0080 | 0.0585 | 0.1219 | 0.6199 |
| 7 | 0.0063 | 0.0166 | 0.1211 | 0.2520 | 1.2391 |
| 8 | 0.0036 | 0.0093 | 0.0667 | 0.1304 | 0.6361 |
| 9 | 0.0016 | 0.0042 | 0.0301 | 0.0590 | 0.2907 |
| 10 | 0.0048 | 0.0125 | 0.0893 | 0.1747 | 0.8670 |
| 11 | 0.0066 | 0.0172 | 0.1228 | 0.2401 | 1.1926 |
| 12 | 0.0067 | 0.0175 | 0.1246 | 0.2438 | 1.2701 |


| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) Crashes (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury (C) Crashes (crashes) | No Injury (O) Crashes (crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 0.0032 | 0.0085 | 0.0622 | 0.1295 | 0.6029 |
| 14 | 0.0027 | 0.0073 | 0.0529 | 0.1101 | 0.5127 |
| 15 | 0.0022 | 0.0058 | 0.0424 | 0.0884 | 0.4116 |
| 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 17 | 0.0026 | 0.0069 | 0.0502 | 0.1046 | 0.4869 |
| 18 | 0.0052 | 0.0139 | 0.1008 | 0.2099 | 0.9234 |
| 19 | 0.0164 | 0.0439 | 0.2875 | 0.5087 | 2.4238 |
| 20 | 0.0045 | 0.0121 | 0.0774 | 0.1329 | 0.6557 |
| 21 | 0.0284 | 0.0767 | 0.4894 | 0.8399 | 4.3300 |
| 22 | 0.0225 | 0.0606 | 0.3865 | 0.6634 | 3.4506 |
| 23 | 0.0075 | 0.0202 | 0.1290 | 0.2213 | 1.1595 |
| 24 | 0.0282 | 0.0760 | 0.4854 | 0.8330 | 4.3645 |
| 25 | 0.0017 | 0.0047 | 0.0298 | 0.0512 | 0.2680 |
| 26 | 0.0033 | 0.0089 | 0.0569 | 0.0977 | 0.5117 |
| 27 | 0.0227 | 0.0614 | 0.3917 | 0.6722 | 3.5213 |
| 28 | 0.0337 | 0.0904 | 0.6008 | 1.0954 | 5.6257 |
| 29 | 0.0025 | 0.0065 | 0.0474 | 0.0986 | 0.4588 |
| 30 | 0.0076 | 0.0197 | 0.1407 | 0.2752 | 1.1785 |
| 31 | 0.0028 | 0.0074 | 0.0529 | 0.1035 | 0.4429 |
| 32 | 0.0084 | 0.0218 | 0.1557 | 0.3046 | 1.3024 |
| 33 | 0.0086 | 0.0224 | 0.1598 | 0.3125 | 1.3340 |
| 34 | 0.0088 | 0.0230 | 0.1643 | 0.3215 | 1.3703 |
| 35 | 0.0044 | 0.0114 | 0.0814 | 0.1584 | 0.6149 |
| 36 | 0.0042 | 0.0104 | 0.0701 | 0.1138 | 0.4146 |
| 38 | 0.0035 | 0.0087 | 0.0588 | 0.0953 | 0.3502 |
| 40 | 0.0043 | 0.0108 | 0.0722 | 0.1155 | 0.4481 |
| 42 | 0.0054 | 0.0140 | 0.0854 | 0.1245 | 0.5584 |
| 44 | 0.0025 | 0.0066 | 0.0410 | 0.0662 | 0.2961 |
| 45 | 0.0251 | 0.0666 | 0.4172 | 0.6726 | 3.0312 |
| 46 | 0.0025 | 0.0067 | 0.0421 | 0.0679 | 0.3084 |
| 47 | 0.0103 | 0.0273 | 0.1712 | 0.2760 | 1.3640 |
| 48 | 0.0288 | 0.0777 | 0.4962 | 0.8515 | 4.4031 |
| 49 | 0.0469 | 0.1265 | 0.8072 | 1.3853 | 7.3040 |
| 50 | 0.0028 | 0.0072 | 0.0504 | 0.0922 | 0.4463 |
| 52 | 0.0212 | 0.0543 | 0.3802 | 0.6987 | 3.1891 |
| 54 | 0.0026 | 0.0067 | 0.0467 | 0.0859 | 0.4364 |
| 55 | 0.0212 | 0.0552 | 0.3939 | 0.7706 | 3.8543 |
| 56 | 0.0365 | 0.0935 | 0.6549 | 1.2036 | 5.8127 |
| 58 | 0.0077 | 0.0198 | 0.1386 | 0.2547 | 1.3542 |
| 60 | 0.1154 | 0.2958 | 2.0727 | 3.8093 | 21.0203 |
| 62 | 0.0034 | 0.0087 | 0.0608 | 0.1118 | 0.5699 |
| 64 | 0.0166 | 0.0426 | 0.2987 | 0.5490 | 2.6777 |
| 66 | 0.0078 | 0.0199 | 0.1397 | 0.2567 | 1.2475 |
| Total | 0.6450 | 1.6947 | 11.4486 | 20.7335 | 104.2869 |

Table 10. Predicted Crash Severity by Speed Change Lane (Speed Change)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury <br> (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | No Injury <br> (O) Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 37 | 0.0028 | 0.0070 | 0.0470 | 0.0762 | 0.2931 |
| 39 | 0.0023 | 0.0058 | 0.0389 | 0.0630 | 0.2424 |
| 41 | 0.0029 | 0.0072 | 0.0479 | 0.0766 | 0.2976 |
| 43 | 0.0042 | 0.0108 | 0.0650 | 0.0925 | 0.3937 |
| 51 | 0.0015 | 0.0039 | 0.0283 | 0.0586 | 0.2456 |
| 53 | 0.0130 | 0.0332 | 0.2329 | 0.4281 | 1.8804 |
| 57 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 59 | 0.0044 | 0.0227 | 0.0789 | 0.1450 | 0.6183 |
| 61 | 0.0088 | 0.0101 | 0.1589 | 0.2920 | 1.2449 |
| 63 | 0.0039 | 0.0452 | 0.0708 | 0.1301 | 0.4179 |
| 65 | 0.0176 | 0.1570 | 0.3165 | 0.5817 | 1.8686 |
| Total | 0.0614 |  | 1.0850 | 1.9439 | 7.5026 |

Table 11. Predicted Freeway Crash Type Distribution (Section 2)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.04 | 0.0 | 0.60 | 0.4 | 0.64 | 0.5 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 7.28 | 5.2 | 19.63 | 14.1 | 26.91 | 19.4 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.52 | 0.4 | 3.81 | 2.7 | 4.33 | 3.1 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 2.10 | 1.5 | 2.93 | 2.1 | 5.03 | 3.6 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0.44 | 0.3 | 0.59 | 0.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 10.09 | 7.3 | 27.42 | 19.8 | 37.50 | 27.0 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.76 | 0.5 | 1.38 | 1.0 | 2.14 | 1.5 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.20 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0.35 | 0.3 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.76 | 0.5 | 1.84 | 1.3 | 2.60 | 1.9 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 18.32 | 13.2 | 53.04 | 38.2 | 71.37 | 51.4 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 4.40 | 3.2 | 20.45 | 14.7 | 24.85 | 17.9 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 24.43 | 17.6 | 76.87 | 55.4 | 101.31 | 73.0 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 34.52 | 24.9 | 104.29 | 75.1 | 138.81 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 34.52 | 24.9 | 104.29 | 75.1 | 138.81 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 12. Predicted Exit Speed Change Lane Crash Type Distribution (Speed Change)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.03 | 0.5 | 0.03 | 0.5 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.30 | 5.4 | 0.83 | 15.0 | 1.12 | 20.4 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.02 | 0.4 | 0.12 | 2.2 | 0.14 | 2.6 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.07 | 1.4 | 0.09 | 1.7 | 0.17 | 3.0 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.40 | 7.2 | 1.06 | 19.3 | 1.46 | 26.5 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.02 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.9 | 0.07 | 1.2 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 0.3 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.02 | 0.4 | 0.06 | 1.2 | 0.09 | 1.6 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.83 | 15.2 | 2.25 | 40.9 | 3.09 | 56.1 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.24 | 4.4 | 0.55 | 10.0 | 0.79 | 14.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 1.12 | 20.4 | 2.92 | 53.1 | 4.05 | 73.5 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 1.52 | 27.6 | 3.99 | 72.4 | 5.51 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 1.52 | 27.6 | 3.99 | 72.4 | 5.51 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 13. Predicted Entrance Speed Change Lane Crash Type Distribution (Speed Change)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.1 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.34 | 6.4 | 0.45 | 8.7 | 0.79 | 15.0 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.13 | 2.4 | 0.16 | 3.0 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.12 | 2.2 | 0.06 | 1.1 | 0.17 | 3.3 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.3 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.49 | 9.4 | 0.65 | 12.5 | 1.14 | 21.8 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.06 | 1.1 | 0.09 | 1.7 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.2 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.05 | 1.0 | 0.08 | 1.6 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.94 | 17.9 | 1.86 | 35.5 | 2.80 | 53.4 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.23 | 4.4 | 0.89 | 16.9 | 1.11 | 21.3 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 1.24 | 23.6 | 2.86 | 54.6 | 4.09 | 78.2 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 1.73 | 32.9 | 3.51 | 67.1 | 5.24 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 1.73 | 32.9 | 3.51 | 67.1 | 5.24 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

## Table 14. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 138+40.440 | 138+91.440 | Information: for segment \#1 ( $138+40.440$ to $138+91.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.12 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 138+40.440 | 138+91.440 | Information: for segment \#1 ( $138+40.440$ to $138+91.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 138+40.440 | 138+91.440 | Information: for segment \#1 ( $138+40.440$ to $138+91.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 138+91.440 | 139+84.440 | Information: for segment \#2 ( $138+91.440$ to $139+84.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.45 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 138+91.440 | 139+84.440 | Information: for segment \#2 ( $138+91.440$ to $139+84.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 138+91.440 | 139+84.440 | Information: for segment \#2 ( $138+91.440$ to $139+84.440)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Information: for segment \#3 ( $139+84.440$ to $139+91.440$ ), Outside shoulder width ( 3.53 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 4.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Information: for segment \#3 ( $139+84.440$ to $139+91.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.69 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Information: for segment \#3 ( $139+84.440$ to $139+91.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Information: for segment \#3 ( $139+84.440$ to $139+91.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | Information: for segment \#4 (139+91.440 to 140+07.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.74 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | Information: for segment \#4 ( $139+91.440$ to $140+07.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | Information: for segment \#4 ( $139+91.440$ to $140+07.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet ) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Information: for segment \#5 (140+07.440 to $140+43.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Information: for segment $\# 5(140+07.440$ to $140+43.440)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Information: for segment \#5 ( $140+07.440$ to $140+43.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+43.440 | 140+91.440 | Information: for segment \#6 ( $140+43.440$ to $140+91.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+43.440 | 140+91.440 | Information: for segment \#6 ( $140+43.440$ to $140+91.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet ) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140+43.440 | 140+91.440 | Information: for segment \#6 (140+43.440 to 140+91.440), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | Information: for segment \#7(140+91.440 to $141+92.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | Information: for segment \#7(140+91.440 to $141+92.440)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 141+92.440 | 142+46.440 | Information: for segment \#8 ( $141+92.440$ to $142+46.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 141+92.440 | 142+46.440 | Information: for segment \#8 ( $141+92.440$ to $142+46.440)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $142+46.440$ | 142+70.440 | Information: for segment \#9 ( $142+46.440$ to $142+70.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $142+46.440$ | 142+70.440 | Information: for segment \#9 ( $142+46.440$ to $142+70.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Information: for segment \#10 (142+70.440 to $143+41.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Information: for segment \#10 ( $142+70.440$ to $143+41.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Information: for segment \#10 ( $142+70.440$ to $143+41.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $143+41.440$ | 144+40.440 | Information: for segment \#11 ( $143+41.440$ to $144+40.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $143+41.440$ | 144+40.440 | Information: for segment \#11 ( $143+41.440$ to $144+40.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $143+41.440$ | 144+40.440 | Information: for segment \#11 ( $143+41.440$ to $144+40.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $144+40.440$ | 145+47.440 | Information: for segment \#12 ( $144+40.440$ to $145+47.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $144+40.440$ | 145+47.440 | Information: for segment \#12 (144+40.440 to $145+47.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $144+40.440$ | 145+47.440 | Information: for segment \#12 ( $144+40.440$ to $145+47.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 145+47.440 | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 12.21 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $145+47.440$ | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $145+47.440$ | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $145+47.440$ | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $145+47.440$ | 146+07.400 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $145+47.440$ to $146+07.400$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 (146+07.400 to 146+58.500), Inside shoulder width ( 12.17 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 (146+07.400 to $146+58.500$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 (146+07.400 to 146+58.500), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 ( $146+07.400$ to $146+58.500$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+07.400 | 146+58.500 | Information: for segment \#14 ( $146+07.400$ to $146+58.500$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $146+58.500$ | 146+99.440 | Information: for segment \#15 (146+58.500 to $146+99.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $146+58.500$ | 146+99.440 | Information: for segment \#15 ( $146+58.500$ to $146+99.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $146+58.500$ | 146+99.440 | Information: for segment \#15 ( $146+58.500$ to $146+99.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+58.500 | 146+99.440 | Information: for segment \#15 ( $146+58.500$ to $146+99.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+99.440 | 147+04.440 | Information: for segment \#16 ( $146+99.440$ to $147+04.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+99.440 | 147+04.440 | Information: for segment \#16 ( $146+99.440$ to $147+04.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+99.440 | 147+04.440 | Information: for segment \#16 ( $146+99.440$ to $147+04.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 146+99.440 | 147+04.440 | Information: for segment \#16 ( $146+99.440$ to $147+04.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | Information: for segment \#17 ( $147+04.440$ to $147+52.560$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | Information: for segment \#17 ( $147+04.440$ to $147+52.560$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | Information: for segment \#17 (147+04.440 to 147+52.560), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+04.440 | 147+52.560 | Information: for segment \#17 ( $147+04.440$ to $147+52.560$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $147+52.560$ | 148+46.440 | Information: for segment \#18 ( $147+52.560$ to $148+46.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 147+52.560 | 148+46.440 | Information: for segment \#18 ( $147+52.560$ to $148+46.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 147+52.560 | 148+46.440 | Information: for segment \#18 ( $147+52.560$ to $148+46.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | Information: for segment \#19 (148+46.440 to 150+57.440), Effective median width ( 94.22 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | Information: for segment \#19 (148+46.440 to 150+57.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | Information: for segment \#19 (148+46.440 to $150+57.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $148+46.440$ | 150+57.440 | Information: for segment \#19 (148+46.440 to $150+57.440)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | Information: for segment \#20 ( $150+57.440$ to $151+11.980$ ), Effective median width ( 98.03 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | Information: for segment \#20 ( $150+57.440$ to $151+11.980)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | Information: for segment \#20 ( $150+57.440$ to $151+11.980$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet ) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 150+57.440 | 151+11.980 | Information: for segment \#20 ( $150+57.440$ to $151+11.980$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 151+11.980 | 154+14.440 | Information: for segment \#21 ( $151+11.980$ to $154+14.440$ ), Effective median width ( 103.14 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 151+11.980 | 154+14.440 | Information: for segment \#21 ( $151+11.980$ to $154+14.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 151+11.980 | 154+14.440 | Information: for segment \#21 ( $151+11.980$ to $154+14.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 151+11.980 | 154+14.440 | Information: for segment \#21 ( $151+11.980$ to $154+14.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | Information: for segment \#22 ( $154+14.440$ to $156+77.440$ ), Effective median width ( 111.25 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | Information: for segment \#22 (154+14.440 to $156+77.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | Information: for segment \#22 ( $154+14.440$ to $156+77.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 154+14.440 | 156+77.440 | Information: for segment \#22 ( $154+14.440$ to $156+77.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | Information: for segment \#23 ( $156+77.440$ to $157+72.440$ ), Effective median width ( 116.38 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | Information: for segment \#23 ( $156+77.440$ to $157+72.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | Information: for segment \#23 ( $156+77.440$ to $157+72.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet ) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 156+77.440 | 157+72.440 | Information: for segment \#23 ( $156+77.440$ to $157+72.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 157+72.440 | 161+30.440 | Information: for segment \#24 ( $157+72.440$ to $161+30.440$ ), Effective median width ( 122.88 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 157+72.440 | 161+30.440 | Information: for segment \#24 ( $157+72.440$ to $161+30.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 157+72.440 | 161+30.440 | Information: for segment \#24 (157+72.440 to $161+30.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 157+72.440 | 161+30.440 | Information: for segment \#24 ( $157+72.440$ to $161+30.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+30.440 | 161+52.440 | Information: for segment \#25 ( $161+30.440$ to $161+52.440$ ), Effective median width ( 128.32 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+30.440$ | 161+52.440 | Information: for segment $\# 25(161+30.440$ to $161+52.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+30.440$ | 161+52.440 | Information: for segment \#25 ( $161+30.440$ to $161+52.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+30.440$ | 161+52.440 | Information: for segment \#25 ( $161+30.440$ to $161+52.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+52.440 | 161+94.440 | Information: for segment \#26 ( $161+52.440$ to $161+94.440$ ), Effective median width ( 128.33 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+52.440 | 161+94.440 | Information: for segment \#26 ( $161+52.440$ to $161+94.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+52.440$ | 161+94.440 | Information: for segment \#26 ( $161+52.440$ to $161+94.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+52.440$ | 161+94.440 | Information: for segment \#26 ( $161+52.440$ to $161+94.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+94.440$ | 164+83.440 | Information: for segment \#27 ( $161+94.440$ to $164+83.440$ ), Effective median width ( 123.12 feet) is greater than specified boundaries $(90.00$ feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+94.440 | 164+83.440 | Information: for segment \#27 ( $161+94.440$ to $164+83.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $161+94.440$ | 164+83.440 | Information: for segment \#27 ( $161+94.440$ to $164+83.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 161+94.440 | 164+83.440 | Information: for segment \#27 ( $161+94.440$ to $164+83.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Effective median width ( 113.39 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $164+83.440$ | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 (169+45.440 to 169+84.440), Effective median width ( 108.35 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $169+45.440$ | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 (169+45.440 to $169+84.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 (169+45.440 to $169+84.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 ( $169+45.440$ to $169+84.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Effective median width ( 107.54 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Effective median width ( 106.37 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 (170+94.370 to $171+35.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 171+35.440 | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 ( $171+35.440$ to $172+54.440$ ), Effective median width ( 104.10 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $171+35.440$ | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 (171+35.440 to $172+54.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 171+35.440 | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 $(171+35.440$ to $172+54.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 171+35.440 | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 ( $171+35.440$ to $172+54.440)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 ( $172+54.440$ to $173+73.440$ ), Effective median width ( 100.73 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 (172+54.440 to $173+73.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet ) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $164+83.440$ | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 164+83.440 | 169+45.440 | Information: for segment \#28 ( $164+83.440$ to $169+45.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 (169+45.440 to 169+84.440), Effective median width ( 108.35 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $169+45.440$ | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 (169+45.440 to $169+84.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 (169+45.440 to $169+84.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+45.440 | 169+84.440 | Information: for segment \#29 ( $169+45.440$ to $169+84.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Effective median width ( 107.54 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 ( $169+84.440$ to $170+94.370$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Effective median width ( 106.37 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 (170+94.370 to $171+35.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 ( $170+94.370$ to $171+35.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 171+35.440 | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 ( $171+35.440$ to $172+54.440$ ), Effective median width ( 104.10 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $171+35.440$ | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 (171+35.440 to $172+54.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 171+35.440 | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 $(171+35.440$ to $172+54.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 171+35.440 | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 ( $171+35.440$ to $172+54.440)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 ( $172+54.440$ to $173+73.440$ ), Effective median width ( 100.73 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 (172+54.440 to $173+73.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet ) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 (172+54.440 to 173+73.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 ( $172+54.440$ to $173+73.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 (173+73.440 to $174+92.440)$, Effective median width ( 97.37 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 90.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 (173+73.440 to $174+92.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 ( $173+73.440$ to $174+92.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 ( $173+73.440$ to $174+92.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $174+92.440$ | 175+53.460 | Information: for segment \#35 ( $174+92.440$ to $175+53.460$ ), Outside shoulder width ( 3.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 4.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | Information: for segment \#36 ( $175+53.460$ to $176+70.440$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 175+53.460 | 176+70.440 | Information: for segment \#36 ( $175+53.460$ to $176+70.440$ ), Outside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 4.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | Information: for segment \#38 ( $176+70.440$ to $177+66.440$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 176+70.440 | 177+66.440 | Information: for segment \#38 ( $176+70.440$ to $177+66.440$ ), Outside shoulder width ( 2.50 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 4.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 177+66.440 | 178+82.440 | Information: for segment \#40 (177+66.440 to $178+82.440$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 178+82.440 | 180+25.440 | Information: for segment \#42 ( $178+82.440$ to $180+25.440$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $178+82.440$ | 180+25.440 | Information: for segment \#42 ( $178+82.440$ to $180+25.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.50 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+25.440 | 180+64.440 | Information: for segment \#44 ( $180+25.440$ to $180+64.440$ ), Inside shoulder width $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+25.440 | 180+64.440 | Information: for segment \#44 ( $180+25.440$ to $180+64.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+25.440 | 180+64.440 | Information: for segment \#44 ( $180+25.440$ to $180+64.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | Information: for segment \#45 (180+64.440 to 184+57.440), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | Information: for segment \#45 ( $180+64.440$ to $184+57.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 180+64.440 | 184+57.440 | Information: for segment \#45 (180+64.440 to 184+57.440), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | Information: for segment \#46 ( $184+57.440$ to $184+96.790$ ), Inside shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | Information: for segment \#46 ( $184+57.440$ to $184+96.790$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 184+57.440 | 184+96.790 | Information: for segment $\# 46(184+57.440$ to $184+96.790)$, Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | Information: for segment \#47 ( $184+96.790$ to $186+54.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | Information: for segment \#47 ( $184+96.790$ to $186+54.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 ( $186+54.440$ to $190+97.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 (186+54.440 to 190+97.440), Median barrier offset on the left side of roadway from edge of inside traveled way to barrier face ( 9.00 feet) is greater than inside shoulder width plus median width ( 7.50 feet). This indicates there is problem with the input data. |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 ( $186+54.440$ to $190+97.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 ( $186+54.440$ to $190+97.440$ ), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 190+97.440 | 197+89.450 | Information: for segment \#49 (190+97.440 to 197+89.450), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 190+97.440 | 197+89.450 | Information: for segment \#49 ( $190+97.440$ to $197+89.450)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 190+97.440 | 197+89.450 | Information: for segment \#49 (190+97.440 to 197+89.450), Outside barrier distance from edge of outside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | Information: for segment \#50 (197+89.450 to $198+69.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | Information: for segment \#50 (197+89.450 to 198+69.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | Information: for segment \#52 (198+69.440 to 204+83.410), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | Information: for segment \#52 (198+69.440 to 204+83.410), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 204+83.410 | 205+18.440 | Information: for segment \#54 (204+83.410 to 205+18.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 204+83.410 | 205+18.440 | Information: for segment \#54 (204+83.410 to 205+18.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 205+18.440 | 208+29.440 | Information: for segment \#55 (205+18.440 to 208+29.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 205+18.440 | 208+29.440 | Information: for segment \#55 (205+18.440 to 208+29.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208+29.440 | 213+04.793 | Information: for segment \#56 (208+29.440 to 213+04.793), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 208+29.440 | 213+04.793 | Information: for segment \#56 (208+29.440 to 213+04.793), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 213+04.793 | 214+67.440 | Information: for segment \#58 (213+04.793 to 214+67.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 213+04.793 | 214+67.440 | Information: for segment \#58 (213+04.793 to 214+67.440), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 214+67.440 | 230+25.910 | Information: for segment \#60 ( $214+67.440$ to $230+25.910$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 214+67.440 | 230+25.910 | Information: for segment \#60 ( $214+67.440$ to $230+25.910$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $230+25.910$ | 231+12.440 | Information: for segment \#62 ( $230+25.910$ to $231+12.440$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face $(0.00$ feet $)$ is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $230+25.910$ | 231+12.440 | Information: for segment \#62 ( $230+25.910$ to $231+12.440)$, Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $231+12.440$ | 234+99.370 | Information: for segment \#64 ( $231+12.440$ to $234+99.370$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 231+12.440 | 234+99.370 | Information: for segment \#64 (231+12.440 to 234+99.370), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $234+99.370$ | 236+00.000 | Information: for segment \#66 ( $234+99.370$ to $236+00.000$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $234+99.370$ | $236+00.000$ | Information: for segment \#66 ( $234+99.370$ to $236+00.000$ ), Median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | Information: for segment \#51 (197+89.450 to 198+69.440), For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | Information: for segment \#53 (198+69.440 to 204+83.410), For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $213+04.790$ | 213+04.793 | Information: for segment \#57 (213+04.790 to 213+04.793 ), For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $213+04.793$ | 214+67.440 | Information: for segment \#59 (213+04.793 to 214+67.440), For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 214+67.440 | 217+94.930 | Information: for segment \#61 ( $214+67.440$ to $217+94.930$ ), For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $230+25.910$ | 231+12.440 | Information: for segment \#63 ( $230+25.910$ to $231+12.440$ ), For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $231+12.440$ | 234+99.370 | Information: for segment \#65 ( $231+12.440$ to $234+99.370$ ), For Speed Change Lane the median barrier distance from edge of inside shoulder to barrier face ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 0.75 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $138+40.440$ | 138+91.440 | Warning: for segment \#1 ( $138+40.440$ to $138+91.440$ ), traffic volume ( $203,200 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $138+91.440$ | 139+84.440 | Warning: for segment \#2 ( $138+91.440$ to $139+84.440$ ), traffic volume ( $203,200 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 139+84.440 | 139+91.440 | Warning: for segment \#3 ( $139+84.440$ to $139+91.440$ ), traffic volume ( $203,200 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 139+91.440 | 140+07.440 | Warning: for segment \#4 (139+91.440 to 140+07.440), traffic volume (203,200 vpd) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $110,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Warning: for segment \#5 ( $140+07.440$ to $140+43.440$ ), traffic volume $(203,200 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 140+07.440 | 140+43.440 | Warning: for segment \#5 ( $140+07.440$ to $140+43.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 140+43.440 | 140+91.440 | Warning: for segment \#6 ( $140+43.440$ to $140+91.440$ ), traffic volume $(203,200 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4 F |
| 140+43.440 | 140+91.440 | Warning: for segment \#6 ( $140+43.440$ to $140+91.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | Warning: for segment \#7(140+91.440 to $141+92.440)$, traffic volume $(203,200 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 140+91.440 | 141+92.440 | Warning: for segment \#7 ( $140+91.440$ to $141+92.440)$, Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 141+92.440 | 142+46.440 | Warning: for segment \#8 ( $141+92.440$ to $142+46.440$ ), traffic volume $(203,200 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 141+92.440 | 142+46.440 | Warning: for segment \#8 ( $141+92.440$ to $142+46.440)$, Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| $142+46.440$ | 142+70.440 | Warning: for segment \#9 $(142+46.440$ to $142+70.440)$, traffic volume $(203,200 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(110,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| $142+46.440$ | 142+70.440 | Warning: for segment \#9 ( $142+46.440$ to $142+70.440)$, Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Warning: for segment \#10 ( $142+70.440$ to $143+41.440$ ), traffic volume ( $203,200 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $110,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| 142+70.440 | 143+41.440 | Warning: for segment \#10 ( $142+70.440$ to $143+41.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 143+41.440 | 144+40.440 | Warning: for segment \#11 (143+41.440 to $144+40.440$ ), traffic volume ( $203,200 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $110,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| $143+41.440$ | 144+40.440 | Warning: for segment \#11 ( $143+41.440$ to $144+40.440$ ), Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 144+40.440 | 145+47.440 | Warning: for segment \#12 ( $144+40.440$ to $145+47.440$ ), traffic volume ( $203,200 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $110,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 4F |
| $144+40.440$ | 145+47.440 | Warning: for segment \#12 ( $144+40.440$ to $145+47.440)$, Freeway Segment of type 6 F is using unbalanced lane processing with $2+4$ lanes. While results are provided, the HSM specifies that this approach only applies when the number of lanes varies by no more than one lane between the two travel directions. |
| 169+84.440 | 170+94.370 | Information: for segment \#30 (169+84.440 to 170+94.370), Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170+94.370 | 171+35.440 | Information: for segment \#31 (170+94.370 to $171+35.440)$, Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| 171+35.440 | 172+54.440 | Information: for segment \#32 (171+35.440 to 172+54.440), Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| 172+54.440 | 173+73.440 | Information: for segment \#33 (172+54.440 to 173+73.440), Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| 173+73.440 | 174+92.440 | Information: for segment \#34 (173+73.440 to 174+92.440), Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| 174+92.440 | 175+53.460 | Information: for segment \#35 (174+92.440 to 175+53.460), Freeway Segment of type Nine-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Eight-lane Freeway and Ten-lane Freeway |
| 184+96.790 | 186+54.440 | Warning: for segment \#47 ( $184+96.790$ to $186+54.440$ ), traffic volume ( $196,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| $184+96.790$ | 186+54.440 | Information: for segment \#47 (184+96.790 to 186+54.440), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Warning: for segment \#48 ( $186+54.440$ to $190+97.440$ ), traffic volume $(196,100 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 186+54.440 | 190+97.440 | Information: for segment \#48 (186+54.440 to 190+97.440), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 190+97.440 | 197+89.450 | Warning: for segment \#49 (190+97.440 to 197+89.450), traffic volume (196,100 vpd) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 190+97.440 | 197+89.450 | Information: for segment \#49 (190+97.440 to 197+89.450), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | Warning: for segment \#50 $(197+89.450$ to $198+69.440)$, traffic volume ( $196,100 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6F |
| 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | Information: for segment \#50 (197+89.450 to 198+69.440), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | Warning: for segment \#52 (198+69.440 to 204+83.410), traffic volume ( $196,100 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6F |
| 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | Information: for segment \#52 (198+69.440 to 204+83.410), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 204+83.410 | 205+18.440 | Warning: for segment \#54 (204+83.410 to 205+18.440), traffic volume ( $223,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6F |
| 204+83.410 | 205+18.440 | Information: for segment \#54 (204+83.410 to 205+18.440), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 205+18.440 | 208+29.440 | Warning: for segment \#55 ( $205+18.440$ to $208+29.440$ ), traffic volume ( $223,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6F |
| 205+18.440 | 208+29.440 | Information: for segment \#55 (205+18.440 to 208+29.440), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 208+29.440 | 213+04.793 | Warning: for segment \#56 (208+29.440 to $213+04.793)$, traffic volume ( $223,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208+29.440 | 213+04.793 | Information: for segment \#56 (208+29.440 to 213+04.793 ), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 213+04.793 | 214+67.440 | Warning: for segment \#58 ( $213+04.793$ to $214+67.440$ ), traffic volume ( $247,300 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 213+04.793 | 214+67.440 | Information: for segment \#58 (213+04.793 to 214+67.440), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 214+67.440 | 230+25.910 | Warning: for segment \#60 $(214+67.440$ to $230+25.910)$, traffic volume $(247,300 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 214+67.440 | 230+25.910 | Information: for segment \#60 (214+67.440 to 230+25.910), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 230+25.910 | 231+12.440 | Warning: for segment \#62 $(230+25.910$ to $231+12.440)$, traffic volume $(226,100 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 230+25.910 | 231+12.440 | Information: for segment \#62 (230+25.910 to 231+12.440) , Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| $231+12.440$ | 234+99.370 | Warning: for segment \#64 ( $231+12.440$ to $234+99.370$ ), traffic volume $(226,100 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| 231+12.440 | 234+99.370 | Information: for segment \#64 (231+12.440 to 234+99.370) , Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| $234+99.370$ | 236+00.000 | Warning: for segment \#66 $(234+99.370$ to $236+00.000)$, traffic volume ( $226,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6 F |
| $234+99.370$ | 236+00.000 | Information: for segment \#66 ( $234+99.370$ to $236+00.000$ ), Freeway Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway is using unbalanced lane processing with types Sixlane Freeway and Eight-lane Freeway |
| 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | Warning: for segment \#51 $(197+89.450$ to $198+69.440)$, traffic volume $(196,100 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6SC |
| 197+89.450 | 198+69.440 | Information: for segment \#51 (197+89.450 to 198+69.440), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
| 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | Warning: for segment \#53 ( $198+69.440$ to $204+83.410$ ), traffic volume ( $196,100 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6SC |
| 198+69.440 | 204+83.410 | Information: for segment \#53 (198+69.440 to 204+83.410), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
| $213+04.790$ | 213+04.793 | Warning: for segment \#57 ( $213+04.790$ to $213+04.793$ ), traffic volume ( $223,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6SC |
| 213+04.790 | 213+04.793 | Information: for segment \#57 (213+04.790 to 213+04.793), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
| 213+04.793 | 214+67.440 | Warning: for segment \#59 ( $213+04.793$ to $214+67.440$ ), traffic volume ( $247,300 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $180,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 6SC |
| 213+04.793 | 214+67.440 | Information: for segment \#59 (213+04.793 to 214+67.440), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
| 214+67.440 | 217+94.930 | Warning: for segment \#61 (214+67.440 to $217+94.930)$, traffic volume $(247,300 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 6SC |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $214+67.440$ | $217+94.930$ | Information: for segment \#61 (214+67.440 to 217+94.930), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane <br> processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
| $230+25.910$ | $231+12.440$ | Warning: for segment \#63 (230+25.910 to $231+12.440)$, traffic volume (226,100 vpd) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(180,000$ vpd) for reliable results <br> for segment type 6 SC |
| $230+25.910$ | $231+12.440$ | Information: for segment \#63 (230+25.910 to 231+12.440), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane <br> processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
| $231+12.440$ | $234+99.370$ | Warning: for segment \#65 (231+12.440 to 234+99.370), traffic volume (226,100 vpd) for 2050 is not within the model limit (180,000 vpd) for reliable results <br> for segment type 6SC |
| $231+12.440$ | $234+99.370$ | Information: for segment \#65 (231+12.440 to 234+99.370), Speed Change Segment of type Seven-lane Freeway Speed Change is using unbalanced lane <br> processing with types Six-lane Freeway Speed Change and Eight-lane Freeway Speed Change |
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## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 2
Evaluation Start Location: 1+80.760
Evaluation End Location: 52+26.899
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: EX_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $1+80.760$ | $3+05.760$ | 125.00 | 0.0237 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $3+05.760$ | $3+35.760$ | 30.00 | 0.0057 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $3+35.760$ | 5+60.760 | 225.00 | 0.0426 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 5+60.760 | 5+90.760 | 30.00 | 0.0057 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $5+90.760$ | 6+29.760 | 39.00 | 0.0074 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 6+29.760 | $7+05.760$ | 76.00 | 0.0144 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 7+05.760 | $7+81.760$ | 76.00 | 0.0144 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 7+81.760 | $7+86.760$ | 5.00 | 0.0009 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 7+86.760 | $8+58.760$ | 72.00 | 0.0136 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 8+58.760 | 9+34.760 | 76.00 | 0.0144 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $9+34.760$ | 10+12.760 | 78.00 | 0.0148 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 10+12.760 | $10+87.760$ | 75.00 | 0.0142 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 10+87.760 | 11+24.990 | 37.23 | 0.0071 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 14 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $11+24.990$ | 14+09.760 | 284.77 | 0.0539 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 15 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $14+09.760$ | 14+83.760 | 74.00 | 0.0140 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 16 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $14+83.760$ | $18+42.230$ | 358.47 | 0.0679 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 17 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $18+42.230$ | 19+78.760 | 136.53 | 0.0259 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 18 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 19+78.760 | $20+52.760$ | 74.00 | 0.0140 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 19 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 20+52.760 | $22+62.910$ | 210.15 | 0.0398 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 20 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $22+62.910$ | $27+17.330$ | 454.42 | 0.0861 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 21 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $27+17.330$ | $28+48.760$ | 131.43 | 0.0249 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 22 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $28+48.760$ | $33+06.760$ | 458.00 | 0.0867 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 23 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $33+06.760$ | $34+76.760$ | 170.00 | 0.0322 | 2050: 6,500 |
| 24 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $34+76.760$ | $43+49.680$ | 872.92 | 0.1653 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 25 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $43+49.680$ | $43+71.760$ | 22.08 | 0.0042 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 26 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $43+71.760$ | $44+14.760$ | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 27 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $44+14.760$ | 44+57.760 | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 28 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $44+57.760$ | $44+99.760$ | 42.00 | 0.0080 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 29 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $44+99.760$ | $45+42.760$ | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 30 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $45+42.760$ | $45+85.760$ | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 31 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $45+85.760$ | $46+28.760$ | 43.00 | 0.0081 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 32 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $46+28.760$ | $46+49.760$ | 21.00 | 0.0040 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 33 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $46+49.760$ | $51+63.760$ | 514.00 | 0.0973 | 2050: 12,300 |
| 34 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | $51+63.760$ | $52+26.899$ | 63.14 | 0.0120 | 2050: 12,300 |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 0.9437 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 8,464 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 2.11 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 0.94 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 1.17 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 45 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 55 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 2.2345 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 0.9976 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.2369 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 2.92 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.72 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.32 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.40 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway
Ramp Sections)

| Segment <br> Number/Intersecti on Name/Cross Road | Start <br> Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted Total Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted FI Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi/y r) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/milli on veh-mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1+80.760 | $3+05.760$ | 0.0237 | 0.045 | 0.0449 | 0.0249 | 0.0200 | 1.8965 | 0.80 |
| 2 | $3+05.760$ | 3+35.760 | 0.0057 | 0.009 | 0.0092 | 0.0044 | 0.0048 | 1.6257 | 0.69 |
| 3 | $3+35.760$ | 5+60.760 | 0.0426 | 0.071 | 0.0708 | 0.0340 | 0.0368 | 1.6622 | 0.70 |
| 4 | 5+60.760 | 5+90.760 | 0.0057 | 0.008 | 0.0081 | 0.0037 | 0.0044 | 1.4259 | 0.60 |
| 5 | 5+90.760 | 6+29.760 | 0.0074 | 0.009 | 0.0093 | 0.0040 | 0.0053 | 1.2544 | 0.53 |
| 6 | 6+29.760 | 7+05.760 | 0.0144 | 0.018 | 0.0182 | 0.0079 | 0.0104 | 1.2666 | 0.53 |
| 7 | 7+05.760 | 7+81.760 | 0.0144 | 0.022 | 0.0216 | 0.0092 | 0.0124 | 1.5014 | 0.63 |
| 8 | $7+81.760$ | 7+86.760 | 0.0009 | 0.001 | 0.0014 | 0.0006 | 0.0008 | 1.5179 | 0.64 |
| 9 | 7+86.760 | 8+58.760 | 0.0136 | 0.021 | 0.0209 | 0.0090 | 0.0119 | 1.5301 | 0.65 |
| 10 | 8+58.760 | 9+34.760 | 0.0144 | 0.023 | 0.0231 | 0.0101 | 0.0130 | 1.6075 | 0.68 |
| 11 | 9+34.760 | 10+12.760 | 0.0148 | 0.025 | 0.0250 | 0.0112 | 0.0138 | 1.6928 | 0.71 |
| 12 | 10+12.760 | 10+87.760 | 0.0142 | 0.022 | 0.0223 | 0.0103 | 0.0120 | 1.5704 | 0.66 |
| 13 | 10+87.760 | 11+24.990 | 0.0071 | 0.011 | 0.0111 | 0.0052 | 0.0059 | 1.5778 | 0.67 |
| 14 | $11+24.990$ | 14+09.760 | 0.0539 | 0.092 | 0.0920 | 0.0433 | 0.0487 | 1.7066 | 0.72 |
| 15 | 14+09.760 | 14+83.760 | 0.0140 | 0.026 | 0.0264 | 0.0123 | 0.0141 | 1.8842 | 0.79 |
| 16 | 14+83.760 | 18+42.230 | 0.0679 | 0.128 | 0.1283 | 0.0600 | 0.0684 | 1.8900 | 0.80 |
| 17 | $18+42.230$ | 19+78.760 | 0.0259 | 0.043 | 0.0426 | 0.0202 | 0.0224 | 1.6488 | 0.69 |
| 18 | 19+78.760 | 20+52.760 | 0.0140 | 0.022 | 0.0225 | 0.0107 | 0.0118 | 1.6077 | 0.68 |
| 19 | 20+52.760 | $22+62.910$ | 0.0398 | 0.064 | 0.0638 | 0.0302 | 0.0335 | 1.6021 | 0.68 |
| 20 | 22+62.910 | $27+17.330$ | 0.0861 | 0.138 | 0.1381 | 0.0655 | 0.0726 | 1.6049 | 0.68 |
| 21 | $27+17.330$ | $28+48.760$ | 0.0249 | 0.044 | 0.0438 | 0.0216 | 0.0222 | 1.7578 | 0.74 |
| 22 | $28+48.760$ | 33+06.760 | 0.0867 | 0.152 | 0.1523 | 0.0747 | 0.0776 | 1.7558 | 0.74 |
| 23 | 33+06.760 | $34+76.760$ | 0.0322 | 0.057 | 0.0569 | 0.0279 | 0.0289 | 1.7663 | 0.74 |
| 24 | 34+76.760 | $43+49.680$ | 0.1653 | 0.440 | 0.4399 | 0.2097 | 0.2302 | 2.6606 | 0.59 |
| 25 | 43+49.680 | $43+71.760$ | 0.0042 | 0.013 | 0.0131 | 0.0043 | 0.0088 | 3.1336 | 0.70 |
| 26 | 43+71.760 | $44+14.760$ | 0.0081 | 0.026 | 0.0262 | 0.0088 | 0.0174 | 3.2185 | 0.72 |
| 27 | 44+14.760 | 44+57.760 | 0.0081 | 0.027 | 0.0272 | 0.0093 | 0.0179 | 3.3347 | 0.74 |
| 28 | 44+57.760 | $44+99.760$ | 0.0080 | 0.028 | 0.0275 | 0.0095 | 0.0179 | 3.4542 | 0.77 |
| 29 | 44+99.760 | $45+42.760$ | 0.0081 | 0.029 | 0.0291 | 0.0103 | 0.0189 | 3.5787 | 0.80 |
| 30 | $45+42.760$ | $45+85.760$ | 0.0081 | 0.030 | 0.0302 | 0.0109 | 0.0193 | 3.7099 | 0.83 |
| 31 | 45+85.760 | $46+28.760$ | 0.0081 | 0.031 | 0.0313 | 0.0115 | 0.0199 | 3.8466 | 0.86 |
| 32 | $46+28.760$ | 46+49.760 | 0.0040 | 0.016 | 0.0159 | 0.0059 | 0.0099 | 3.9870 | 0.89 |
| 33 | 46+49.760 | 51+63.760 | 0.0973 | 0.416 | 0.4156 | 0.1604 | 0.2552 | 4.2697 | 0.95 |
| Total |  |  | 0.9437 | 2.109 | 2.1088 | 0.9415 | 1.1673 | 2.2345 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | Start <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (mi) | Total <br> Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> PDO Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> /yr) <br> Travel <br> Crash Rate <br> $(\mathbf{c r a s h e s / m i ~}$ <br> llion veh- <br> mi) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tangent | $1+80.760$ | $7+07.863$ | 0.0998 | 0.161 | 0.1612 | 0.0791 | 0.0820 | 1.6144 | 0.68 |
| Simple Curve 1 | $7+07.863$ | $10+27.524$ | 0.0605 | 0.096 | 0.0959 | 0.0419 | 0.0540 | 1.5832 | 0.67 |
| Tangent | $10+27.524$ | $13+01.947$ | 0.0520 | 0.086 | 0.0862 | 0.0405 | 0.0458 | 1.6592 | 0.70 |
| Simple Curve 2 | $13+01.947$ | $18+60.282$ | 0.1057 | 0.195 | 0.1952 | 0.0914 | 0.1039 | 1.8460 | 0.78 |
| Tangent | $18+60.282$ | $36+19.530$ | 0.3332 | 0.586 | 0.5863 | 0.2825 | 0.3038 | 1.7596 | 0.70 |
| Simple Curve 3 | $36+19.530$ | $46+49.683$ | 0.1951 | 0.568 | 0.5684 | 0.2458 | 0.3226 | 2.9131 | 0.65 |
| Tangent | $46+49.683$ | $52+26.899$ | 0.1093 | 0.416 | 0.4157 | 0.1604 | 0.2553 | 3.8026 | 0.85 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 2.11 | 0.94 | 44.645 | 1.17 | 55.355 |
| Total | 2.11 | 0.94 | 44.645 | 1.17 | 55.355 |
| Average | 2.11 | 0.94 | 44.645 | 1.17 | 55.355 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) Crashes (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury (C) Crashes (crashes) | No Injury (O) Crashes (crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0008 | 0.0024 | 0.0098 | 0.0120 | 0.0200 |
| 2 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0017 | 0.0021 | 0.0048 |
| 3 | 0.0011 | 0.0032 | 0.0134 | 0.0164 | 0.0368 |
| 4 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0014 | 0.0018 | 0.0044 |
| 5 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0016 | 0.0019 | 0.0053 |
| 6 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 | 0.0104 |
| 7 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 | 0.0036 | 0.0044 | 0.0124 |
| 8 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 |
| 9 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0035 | 0.0043 | 0.0119 |
| 10 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0040 | 0.0049 | 0.0130 |
| 11 | 0.0003 | 0.0011 | 0.0044 | 0.0054 | 0.0138 |
| 12 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0041 | 0.0050 | 0.0120 |
| 13 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0021 | 0.0025 | 0.0059 |
| 14 | 0.0014 | 0.0041 | 0.0171 | 0.0208 | 0.0487 |
| 15 | 0.0004 | 0.0012 | 0.0049 | 0.0059 | 0.0141 |
| 16 | 0.0019 | 0.0057 | 0.0236 | 0.0288 | 0.0684 |
| 17 | 0.0006 | 0.0019 | 0.0080 | 0.0097 | 0.0224 |
| 18 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0042 | 0.0051 | 0.0118 |
| 19 | 0.0009 | 0.0029 | 0.0119 | 0.0145 | 0.0335 |
| 20 | 0.0020 | 0.0062 | 0.0258 | 0.0315 | 0.0726 |
| 21 | 0.0007 | 0.0020 | 0.0085 | 0.0104 | 0.0222 |
| 22 | 0.0023 | 0.0071 | 0.0294 | 0.0359 | 0.0776 |
| 23 | 0.0009 | 0.0026 | 0.0110 | 0.0134 | 0.0289 |
| 24 | 0.0065 | 0.0199 | 0.0826 | 0.1007 | 0.2302 |
| 25 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0013 | 0.0025 | 0.0088 |
| 26 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0027 | 0.0050 | 0.0174 |
| 27 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0028 | 0.0053 | 0.0179 |
| 28 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 | 0.0029 | 0.0055 | 0.0179 |
| 29 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 | 0.0031 | 0.0059 | 0.0189 |
| 30 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0033 | 0.0062 | 0.0193 |
| 31 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0035 | 0.0066 | 0.0199 |
| 32 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0018 | 0.0034 | 0.0099 |
| 33 | 0.0048 | 0.0145 | 0.0489 | 0.0922 | 0.2552 |
| Total | 0.0291 | 0.0881 | 0.3502 | 0.4741 | 1.1673 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 1.2 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.63 | 30.4 | 0.71 | 34.5 | 1.34 | 64.9 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.04 | 2.1 | 0.14 | 6.7 | 0.18 | 8.8 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.18 | 8.8 | 0.11 | 5.2 | 0.29 | 13.9 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.01 | 0.6 | 0.02 | 0.8 | 0.03 | 1.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.87 | 42.1 | 0.99 | 48.2 | 1.86 | 90.3 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.2 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.2 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.04 | 1.7 | 0.10 | 5.1 | 0.14 | 6.8 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.04 | 2.0 | 0.05 | 2.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.05 | 2.3 | 0.15 | 7.4 | 0.20 | 9.7 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 0.92 | 44.4 | 1.15 | 55.6 | 2.06 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 0.92 | 44.4 | 1.15 | 55.6 | 2.06 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1+80.760$ | 3+05.760 | Information: for segment \#1 ( $1+80.760$ to $3+05.760$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 3+05.760 | 3+35.760 | Information: for segment \#2 ( $3+05.760$ to $3+35.760$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $3+35.760$ | 5+60.760 | Information: for segment \#3 ( $3+35.760$ to $5+60.760$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 5+90.760 | 6+29.760 | Information: for segment \#5 ( $5+90.760$ to $6+29.760$ ), Left shoulder width ( 12.74 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 10.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 6+29.760 | 7+05.760 | Information: for segment \#6 ( $6+29.760$ to $7+05.760$ ), Left shoulder width ( 11.99 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 10.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 7+05.760 | 7+81.760 | Information: for segment \#7 ( $7+05.760$ to $7+81.760$ ), Left shoulder width ( 10.99 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 10.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 7+81.760 | 7+86.760 | Information: for segment \#8 ( $7+81.760$ to $7+86.760$ ), Left shoulder width ( 10.46 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 10.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $28+48.760$ | $33+06.760$ | Information: for segment \#22 ( $28+48.760$ to $33+06.760$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $46+28.760$ | 46+49.760 | Information: for segment \#32 (46+28.760 to 46+49.760), Right shoulder width ( 1.24 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $46+49.760$ | 51+63.760 | Information: for segment \#33 ( $46+49.760$ to $51+63.760$ ), Right shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $51+63.760$ | 52+26.899 | Warning: for segment \#34 ( $51+63.760$ to $52+26.899$ ), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 51+63.760 | 52+26.899 | Warning: for segment \#34 ( $51+63.760$ to $52+26.899$ ), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| $1+80.760$ | 3+05.760 | Program error: for segment \#1 ( $1+80.760$ to $3+05.760$ ), GModelDataFRE_Ramp.getFRE_Ramp_BaseAADT(): unknown key: \|0|urban, invalid configuration data or program call |
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## Report Overview
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Evaluation Date: Thu Mar 09 15:52:06 MST 2023
IHSDM Version: v17.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)
Crash Prediction Module: v12.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)

User Name: Jordan Rae Aguirre
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Phone: 5307019417
E-Mail: jordanrae.aguirre@jacobs.com

Project Title: I-10/101L - Alternatives Analysis - Slip Ramp
Project Comment: Created using wizard
Project Unit System: U.S. Customary

Highway Title: Alignment Thomas_RampC
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Highway Version: 1

Evaluation Title: Evaluation 2
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## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 2
Evaluation Start Location: 86.350
Evaluation End Location: 20+93.969
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: EX_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start <br> Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 86.350 | $1+16.000$ | 29.65 | 0.0056 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | $1+16.000$ | $3+50.350$ | 234.35 | 0.0444 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $3+50.350$ | $6+12.350$ | 262.00 | 0.0496 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+12.350$ | $6+19.350$ | 7.00 | 0.0013 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 2050: \\ 12,900 \end{array}$ |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+19.350$ | $6+45.350$ | 26.00 | 0.0049 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+45.350$ | $6+70.350$ | 25.00 | 0.0047 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 12,900 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+70.350$ | $6+96.350$ | 26.00 | 0.0049 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+96.350$ | $7+21.350$ | 25.00 | 0.0047 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $7+21.350$ | 7+34.000 | 12.65 | 0.0024 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 12,900 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $7+34.000$ | 7+55.350 | 21.35 | 0.0040 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 7+55.350 | $12+14.350$ | 459.00 | 0.0869 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $12+14.350$ | $13+91.350$ | 177.00 | 0.0335 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 12,900 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $13+91.350$ | $14+95.350$ | 104.00 | 0.0197 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 14+95.350 | $20+90.350$ | 595.00 | 0.1127 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 12,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $20+90.350$ | 20+93.969 | 3.62 | 0.0007 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 12,900 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 0.3302 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 12,900 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 1.10 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 0.53 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 0.58 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 48 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 52 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 3.3452 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.6017 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.7434 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 1.55 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.71 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.34 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.37 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{mi}) \end{gathered}$ | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $3+50.350$ | $6+12.350$ | 0.0496 | 0.215 | 0.2150 | 0.0820 | 0.1330 | 4.3335 | 0.92 |
| 4 | 6+12.350 | $6+19.350$ | 0.0013 | 0.005 | 0.0048 | 0.0025 | 0.0023 | 3.6364 | 0.77 |
| 5 | $6+19.350$ | $6+45.350$ | 0.0049 | 0.017 | 0.0170 | 0.0088 | 0.0082 | 3.4455 | 0.73 |
| 6 | 6+45.350 | $6+70.350$ | 0.0047 | 0.016 | 0.0157 | 0.0080 | 0.0077 | 3.3098 | 0.70 |
| 7 | 6+70.350 | $6+96.350$ | 0.0049 | 0.016 | 0.0157 | 0.0079 | 0.0078 | 3.1801 | 0.68 |
| 8 | $6+96.350$ | $7+21.350$ | 0.0047 | 0.015 | 0.0145 | 0.0072 | 0.0073 | 3.0560 | 0.65 |
| 9 | 7+21.350 | 7+34.000 | 0.0024 | 0.007 | 0.0071 | 0.0035 | 0.0036 | 2.9679 | 0.63 |
| 10 | 7+34.000 | 7+55.350 | 0.0040 | 0.012 | 0.0118 | 0.0057 | 0.0060 | 2.9096 | 0.62 |
| 11 | 7+55.350 | $12+14.350$ | 0.0869 | 0.246 | 0.2456 | 0.1183 | 0.1273 | 2.8247 | 0.60 |
| 12 | 12+14.350 | $13+91.350$ | 0.0335 | 0.097 | 0.0972 | 0.0472 | 0.0499 | 2.8983 | 0.62 |
| 13 | 13+91.350 | 14+95.350 | 0.0197 | 0.057 | 0.0574 | 0.0279 | 0.0294 | 2.9130 | 0.62 |
| 14 | 14+95.350 | $20+90.350$ | 0.1127 | 0.400 | 0.4003 | 0.2084 | 0.1919 | 3.5526 | 0.76 |
| 15 | $20+90.350$ | 20+93.969 | 0.0007 | 0.003 | 0.0027 | 0.0015 | 0.0013 | 4.0001 | 0.85 |
| Total |  |  | 0.3302 | 1.105 | 1.1047 | 0.5289 | 0.5757 | 3.3452 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | Start <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (mi) | Total <br> Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> PDO Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> /yr) | Travel <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> llion veh- <br> mi) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tangent | 86.350 | $14+69.451$ | 0.2620 | 0.687 | 0.6873 | 0.3121 | 0.3752 | 2.6238 | 0.56 |
| Simple Curve 1 | $14+69.451$ | $20+93.969$ | 0.1183 | 0.417 | 0.4174 | 0.2169 | 0.2005 | 3.5287 | 0.75 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 1.10 | 0.53 | 47.882 | 0.58 | 52.118 |
| Total | 1.10 | 0.53 | 47.882 | 0.58 | 52.118 |
| Average | 1.10 | 0.53 | 47.882 | 0.58 | 52.118 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury <br> (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | No Injury <br> (O) Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 0.0024 | 0.0074 | 0.0250 | 0.0472 | 0.1330 |
| 4 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0010 | 0.0012 | 0.0023 |
| 5 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0035 | 0.0042 | 0.0082 |
| 6 | 0.0002 | 0.0008 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 | 0.0077 |
| 7 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 | 0.0078 |
| 8 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0028 | 0.0034 | 0.0073 |
| 9 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0014 | 0.0017 | 0.0036 |
| 10 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 | 0.0060 |
| 11 | 0.0037 | 0.0112 | 0.0466 | 0.0568 | 0.1273 |
| 12 | 0.0015 | 0.0045 | 0.0186 | 0.0227 | 0.0499 |
| 13 | 0.0009 | 0.0026 | 0.0110 | 0.0134 | 0.0294 |
| 14 | 0.0065 | 0.0197 | 0.0821 | 0.1001 | 0.1919 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0006 | 0.0007 | 0.0013 |
| Total | 0.0164 | 0.0497 | 0.2010 | 0.2619 | 0.5757 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 1.0 | 0.01 | 1.2 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.36 | 32.6 | 0.35 | 31.8 | 0.71 | 64.5 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.03 | 2.3 | 0.07 | 6.2 | 0.09 | 8.5 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.10 | 9.4 | 0.05 | 4.8 | 0.16 | 14.2 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.01 | 1.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.50 | 45.2 | 0.49 | 44.4 | 0.99 | 89.7 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.2 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.3 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.02 | 2.0 | 0.06 | 5.3 | 0.08 | 7.3 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.02 | 2.0 | 0.03 | 2.5 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.03 | 2.7 | 0.09 | 7.7 | 0.11 | 10.3 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 0.53 | 47.9 | 0.58 | 52.1 | 1.10 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 0.53 | 47.9 | 0.58 | 52.1 | 1.10 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

## Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86.350 | 1+16.000 | Warning: for segment \#1 (86.350 to 1+16.000), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 86.350 | 1+16.000 | Warning: for segment \#1 (86.350 to $1+16.000$ ), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 1+16.000 | $3+50.350$ | Warning: for segment \#2 ( $1+16.000$ to $3+50.350$ ), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| $1+16.000$ | $3+50.350$ | Warning: for segment \#2 ( $1+16.000$ to $3+50.350$ ), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 13+91.350 | 14+95.350 | Information: for segment \#13 ( $13+91.350$ to $14+95.350$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.50 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 14+95.350 | 20+90.350 | Information: for segment \#14 ( $14+95.350$ to $20+90.350$ ), Left shoulder width $(0.00$ feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $20+90.350$ | 20+93.969 | Information: for segment \#15 (20+90.350 to 20+93.969), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $20+90.350$ | 20+93.969 | Information: for segment \#15 (20+90.350 to 20+93.969) , Right shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
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## Report Overview
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Crash Prediction Module: v12.0.0 (Sep 22, 2021)
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## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 1
Evaluation Start Location: 0.000
Evaluation End Location: 18+30.680
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: ENT_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; ENT_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; ENT_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0;
ENT_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 0.000 | 24.000 | 24.00 | 0.0045 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 13,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 24.000 | 72.000 | 48.00 | 0.0091 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 72.000 | $1+19.000$ | 47.00 | 0.0089 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 2050: \\ 13,400 \end{array}$ |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | $1+19.000$ | 1+35.000 | 16.00 | 0.0030 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 13,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 1+35.000 | $1+67.000$ | 32.00 | 0.0061 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 1+67.000 | $2+14.000$ | 47.00 | 0.0089 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 13,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 2+14.000 | 2+61.000 | 47.00 | 0.0089 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 13,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 2+61.000 | $3+09.000$ | 48.00 | 0.0091 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $3+09.000$ | 5+12.000 | 203.00 | 0.0384 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 13,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 5+12.000 | 6+54.640 | 142.64 | 0.0270 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 13,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 6+54.640 | 6+70.000 | 15.36 | 0.0029 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 6+70.000 | $11+15.000$ | 445.00 | 0.0843 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $11+15.000$ | $13+46.000$ | 231.00 | 0.0437 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $13+46.000$ | $16+83.490$ | 337.49 | 0.0639 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $16+83.490$ | $16+90.000$ | 6.51 | 0.0012 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $16+90.000$ | $17+16.910$ | 26.91 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $17+16.910$ | $17+22.000$ | 5.09 | 0.0010 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $17+22.000$ | $17+49.000$ | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 17+49.000 | 18+30.680 | 81.68 | 0.0155 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 13,400 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 0.3057 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 13,400 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 1.51 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 0.54 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 0.96 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 36 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 64 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 4.9283 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.7788 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 3.1495 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 1.50 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 1.01 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.36 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.64 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway
Ramp Sections)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1+35.000 | 1+67.000 | 0.0061 | 0.025 | 0.0252 | 0.0077 | 0.0175 | 4.1558 | 0.85 |
| 6 | 1+67.000 | 2+14.000 | 0.0089 | 0.038 | 0.0381 | 0.0118 | 0.0263 | 4.2768 | 0.87 |
| 7 | $2+14.000$ | 2+61.000 | 0.0089 | 0.039 | 0.0394 | 0.0124 | 0.0270 | 4.4261 | 0.91 |
| 8 | 2+61.000 | $3+09.000$ | 0.0091 | 0.042 | 0.0417 | 0.0134 | 0.0283 | 4.5830 | 0.94 |
| 9 | $3+09.000$ | 5+12.000 | 0.0384 | 0.182 | 0.1817 | 0.0593 | 0.1224 | 4.7266 | 0.97 |
| 10 | 5+12.000 | 6+54.640 | 0.0270 | 0.125 | 0.1251 | 0.0404 | 0.0847 | 4.6322 | 0.95 |
| 11 | 6+54.640 | 6+70.000 | 0.0029 | 0.013 | 0.0135 | 0.0044 | 0.0091 | 4.6405 | 0.95 |
| 12 | 6+70.000 | 11+15.000 | 0.0843 | 0.426 | 0.4256 | 0.1603 | 0.2653 | 5.0499 | 1.03 |
| 13 | $11+15.000$ | $13+46.000$ | 0.0437 | 0.222 | 0.2221 | 0.0840 | 0.1381 | 5.0763 | 1.04 |
| 14 | 13+46.000 | 16+83.490 | 0.0639 | 0.327 | 0.3267 | 0.1239 | 0.2028 | 5.1106 | 1.04 |
| 15 | 16+83.490 | 16+90.000 | 0.0012 | 0.006 | 0.0064 | 0.0024 | 0.0040 | 5.1829 | 1.06 |
| 16 | $16+90.000$ | 17+16.910 | 0.0051 | 0.027 | 0.0267 | 0.0102 | 0.0165 | 5.2370 | 1.07 |
| 17 | 17+16.910 | $17+22.000$ | 0.0010 | 0.005 | 0.0051 | 0.0020 | 0.0031 | 5.2784 | 1.08 |
| 18 | $17+22.000$ | $17+49.000$ | 0.0051 | 0.029 | 0.0293 | 0.0117 | 0.0176 | 5.7245 | 1.17 |
| Total |  |  | 0.3057 | 1.506 | 1.5065 | 0.5437 | 0.9627 | 4.9283 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Predicted } \\ \text { Travel } \\ \text { Crash Rate } \\ \text { (crashes } / \mathrm{mi} \\ \text { llion veh- } \\ \text { mi) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple Curve 1 | 0.000 | $9+57.878$ | 0.1814 | 0.740 | 0.7400 | 0.2529 | 0.4871 | 4.0791 | 0.83 |
| Tangent | 9+57.878 | $18+30.680$ | 0.1653 | 0.766 | 0.7665 | 0.2908 | 0.4757 | 4.6367 | 0.95 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 1.51 | 0.54 | 36.093 | 0.96 | 63.907 |
| Total | 1.51 | 0.54 | 36.093 | 0.96 | 63.907 |
| Average | 1.51 | 0.54 | 36.093 | 0.96 | 63.907 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury <br> (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | No Injury <br> (O) Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0024 | 0.0046 | 0.0175 |
| 6 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0037 | 0.0071 | 0.0263 |
| 7 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0039 | 0.0074 | 0.0270 |
| 8 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0043 | 0.0080 | 0.0283 |
| 9 | 0.0012 | 0.0036 | 0.0189 | 0.0356 | 0.1224 |
| 10 | 0.0008 | 0.0025 | 0.0129 | 0.0242 | 0.0847 |
| 11 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0014 | 0.0026 | 0.0091 |
| 12 | 0.0033 | 0.0099 | 0.0510 | 0.0962 | 0.2653 |
| 13 | 0.0017 | 0.0052 | 0.0267 | 0.0504 | 0.1381 |
| 14 | 0.0025 | 0.0076 | 0.0394 | 0.0743 | 0.2028 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0008 | 0.0015 | 0.0040 |
| 16 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 | 0.0033 | 0.0061 | 0.0165 |
| 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0006 | 0.0012 | 0.0031 |
| 18 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0037 | 0.0070 | 0.0176 |
| Total | 0.0110 | 0.0334 | 0.1731 | 0.3262 | 0.9627 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.01 | 0.8 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.25 | 16.8 | 0.36 | 24.2 | 0.62 | 41.0 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.02 | 1.2 | 0.07 | 4.7 | 0.09 | 5.9 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.07 | 4.8 | 0.05 | 3.6 | 0.13 | 8.4 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.01 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 0.9 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.35 | 23.2 | 0.51 | 33.8 | 0.86 | 57.0 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 0.9 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.2 |
| Highway Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 1.1 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.14 | 9.6 | 0.31 | 20.8 | 0.46 | 30.4 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.04 | 2.3 | 0.12 | 8.0 | 0.16 | 10.3 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.19 | 12.9 | 0.45 | 30.1 | 0.65 | 43.0 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 0.54 | 36.1 | 0.96 | 63.9 | 1.51 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 0.54 | 36.1 | 0.96 | 63.9 | 1.51 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

## Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 24.000 | Warning: for segment \#1 (0.000 to 24.000 ), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 0.000 | 24.000 | Warning: for segment \#1 ( 0.000 to 24.000 ), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 24.000 | 72.000 | Warning: for segment \#2 (24.000 to 72.000), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 24.000 | 72.000 | Warning: for segment \#2 (24.000 to 72.000 ), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 72.000 | 1+19.000 | Warning: for segment \#3 (72.000 to $1+19.000$ ), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 72.000 | 1+19.000 | Warning: for segment \#3 ( 72.000 to $1+19.000$ ), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| $1+19.000$ | $1+35.000$ | Warning: for segment \#4 ( $1+19.000$ to $1+35.000$ ), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| $1+19.000$ | 1+35.000 | Warning: for segment \#4 ( $1+19.000$ to $1+35.000$ ), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 1+35.000 | 1+67.000 | Information: for segment \#5 ( $1+35.000$ to 1+67.000 ) , Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 1+67.000 | 2+14.000 | Information: for segment \#6 ( $1+67.000$ to $2+14.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $2+14.000$ | 2+61.000 | Information: for segment \#7 ( $2+14.000$ to $2+61.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 2+61.000 | 3+09.000 | Information: for segment \#8 ( $2+61.000$ to $3+09.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 3+09.000 | $5+12.000$ | Information: for segment \#9 ( $3+09.000$ to $5+12.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 1.50 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 17+22.000 | 17+49.000 | Information: for segment \#18 (17+22.000 to 17+49.000), Left shoulder width ( 1.75 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 17+22.000 | 17+49.000 | Information: for segment \#18 ( $17+22.000$ to $17+49.000$ ), Right shoulder width ( 1.25 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 17+49.000 | $18+30.680$ | Warning: for segment \#19 (17+49.000 to 18+30.680), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 17+49.000 | $18+30.680$ | Warning: for segment \#19 (17+49.000 to 18+30.680), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
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## Report Overview
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## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 1
Evaluation Start Location: 40+43.407
Evaluation End Location: 109+64.841
Functional Class: Freeway C-D Road \& System Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: C-D Road \& System Ramp
Calibration Factor: CD_MV_FI=1.0; CD_MV_PDO=1.0; CD_SV_FI=1.0; CD_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | 40+43.407 | 47+27.407 | 684.00 | 0.1295 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |


| Seg. No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 47+27.407 | 50+57.407 | 330.00 | 0.0625 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 50+57.407 | 50+71.407 | 14.00 | 0.0027 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 50+71.407 | 50+98.407 | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 50+98.407 | $51+26.407$ | 28.00 | 0.0053 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 51+26.407 | $51+53.407$ | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 51+53.407 | $51+80.407$ | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 51+80.407 | 52+08.407 | 28.00 | 0.0053 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 52+08.407 | 52+35.407 | 27.00 | 0.0051 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 52+35.407 | 56+38.407 | 403.00 | 0.0763 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 56+38.407 | $63+93.320$ | 754.91 | 0.1430 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $63+93.320$ | $64+16.407$ | 23.09 | 0.0044 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D <br> Ramp | Urban | 64+16.407 | 64+62.407 | 46.00 | 0.0087 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 64+62.407 | $65+99.407$ | 137.00 | 0.0259 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $65+99.407$ | 67+36.407 | 137.00 | 0.0259 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 67+36.407 | 68+04.420 | 68.01 | 0.0129 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 68+04.420 | $69+46.800$ | 142.38 | 0.0270 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 54,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $69+46.800$ | 71+75.407 | 228.61 | 0.0433 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 71+75.407 | 79+17.407 | 742.00 | 0.1405 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $79+17.407$ | $82+87.320$ | 369.91 | 0.0701 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 82+87.320 | $83+63.407$ | 76.09 | 0.0144 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $83+63.407$ | $85+15.407$ | 152.00 | 0.0288 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 23 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $85+15.407$ | 85+91.407 | 76.00 | 0.0144 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | $85+91.407$ | 89+57.407 | 366.00 | 0.0693 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D Ramp | Urban | 89+57.407 | $96+89.407$ | 732.00 | 0.1386 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 48,700 \end{aligned}$ |


| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (ft) | Length <br> (mi) | AADT |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 26 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane C-D <br> Ramp | Urban | $96+89.407$ | $100+55.407$ | 366.00 | 0.0693 | $2050:$ <br> 48,700 |
| 27 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Unknown | Urban | $100+55.407$ | $109+64.841$ | 909.43 | 0.1722 | 2050: <br> 48,700 |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 1.0091 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 51,116 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 59.75 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 39.72 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 20.04 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 66 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 34 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 59.2153 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 39.3609 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 19.8544 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 18.83 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 3.17 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 2.11 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 1.06 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway
Ramp Sections)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 47+27.407 | 50+57.407 | 0.0625 | 3.844 | 3.8441 | 2.7048 | 1.1393 | 61.5059 | 3.09 |
| 3 | 50+57.407 | 50+71.407 | 0.0027 | 0.175 | 0.1747 | 0.1191 | 0.0556 | 65.8844 | 3.31 |
| 4 | 50+71.407 | 50+98.407 | 0.0051 | 0.337 | 0.3369 | 0.2296 | 0.1073 | 65.8844 | 3.31 |
| 5 | 50+98.407 | 51+26.407 | 0.0053 | 0.349 | 0.3494 | 0.2381 | 0.1113 | 65.8844 | 3.31 |
| 6 | 51+26.407 | 51+53.407 | 0.0051 | 0.337 | 0.3373 | 0.2299 | 0.1074 | 65.9568 | 3.32 |
| 7 | 51+53.407 | 51+80.407 | 0.0051 | 0.353 | 0.3526 | 0.2425 | 0.1101 | 68.9479 | 3.47 |
| 8 | $51+80.407$ | 52+08.407 | 0.0053 | 0.383 | 0.3826 | 0.2654 | 0.1172 | 72.1459 | 3.63 |
| 9 | 52+08.407 | 52+35.407 | 0.0051 | 0.386 | 0.3861 | 0.2701 | 0.1160 | 75.5049 | 3.80 |
| 10 | 52+35.407 | 56+38.407 | 0.0763 | 6.844 | 6.8437 | 4.8105 | 2.0332 | 89.6645 | 4.51 |
| 11 | 56+38.407 | 63+93.320 | 0.1430 | 12.600 | 12.6000 | 8.8207 | 3.7792 | 88.1265 | 4.43 |
| 12 | $63+93.320$ | 64+16.407 | 0.0044 | 0.335 | 0.3349 | 0.2332 | 0.1017 | 76.6018 | 3.85 |
| 13 | $64+16.407$ | 64+62.407 | 0.0087 | 0.658 | 0.6584 | 0.4573 | 0.2011 | 75.5782 | 3.80 |
| 14 | 64+62.407 | 65+99.407 | 0.0259 | 1.893 | 1.8925 | 1.3055 | 0.5870 | 72.9377 | 3.67 |
| 15 | 65+99.407 | 67+36.407 | 0.0259 | 1.795 | 1.7947 | 1.2253 | 0.5694 | 69.1690 | 3.48 |
| 16 | 67+36.407 | 68+04.420 | 0.0129 | 0.856 | 0.8564 | 0.5801 | 0.2763 | 66.4872 | 3.34 |
| 17 | $68+04.420$ | $69+46.800$ | 0.0270 | 2.112 | 2.1116 | 1.5589 | 0.5526 | 78.3047 | 3.94 |
| 18 | 69+46.800 | 71+75.407 | 0.0433 | 1.978 | 1.9783 | 1.1975 | 0.7808 | 45.6914 | 2.57 |
| 19 | 71+75.407 | 79+17.407 | 0.1405 | 6.604 | 6.6039 | 4.0263 | 2.5776 | 46.9928 | 2.64 |
| 20 | $79+17.407$ | $82+87.320$ | 0.0701 | 3.400 | 3.4003 | 2.0900 | 1.3102 | 48.5342 | 2.73 |
| 21 | $82+87.320$ | 83+63.407 | 0.0144 | 0.700 | 0.7004 | 0.4305 | 0.2699 | 48.6064 | 2.73 |
| 22 | 83+63.407 | 85+15.407 | 0.0288 | 1.355 | 1.3547 | 0.8259 | 0.5288 | 47.0577 | 2.65 |
| 23 | $85+15.407$ | 85+91.407 | 0.0144 | 0.625 | 0.6249 | 0.3917 | 0.2332 | 43.4132 | 2.44 |
| 24 | 85+91.407 | 89+57.407 | 0.0693 | 3.055 | 3.0555 | 1.9421 | 1.1134 | 44.0797 | 2.48 |
| 25 | 89+57.407 | 96+89.407 | 0.1386 | 5.918 | 5.9185 | 3.7330 | 2.1855 | 42.6910 | 2.40 |
| 26 | 96+89.407 | 100+55.407 | 0.0693 | 2.861 | 2.8612 | 1.7906 | 1.0706 | 41.2757 | 2.32 |
| Total |  |  | 1.0091 | 59.754 | 59.7537 | 39.7187 | 20.0349 | 59.2153 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | Start <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (mi) | Total <br> Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> PDO Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> /yr) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pravelicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> llion veh- <br> mi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tangent | $40+43.407$ | $52+49.250$ | 0.2284 | 6.399 | 6.3987 | 4.4647 | 1.9340 | 28.0180 | 1.41 |
| Simple Curve 1 | $52+49.250$ | $63+93.320$ | 0.2167 | 19.209 | 19.2086 | 13.4660 | 5.7426 | 88.6496 | 4.46 |
| Simple Curve 2 | $63+93.320$ | $68+04.418$ | 0.0779 | 5.537 | 5.5370 | 3.8015 | 1.7356 | 71.1159 | 3.57 |
| Tangent | $68+04.418$ | $82+87.317$ | 0.2809 | 14.094 | 14.0940 | 8.8728 | 5.2213 | 50.1831 | 2.78 |
| Simple Curve 3 | $82+87.317$ | $89+00.824$ | 0.1162 | 5.263 | 5.2632 | 3.2900 | 1.9732 | 45.2963 | 2.55 |
| Simple Curve 4 | $89+00.824$ | $96+60.946$ | 0.1440 | 6.161 | 6.1608 | 3.8881 | 2.2727 | 42.7943 | 2.41 |
| Simple Curve 5 | $96+60.946$ | $100+55.334$ | 0.0747 | 3.091 | 3.0907 | 1.9354 | 1.1553 | 41.3778 | 2.33 |
| Tangent | $100+55.334$ | $109+64.841$ | 0.1723 | 0.001 | 0.0006 | 0.0004 | 0.0002 | 0.0033 | 0.00 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 59.75 | 39.72 | 66.471 | 20.04 | 33.529 |
| Total | 59.75 | 39.72 | 66.471 | 20.04 | 33.529 |
| Average | 59.75 | 39.72 | 66.471 | 20.04 | 33.529 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) Crashes (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury (C) Crashes (crashes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { No Injury (O) } \\ \text { Crashes } \\ \text { (crashes) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.0468 | 0.1420 | 0.7535 | 1.7625 | 1.1393 |
| 3 | 0.0017 | 0.0053 | 0.0287 | 0.0833 | 0.0556 |
| 4 | 0.0034 | 0.0102 | 0.0554 | 0.1607 | 0.1073 |
| 5 | 0.0035 | 0.0106 | 0.0574 | 0.1666 | 0.1113 |
| 6 | 0.0034 | 0.0102 | 0.0555 | 0.1609 | 0.1074 |
| 7 | 0.0035 | 0.0107 | 0.0585 | 0.1697 | 0.1101 |
| 8 | 0.0039 | 0.0118 | 0.0640 | 0.1857 | 0.1172 |
| 9 | 0.0039 | 0.0120 | 0.0652 | 0.1890 | 0.1160 |
| 10 | 0.0703 | 0.2133 | 1.1605 | 3.3664 | 2.0332 |
| 11 | 0.1290 | 0.3911 | 2.1279 | 6.1728 | 3.7792 |
| 12 | 0.0034 | 0.0103 | 0.0563 | 0.1632 | 0.1017 |
| 13 | 0.0067 | 0.0203 | 0.1103 | 0.3200 | 0.2011 |
| 14 | 0.0191 | 0.0579 | 0.3149 | 0.9136 | 0.5870 |
| 15 | 0.0179 | 0.0543 | 0.2956 | 0.8575 | 0.5694 |
| 16 | 0.0085 | 0.0257 | 0.1400 | 0.4060 | 0.2763 |
| 17 | 0.0228 | 0.0691 | 0.3761 | 1.0909 | 0.5526 |
| 18 | 0.0175 | 0.0531 | 0.2889 | 0.8380 | 0.7808 |
| 19 | 0.0589 | 0.1785 | 0.9713 | 2.8176 | 2.5776 |
| 20 | 0.0306 | 0.0927 | 0.5042 | 1.4626 | 1.3102 |
| 21 | 0.0063 | 0.0191 | 0.1039 | 0.3013 | 0.2699 |
| 22 | 0.0121 | 0.0366 | 0.1992 | 0.5780 | 0.5288 |
| 23 | 0.0057 | 0.0174 | 0.0947 | 0.2739 | 0.2332 |
| 24 | 0.0336 | 0.1020 | 0.5411 | 1.2654 | 1.1134 |
| 25 | 0.0646 | 0.1960 | 1.0401 | 2.4323 | 2.1855 |
| 26 | 0.0310 | 0.0940 | 0.4989 | 1.1667 | 1.0706 |
| Total | 0.6081 | 1.8440 | 9.9620 | 27.3046 | 20.0349 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.01 | 0.0 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.1 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 1.18 | 2.0 | 1.75 | 2.9 | 2.93 | 4.9 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.08 | 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.6 | 0.42 | 0.7 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.34 | 0.6 | 0.26 | 0.4 | 0.60 | 1.0 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.03 | 0.0 | 0.04 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.1 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 1.64 | 2.7 | 2.45 | 4.1 | 4.08 | 6.8 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 1.18 | 2.0 | 0.32 | 0.5 | 1.50 | 2.5 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.30 | 0.5 | 0.04 | 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.6 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 1.18 | 2.0 | 0.42 | 0.7 | 1.60 | 2.7 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 28.56 | 47.8 | 12.14 | 20.3 | 40.70 | 68.1 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 6.86 | 11.5 | 4.68 | 7.8 | 11.53 | 19.3 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 38.08 | 63.7 | 17.59 | 29.4 | 55.67 | 93.2 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 39.72 | 66.5 | 20.04 | 33.5 | 59.75 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 39.72 | 66.5 | 20.04 | 33.5 | 59.75 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40+43.407 | 47+27.407 | Warning: for segment \#1 ( $40+43.407$ to 47+27.407 ), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 40+43.407 | 47+27.407 | Warning: for segment \#1 ( $40+43.407$ to 47+27.407), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 50+57.407 | 50+71.407 | Information: for segment \#3 ( $50+57.407$ to $50+71.407$ ), Right shoulder width ( 14.73 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 50+71.407 | 50+98.407 | Information: for segment \#4 ( $50+71.407$ to $50+98.407$ ), Right shoulder width ( 13.98 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 50+98.407 | 51+26.407 | Information: for segment \#5 ( $50+98.407$ to $51+26.407$ ), Right shoulder width ( 12.98 feet) is greater than specified boundaries ( 12.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $85+91.407$ | 89+57.407 | Information: for segment \#24 ( $85+91.407$ to $89+57.407$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 89+57.407 | 96+89.407 | Information: for segment \#25 ( $89+57.407$ to $96+89.407$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 96+89.407 | 100+55.407 | Information: for segment \#26 ( $96+89.407$ to $100+55.407$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 100+55.407 | 109+64.841 | Warning: for segment \#27 ( $100+55.407$ to 109+64.841), unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 100+55.407 | 109+64.841 | Warning: for segment \#27 ( $100+55.407$ to 109+64.841), no thru lanes specified; unknown/unsupported segment type, no crash prediction supported |
| 47+27.407 | 50+57.407 | Warning: for segment \#2 $(47+27.407$ to $50+57.407)$, traffic volume $(54,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 50+57.407 | 50+71.407 | Warning: for segment \#3 ( $50+57.407$ to $50+71.407$ ), traffic volume $(54,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 50+71.407 | 50+98.407 | Warning: for segment \#4 ( $50+71.407$ to $50+98.407$ ), traffic volume $(54,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 50+98.407 | 51+26.407 | Warning: for segment \#5 $(50+98.407$ to $51+26.407)$, traffic volume $(54,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $51+26.407$ | 51+53.407 | Warning: for segment \#6 $(51+26.407$ to $51+53.407)$, traffic volume $(54,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $51+53.407$ | 51+80.407 | Warning: for segment \#7 ( $51+53.407$ to $51+80.407$ ), traffic volume $(54,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $51+80.407$ | 52+08.407 | Warning: for segment \#8 $(51+80.407$ to $52+08.407)$, traffic volume $(54,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 52+08.407 | 52+35.407 | Warning: for segment \#9 ( $52+08.407$ to $52+35.407$ ), traffic volume $(54,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 52+35.407 | 56+38.407 | Warning: for segment \#10 $(52+35.407$ to $56+38.407)$, traffic volume ( $54,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 56+38.407 | 63+93.320 | Warning: for segment \#11 ( $56+38.407$ to $63+93.320$ ), traffic volume ( $54,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |


| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $63+93.320$ | 64+16.407 | Warning: for segment \#12 ( $63+93.320$ to $64+16.407$ ), traffic volume $(54,500 \mathrm{vpd})$ for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 64+16.407 | 64+62.407 | Warning: for segment \#13 ( $64+16.407$ to $64+62.407$ ), traffic volume ( $54,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 64+62.407 | 65+99.407 | Warning: for segment \#14 ( $64+62.407$ to $65+99.407$ ), traffic volume ( $54,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 65+99.407 | 67+36.407 | Warning: for segment \#15 ( $65+99.407$ to $67+36.407$ ), traffic volume ( $54,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 67+36.407 | 68+04.420 | Warning: for segment \#16 ( $67+36.407$ to $68+04.420$ ), traffic volume ( $54,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 68+04.420 | 69+46.800 | Warning: for segment \#17 ( $68+04.420$ to $69+46.800$ ), traffic volume ( $54,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 69+46.800 | 71+75.407 | Warning: for segment \#18 ( $69+46.800$ to $71+75.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 71+75.407 | 79+17.407 | Warning: for segment \#19 ( $71+75.407$ to $79+17.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 79+17.407 | $82+87.320$ | Warning: for segment \#20 ( $79+17.407$ to $82+87.320$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 82+87.320 | 83+63.407 | Warning: for segment \#21 ( $82+87.320$ to $83+63.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 83+63.407 | 85+15.407 | Warning: for segment \#22 ( $83+63.407$ to $85+15.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 85+15.407 | 85+91.407 | Warning: for segment \#23 ( $85+15.407$ to $85+91.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $85+91.407$ | 89+57.407 | Warning: for segment \#24 ( $85+91.407$ to $89+57.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| 89+57.407 | 96+89.407 | Warning: for segment \#25 ( $89+57.407$ to $96+89.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(32,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
| $96+89.407$ | 100+55.407 | Warning: for segment \#26 ( $96+89.407$ to $100+55.407$ ), traffic volume ( $48,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $32,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2CD |
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## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 1
Evaluation Start Location: 0.000
Evaluation End Location: 66+36.550
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: EX_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0; EX_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | Length (mi) | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 0.000 | $2+26.000$ | 226.00 | 0.0428 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $2+26.000$ | $6+95.000$ | 469.00 | 0.0888 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $6+95.000$ | $13+52.000$ | 657.00 | 0.1244 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 13+52.000 | 18+73.000 | 521.00 | 0.0987 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 18+73.000 | 40+56.000 | 2,183.00 | 0.4134 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 40+56.000 | $42+30.000$ | 174.00 | 0.0330 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $42+30.000$ | 54+07.690 | 1,177.69 | 0.2230 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 54+07.690 | 54+64.000 | 56.31 | 0.0107 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 54+64.000 | 55+75.000 | 111.00 | 0.0210 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 55+75.000 | $56+31.000$ | 56.00 | 0.0106 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 56+31.000 | 56+86.000 | 55.00 | 0.0104 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 56+86.000 | 57+83.110 | 97.11 | 0.0184 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 57+83.110 | 57+97.000 | 13.89 | 0.0026 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 57+97.000 | 59+08.000 | 111.00 | 0.0210 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 59+08.000 | $60+20.000$ | 112.00 | 0.0212 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $60+20.000$ | $60+75.000$ | 55.00 | 0.0104 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | 60+75.000 | $61+25.090$ | 50.09 | 0.0095 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $61+25.090$ | $61+31.000$ | 5.91 | 0.0011 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $61+31.000$ | $62+42.000$ | 111.00 | 0.0210 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $62+42.000$ | $62+97.100$ | 55.10 | 0.0104 | $\begin{aligned} & 2050: \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road Two-lane Ramp Exit | Urban | $62+97.100$ | $66+36.550$ | 339.45 | 0.0643 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2050: } \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 1.2569 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 22,500 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 5.44 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 2.45 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 2.99 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 45 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 55 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 4.3264 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.9496 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 2.3768 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 10.32 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.53 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.24 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.29 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Segment Number/Interse ction Name/Cross Road | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{mi}) \end{gathered}$ | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total <br> Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | Predicted Travel Crash Rate (crashes/mi llion vehmi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.000 | $2+26.000$ | 0.0428 | 0.159 | 0.1590 | 0.0662 | 0.0928 | 3.7154 | 0.45 |
| 2 | $2+26.000$ | $6+95.000$ | 0.0888 | 0.354 | 0.3545 | 0.1656 | 0.1890 | 3.9915 | 0.49 |
| 3 | $6+95.000$ | $13+52.000$ | 0.1244 | 0.452 | 0.4516 | 0.2024 | 0.2491 | 3.6290 | 0.44 |
| 4 | 13+52.000 | 18+73.000 | 0.0987 | 0.372 | 0.3722 | 0.1677 | 0.2044 | 3.7716 | 0.46 |
| 5 | $18+73.000$ | $40+56.000$ | 0.4134 | 1.598 | 1.5980 | 0.7332 | 0.8648 | 3.8651 | 0.47 |
| 6 | 40+56.000 | $42+30.000$ | 0.0330 | 0.133 | 0.1330 | 0.0619 | 0.0712 | 4.0373 | 0.49 |
| 7 | $42+30.000$ | 54+07.690 | 0.2230 | 0.919 | 0.9195 | 0.4311 | 0.4885 | 4.1227 | 0.50 |
| 8 | 54+07.690 | 54+64.000 | 0.0107 | 0.045 | 0.0449 | 0.0213 | 0.0236 | 4.2065 | 0.51 |
| 9 | 54+64.000 | 55+75.000 | 0.0210 | 0.091 | 0.0908 | 0.0437 | 0.0471 | 4.3185 | 0.53 |
| 10 | 55+75.000 | $56+31.000$ | 0.0106 | 0.048 | 0.0475 | 0.0232 | 0.0243 | 4.4816 | 0.55 |
| 11 | $56+31.000$ | 56+86.000 | 0.0104 | 0.048 | 0.0479 | 0.0236 | 0.0243 | 4.5938 | 0.56 |
| 12 | $56+86.000$ | $57+83.110$ | 0.0184 | 0.087 | 0.0874 | 0.0436 | 0.0438 | 4.7527 | 0.58 |
| 13 | $57+83.110$ | 57+97.000 | 0.0026 | 0.015 | 0.0150 | 0.0052 | 0.0098 | 5.6985 | 0.69 |
| 14 | 57+97.000 | 59+08.000 | 0.0210 | 0.123 | 0.1228 | 0.0435 | 0.0794 | 5.8433 | 0.71 |
| 15 | 59+08.000 | 60+20.000 | 0.0212 | 0.130 | 0.1297 | 0.0470 | 0.0827 | 6.1125 | 0.74 |
| 16 | $60+20.000$ | 60+75.000 | 0.0104 | 0.066 | 0.0659 | 0.0243 | 0.0416 | 6.3234 | 0.77 |
| 17 | 60+75.000 | $61+25.090$ | 0.0095 | 0.061 | 0.0613 | 0.0228 | 0.0385 | 6.4603 | 0.79 |
| 18 | $61+25.090$ | $61+31.000$ | 0.0011 | 0.007 | 0.0073 | 0.0027 | 0.0046 | 6.5347 | 0.80 |
| 19 | $61+31.000$ | $62+42.000$ | 0.0210 | 0.154 | 0.1545 | 0.0671 | 0.0875 | 7.3512 | 0.89 |
| 20 | $62+42.000$ | $62+97.100$ | 0.0104 | 0.080 | 0.0795 | 0.0350 | 0.0445 | 7.6178 | 0.93 |
| 21 | $62+97.100$ | $66+36.550$ | 0.0643 | 0.496 | 0.4956 | 0.2194 | 0.2762 | 7.7086 | 0.94 |
| Total |  |  | 1.2569 | 5.438 | 5.4379 | 2.4504 | 2.9875 | 4.3264 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (mi) | Total Predicted Crashes for Evaluation Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted PDO Crash Frequency (crashes/yr) | Predicted Crash Rate (crashes/mi /yr) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Predicted } \\ \text { Travel } \\ \text { Crash Rate } \\ \text { (crashes/mi } \\ \text { llion veh- } \\ \text { mi) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tangent | 0.000 | 4+50.359 | 0.0853 | 0.329 | 0.3286 | 0.1454 | 0.1832 | 3.8529 | 0.47 |
| Simple Curve 1 | 4+50.359 | 7+06.223 | 0.0485 | 0.193 | 0.1927 | 0.0898 | 0.1028 | 3.9756 | 0.48 |
| Tangent | 7+06.223 | $11+34.031$ | 0.0810 | 0.294 | 0.2940 | 0.1318 | 0.1622 | 3.6290 | 0.44 |
| Simple Curve 2 | 11+34.031 | $24+42.682$ | 0.2479 | 0.939 | 0.9390 | 0.4262 | 0.5128 | 3.7886 | 0.46 |
| Tangent | $24+42.682$ | $34+57.589$ | 0.1922 | 0.743 | 0.7429 | 0.3409 | 0.4021 | 3.8651 | 0.47 |
| Simple Curve 3 | $34+57.589$ | 54+35.903 | 0.3747 | 1.513 | 1.5131 | 0.7046 | 0.8085 | 4.0384 | 0.49 |
| Tangent | 54+35.903 | $66+36.550$ | 0.2274 | 1.428 | 1.4276 | 0.6117 | 0.8159 | 6.2779 | 0.76 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 5.44 | 2.45 | 45.062 | 2.99 | 54.938 |
| Total | 5.44 | 2.45 | 45.062 | 2.99 | 54.938 |
| Average | 5.44 | 2.45 | 45.062 | 2.99 | 54.938 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | Nnjury (O) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.0021 | 0.0063 | 0.0157 | 0.0261 | 0.0318 |
| 2 | 0.0052 | 0.0192 | 0.0652 | 0.0928 |  |
| 3 | 0.0063 | 0.0052 | 0.0159 | 0.0797 | 0.0795 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 1.0 | 0.06 | 1.1 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 1.56 | 29.5 | 1.68 | 31.8 | 3.24 | 61.3 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.11 | 2.1 | 0.33 | 6.2 | 0.44 | 8.3 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.45 | 8.5 | 0.25 | 4.8 | 0.70 | 13.3 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.04 | 0.7 | 0.07 | 1.3 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 2.16 | 40.8 | 2.35 | 44.5 | 4.50 | 85.3 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.3 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.1 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.4 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.17 | 3.2 | 0.38 | 7.2 | 0.55 | 10.4 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.04 | 0.8 | 0.15 | 2.8 | 0.19 | 3.5 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.23 | 4.3 | 0.55 | 10.4 | 0.78 | 14.7 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 2.38 | 45.2 | 2.90 | 54.8 | 5.28 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 2.38 | 45.2 | 2.90 | 54.8 | 5.28 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

## Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. <br> ft) | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 2+26.000 | Information: for segment \#1 ( 0.000 to $2+26.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 0.000 | 2+26.000 | Program error: for segment \#1 ( 0.000 to 2+26.000 ), GModelDataFRE_Ramp.getFRE_Ramp_BaseAADT(): unknown key: \|0|urban, invalid configuration data or program call |
| 2+26.000 | 6+95.000 | Warning: for segment \#2 ( $2+26.000$ to $6+95.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 1 EX |
| 6+95.000 | 13+52.000 | Warning: for segment \#3 ( $6+95.000$ to $13+52.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| 13+52.000 | 18+73.000 | Warning: for segment \#4 ( $13+52.000$ to $18+73.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 1 EX |
| 18+73.000 | $40+56.000$ | Warning: for segment \#5 ( $18+73.000$ to $40+56.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| $40+56.000$ | $42+30.000$ | Warning: for segment \#6 ( $40+56.000$ to $42+30.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 1 EX |
| $42+30.000$ | 54+07.690 | Warning: for segment \#7 ( $42+30.000$ to $54+07.690$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 1 EX |
| 54+07.690 | 54+64.000 | Warning: for segment \#8 ( $54+07.690$ to $54+64.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| $54+64.000$ | $55+75.000$ | Warning: for segment \#9 ( $54+64.000$ to $55+75.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 1 EX |
| $55+75.000$ | $56+31.000$ | Warning: for segment \#10 ( $55+75.000$ to $56+31.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| $56+31.000$ | $56+86.000$ | Warning: for segment \#11 ( $56+31.000$ to $56+86.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 1EX |
| $56+86.000$ | $57+83.110$ | Warning: for segment \#12 ( $56+86.000$ to $57+83.110$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type $1 E X$ |
| $57+83.110$ | 57+97.000 | Warning: for segment \#13 ( $57+83.110$ to $57+97.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2 EX |
| 57+97.000 | 59+08.000 | Warning: for segment \#14 (57+97.000 to 59+08.000), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{2050} \mathrm{is} \mathrm{not} \mathrm{within} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{model} \mathrm{limit} \mathrm{( } \mathrm{18,000vpd} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{reliable} \mathrm{results} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{segment} \mathrm{type} \mathrm{2EX}$ |
| $59+08.000$ | $60+20.000$ | Warning: for segment \#15 ( $59+08.000$ to $60+20.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit $(18,000 \mathrm{vpd})$ for reliable results for segment type 2EX |
| $60+20.000$ | $60+75.000$ | Warning: for segment \#16 ( $60+20.000$ to $60+75.000$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2EX |
| 60+75.000 | $61+25.090$ | Warning: for segment \#17 ( $60+75.000$ to $61+25.090$ ), traffic volume ( $22,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for 2050 is not within the model limit ( $18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) for reliable results for segment type 2EX |
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## Disclaimer Regarding Crash Prediction Method

## IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT COMPARING RESULTS FROM HIGHWAY SAFETY MANUAL FIRST EDITION (2010) MODELS TO RESULTS FROM NEW MODELS DEVELOPED UNDER NCHRP PROJECTS 17-70, 17-58, AND 17-68

Since the publication of the Highway Safety Manual - First Edition (HSM-1), in 2010 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), multiple research efforts have been undertaken through the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) to develop safety performance models for road segment and intersection facility types that were not initially reflected in the HSM-1, in order to expand the breadth and depth of the HSM in the future.

The IHSDM Crash Prediction Module (CPM) is intended as a faithful implementation of HSM Part C predictive methods. As NCHRP projects to develop new predictive methods for the HSM are completed, FHWA works to incorporate the new methods into IHSDM, sometimes in advance of publication in the HSM. The following new crash predictive methods have been accepted by NCHRP project panels and incorporated into IHSDM, while pending AASHTO's approval for incorporation into a future edition of the HSM:

- Roundabouts: completed in 2018 under NCHRP Project 17-70, the new methods will provide improved outcomes for the safety analysis of roundabouts.
- 6+ lane and one-way urban/suburban arterials (including models for segments and intersections): completed under NCHRP Project 17-58.
- Intersection crash prediction methods for some intersection configurations and traffic control types not currently addressed in the HSM (e.g., all-way stop; rural 3-leg signalized; 3-leg stop-controlled where the major leg turns; urban 5-leg signalized; urban high-speed intersections): completed in 2021 under NCHRP Project 17-68.

However, in the absence of local calibration factors (see HSM-1 Part C, Appendix A for guidance on calibration of the predictive models), it is neither appropriate nor advisable to directly compare the results from new models (from NCHRP Projects 17-58, 17-68, and 17-70) to results from HSM-1 models, as the models were not calibrated to the same base state data sets, and consequently can produce unexpected results. If local calibration factors are available and applied to both new models and HSM1 models, then it may be appropriate to directly compare the results.[Note: Work being performed under NCHRP Project 17-72 (Update of Crash Modification Factors for the Highway Safety Manual) is expected to re-calibrate many of the old (HSM-1) and new (e.g., NCHRP 17-70) models to data from a single (or small number of) states, that would allow results from all models to be directly compared.]

The models produced for NCHRP Project 17-70 have independent value in terms of informing the design of a roundabout and assessing the effects of different design characteristics on the expected safety performance of a roundabout.

The HSM-1 interim method previously included in IHSDM for evaluating roundabouts on urban/suburban arterials (i.e., evaluating an existing intersection and then applying a Crash Modification Factor for replacing the existing intersection with a roundabout) has been deactivated in IHSDM, to minimize any confusion with the new roundabout methodology.

## Section Types

## Freeway Ramp Evaluation

Section: Section 1
Evaluation Start Location: 0.000
Evaluation End Location: 15+80.576
Functional Class: Freeway Service Ramp
Type of Alignment: One Direction
Model Category: Freeway Service Ramp
Calibration Factor: ENT_RAMP_MV_FI=1.0; ENT_RAMP_MV_PDO=1.0; ENT_RAMP_SV_FI=1.0;
ENT_RAMP_SV_PDO=1.0;


Figure 1. Crash Prediction Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

Table 1. Evaluation Freeway - Homogeneous Segments (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. <br> No. | Type | Area <br> Type | Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. ft) | Length (ft) | $\underset{(\mathrm{mi})}{\text { Length }}$ | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 0.000 | 1+51.000 | 151.00 | 0.0286 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 5,800 \end{array}$ |
| 2 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 1+51.000 | 3+38.000 | 187.00 | 0.0354 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 2050: \\ 5,800 \end{array}$ |
| 3 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | $3+38.000$ | 8+96.000 | 558.00 | 0.1057 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2050: \\ 5,800 \end{array}$ |
| 4 | Freeway Ramp and C-D Road One-lane Ramp Entrance | Urban | 8+96.000 | $15+80.576$ | 684.58 | 0.1297 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2050: \\ 5,800 \end{array}$ |

Table 2. Predicted Ramp Crash Rates and Frequencies Summary (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| First Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2050 |
| Evaluated Length (mi) | 0.2994 |
| Average Future Road AADT (vpd) | 5,800 |
| Predicted Crashes |  |
| Total Crashes | 0.66 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | 0.32 |
| Property-Damage-Only Crashes | 0.34 |
| Percent of Total Predicted Crashes |  |
| Percent Fatal and Injury Crashes (\%) | 48 |
| Percent Property-Damage-Only Crashes (\%) | 52 |
| Predicted Crash Rate |  |
| Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 2.1868 |
| FI Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.0597 |
| PDO Crash Rate (crashes/mi/yr) | 1.1271 |
| Predicted Travel Crash Rate |  |
| Total Travel (million veh-mi) | 0.63 |
| Travel Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 1.03 |
| Travel FI Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.50 |
| Travel PDO Crash Rate (crashes/million veh-mi) | 0.53 |

Table 3. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Ramp Segment/Intersection (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Segment <br> Number/Interse <br> ction <br> Name/Cross <br> Road | Start <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (mi) | Total <br> Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> PDO Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi/ <br> yr) | Predicted <br> Travel <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mil <br> lion veh-mi) |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.000 | $1+51.000$ | 0.0286 | 0.046 | 0.0463 | 0.0189 | 0.0274 | 1.6189 | 0.77 |
| 2 | $1+51.000$ | $3+38.000$ | 0.0354 | 0.063 | 0.0634 | 0.0295 | 0.0339 | 1.7908 | 0.85 |
| 3 | $3+38.000$ | $8+96.000$ | 0.1057 | 0.227 | 0.2272 | 0.1098 | 0.1174 | 2.1496 | 1.01 |
| 4 | $8+96.000$ | $15+80.576$ | 0.1297 | 0.318 | 0.3177 | 0.1590 | 0.1587 | 2.4507 | 1.16 |
| Total |  |  | 0.2994 | 0.655 | 0.6546 | 0.3172 | 0.3374 | 2.1868 |  |

Table 4. Predicted Crash Frequencies and Rates by Horizontal Design Element (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Title | Start <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | End <br> Location <br> (Sta. ft) | Length <br> (mi) | Total <br> Predicted <br> Crashes for <br> Evaluation <br> Period | Predicted <br> Total Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> FI Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> PDO Crash <br> Frequency <br> (crashes/yr) | Predicted <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> /yr) <br> Travel <br> Crash Rate <br> (crashes/mi <br> llion veh- <br> mi) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Simple Curve 1 | 0.000 | $6+45.486$ | 0.1223 | 0.235 | 0.2349 | 0.1089 | 0.1260 | 1.9215 | 0.91 |
| Tangent | $6+45.486$ | $6+93.417$ | 0.0091 | 0.019 | 0.0195 | 0.0094 | 0.0101 | 2.1496 | 1.01 |
| Simple Curve 2 | $6+93.417$ | $10+96.671$ | 0.0764 | 0.176 | 0.1756 | 0.0865 | 0.0891 | 2.2994 | 1.09 |
| Simple Curve 3 | $10+96.671$ | $15+80.576$ | 0.0916 | 0.225 | 0.2246 | 0.1124 | 0.1122 | 2.4507 | 1.16 |

Table 5. Predicted Crash Frequencies by Year (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Year | Total Crashes | FI Crashes | Percent FI (\%) | PDO Crashes | Percent PDO <br> (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2050 | 0.66 | 0.32 | 48.458 | 0.34 | 51.542 |
| Total | 0.66 | 0.32 | 48.458 | 0.34 | 51.542 |
| Average | 0.66 | 0.32 | 48.458 | 0.34 | 51.542 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 6. Predicted Crash Severity by Ramp Segment (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Seg. No. | Fatal (K) <br> Crashes <br> (crashes) | Incapacitating Injury (A) <br> Crashes (crashes) | Non-Incapacitating Injury <br> (B) Crashes (crashes) | Possible Injury <br> (C) Crashes <br> (crashes) | No Injury <br> (O) Crashes <br> (crashes) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.0004 | 0.0011 | 0.0070 | 0.0105 | 0.0274 |
| 2 | 0.0005 | 0.0017 | 0.0109 | 0.0164 | 0.0339 |
| 3 | 0.0019 | 0.0057 | 0.0378 | 0.0644 | 0.1174 |
| 4 | 0.0030 | 0.0090 | 0.0585 | 0.0886 | 0.1587 |
| Total | 0.0057 | 0.0174 | 0.1141 | 0.1799 | 0.3374 |

Table 7. Predicted Freeway Ramp Crash Type Distribution (Freeway Ramp Sections)

| Element Type | Crash Type | Fatal and Injury |  | Property Damage Only |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Crashes | Crashes <br> (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) | Crashes | Crashes (\%) |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Animal | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.8 | 0.01 | 1.0 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Collision with Fixed Object | 0.18 | 28.9 | 0.17 | 27.6 | 0.34 | 56.5 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Other Object | 0.01 | 2.0 | 0.03 | 5.4 | 0.04 | 7.4 |
| Highway Segment | Other Single-vehicle Collision | 0.05 | 8.3 | 0.03 | 4.1 | 0.08 | 12.5 |
| Highway Segment | Collision with Parked Vehicle | 0.00 | 0.6 | 0.00 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 1.2 |
| Highway Segment | Total Single Vehicle Crashes | 0.24 | 40.0 | 0.23 | 38.6 | 0.48 | 78.6 |
| Highway Segment | Right-Angle Collision | 0.00 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.5 |
| Highway Segment | Head-on Collision | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.1 |
| Highway <br> Segment | Other Multi-vehicle Collision | 0.00 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.6 |
| Highway Segment | Rear-end Collision | 0.04 | 6.7 | 0.05 | 8.5 | 0.09 | 15.3 |
| Highway Segment | Sideswipe, Same Direction Collision | 0.01 | 1.6 | 0.02 | 3.3 | 0.03 | 4.9 |
| Highway Segment | Total Multiple Vehicle Crashes | 0.06 | 9.0 | 0.07 | 12.4 | 0.13 | 21.4 |
| Highway Segment | Total Highway Segment Crashes | 0.30 | 49.0 | 0.31 | 51.0 | 0.61 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Crashes | 0.30 | 49.0 | 0.31 | 51.0 | 0.61 | 100.0 |

Note: Fatal and Injury Crashes and Property Damage Only Crashes do not necessarily sum up to Total Crashes because the distribution of these three crashes had been derived independently.

Table 8. Evaluation Message

| Start Location (Sta. ft) | End Location (Sta. $\mathrm{ft})$ | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 1+51.000 | Information: for segment \#1 ( 0.000 to $1+51.000$ ), Left shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| $8+96.000$ | $15+80.576$ | Information: for segment \#4 ( $8+96.000$ to $15+80.576$ ), Right shoulder width ( 0.00 feet) is less than specified boundaries ( 2.00 feet); adjusted in CMF calculations. |
| 0.000 | 1+51.000 | Program error: for segment \#1 ( 0.000 to 1+51.000 ), GModelDataFRE_Ramp.getFRE_Ramp_BaseAADT(): unknown key: \|||urban, invalid configuration data or program call |

