# Arizona Statewide Truck Parking Plan



## **Final Report**

## **Truck Parking Implementation Plan**

## November 2023

Prepared For: Arizona Department of Transportation

> Prepared By: Jacobs





## **Executive Summary**

Truck parking is an essential component of freight infrastructure along the State highway system. With an increase in the number of trucks on the road, the demand for truck parking has also increased, leading to a shortage of safe and secure parking facilities for drivers. The increase in the need for truck parking is driven by multiple factors, including the need for truck drivers to take breaks during long trips, regulations that limit hours of operation, increasing industry reliance on truck deliveries, and consumers demanding faster delivery services. Consequently, there has been an increase in undesignated truck parking along on/off ramps, near rest areas, private truck stops, and last mile connectors. Ensuring sufficient truck parking is crucial to reducing the risks posed by undesignated parking and enhancing overall efficiency in freight logistics.

In response to this increasing need for truck parking, the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) developed the Arizona Statewide Truck Parking Implementation Plan. The study efforts, findings, and recommendations are based on a thorough analysis of existing parking inventory, undesignated parking analysis, safety analysis, a benefit/cost analysis of different sites. A prioritization framework was developed to develop a list of projects for programming. Implementing the identified improvements will greatly benefit truck drivers and the trucking industry by providing much-needed public parking where it is most necessary.

This truck parking plan builds upon prior efforts undertaken by ADOT, specifically the 2019 Truck Parking Study, 2022 Arizona Freight Plan, and the 2023 Statewide Rest Area Study. The study used the findings and recommendations from these prior efforts as a starting point and focused on identifying opportunities to expand truck parking along the State Highway System.

The study goals and objectives are summarized below (Table ES 1).

| Goals & Objectives  |
|---|
| 1. Understand truck parking demand along the State Highway System                     |
| <ul> <li>Develop an inventory of existing truck parking facilities</li> </ul>         |
| <ul> <li>Identify existing truck parking deficiencies</li> </ul>                      |
| <ul> <li>Determine truck parking demand peak</li> </ul>                               |
| 2. Identify opportunities to increase truck parking                                   |
| <ul> <li>Identify areas of significant undesignated truck parking</li> </ul>          |
| <ul> <li>Determine utilization of existing parking facilities</li> </ul>              |
| <ul> <li>Identify opportunities to expand truck parking</li> </ul>                    |
| 3. Develop a truck parking implementation plan for programming and construction       |
| <ul> <li>Identify near-term and long-term projects to expand truck parking</li> </ul> |



#### Stakeholder Input

Central to formulating this plan was the initiation and coordination with key representatives from the trucking industry and public stakeholders. Representatives from public agencies included personnel from ADOT, FMCSA, Federal highway Administration (FHWA), Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), Council of Governments (COGs), Municipal, and Tribal community representatives. Representatives for Arizona Trucking Association (ATA), National Association of Truck Stop Operators (NATSO), farming, and mining concerns were included as private industry stakeholders. Through virtual and in-person meetings, the project team conducted informal polls and surveys to better understand and identify stakeholder needs, identify potential parking solutions, and obtain a consensus on the prioritization process.

### Key Rules and Regulations Driving Parking Demands

|                           | "Hours of Service" (HOS), as defined by the FMCSA, outline the maximum time          |
|---------------------------|--|
|                           | drivers can be on duty, including driving and rest periods, to ensure driver         |
|                           | alertness. HOS rules aim to enhance road safety by limiting work hours and           |
|                           | ensuring rest but can lead to challenges and fatigue due to limited truck parking.   |
| Hours of Service (HOS)    | Commercial motor vehicle (CMV) carriers and drivers must adhere to HOS               |
|                           | regulations detailed in 49 CFR 395. These rules allow a 14-hour work period.         |
|                           | preceded by 10 hours of rest. Within the 14-hour work period, 11 hours can be        |
|                           | used for driving, leaving 3 hours for tasks like loading. A mandatory 30-minute      |
|                           | break is required within the first 8 hours of driving.                               |
|                           | The Electronic Logging Device (ELD) mandate, initiated in December 2017, has         |
|                           | had a notable impact on the trucking sector. The mandate primarily affects CMV       |
|                           | drivers, encompassing vehicles engaged in interstate commerce meeting specific       |
|                           | weight or passenger capacity criteria. The FLD requirement's core objective.         |
|                           | according to the FMCSA, is to aid the motor carrier industry in adhering to critical |
| Flectronic Logging Device | HOS regulations, thus enhancing road safety by ensuring drivers take necessary       |
| (FLD)                     | breaks. The mandate aimed to bolster safety. HOS compliance, and technological       |
|                           | advancement in trucking leading to increased transparency efficiency and safety      |
|                           | for drivers and road users. However, it also brought challenges such as beightened   |
|                           | demand for truck parking, which prompted efforts to expand parking infrastructure    |
|                           | and enforcement of narking regulations. In essence the FLD mandate improved          |
|                           | HOS compliance and safety while sourring challenges related to truck parking and     |
|                           | prompting inpovative solutions   |
|                           |  |

Table ES 2. Key Regulations Driving Parking Demand



#### Parking Needs Analysis

Using Global Position System (GPS) truck parking data, the parking demand and utilization at existing parking facilities was determined. The data was also used to identify locations with high activity of undesignated parking. Undesignated parking intensity is an indicator for lack of parking in the vicinity. The analysis indicated that parking is needed mostly along I-40 and I-10. See Figure ES 1, Figure ES 3, Figure ES 2 below.



Figure ES 1. Undesignated Parking by Corridor



#### Figure ES 3. Undesignated Parking by Location



Figure ES 2. Parking Utilization at Peak Hour and Location of Undesignated Clusters



#### Parking Improvement Opportunities

Several sites along the state highway system were explored for parking expansion opportunities. The undesignated parking analysis and stakeholder input were used as a basis to identify these locations. Expansion opportunities were considered at existing rest areas, and at alternate SAFE lot locations. A SAFE lot is a location along the state highway system that will be developed to accommodate truck parking and include basic amenities like waterless vaulted toilet system and lighting. SAFE lots will provide a cost-effective way to implement parking where it is needed the most. The locations were reviewed using different prioritization metrics identified below (Table ES 3).

| Prioritization Criteria           | Description   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Parking Demand                    | Improvements near high need for parking and high intensity of undesignated parking                          |
| Parking Need Met                  | Improvements will address the parking needs   |
| Rest Area Truck Parking Expansion | Truck parking expansions are at a rest area   |
| Economy                           | Truck VMT (per day) in 30-mile buffer   |
| Benefit-Cost                      | Benefits – Safety, Travel Time Savings, Emissions Savings, Initial Cost,<br>Operations and Maintenance Cost |
| Project Readiness                 | Within ROW, Power, Water, Wastewater Connectivity   |
| Funding                           | Available and can be obligated within next two years  |

#### Table ES 3. Prioritization Framework Criteria and Weighted Factors

#### **Recommended Projects and Actions**

Based on the prioritization ranking criteria, the sites that would have the greatest impacts for truck parking expansion are as follows:

- 1. Burnt Well Rest Area
- 2. Meteor Crater Rest Area
- 3. B-10/SR186 TI Safe lot
- 4. Crazy Creek Safe lot
- 5. Ehrenberg Rest Area
- 6. San Simon Rest Area
- 7. Bouse Wash Rest Area
- 8. Seligman Safe lot
- 9. Sacaton Rest Area
- 10. Mohawk Rest Area



Spreading the investment by corridor and prioritizing Burnt Well Rest Area, Meteor Crater Rest Area and B-10/SR186 TI Safe lot will cost \$28.95 M



In order to effectively make use of truck parking expansion funding, the sites were prioritized based on the improvement across multiple corridors of need with a pragmatic parking prioritization and implementation. The NHFP funding to the current truck parking expansion projects along I-17 (Christensen, McGuireville, and Sunset Point Rest Areas) amounts to \$10.4 million and the current truck parking expansion project along I-40 at Haviland Rest Area amounts to \$7.6 million. The remaining \$32 million allotted to truck parking expansion may be distributed between sites I- Burnt Well Rest Area (\$9.1M), Meteor Crater Rest Area (\$10.97M), and at B-10 TI Safe lot (\$8.88M). These prioritized sites amount to a total of \$28.95 million.

The prioritized truck parking implementation recommendations are summarized in Figure ES 4 and Table ES 4.



| Priority<br>Rank | Site ID                        | Route | Travel<br>Direction<br>Served | Type of Parking Expansion   | Number of<br>Anticipated<br>Truck Spaces<br>Gained | Total Cost (in<br>Millions \$) |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| 1                | Burnt Well<br>Rest Area        | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking by expanding rest area ROW<br>and provide overflow alternate pavement lot in SE<br>corner of existing rest area. Provide overflow<br>alternate pavement lot in NW corner of existing rest<br>area. | 103  | 9.1                            |
| 2                | Meteor Crater<br>Rest Area     | I-40  | Both                          | Provide overflow alternate pavement lot for truck parking area in the SW corner of the existing rest area.  | 140  | 10.97                          |
| 3                | Taylor Road<br>(B-10) Safe lot | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing<br>ROW. Utilize existing ramps for minor realignment<br>at traffic interchange. Provide alternative pavement<br>in truck parking lot.  | 127  | 8.88                           |
|                  |                                |       |                               | Subtotal Parking  | Expansion Cost                                     | 28.95                          |
| 4                | Crazy Creek<br>Safe lot        | I-40  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW.<br>Provide new on/off ramps and alternative pavement<br>in truck parking lot.   | 176  | 10.46                          |
| 5                | Ehrenberg<br>Rest Area         | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW by relocating ramp along freeway with new ramp gore(s).  | 53   | 5.95                           |
| 6                | San Simon<br>Rest Area         | I-10  | Both                          | Provide overflow gravel parking area.   | 80   | 7.85                           |
| 7                | Bouse Wash<br>Rest Area        | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW using minor ramp realignments and provide overflow parking.  | 55   | 4.6                            |
| 8                | Seligman Safe<br>lot           | I-40  | Both                          | Expand parking within the existing ROW by<br>providing on/off ramps along freeway with new<br>ramp gore(s).   | 72   | 8.28                           |
| 9                | Sacaton Rest<br>Area           | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW using minor ramp realignment.  | 20   | 5.57                           |
| 10               | Mohawk Rest<br>Area            | I-8   | EB                            | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW using minor ramp realignment.  | 16   | 2.36                           |
|                  |                                |       |                               | Total Parking   | Expansion Cost                                     | 74.02                          |

### Table ES 4. Prioritized Expansion Recommendations





Figure ES 4. Prioritized Truck Parking Expansion Locations



## Contents

| Executive Summary                                     | ii |
|---|----|
| 1 Introduction and Background                         | 1  |
| 1.1 Relevant ADOT Studies                             | 1  |
| 1.2 Study Goals and Objectives                        | 2  |
| 1.3 Study Process                                     | 2  |
| 1.4 Project Stakeholders                              | 2  |
| 2 Data Collection                                     | 4  |
| 2.1 Applicable Laws and Regulations                   |    |
| 2.1.1 Jason's Law                                     | 4  |
| 2.1.2 Hours of Service (HOS)                          | 4  |
| 2.1.3 Electronic Logging Device (ELD)                 | 5  |
| 2.2 Parking Availability                              | 5  |
| 2.2.1 Public Parking                                  | 7  |
| 2.2.2 Private Parking                                 |    |
| 3 Truck Parking Analysis                              | 15 |
| 3.1 Truck Volumes                                     |    |
| 3.2 Undesignated Parking                              |    |
| 3.2.1 Undesignated Parking Identification             |    |
| 3.2.2 Proximity to Designated Truck Parking Locations |    |
| 3.3 Parking Utilization Analysis                      |    |
| 3.3.1 Parking Utilization by Time of Day              |    |
| 3.3.2 Parking Utilization by Location                 |    |
| 4 Safety Overview                                     | 37 |
| 4.1 Crash Analysis                                    |    |
| 5 Peer State Review                                   | 43 |
| 5.1 Parking Expansion and Reconfiguration             |    |
| 5.1.1 Creative Use of Existing ROW                    |    |
| 5.1.2 Upgrading Existing Rest Areas                   |    |
| 5.1.3 Truck Parking Only Areas                        |    |



|   | 5.2   | Commercial Parking Partnerships          | . 49 |
|---|-------|--|------|
|   | 5.2.1 | Private Partnership Use Cases            | . 49 |
|   | 5.3   | Emerging Technology Trends               | . 50 |
|   | 5.3.1 | Truck Parking Availability System (TPAS) | . 50 |
|   | 5.3.2 | 2 Detection Solutions                    | . 53 |
|   | 5.3.3 | B Information Dissemination              | . 54 |
| 6 | Truc  | k Parking Solutions                      | .55  |
|   | 6.1   | Infrastructure Solutions                 | . 56 |
|   | 6.1.1 | New Spaces at Existing Rest Area Sites   | . 56 |
|   | 6.1.2 | 2 Safe Truck Parking Lots                | . 56 |
|   | 6.1.3 | Private Truck Stops                      | . 57 |
|   | 6.2   | Technology Strategies                    | . 58 |
|   | 6.3   | Policy Considerations                    | . 58 |
|   | 6.3.1 | Multi-Agency Collaboration               | . 58 |
|   | 6.3.2 | Prioritization Method Adjustments        | . 59 |
|   | 6.3.3 | B Public Private Partnerships (P3)       | . 59 |
|   | 6.4   | Truck Parking Solutions Considered       | . 60 |
| 7 | Park  | ing Opportunities                        | .61  |
|   | 7.1   | Parking Expansion Locations              | . 62 |
|   | 7.1.1 | Parking Along I-10                       | . 64 |
|   | 7.1.2 | 2 Parking Along I-40                     | . 75 |
|   | 7.1.3 | 3 Other Parking Areas                    | . 81 |
|   | 7.1.4 | Other Parking Opportunities              | . 82 |
| 8 | Impl  | ementation Plan                          | .85  |
|   | 8.1   | Parking Demand                           | . 86 |
|   | 8.2   | Parking Need Met                         | . 87 |
|   | 8.3   | Rest Area Truck Parking Expansions       | . 88 |
|   | 8.4   | Economy                                  | . 89 |
|   | 8.5   | Project Readiness                        | . 90 |
|   | 8.6   | Funding                                  | . 91 |
|   | 8.6.1 | Funding Availability                     | . 91 |
|   | 8.7   | Benefit-Cost Analysis                    | . 94 |



| 8.7.1 | Safety Savings                  | 94 |
|-------|---------------------------------|----|
| 8.7.2 | Travel Time Related Savings     |    |
| 8.7.3 | Environmental Benefits          |    |
| 8.7.4 | Residual Benefits               | 97 |
| 8.7.5 | Operations and Maintenance      |    |
| 8.8 R | ecommended Projects and Actions |    |



#### List of Tables

| Table ES-1. Study Goals and Objectives                                   | ii    |
|--|-------|
| Table ES-2. Key Regulations Driving Parking Demand                       | iii   |
| Table ES-3. Prioritization Framework Criteria and Weighted Factors       | V     |
| Table ES-4. Prioritized Expansion Recommendations                        | .viii |
| Table 1-1. Study Goals and Objectives                                    | 2     |
| Table 2-1. Public Truck Parking Inventory                                | 8     |
| Table 2-2. Private Truck Parking Supply by Corridor                      | 14    |
| Table 3-1. Rest Area Traffic Demand Comparison, 2019 to 2022             | 17    |
| Table 3-2. Popular Undesignated Truck Parking Locations                  | 19    |
| Table 3-3. 2017 Undesignated Parking Clusters                            | 20    |
| Table 3-4. 2022 Undesignated Parking Top Clusters                        | 20    |
| Table 4-1. Driver Fatigue and Parked Truck Crashes                       | 40    |
| Table 5-1. FHWA Truck Parking P3s Benefits and Potential Barriers        | 49    |
| Table 6-1. Truck Parking Solutions Toolbox                               | 55    |
| Table 7-1. Top 10 Undesignated Parking Cluster Locations                 | 61    |
| Table 7-2. Truck Parking Expansion Opportunities                         | 63    |
| Table 7-3. Truck Parking Expansion Opportunities Along I-10              | 74    |
| Table 7-4. Truck Parking Expansion Opportunities Along I-40              | 81    |
| Table 7-5. Other Corridor Locations for Truck Parking Expansion          | 84    |
| Table 8-1. Prioritization Framework Criteria and Weighted Factors        | 85    |
| Table 8-2. Parking Demand Points Evaluation                              | 86    |
| Table 8-3. Parking Need Met Points Evaluation                            | 87    |
| Table 8-4. Rest Area Truck Parking Expansions Points Evaluation          | 88    |
| Table 8-5. Economy Factor Site Evaluations                               | 89    |
| Table 8-6. Project Readiness Factor Site Evaluation                      | 90    |
| Table 8-7. Funding Opportunities in Arizona for Freight-Related Projects | 92    |
| Table 8-8. Safety Savings by Injury Severity                             | 94    |
| Table 8-9. BCA Safety Savings Sites Evaluation                           | 95    |
| Table 8-10. Travel Time Related Savings Factors                          | 95    |
| Table 8-11. BCA Travel Time Savings Site Evaluation                      | 96    |
| Table 8-12. Idling Emissions Factors                                     | 97    |
| Table 8-13. BCA Idling Emissions Savings Sites Evaluation                | 97    |
| Table 8-14. Residual Savings Factors                                     | 98    |
| Table 8-15. BCA Residual Savings Sites Evaluation                        | 98    |
| Table 8-16. BCA Operations & Maintenance Savings Site Evaluation         | 99    |
| Table 8-17. Truck Parking Implementation Overall Site Ranking            | 100   |
| Table 8-18. Truck Parking Expansion Prioritized Recommendations          | 102   |
| Table 8-19. Other Truck Parking Sites Not Prioritized                    | 103   |



### List of Figures

| Figure ES-1. Undesignated Parking by Corridor   | iv         |
|---|------------|
| Figure ES-2. Undesignated Parking by Location   | <b>i</b> v |
| Figure ES-3. Parking Utilization at Peak Hour and Location of Undesignated Parking Clusters | iv         |
| Figure ES-4. Prioritized Truck Parking Expansion Locations                                  | .viii      |
| Figure 2-1. Public Rest Area Inventory  | 6          |
| Figure 2-2. Meteor Crater Overflow Parking  | 9          |
| Figure 2-3. Canoa Ranch Overflow Parking  | 9          |
| Figure 2-4. Sentinel Overflow Parking   | 10         |
| Figure 2-5. Ligurta Parking Only Locations  | 10         |
| Figure 2-6. Table Top Parking Only Locations  | 11         |
| Figure 2-7. Public Truck Parking Inventory  | 11         |
| Figure 2-8. Private Truck Parking Supply  | 12         |
| Figure 2-9. Private Truck Parking Inventory   | 13         |
| Figure 3-1. Change in Percentage of AADT as Truck Traffic, 2019 to 2022                     | 16         |
| Figure 3-2. Undesignated Truck Parking Cluster by Type                                      | 21         |
| Figure 3-3. Undesignated Truck Parking Cluster by Location                                  | 21         |
| Figure 3-4. Undesignated Parking Density Heat Map   | 23         |
| Figure 3-5. Undesignated Truck Parking Clusters   | 24         |
| Figure 3-6. Undesignated Parking Areas and Nearby Public & Private Parking                  | 25         |
| Figure 3-7. Weekday Truck Parking Utilization   | 31         |
| Figure 3-8. Truck Parking Utilization from 12 AM to 1 AM                                    | 33         |
| Figure 3-9. Truck Parking Utilization from 8 AM to 9 AM                                     | 34         |
| Figure 3-10. Truck Parking Utilization from 4 PM to 5 PM                                    | 35         |
| Figure 4-1. Crash Injury Severity   | 38         |
| Figure 4-2: Driver Fatigue and Parked Truck Crashes   | 39         |
| Figure 5-1. Peer States Reviewed for Truck Parking Best Practices                           | 43         |
| Figure 5-2. NDOT I-80/US-138 Interchange Parking Expansion                                  | 46         |
| Figure 5-3. INDOT Welcome Centers and Rest Area Conversions                                 | 47         |
| Figure 5-4. MoDOT Rest Area Conversions to Truck Parking Only                               | 48         |
| Figure 5-5. Wyoming DOT Truck Parking Turnout Location along I-80                           | 49         |
| Figure 5-6. Walmart Commercial Truck Parking Opportunity                                    | 50         |
| Figure 5-7. I-10 Corridor Coalition Project Limits  | 51         |
| Figure 5-8. Arizona TPAS Implementation Sites   | 52         |
| Figure 5-9. TxDOT Freight Integrated Corridor Management                                    | 52         |
| Figure 5-10. TxDOT AV Infrastructure, Connected Signage and Data                            | 53         |
| Figure 5-11. TxDOT High-Resolution Freight Traveler Information System                      | 53         |
| Figure 5-12. CCTV Camera and Radar Detection for TPAS                                       | 54         |
| Figure 5-13. FDOT 511 Website Real-Time Truck Parking Availability                          | 54         |
| Figure 6-1. TPAS Implementation   | 58         |
| Figure 7-1. Top 10 Undesignated Parking Cluster Locations                                   | 61         |
| Figure 7-2. Texas Canyon Rest Area Expansion Opportunities                                  | 64         |



| Figure 7-3. I-10 Milepost 312.8 Safe lot Parking Opportunity              | 65 |
|---|----|
| Figure 7-4. I-10 at US 191 Truck Parking Opportunity                      | 66 |
| Figure 7-5. B-10/SR186 TI Truck Parking Opportunity                       | 67 |
| Figure 7-6. San Simon Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity                 | 68 |
| Figure 7-7. Ehrenberg Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity                 | 69 |
| Figure 7-8. Bouse Wash Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity                | 70 |
| Figure 7-9. Burnt Well Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity                | 71 |
| Figure 7-10. Sacaton Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity                  | 72 |
| Figure 7-11. Casa Grande City Gate Mall Private Truck Parking Opportunity | 73 |
| Figure 7-12. Painted Cliffs Rest Area Limited Expansion Opportunities     | 75 |
| Figure 7-13. Meteor Crater Rest Area Expansion Opportunity                | 76 |
| Figure 7-14. Hibbard Safe lot Expansion Opportunity                       | 77 |
| Figure 7-15. Dead River Safe lot Expansion Opportunity                    | 78 |
| Figure 7-16. Crazy Creek Safe lot Expansion Opportunity                   | 79 |
| Figure 7-17. Seligman Safe lot Expansion Opportunity                      | 80 |
| Figure 7-18. Mohawk Rest Area Expansion Opportunity                       | 81 |
| Figure 7-19. Bagdad Safe lot Expansion Opportunity                        | 82 |
| Figure 7-20. I-19 Frontage Road Expansion Opportunity                     | 83 |



#### Acronyms/Abbreviations

- AADTT Average Annual Daily Truck Traffic
- ADOT Arizona Department of Transportation
- ATA Arizona Trucking Association
- ATRI American Transportation Research Institute
- BCA Benefit-Cost Analysis
- COG Council of Governments
- DOT Department of Transportation
- EB Eastbound
- ELD Electronic Logging Device
- FAC Freight Advisory Committee
- FHWA Federal Highway Administration
- FMCSA Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
- HOS Hours of Service
- IIJA Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act
- MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization
- NATSO National Association of Truck Stop Operators
- NB Northbound
- NHS National Highway System
- NM New Mexico
- SB Southbound
- TI Traffic Interchange
- TAC Technical Advisory Committee
- TPIMS Truck Parking Information System
- TPAS Truck Parking Availability System
- VMT Vehicle Miles Traveled
- VHT Vehicle Hours of Travel
- WB Westbound







### 1 Introduction and Background

The Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) conducted a review and update of the truck parking supply and demand in the 2019 Arizona Truck Parking Study. The truck parking supply and demand were also discussed in the 2023 Statewide Rest Area Study, with additional truck parking identified since the 2019 Study. New regulations (Jason's law, Hours of Service, and ELD mandates), emerging truck traffic patterns, and the increased freight volume due to the heightened demand for online purchasing, which accelerated during the COVID-19 pandemic, have exacerbated the shortage of available truck parking along interstate corridors. Consequently, there has been an increase in undesignated truck parking along on/off ramps, last-mile connectors, near rest areas, and truck stops. Ensuring sufficient truck parking is crucial for reducing undesignated parking incidents and enhancing overall logistics efficiency.

This truck parking plan follows with a further inspection of the truck parking statewide in response to the increasing demand and subsequent need for safe and secure facilities for drivers to park. This update is being conducted to identify truck parking improvements and plan for implementation based on need and best practices. Analyses will be conducted to determine potential innovative technology and infrastructure solutions and a prioritization framework will be implemented for expanding the truck parking network in the short-, mid-, and long-term with a target year through 2045.

#### 1.1 Relevant ADOT Studies

ADOT conducted several studies to identify and enhance freight parking in the State. Key studies are summarized below:

- Arizona Truck Parking Study (2019): This study followed the 2017 Arizona State Freight Plan and identified gaps between truck parking supply and demand. It used a data-driven approach to identify parking demand and a framework to advance policies and projects to improve truck parking in the state.
- Arizona State Freight Plan (2022): This plan builds upon the 2017 Freight Plan to ensure the state's freight system remains strong by providing an action plan to guide decisions and investments. The Plan serves as the guiding document for freight related decision-making by providing a review of historical conditions, forecasting existing and emerging trends, and outlining the state's priorities for the future.
- ADOT Rest Area Study (2023): This study, an update to the 2011 Rest Area Study, provides an updated rest area guide for ADOT's use over the next 20 years. The study recognizes changing demand characteristics due to new regulations and identifies rest area parking expansion opportunities.
- *Truck Parking Availability System (TPAS):* ADOT partnered with the neighboring states of Texas, California, and New Mexico, as part of the I-10 Corridor Coalition, to develop a system for collecting and disseminating real-time truck parking availability. The TPAS system will disseminate parking availability and is expected to go live in 2024.



#### 1.2 Study Goals and Objectives

This study's goals and objectives will reflect and expand upon the recommendations made in previous transportation planning studies and plans, including the Arizona Truck Parking Study (2019), Truck Parking Availability System (TPAS), Arizona State Freight Plan (2022), and ADOT Rest Area Study (2023). (Table 1-1)

| Goals & Objectives  |
|---|
| 4. Understand truck parking demand along the State Highway System                     |
| <ul> <li>Develop an inventory of existing truck parking facilities</li> </ul>         |
| <ul> <li>Identify existing truck parking deficiencies</li> </ul>                      |
| <ul> <li>Determine truck parking demand peak</li> </ul>                               |
| 5. Identify opportunities to increase truck parking                                   |
| <ul> <li>Identify areas of significant undesignated truck parking</li> </ul>          |
| <ul> <li>Determine utilization of existing parking facilities</li> </ul>              |
| <ul> <li>Identify opportunities to expand truck parking</li> </ul>                    |
| 6. Develop a truck parking implementation plan for programming and construction       |
| <ul> <li>Identify near-term and long-term projects to expand truck parking</li> </ul> |

#### Table 1-1. Study Goals and Objectives

#### 1.3 Study Process

This study updates and supersedes the 2019 Arizona Truck Parking Study. The study will review the applicable rules and regulations that impact truck parking and conduct an analysis of current truck parking demand and utilization of existing parking facilities using ATRI data. Additionally, the study will examine truck-related crashes over a five-year period from 2017 to 2022 along the state highway system, with a particular focus on factors such as fatigued driving and parked trucks contributing to accidents.

Before identifying solutions specific to Arizona, the study will explore truck parking solutions implemented by peer agencies. The identified Arizona-specific solutions will then be presented to the project's Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and the stakeholder group. Following this, a prioritized list of projects for implementation will be developed.

A Working Paper was written for each of the steps listed above. Then, the Working Papers were combined to create this document.

#### 1.4 Project Stakeholders

The project team identified several stakeholders and included representatives from both public and private entities. Representatives from public agencies included personnel from ADOT, FMCSA, Federal highway Administration (FHWA), Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), Council of Governments (COGs), Municipal, and Tribal community representatives. Representatives for Arizona Trucking Association (ATA), National Association of Truck Stop Operators (NATSO), farming, and mining concerns were included as private industry stakeholders. Three separate stakeholder meetings were conducted: the first one to present

## Jacobs



the study goals and obtain input for the study, and the second one summarizing data analysis findings, and third one discussing the study recommendations and implementation framework.



## 2 Data Collection

The evaluation of truck parking demand and utilization of existing parking facilities considered data aligned with the study's objectives. These goals include addressing both existing and future truck parking needs, reducing instances of undesignated truck parking, and formulating an implementation plan for truck parking. The data needs identified for this study include:

- Truck parking laws and regulations
- Truck parking availability at public and private truck stops
- Truck traffic demand along the State highway system
- Undesignated and designated truck parking locations
- American Transportation Research Institute (ATRI) truck GPS database
- Annual operation and maintenance costs
- Innovative technology solutions at truck parking facilities
- Peer State truck parking programs and initiatives

The following sections discuss findings based on the data collected for the study.

#### 2.1 Applicable Laws and Regulations

The trucking industry is primarily regulated by federal laws due to the interstate commerce clause of the US Constitution. Key federal agencies overseeing this industry include the USDOT, the FMCSA, and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). Over the past 15 years, various federal initiatives aimed at enhancing safety on the national highway system have been introduced. These include Jason's Law, Hours of Service (HOS) regulations, and Electronic Logging Device (ELD) mandates.

#### 2.1.1 Jason's Law

Jason's Law, established in 2012 following the tragic death of truck driver Jason Rivenburg, empowers individual States to identify and finance additional secure truck parking. The law mandates the USDOT to collaborate with state motor carrier representatives, assess state's ability to provide adequate parking for commercial vehicles, evaluate traffic volume, and establish metrics to measure parking facility sufficiency. Since its inception, two surveys were conducted in 2015 and 2019. As of May 2023, the Federal Highway Administration plans to conduct future surveys on truck parking capacity and demand at regular intervals.

#### 2.1.2 Hours of Service (HOS)

"Hours of Service" (HOS), as defined by the FMCSA, outline the maximum time drivers can be on duty, including driving and rest periods, to ensure driver alertness. HOS rules aim to enhance road safety by limiting work hours and ensuring rest but can lead to challenges and fatigue due to limited truck parking. Commercial motor vehicle (CMV) carriers and drivers must adhere to HOS regulations detailed in 49 CFR 395. These rules allow a 14-hour work period, preceded by 10 hours of rest. Within the 14-hour work period, 11 hours can be used for driving, leaving 3 hours for tasks like loading. A mandatory 30-minute break is required within the first 8 hours of driving. Exceptions include the sleep-berth provision, adverse driving conditions, and exemptions for short-distance drivers. The electronic logging device (ELD) mandate, discussed below, enforces accurate activity tracking.

## Jacobs

Final Report: Truck Parking Implementation Plan



#### 2.1.3 Electronic Logging Device (ELD)

The Electronic Logging Device (ELD) mandate, initiated in December 2017, has had a notable impact on the trucking sector. The mandate primarily affects CMV drivers, encompassing vehicles engaged in interstate commerce meeting specific weight or passenger capacity criteria. The ELD requirement's core objective, according to the FMCSA, is to aid the motor carrier industry in adhering to critical HOS regulations, thus enhancing road safety by ensuring drivers take necessary breaks. An April 2023 report from the FMCSA acknowledged challenges in evaluating ELDs' safety impact due to external factors post-implementation. The mandate aimed to bolster safety, HOS compliance, and technological advancement in trucking, leading to increased transparency, efficiency, and safety for drivers and road users. However, it also brought challenges such as heightened demand for truck parking, which prompted efforts to expand parking infrastructure and enforcement of parking regulations. In essence, the ELD mandate improved HOS compliance and safety while spurring challenges related to truck parking and prompting innovative solutions.

#### 2.2 Parking Availability

The truck parking locations across the state can be broken down into 3 categories: public parking facilities, private parking facilities, and undesignated parking locations. These truck parking locations are located along state roads, interstates, and other roads in all seven ADOT Districts (Figure 2-1).





Figure 2-1. Public Rest Area Inventory

Jacobs

Final Report: Truck Parking Implementation Plan



#### 2.2.1 Public Parking

The public truck parking facilities managed by ADOT include 19 rest areas (33 sites), overflow lots at 3 rest areas, and 2 parking-only locations. The 2019 Arizona Truck Parking Study identified 523 truck parking spaces at these sites. The number of spaces has since been increased, as noted by the 2023 Statewide Rest Area Study to 638 truck parking spaces. The existing truck parking inventory at the rest areas are summarized in Table 2-1.



| Table 2-1 | Public  | Truck | Parking  | Inventory |
|-----------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|
|           | I UDIIC | TIUCK | i unking | montory   |

| RA MAP                          |  |       |        | DICTDICT     | PARKING SPACES |        |
|---------------------------------|--|-------|--------|--------------|----------------|--------|
| No. <sup>1</sup>                | KESTAKEA (KA)  | RUUTE | SERVED |              | CARS           | TRUCKS |
| 1                               | Mohawk   | I-8   | EB     | Southwest    | 25             | 10     |
| 1                               | Mohawk   | I-8   | WB     | Southwest    | 28             | 10     |
| 2                               | Sentinel   | I-8   | EB     | Southwest    | 28             | 14     |
| 2                               | Sentinel   | I-8   | WB     | Southwest    | 28             | 15     |
| 3                               | Ehrenberg  | I-10  | EB     | Southwest    | 26             | 15     |
| 3                               | Ehrenberg  | I-10  | WB     | Southwest    | 25             | 15     |
| 4                               | Bouse Wash   | I-10  | EB     | Southwest    | 42             | 20     |
| 4                               | Bouse Wash   | I-10  | WB     | Southwest    | 32             | 20     |
| 5                               | Burnt Well   | I-10  | EB     | Southwest    | 50             | 30     |
| 5                               | Burnt Well   | I-10  | WB     | Southwest    | 45             | 30     |
| 6                               | Sacaton  | I-10  | EB     | Southcentral | 56             | 21     |
| 6                               | Sacaton  | I-10  | WB     | Southcentral | 44             | 18     |
| 7                               | Texas Canyon   | I-10  | EB     | Southcentral | 35             | 21     |
| 7                               | Texas Canyon   | I-10  | WB     | Southcentral | 35             | 22     |
| 8                               | San Simon  | I-10  | EB     | Southeast    | 32             | 18     |
| 8                               | San Simon  | I-10  | WB     | Southeast    | 42             | 18     |
| 9                               | Sunset Point   | I-17  | Both   | Northwest    | 56             | 27     |
| 10                              | Canoa Ranch  | I-19  | NB     | Southcentral | 44             | 18     |
| 10                              | Canoa Ranch  | I-19  | SB     | Southcentral | 53             | 18     |
| 11                              | Haviland   | I-40  | EB     | Northwest    | 28             | 29     |
| 11                              | Haviland   | I-40  | WB     | Northwest    | 26             | 23     |
| 12                              | Painted Cliffs   | I-40  | Both   | Northeast    | 34             | 9      |
| 13                              | Hassayampa   | US 60 | Both   | Northwest    | 27             | 0      |
| 14                              | Salt River Canyon  | US 60 | Both   | Southeast    | 19             | 0      |
| 15                              | Mazatzal   | SR 87 | Both   | Southeast    | 2              | 2      |
| 16                              | McGuireville   | I-17  | NB     | Northcentral | 45             | 20     |
| 16                              | McGuireville   | I-17  | SB     | Northcentral | 45             | 20     |
| 17                              | Parks  | I-40  | EB     | Northcentral | 3              | 15     |
| 17                              | Parks  | I-40  | WB     | Northcentral | 3              | 15     |
| 18                              | Meteor Crater  | I-40  | EB     | Northcentral | 32             | 57     |
| 18                              | Meteor Crater  | I-40  | WB     | Northcentral | 31             | 64     |
| 19                              | Christensen  | I-17  | NB     | Northcentral | 3              | 11     |
| 19                              | Christensen  | I-17  | SB     | Northcentral | 3              | 15     |
| Total Number of Spaces 1013 638 |  |       |        |              |                |        |
| RA Map No. <sup>1</sup> =       | RA Map No. <sup>1</sup> = Rest area number corresponding to Figure 2-1 |       |        |              |                |        |

 $^{2}$  = No data available, rest area is closed or under construction  $^{3}$  = Rest area temporarily open to trucks only



Additional truck parking can be accommodated at the Meteor Crater, Canoa Ranch, and Sentinel rest areas by overflow parking lots. The truck parking overflow lots at I-40 eastbound and westbound Meteor Crater were paved following the 2019 Arizona Truck Parking Study and turned into marked formal overflow parking areas. The overflow lot provides about 20 additional spaces (Figure 2-2). The I-19 northbound and southbound Canoa Ranch overflow lots accommodate an additional 12 spaces (Figure 2-3). The I-8 eastbound Sentinel overflow provides 15 additional spaces (Figure 2-4).



Figure 2-2. Meteor Crater Overflow Parking



Figure 2-3. Canoa Ranch Overflow Parking





Figure 2-4. Sentinel Overflow Parking

The two locations for parking only areas that ADOT owns, maintains, and manages truck parking include the Ligurta Parking Area and the Table Top Roadside Area on I-8. The Ligurta Parking Area does not appear on ADOT's rest area map and only includes garbage cans on site alongside the 10 truck parking spaces (Figure 2-5). The Table Top site includes covered picnic table areas and garbage cans alongside the 12 truck parking spaces (Figure 2-6).



Figure 2-5. Ligurta Parking Only Locations





Figure 2-6. Table Top Parking Only Locations

The highest truck volume corridors, I-10 and I-40, include the greatest number of public truck spaces and make up nearly 68% of all public spaces (248 spaces and 232 spaces, respectively). The public truck parking inventory is summarized in Figure 2-7.



Figure 2-7. Public Truck Parking Inventory



#### 2.2.2 Private Parking

Public parking statewide accounts for nearly 10% of overall designated parking while private parking accounts for the remaining majority. Private truck parking facilities were identified from GPS truck data and third-party parking availability apps such as Trucker Path. Private truck stops typically have more truck parking spaces as compared to public truck parking locations and some may offer reserved spots. The companies that provide the majority of private truck parking throughout Arizona are Pilot Flying J (1,940 spaces, 30%), TA & Petro (1,440 spaces, 22%), and Love's (880 spaces, 14%).

Other parking providers include Shell, Pride, Roady's, Sun Mart, Conoco, Chevron, and others (2,230 spaces, 34%) (Figure 2-8).



Figure 2-8. Private Truck Parking Supply

The geographic distribution of private parking facilities is shown in Figure 2-9.





Figure 2-9. Private Truck Parking Inventory

## Jacobs

Final Report: Truck Parking Implementation Plan



The proportion of private truck parking among different corridors across the state varies. The corridor with the highest number of private truck parking spaces is I-10 (3,846 spaces, 59.1%). The interstate system in Arizona accounts for over 93% of all private parking spaces. The corridor breakdown of private truck parking can be seen in Table 2-2 below.

|               | Corridor         | Truck Parking Spaces | Proportion of Total Spaces | Total #<br>Spaces | Total %<br>Spaces |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|               | I-10             | 3,846                | 59.1%                      |                   |                   |
|               | I-40             | 1,723                | 26.5%                      |                   | 93.3%             |
| Interstates   | I-8              | 329                  | 5.1%                       | 6,078             |                   |
|               | I-19             | 140                  | 2.2%                       |                   |                   |
|               | I-17             | 40                   | 0.6%                       |                   |                   |
| US Highways   | US 89            | 37                   | 0.6%                       |                   |                   |
|               | US 93            | 42                   | 0.6%                       | 162               | 2.5%              |
|               | US 160           | 30                   | 0.5%                       |                   |                   |
|               | US 191           | 23                   | 0.4%                       |                   |                   |
|               | US 60            | 10                   | 0.2%                       |                   |                   |
|               | US 70            | 10                   | 0.2%                       |                   |                   |
|               | US 95            | 10                   | 0.2%                       |                   |                   |
| State Doutes  | SR 89            | 5                    | 0.1%                       | 20                | 0.20/             |
| State Routes  | SR 95            | 15                   | 0.2%                       | 20                | 0.3%              |
| Phoenix Metro | Phoenix          | 251                  | 3.9%                       | 251               | 3.9%              |
|               | Total 6,511 100% |                      |                            |                   |                   |

#### Table 2-2. Private Truck Parking Supply by Corridor



## 3 Truck Parking Analysis

The truck parking analysis includes an overview of the traffic volume shift from 2019 to 2022 at each of the rest area locations. The undesignated parking analysis used truck GPS data from the American Transportation Research Institute (ATRI)<sup>1</sup>. ATRI is a not-for-profit research organization that focuses on researching the congestion, mobility, and operations of the trucking industry by collecting commercial vehicle GPS data at millions of waypoints and thousands of stops. ATRI truck GPS data during two-week periods in February, May, August, and October of 2022 was used to conduct parking analysis at undesignated locations and utilization of designated parking locations. The top undesignated truck parking cluster locations were identified across the state. The designated parking utilization analysis was then conducted to identify the peak hours in which trucks were utilizing parking for long periods of time.

#### 3.1 Truck Volumes

There has been consistent increase in freight volume on the state since the early 2000. This trend took a steeper trajectory since 2020 with an increase in online shopping and related demand for delivery of goods. In addition to more truck traffic on the roadways, there was also an increase in the total annual average daily traffic (AADT) in Arizona from 2019 to 2022. These trends are illustrated in Figure 3-1 and Table 3-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> About ATRI – TruckingResearch.org







Figure 3-1. Change in Percentage of AADT as Truck Traffic, 2019 to 2022



| RA No. <sup>3</sup> | Rest Area (RA)           | Corridor | 2019<br>AADT | 2022 AADT | 2019<br>AADTT⁴ | 2022<br>AADTT | 2019<br>Proportion<br>of Truck<br>Traffic | 2022<br>Proportion<br>of Truck<br>Traffic |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|---|---|
| 1                   | Mohawk                   | I-8      | 10,620       | 9,590     | 2,209          | 2,855         | 20.8%                                     | 29.8%                                     |
| 2                   | Sentinel                 | I-8      | 10,500       | 13,859    | 2,152          | 3,751         | 20.5%                                     | 27.0%                                     |
| 3                   | Ehrenberg                | I-10     | 27,286       | 27,198    | 10,097         | 12,05<br>6    | 37.0%                                     | 44.3%                                     |
| 4                   | Bouse Wash               | I-10     | 26,339       | 27,354    | 8,421          | 5,282         | 32.0%                                     | 19.3%                                     |
| 5                   | Burnt Well               | I-10     | 24,124       | 26,748    | 8,605          | 10,36<br>3    | 35.7%                                     | 38.7%                                     |
| 6                   | Sacaton                  | I-10     | 62,629       | 65,404    | 4,601          | 6,613         | 7.3%                                      | 10.1%                                     |
| 7                   | Texas Canyon             | I-10     | 17,682       | 19,710    | 6,259          | 7,862         | 35.4%                                     | 39.9%                                     |
| 8                   | San Simon                | I-10     | 14,118       | 15,737    | 5,421          | 6,748         | 38.4%                                     | 42.9%                                     |
| 9                   | Sunset Point             | I-17     | 37,459       | 39,562    | 3,334          | 5,142         | 8.9%                                      | 13.0%                                     |
| 10                  | Canoa Ranch              | I-19     | 17,314       | 22,443    | 1,999          | 3,153         | 11.5%                                     | 14.0%                                     |
| 11                  | Haviland                 | I-40     | 17,668       | 20,651    | 6,785          | 7,815         | 38.4%                                     | 37.8%                                     |
| 12                  | Painted Cliffs           | I-40     | 23,129       | 22,251    | 7,806          | 9,588         | 33.7%                                     | 43.1%                                     |
| 13                  | Hassayampa               | US 60    | 18,556       | 19,924    | 1,319          | 2,588         | 7.5%                                      | 12.9%                                     |
| 14                  | Salt River<br>Canyon     | US 60    | 2,788        | 3,569     | 139            | 429           | 5.0%                                      | 12.0%                                     |
| 15                  | Mazatzal <sup>1</sup>    | SR 87    | 13,269       | 2,385     | 674            | 297           | 5.1%                                      | 12.5%                                     |
| 16                  | McGuireville             | I-17     | 26,123       | 26,806    | 1,143          | 1,782         | 5.4%                                      | 6.6%                                      |
| 17                  | Parks <sup>2</sup>       | I-40     | 20,316       | 21,738    | 6,481          | 8,480         | 31.9%                                     | 39.0%                                     |
| 18                  | Meteor Crater            | I-40     | 19,820       | 21,929    | 8,100          | 10,01<br>8    | 40.9%                                     | 45.7%                                     |
| 19                  | Christensen <sup>2</sup> | I-17     | 23,237       | 24,542    | 2,649          | 3,729         | 11.4%                                     | 15.2%                                     |

| Table 3-1. Rest Are | a Traffic Demand Co | mparison, 2019 to 2022 |
|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                     |                     |                        |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> = Permanently Closed
 <sup>2</sup> = Permanently closed, temporarily open to truck parking
 <sup>3</sup> = Rest Area number corresponding to Figure 2-1
 <sup>4</sup> = Average Annual Daily Truck Traffic (FHWA C8-C13)



#### 3.2 Undesignated Parking

Undesignated parking areas are locations that are not owned, operated, or maintained by either ADOT or private truck stops. Undesignated truck parking occurs most often along on/off ramps, at last-mile connectors, and near rest areas or truck stops. Areas of undesignated parking were identified based on ATRI truck GPS data collected in 2022. This process is detailed in the next section.

#### 3.2.1 Undesignated Parking Identification

ATRI truck GPS data is collected from over one million heavy-duty trucks and is translated into hundreds of thousands of stops. The undesignated truck parking data was collected in four separate two-week periods during February, May, August, and October of 2022. The undesignated truck analysis included the following steps:

- 1) Identifying trucks stopped for at least 30 minutes
- 2) Developing designated and undesignated parking polygons at rest area on/off ramps and buffers around roadways
- 3) Defining and validating clusters of undesignated truck parking
- 4) Combining and classifying undesignated truck parking clusters

Undesignated truck parking occurred across the state. Undesignated parking locations were grouped into clusters based on proximity. The total number of undesignated parking clusters was 39 (Table 3-2). The location of undesignated parking varied depending on the amount of truck parking available in the vicinity. The undesignated parking locations with the highest count of undesignated truck parking are identified in bold.



| Table 3-2. | Popular | Undesignated | Truck Parking | Locations |
|------------|---------|--------------|---------------|-----------|
|            |         |              |               |           |

| ID | Corridor                 | Location Type   | Location Description  |  |
|----|--------------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| 1  | I-10 (East of Tucson)    | Rest Area       | Texas Canyon SRA  |  |
| 2  | Phoenix Metro Area       | Last-Mile       | West Phoenix, South of I-10, East of SR202, West of I-17                                      |  |
| 3  | I-40 (West of Kingman)   | Rest Area       | Haviland Rest Area EB and WB  |  |
| Λ  | L 40 (East of Wilcov)    | On /off Domp    | At AZ/NM border, includes private stop, Painted Cliffs  |  |
| 4  | 1-40 (East of Wilcox)    |                 | Rest Area, and ramps at Exit 357  |  |
| 5  | I-40 (West of Flagstaff) | Rest Area       | Parks Rest Area, Exit 185,  |  |
| 6  | I-10 (West of Phoenix_   | On/off Ramp     | I-10 Exit 94, near Pilot  |  |
| 7  | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | I-40 Exit 303, Exit 300   |  |
| 8  | I-40 (West of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | I-40 Exit 163, Exit 161, Near Love's  |  |
| 9  | Phoenix Metro Area       | Last-Mile       | Desert Cove Commercial Park   |  |
| 10 | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | I-40 Exit 277, Near Love's  |  |
| 11 | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 320  |  |
| 12 | I-10 (East of Phoenix)   | Rest Area       | Sacaton Rest Area EB and WB   |  |
| 13 | I-40 (West of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 123 Near Johnsons Travel Center, Exit 121  |  |
| 14 | I-40 (West of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 146, Exit 144  |  |
| 15 | I-40 (West of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 9, Near 3 truck stops: CAT Scale, Love's, and Pilot                                      |  |
| 16 | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 255, Near Flying J at Exit   |  |
| 17 | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 292, Near Hopi Travel Plaza  |  |
| 18 | I-10 (East of Phoenix)   | Near Truck Stop | Mostly near Love's by Exit 302  |  |
| 19 | I-10 (West of Phoenix)   | On/off Ramp     | Exit 103, TA Travel Center nearby   |  |
| 20 | I-40 (West of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 109  |  |
| 21 | Phoenix Metro Area       | Last-Mile       | East of I-10, North of SR202  |  |
| 22 | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 283, TA Travel Center at Exit  |  |
| 23 | I-40 (West of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 103  |  |
| 24 | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | Rest Area       | Meteor Crater Rest Area, Exit 233   |  |
| 25 | I-17 (North of Phoenix)  | Rest Area       | Sunset Point Rest Area  |  |
| 26 | I-10 (West of Phoenix)   | Rest Area       | Ehrenberg Rest Area   |  |
| 27 | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 230, Exit 225  |  |
| 28 | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 66, Petro at Exit  |  |
| 29 | Phoenix Metro Area       | Last-Mile       | West of SR303   |  |
| 30 | I-10 (East of Phoenix)   | On/off Ramp     | Exit 219, Shell is at Exit  |  |
| 31 | I-19 (North of Nogales)  | Last-Mile       | Nogales Industrial Area   |  |
| 32 | I-8 (West of Phoenix)    | Rest Area       | Ligurta Parking Area  |  |
| 33 | I-10 (West of Phoenix)   | Rest Area       | Burnt Well Rest Area  |  |
| 34 | I-40 (East of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 269  |  |
| 35 | I-10 (East of Phoenix)   | On/off Ramp     | Exit 352, Exit 355  |  |
| 36 | I-10 (East of Phoenix)   | Rest Area       | San Simon Rest Area, Exit 390   |  |
| 37 | I-40 (West of Flagstaff) | On/off Ramp     | Exit 91, Exit 87  |  |
| 38 | I-10 (West of Phoenix)   | On/off Ramp     | West of Phoenix   |  |
| 39 | Phoenix Metro Area       | Last-Mile       | West Phoenix/Tolleson, South of I-10, West of SR202L.<br>Includes several private truck stops |  |

## Jacobs



The ten undesignated truck parking clusters with the highest number of undesignated trucks varied compared to the top clusters identified in the 2019 Arizona Truck Parking Study. Table 3-4 summarizes the current 2022 undesignated truck parking data as compared to Table 3-3 which details the previous ranking from data collected in 2017 and completed improvements since 2019.

| Undesignated<br>Parking<br>Ranking | 2017 Cluster Locations  | Completed Improvements  |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1                                  | East of Haviland Rest Area and I-40/US 95<br>Junction           | July 2019 – Truck parking expansion and site paving                     |
| 2                                  | West of Haviland Rest Area and I-40/US 95 Junction              | July 2019 – Truck parking expansion and<br>site paving                  |
| 3                                  | West of Bouse Wash Rest Area off Exit 45 along I-<br>10         | April 2022 – Truck parking expansion                                    |
| 4                                  | Abandoned Commercial Parking Lot in Winslow<br>adjacent to I-40 | 2018 – Landowner and Winslow Police<br>barricaded entry to combat crime |
| 5                                  | I-8/I-10 Junction near Casa Grande                              | No improvements   |
| 6                                  | Quartzsite near I-10 on/off Ramp                                | No improvements   |
| 7                                  | I-15 on/off Ramp and adjacent vacant lot                        | No improvements   |
| 8                                  | Roadside Lot on Shoulder of I-15                                | No improvements   |
| 9                                  | I-17 Sunset Point Rest Area on/off Ramps                        | February 2022 – Truck parking expansion                                 |
| 10                                 | I-10 Texas Canyon Rest Area on/off Ramps                        | No improvements   |

Table 3-3. 2017 Undesignated Parking Clusters

#### Table 3-4. 2022 Undesignated Parking Top Clusters

| Undesignated<br>Parking Ranking | 2022 Cluster Locations                     |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 1                               | West I-10 Last-mile in Phoenix             |
| 2                               | I-40 Parks Rest Area on/off Ramps          |
| 3                               | I-10 Texas Canyon Rest Area on/off Ramps   |
| 4                               | West I-10 Last-mile in Phoenix             |
| 5                               | Western I-40 near Winslow                  |
| 6                               | Western I-40 near Painted Cliffs Rest Area |
| 7                               | Haviland Rest Area on/off Ramps            |
| 8                               | I-40 on/off Ramp near Flagstaff            |
| 9                               | Near Meteor Crater Rest Area along I-40    |
| 10                              | I-40 on/off Ramp near Winslow              |


It can be observed from the table that the undesignated truck parking clusters have migrated slightly east across the state. Many of the 2017 clusters of high truck density were near the western corridors of I-10 and I-40 while the 2022 clusters of high undesignated parking density are more towards the center and east side of the state along I-10 and I-40.

The 2022 undesignated truck parking clusters occur primarily along on/off ramps (55%) for each corridor. Undesignated parking was also observed at rest areas (27%), last-mile connectors (17%), and near truck stops (2%) (Error! Reference source not found.). These findings are consistent with the trends observed in the 2023 Statewide Rest Area Study and the 2019 Arizona Truck Parking Study.



Figure 3-2. Undesignated Truck Parking Cluster by Type

The locations in which the undesignated parking is mostly taking place occur along Arizona's highest truck volume corridors of I-10, I-40, and the Phoenix metro area (Figure 3-3). Undesignated truck parking along I-40 consists of 57% of the undesignated stops (27% along I-40 west of Flagstaff and 30% along I-40 east of Flagstaff). 15% of undesignated parking occurs in the Phoenix metro area as well as along I-10 east of Phoenix. The western Phoenix section of the I-10 corridor accounts for 9% of the undesignated parking incidents. Other undesignated parking was recorded along I-19 (2%), I-17 (1%), and I-8 (1%).



Figure 3-3. Undesignated Truck Parking Cluster by Location

Jacobs



More details and trends of the undesignated truck parking clusters can be seen in Figure 3-4 and Figure 3-5 below.





Figure 3-4. Undesignated Parking Density Heat Map





Figure 3-5. Undesignated Truck Parking Clusters



# 3.2.2 Proximity to Designated Truck Parking Locations

It is higher risk for truck drivers to park in undesignated parking areas, but they may have done so out of driver fatigue, as a last resort when their daily HOS come to a close, or because of a lack of available designated parking. The intensity of undesignated truck parking is shown in Figure 3-6. The top ten undesignated parking clusters and their proximity to designated public rest area truck parking and private truck parking are examined in the discussion below.



Figure 3-6. Undesignated Parking Areas and Nearby Public & Private Parking



1. Rest Area, Along I-40 West of Flagstaff – this cluster is near 2 parking options, Pilot Travel Center off of Exit 185 to the east, and the Parks rest area to the west. Undesignated parking is occurring on the on/off ramps to each of the locations and is occurring in the eastbound and westbound directions.



2. Rest Area, Along I-10 East of Phoenix – this cluster is centered at the Texas Canyon rest area with undesignated parking occurring along the on/off ramps to the rest area in both the westbound and eastbound directions. The undesignated parking is slightly more prevalent in the eastbound direction.





3. On/off Ramp, Along I-40 East of Flagstaff – this cluster includes Exit 300 to the west and Exit 303 to the east. The on/off ramps at Exit 300 have undesignated parking in the eastbound and westbound directions. The undesignated parking at Exit 303 is most evident on the westbound on ramp to I-40. The nearest parking area to the east is 22 miles away at the Navajo Travel Center and the nearest parking area to the west is One9 Truck Stop 11 miles away.



4. On/off Ramp, Along I-40 East of Flagstaff – this cluster includes 3 parking locations nearby: Exit 357 to the west, Exit 359 near Speedy's Truck Stop, and the Painted Cliffs rest area in between the two. Exit 357 experiences the most undesignated parking along the eastbound on ramp and the westbound off ramp. The Painted Cliffs rest area primarily has undesignated parking occurring on the I-40 on ramp. At Exit 359, undesignated parking occurs at the on/off ramps in both the eastbound and westbound directions.





5. Rest Area, Along I-40 West of Flagstaff – this cluster is centered at the Haviland rest area. Undesignated parking occurs at the on/off ramps along the eastbound and westbound directions. The westbound direction experiences slightly more undesignated parking.



6. On/off Ramp, Along I-40 East of Flagstaff - this cluster features two locations of undesignated parking: Exit 264 to the west and Exit 269 to the east. At Exit 264, undesignated parking occurs at the on/off ramps in the eastbound and westbound directions. The eastbound off ramp experiences slightly more undesignated parking. Exit 269 experiences undesignated parking along the on/off ramps in the westbound and eastbound directions. The off ramps in either direction experience the most undesignated parking. The nearest parking facilities are Flying J Travel Center 8.5 miles to the west and Love's Truck Stop 6.5 miles to the east.





7. Rest Area, Along I-40 East of Flagstaff – this cluster includes 2 areas of undesignated parking: Exit 233 to the west and the Meteor Crater rest area to the east. The undesignated parking at Exit 233 occurs at the on/off ramps along the eastbound and westbound directions, with greater instances along the eastbound and westbound direction. The Meteor Crater rest area experiences undesignated parking along the eastbound and westbound on/off ramps, with the most instances occurring at the eastbound on ramp and westbound on ramp locations.



8. On/off Ramp, Along I-40 East of Flagstaff – this cluster includes 2 areas of undesignated parking: Exit 225 to the west and Exit 230 to the east. Undesignated parking is prevalent on the on/off ramps along the eastbound and westbound directions at both exits. The nearest parking facility to the west is Navajo Blue Travel Plaza 5.5 miles away and to the east is Meteor Crater Rest Area 10.5 miles away.





9. On/off Ramp, Along I-40 West of Flagstaff – this cluster includes 2 areas of undesignated parking: Exit 161 to the west and Exit 163 to the east. Undesignated parking is prevalent on the on/off ramps along the eastbound and westbound directions at both exits. The nearest parking facility to the west is 14.8 miles away at ONE9 Travel Center and to the east is Love's Travel Stop 2.5 miles away.



10. On/off Ramp, Along I-40 East of Flagstaff – this cluster is centered around Exit 277 near Love's truck stop. Undesignated parking occurs at the eastbound and westbound on/off ramps, with more incidents along the eastbound direction. The highest undesignated parking occurs along the arterial next to the Love's truck stop.





# 3.3 Parking Utilization Analysis

The designated parking utilization analysis was conducted by comparing the ATRI truck GPS data with Arizona weigh-in-motion (WIM) stations to develop expansion factors. The trucks parked in designated parking spaces at public and private truck stops were then identified so that the expansion factor could be applied. The expansion factors were applied to each truck using the following prioritized rules:

- 1) the last WIM a truck passed over within the last 11 hours,
- 2) the expansion factor at WIMS within 20 miles of where the truck stopped, or
- 3) according to the statewide average weighted by truck counts.

The expanded truck counts during the 8-week data collection period were compared to the total number of designated spaces at each truck parking location. The truck parking demand was assessed by the time of day that trucks were parking at facilities, the locations at which they were parking, and the duration of time when the trucks were parked.

### 3.3.1 Parking Utilization by Time of Day

The weekday utilization for the statewide analysis peaks in the early morning hours with a high at 93% at midnight and 1:00 a.m. High truck parking utilization was recorded from 8:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m. Medium utilization ranged from 56% to 75% and included hours of 5:00 a.m., 6:00 a.m., 5:00 p.m., 6:00 p.m., and 7:00 p.m. The lowest utilization was recorded at 11:00 a.m. at 28%. The weekday truck parking time-of-day utilization is shown in Figure 3-7.



Figure 3-7. Weekday Truck Parking Utilization

# 3.3.2 Parking Utilization by Location

The truck parking utilization by location was conducted by connecting truck parking utilization to NHS roadway segments. The utilization was calculated for each segment according to public and private truck parking location within either 1) a 30-minute drive time from an urban segment or 2) a 1-hour drive time from a rural segment. This analysis displays the utilization of all nearby truck parking spaces. For example, a truck driver operating in a rural area that is shown in red in the maps below would encounter little to no

# Jacobs

Final Report: Truck Parking Implementation Plan



available truck parking spaces at all locations within an hour drive. Roadway segments shown in black do not have zero truck parking locations within a 30-minute (urban segment) or 1-hour drive (rural segment). Roadway segments shown in black denote the equivalent of a truck parking desert, highlighting that there are no truck parking spaces nearby. The segment-based utilization for various times of the day can be seen in Figure 3-8, Figure 3-9, and Figure 3-10 below.





















It was found that locations of regional and independent truck stops had a relatively lower truck parking utilization as compared to the larger private truck stops. As for locations of undesignated parking throughout varying times of day, the highest utilization is during the nighttime hours of 12:00 am to 1:00 am. This peak period had few parking spaces available along all of I-40 and I-17, and the eastern portion of I-10 east of Tucson. Last-mile connectors near metro Phoenix and Tucson had medium to low parking availabilities and the I-8 had medium parking availability. The medium availability of truck parking inside Phoenix is likely due to the presence of truck terminals in Phoenix providing a location for trucks associated with large fleets to stop. ATRI data tend to represent larger trucking fleets, which affects the number of trucks parked at truck stops versus truck terminals inside Phoenix. As shown in the statewide utilization, truck parking is generally available from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. The evening and overnight peak utilization of truck parking stands in contrast to AM and PM peak volumes on roadways.



# 4 Safety Overview

The lack of safe and secure public and private truck parking has led to truck drivers parking in undesignated parking areas and at higher risk locations, including on/off ramps, shoulders of highways, access roads, and along first/last mile connector roads. The shortage of parking spaces leads truck operators to drive beyond the permitted Hours of Service (HOS) as they search for parking, resulting in operating their vehicles while fatigued.

Roadway shoulders and interchange on and off ramps were identified as areas of high undesignated truck parking, which causes major safety concerns for both the parked truck drivers and the travelers along the roadways. Further inspection of the past five years truck crashes along the National Highway System (NHS) throughout Arizona using the Accident Location Identification Surveillance System (ALISS) database is detailed in the following section. Truck related crash incident reports were reviewed to identify crashes where driver fatigue, parking in undesignated areas, and lack of parting were listed as crash contributing factors.

# 4.1 Crash Analysis

The truck crashes used in this analysis occurred between July 17, 2018, and July 17, 2023. Over this period, a total of 11,541 truck related crashes occurred. Crash map identifying injury severity is illustrated in Figure 4-1.

It is important for this study to identify crashes that can be attributed to lack of parking along the state highway system. To identify these crashes, the crashes during the analysis period were filtered using attributes that identified the condition of the truck driver (whether fatigued) and the position of the truck (whether parked in an undesignated area or not) at the time of the crash. The fatigued-related and parked truck crashes along major corridors are summarized in Table 4-1 and mapped on Figure 4-2.





Figure 4-1. Crash Injury Severity





Figure 4-2: Driver Fatigue and Parked Truck Crashes

# Jacobs

Final Report: Truck Parking Implementation Plan



| Corridor        | Crash Contrib   | Tetel Creekee  |               |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
|                 | Parked Vehicles | Driver Fatigue | Total Clashes |
| I-10            | 48              | 99             | 147           |
| I-40            | 73              | 85             | 158           |
| I-8             | 7               | 22             | 29            |
| I-17            | 19              | 21             | 40            |
| I-19            | 3               | 5              | 8             |
| All Other Roads | 48              | 79             | 127           |
| Total           | 198             | 311            | 509           |

| Table 4-1. | Driver | Fatique | and | Parked | Truck | Crashes |
|------------|--------|---------|-----|--------|-------|---------|
|            |        |         |     |        |       |         |

From the table above, most of the driver fatigue and parked vehicle crashes occur along I-40 (158 crashes, 32%). The I-10 corridor is a close second (147 crashes, 29%). Both corridors have high traffic volume and experience parking deficiencies. The serious injury and fatal crashes with contributing factors of driver fatigue and parked vehicles were further inspected with information from the crash incident reports. The detailed law enforcement narrative in the reports were examined to see if any additional factors or circumstances were present in each truck crash. After ensuring that no additional factors were the primary



#### reason for the incidents included in c



Figure 4-2: Driver Fatigue and Parked Truck Crashes



Table 4-1 above, the filtered crash data and undesignated parking densities were used in conjunction to identify potential locations for parking expansion.



# 5 Peer State Review

In addition, data was sourced from peer state truck parking programs to update the benchmarking process similar to the 2023 Statewide Rest Area Study with the application to truck parking. The best practices and innovative technology trends for truck parking were determined from this process. Ten states were chosen based on their proximity to Arizona, ongoing truck parking initiatives, and input from ADOT Project Management Team. The states selected for review are identified in the map below (Figure Figure ).



Figure 5-1. Peer States Reviewed for Truck Parking Best Practices

New Mexico, California, and Texas were chosen due to their shared major freight corridors of Interstate-10 and Interstate-40 with Arizona, as well as the I-10 Corridor Coalition agreement among the states. Nebraska was included due to its truck parking best practices in recognition of the FHWA Office of Freight Management and Operations' National Coalition on Truck Parking. Florida was chosen for its in-depth inspection of freight parking solutions from the recent Florida DOT (FDOT) Statewide Truck Parking Study. Nevada, Colorado, Missouri and Indiana proved to have valuable case studies for truck parking availability by retrofitting and converting existing rest areas. Wyoming was chosen for its cost-effective solutions for providing buffered truck parking turnouts along major freight corridors.

Some general strategies for states' actions to increase truck parking availability include collaboration and partnerships, data collection and analysis, expansion and enhancement of rest areas, public-private partnerships, innovative funding mechanisms, technology solutions, and flexible zoning and regulations. These can be seen in the following examples for six states across the western US, two of which (New Mexico and California) are members of the I-10 Corridor Coalition. The truck parking initiatives in place for the states of Wyoming, Nebraska, Colorado, New Mexico, California, and Washington are discussed in detail in the following section.

# Jacobs



#### Wyoming

Wyoming hosts three major interstate highways (I-90, I-80, I-25) crucial for transporting goods daily. Harsh weather conditions often heighten the need for truck parking, particularly on I-80. Wyoming employs technology to mitigate this parking shortage by offering advance weather notifications and dependable parking information during extreme conditions. The state recently released an updated truck parking map<sup>2</sup>, listing various options like truck stops, businesses, turnouts, and rest areas, detailing available amenities and space quantities. I-80 has around 3,000 parking spots, while I-25 and I-90 offer 1,300 spots combined.

### <u>Nebraska</u>

Nebraska's freight plan<sup>3</sup> focuses on improving truck parking, especially along the I-80 corridor. Strategies include using Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), expanding existing spaces, and building designated spots along I-80. Currently, there are 116 truck parking facilities in Nebraska, with 330 spaces across 21 locations on I-80. A new parking lot near the I-80/US-138 interchange offers over 100 additional parking spots, providing safe and accessible parking for truckers to meet HOS regulations. This initiative benefits both drivers and local economies. The state's approach aligns with Jason's Law, recognizing truck parking as a managed asset. These efforts have gained recognition as best practices and are contributing to national efforts to increase truck parking supply.

### <u>Colorado</u>

Colorado's Truck Parking Assessment (TPA)<sup>4</sup> employs a thorough and forward-looking strategy to tackle truck parking challenges within the state. The process involves creating truck parking maps by updating existing CDOT inventory through aerial photos, stakeholder input, and coordination. A truck travel pattern database is established using GPS-equipped trucks' location data. By merging the parking maps with this database, the utilization of parking facilities along TPA corridors is quantified. The TPA evaluates current and future truck parking requirements along these corridors, devising solutions based on parking availability. For private/public spots with adequate availability, the Truck Parking Information Management Systems is suggested. In areas with limited parking, possible solutions include constructing rest areas and turnouts near travel plazas and repurposing existing facilities like weigh stations and "park 'n rides" along the National Highway System.

# New Mexico

The New Mexico freight plan<sup>5</sup> provides a current state of the state's freight and offers a forecast for the next 25 years. The 2040 study plan includes evaluating truck parking issues and addressing capacity concerns on key freight corridors. One proposed solution is enhancing truck parking facilities, which involves

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> WYDOT TruckParking (state.wy.us)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>Nebraska State Freight Plan</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> TPA 2019\_Report\_FINAL\_reduced (1).pdf (colorado.gov)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Technical and Freight Planning | NMDOT



constructing additional rest areas with parking for trucks. Additionally, to alleviate congestion and counter limitations on parking, the plan suggests building bridges on major corridors.

#### <u>California</u>

California's statewide truck parking study<sup>6</sup> analyzes the state's truck parking needs and proposes effective solutions. The study begins by identifying truck parking supply through truck GPS data for both commercial and public-owned spaces. Afterward, considering safety, stakeholder input, and demand data, specific truck parking needs are determined and quantified. This includes demand patterns in different locations, peak hours, 24-hour periods, and based on ownership.

The study suggests various strategies to address these needs. To enhance capacity, California plans to expand roadside rest areas, establish dedicated truck parking facilities near shippers and receivers, and incorporate parking spaces at new or existing commercial vehicle enforcement facilities. Additionally, maximizing existing infrastructure involves implementing smart curbside management tactics, promoting truck parking in unused industrial zones, allowing truck parking in certain auto-designated lots during off-hours, permitting emergency parking in underutilized lots, creating truck parking availability systems, and installing connected signage indicating nearby parking spaces for trucks.

### Washington

In Washington, the State's Joint Transportation Committee released a Truck Parking Action Plan<sup>7</sup> in 2021. The Plan recommends identifying the most feasible site for truck parking facilities, building smaller parking lots for short-term staging only, building a new truck parking to accommodate roughly 50 trucks on/near I-5 near SeaTac Airport. Innovatively, the Plan promotes "Airbnb" Truck Parking". The Plan states, "mobile applications have been developed to allow property owners to market their available space, and truck drivers and companies to identify, reserve, and pay for parking at available locations, expanding the pool of inventory and providing a financial incentive for participating property owners. Truckers are directed to parking in existing lots which are already zoned for commercial or industrial use and permitted for truck activity. To-date, these apps have primarily attracted local owner-operators or trucking companies who lease space for their fleet by the month". Additionally, the Plan proposes tax incentives for shippers and receivers to provide truck parking on-site.

Key highlights from peer agency strategies discussed above are documented below.

# 5.1 Parking Expansion and Reconfiguration

# 5.1.1 Creative Use of Existing ROW

One agency that has been cited for best practices on the topic is Nebraska DOT (NDOT) for their utilization of state right-of-way to provide nearly 200 truck parking spaces along Interstate 80 (I-80) at the junction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/transportation-planning/documents/freight-planning/plan-accordion/catrkpkgstdy-finalreport-a11y.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Joint Transportation Committee truckparking (wa.gov)



with US-138<sup>8</sup> (Figure 5-2). The lot was surfaced with left over asphalt millings from prior projects and includes garbage dumpsters and lighting.



Figure 5-2. NDOT I-80/US-138 Interchange Parking Expansion

# 5.1.2 Upgrading Existing Rest Areas

Several states have included retrofitting rest area facilities to accommodate additional truck parking. Indiana DOT (INDOT) recently published its Rest Area & Welcome Center Improvement Plan in 2020<sup>9</sup>. The Plan aims to address truck parking deficiencies across the state's rest areas by modernizing and constructing new rest areas facilities and improving truck parking by *converting some existing car parking to commercial truck parking*. Indiana DOT's 10-year plan calls for adding more than 1,100 semitrailer parking spaces across the state by the end of fiscal year 2023 (Figure 5-3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> https://www.in.gov/indot/files/Rest-Area-and-Welcome-Center-Improvement-Plan.pdf



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> NDOT's Innovation Brings Truck Parking to Big Springs – Benefits of Transportation





#### Welcome Centers & Rest Areas Planned Renovation, Construction or Closures

Figure 5-3. INDOT Welcome Centers and Rest Area Conversions

Missouri DOT (MoDOT)<sup>10</sup> has also taken steps to retrofit their existing rest areas and has continued to convert unutilized rest areas into commercial truck parking facilities. Additional parking at existing rest areas is provided by expanding truck parking within existing ROW (Figure 5-4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>https://www.modot.org/northwest-missouri-rest-area-modifications</u>





Figure 5-4. MoDOT Rest Area Conversions to Truck Parking Only

# 5.1.3 Truck Parking Only Areas

Wyoming DOT<sup>11</sup> has also added areas of "truck parking only" along major freight corridors to increase truck parking. These "turnouts" are a low-cost option that may help create a safe barrier between the parked semitrailers and the interstate, and offset incidents of undesignated parking along on- and off-ramps at various stopping points (Figure 5-5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> <u>https://www.dot.state.wy.us/news/new-truck-parking-map-available</u>





Figure 5-5. Wyoming DOT Truck Parking Turnout Location along I-80

# 5.2 Commercial Parking Partnerships

Some states are exploring partnerships with private entities to supplement public truck parking with private facilities. The FHWA Truck Parking Development Handbook (2022)<sup>12</sup> details the public-private partnership (P3) opportunities and identities the benefits and challenges for implementing commercial parking through such partnerships (Table 5-1).

| Benefits  | Potential Barriers to Implementation                            |
|---|---|
| Reduces public cost of development and/or maintenance of parking locations  | Identifying mutually beneficial terms to meet                   |
| Distributed risk across multiple parties                                    | State law may prohibit or limit local authority to<br>enter P3s |
| May provide additional amenities not typically present at public rest areas |   |

# Table 5-1. FHWA Truck Parking P3s Benefits and Potential Barriers

#### 5.2.1 Private Partnership Use Cases

Washington State's Joint Transportation Committee released a Truck Parking Action Plan<sup>13</sup> in 2021 that recommends that a partnership program be developed to provide commercial truck parking in underutilized infrastructure locations such as large retail or industrial parking lots. The Plan also promotes "Airbnb" truck parking solutions in which property owners may rent their available lots to local owner-operators in areas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Truck Parking Development Handbook (dot.gov)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Final\_TruckParkingActionPlan\_2021.pdf (wa.gov)



permitted for commercial and industrial use. These sites may be less at risk than other undesignated parking areas, such as along interstate on and off ramps.

The Trucker Path app<sup>14</sup> is widely utilized by truck drivers across the country, and the app features Walmart as a host for commercial truck parking across Arizona as well as other states. The app features over 76 Walmart locations that have truck parking availability in the state, with most of the stores in last-mile locations along major corridors (Figure 5-6). These agreements with commercial establishments are determined by local jurisdiction and are also at the discretion of each property owner.



Figure 5-6. Walmart Commercial Truck Parking Opportunity

# 5.3 Emerging Technology Trends

Recognizing the need to optimize existing truck parking space utilization, several states are exploring technology solutions. Some solutions include implementation of a detection technology, communications system, and an information dissemination system. The following sections identify some of these emerging technology themes.

# 5.3.1 Truck Parking Availability System (TPAS)

In the previous 2019 Arizona Truck Parking Study, the Arizona Truck Parking Advisory Group ranked Truck Parking Information Management System (TPIMS) as a priority project. The TPIMS operates by installing sensors and using radar camera detection for ingress and egress counts, with CCTV cameras used in conjunction with third party analytics for parking space updates on dynamic messaging signs (DMS).

The prioritization by the advisory group led to the three-phase implementation plan for the TPIMS. Phase I included the TPIMS proof of concept which compared the available technologies to use for the TPIMS; phase II continued to build off of the work from phase I and additional funding resources for the TPIMS were identified for a corridor or statewide basis; and phase III considered branching out the TPIMS to additional locations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> <u>https://truckerpath.com/trucker-path-app/walmart-with-truck-parking/</u>





Figure 5-7. I-10 Corridor Coalition Project Limits

The I-10 Corridor Coalition <sup>15</sup> built off of this proposed solution and started a pilot project with a Truck Parking Availability System (TPAS) that spanned along the Interstate 10 with a partnership between the states of California, Arizona, New Mexico, and Texas (Figure 5-7). This pilot study integrated real-time truck parking availability data collected via CCTV cameras and radar sensors with connected dynamic messaging signs (DMS) at 37 public truck parking locations, with 8 locations in Arizona along the east- and westbound direction at the rest areas of Ehrenberg, Bouse Wash, Texas Canyon, and San Simon (Figure 5-8). The project is expected to be fully operational by 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> <u>https://i10connects.com/sites/default/files/media/I-10\_TPAS\_ConOps.01.2022.pdf</u>





Figure 5-8. Arizona TPAS Implementation Sites

Additional truck parking solutions at a corridor-wide basis were developed by Texas DOT (TxDOT) as a part of the 2020 Texas Freight Network Technology and Operations Plan<sup>16</sup>. Three potential strategies include:

Freight Integrated Corridor Management: The Freight Integrated Corridor Management has high-level benefits of safety, mobility, and reduced emissions to improve freight flow through areas of high crash

potential by rerouting trucks along parallel routes to reduce (Figure 5-9).

High-resolution Advanced Freight Traveler Information System: The High-Resolution Freight Traveler Information System provides benefits of reducing vehicle operating costs and increased safety to provide real-time traffic updates using web and mobile apps for truck drivers to make informed decisions pre-trip and on-the-road for overall efficiency and reduced congestion (Figure 5-11).



Figure 5-9. TxDOT Freight Integrated Corridor Management

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> <u>https://ftp.txdot.gov/pub/txdot/tpp/freight-planning/fntop/freight-network-technology-and-operations-plan.pdf</u>





Automated Vehicle Infrastructure with Connected Signing and Data: The integration of AV Infrastructure, Connected Signing, and Data has highlevel benefits for improving safety, mobility, and reducing emissions to help navigate atypical road geometries and construction zones for improved freight mobility with electronic connected signage (Figure 5-10).

#### 5.3.2 Detection Solutions

A Truck Parking Technology Assessment Survey was conducted in May 2023 by Maryland DOT that obtained responses from various state and city DOTs across the country to determine the benefits and challenges of several truck parking data collection devices and their efficiency for improving parking availability. The survey found that in addition to the CCTV cameras and radar detection (Figure 5-12), pavement embedded pucks used for measuring space occupancy and dual camera spectroscopy were also used. The most effective solution was the combination of the radar detection systems with the CCTV cameras. The pucks were noted as ineffective, with one survey responding that they experienced a 70% failure rate within two years of deployment. All the survey respondents emphasized the



Figure 5-11. TxDOT High-Resolution Freight Traveler Information System



Data

importance of the system design, construction, integration, and testing of the truck parking availability devices and systems.





Figure 5-12. CCTV Camera and Radar Detection for TPAS

# 5.3.3 Information Dissemination

To inform drivers about truck parking availability, several DOTs updated their 511 websites for real-time traveler information. An example of the real-time truck parking availability website is Florida DOT's (FDOT) 511 Traffic Information Website<sup>17</sup>. The interactive site allows users to input their route and see potential traffic speeds, alerts, and areas with truck parking availability (Figure 5-13). Florida DOT's 511 website with truck parking availability first became available following the release of FDOT's 2020 Statewide Truck Parking Study.



Figure 5-13. FDOT 511 Website Real-Time Truck Parking Availability

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> <u>https://www.fdot.gov/rail/studies/truck-parking</u>



# 6 Truck Parking Solutions

Table 6-1 provides the different solutions as a toolbox of solutions aimed at improving truck parking in the State. The solutions are categorized into infrastructure improvements, technology solutions and policy considerations. Table 6-1 also lists benefits and disadvantages of each category of solutions. Detailed description of the solutions follows the table.

| Category       | Location                               | Tools  | Benefits  | Challenges  |
|----------------|--|--|---|---|
| Infrastructure | Existing<br>rest areas                 | Expand parking within rest area footprint, improve amenities   | Faster<br>implementation,<br>standard amenities<br>already available,<br>maintain current O&M         | Limited expansion<br>opportunities/Unmet<br>parking demand  |
|                | Safe truck<br>only<br>parking<br>areas | Creative use of ROW,<br>cost-effective solutions<br>(Alternate pavement<br>materials, solar LED<br>lights, large dumpsters,<br>vaulted toilets)                            | Parking needs<br>satisfied, stretch the<br>available budget to<br>meet needs at<br>multiple locations | Need to develop ramp<br>connections, guide<br>signs, higher/newer<br>O&M needs, some<br>security concerns |
|                | Private<br>truck stops                 | Build new or expand current private stops  | Low state investment  | Needs coordination<br>with private entities   |
| Technology     | Various                                | TPAS, TPIMS, DMS, Apps,<br>etc   | Optimal usage of<br>existing spaces, may<br>reduce uncertainty for<br>truck drivers                   | Unmet parking<br>demand, new<br>investments in<br>technology  |
| Policy         | Various                                | Public-Private<br>Partnerships,<br>Competitive local grant<br>programs, Sponsorship of<br>publicly owned facilities,<br>Enforcement, Coalitions<br>with neighboring states | Low investment<br>needs, potential to<br>reduce parking needs   | Potentially slow to materialize   |

| Table 6-1. | Truck | Parking | Solutions | Toolbox |
|------------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|



## 6.1 Infrastructure Solutions

### 6.1.1 New Spaces at Existing Rest Area Sites

Improving the infrastructure for truck parking is essential for providing more efficient and safe operation of the trucking industry. One solution to address this challenge is the addition of new spaces to existing rest areas. Rest areas are often overcrowded, leading to issues with congestion and limited parking availability. By expanding these existing facilities, more spaces can be created to accommodate the growing number of trucks on the road. One advantage of adding additional spaces at existing rest areas is that standard amenities are readily available. Moreover, creating new spaces at existing rest area facilities results in minimal increase in Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expenses. However, it is important to note that meeting the parking demand within the existing right-of-way is not possible at many rest areas. New right-of-way acquisition will be needed before adding truck spaces. Additionally, it is crucial to strategically plan parking expansion along a corridor at multiple sites to provide a balance between parking availability and Hours of Service (HOS) duration.

#### 6.1.2 Safe Truck Parking Lots

Another cost-effective approach is to develop alternate sites with basic amenities specifically designed for truck parking. These sites can be strategically located along major transportation routes, offering a convenient and affordable option for truckers. By keeping the amenities basic but essential, costs can be minimized while still providing drivers with necessities like well-lit parking areas, restrooms, and security features to ensure their safety. These alternate sites can alleviate the pressure on rest areas and truck stops, reducing congestion and enhancing the overall experience for truck drivers.

Safe lots will be developed as cost-effective solutions allowing the State to provide more parking at a lower overall cost. Some cost-effective options include:

- 1. Use of alternate pavement materials: Several pavement materials were considered for parking lot facilities including gravel, asphalt, concrete, permeable polymer-grid with gravel in-fill, soil cement, and roller compacted concrete. Opting for gravel as a pavement material would lead to quicker implementation, but it comes with challenges such as susceptibility to shifting under the weight of trucks and difficulty in maintenance. Moreover, using gravel makes it impossible to delineate parking spaces. Although asphalt and concrete pavements avoid the drawbacks associated with gravel, they are comparatively expensive to construct. The ultimate decision on the choice of pavement material will be influenced by factors such as implementation feasibility, longevity, and maintenance requirements in diverse weather conditions. ADOT will conduct a thorough evaluation of these aspects before proceeding with implementation.
- 2. Waterless vaulted toilet facilities: Waterless vaulted toilet facilities offer a cost-effective alternative to traditional restroom facilities at truck stops. These facilities are designed with simplicity and efficiency in mind, typically consisting of a basic, odor-resistant structure built over a large underground vault to contain waste. Because they do not require a connection to sewage systems or extensive plumbing with running water, they can be installed at locations where running water is not

# Jacobs


accessible. As a result, the construction and maintenance costs of vault toilets are significantly lower compared to conventional restrooms. This makes them an adequate choice at safe lots where budgets are limited. Despite their economical construction, vault toilets still provide essential restroom services, promoting sanitation and hygiene for visitors, and their design minimizes environmental impact while offering a sustainable and budget-friendly solution for maintaining public areas. Truck parking guidance from FHWA states that vault toilet systems at truck only stops will need to be maintained and waste pumped out once a month keeping the O&M expenses on the low end.

- 3. Solar on-demand LED lighting systems: Solar on-demand LED lighting systems have proven to be remarkably effective in remote areas without power line connectivity. These systems harness solar energy to generate clean and sustainable energy, making them an ideal solution for off-grid locations. By storing solar energy in batteries, these systems can provide reliable lighting during the night, enhancing safety and security in areas that would otherwise remain dark. Moreover, LED technology ensures energy efficiency and a longer lifespan for the lighting units, reducing the need for frequent maintenance or replacements. This not only contributes to cost savings but also minimizes the environmental impact. The adaptability and self-sufficiency of solar on-demand LED lighting systems make them a vital tool in bringing essential illumination to safe lots, improving their safety and security.
- 4. Large trash dumpsters for trash collection: Large dumpsters for trash collection in truck only safe lots provide adequate capacity and cost-efficiency. Their ability to hold a significant volume of waste reduces the frequency of emptying, lowering overall collection and disposal costs. These dumpsters streamline the collection process, maintain cleanliness by preventing litter and overflow, and accommodate various types of waste. They are also durable and built to withstand environmental conditions, reducing the need for frequent maintenance and replacement. While the initial cost may be higher, the long-term cost savings and effectiveness make large dumpsters a practical choice for managing waste in safe lot areas.

While safe lots can be developed using alternate pavement materials and cost-effective amenities, the ramp connections to the freeway mainline must be developed using traditional materials and designed to federal and state standards. To reduce the overall financial burden on the state, the costs associated with operations and maintenance of the safe lots may be shared with the trucking industry.

### 6.1.3 Private Truck Stops

Privately operated truck stops have become an integral part of the logistics and transportation industry, offering various advantages to public agencies when promoted and managed effectively. First, private truck stops can alleviate the financial burden on public agencies, as they are funded and operated by private entities, reducing the need for public investment in infrastructure development and maintenance. Furthermore, these facilities often provide truckers with a wider range of services, including restaurants, lodging, and maintenance shops, enhancing the overall quality of rest areas for drivers. This, in turn, contributes to improved safety and convenience on the roads. By promoting the expansion and operation of

# Jacobs

Final Report: Truck Parking Implementation Plan



private truck stops, public agencies can optimize the use of available resources, increase economic activity, and better cater to the needs of the trucking community while relieving some of the financial pressures associated with maintaining public rest areas and truck parking facilities.

# 6.2 Technology Strategies

In addition to creating new spaces and cost-effective alternate sites, leveraging technology can further optimize truck parking infrastructure. Implementing real-time parking availability systems or apps can

provide truckers with real-time truck parking availability at various locations, allowing them to plan their stops more efficiently. It is important to note the technology solutions to truck parking will not increase the underlying capacity but will allow for the efficient use of existing parking spaces. ADOT will implement the Truck Parking Availability System (TPAS) along I-10 in 2024. The I-10 TPAS will monitor parking availability monitored at four rest area locations: Ehrenberg, Bouse Wash, Texas Canyon, and San Simon. ADOT's 511 system displays rest area status and number of overall spaces available for truck parking. There are several privately developed and maintained apps that provide information on truck parking availability at public and private truck stops; some apps





even include the provision of reserving a spot at a specific location.

Although technology solutions hold the potential for maximizing the utilization of current parking facilities, a concrete proof-of-concept has not yet been established.

# 6.3 Policy Considerations

# 6.3.1 Multi-Agency Collaboration

Collaboration between government agencies, private businesses, and industry stakeholders is essential in developing comprehensive solutions for truck parking infrastructure, ensuring that it meets the evolving needs of the trucking industry and enhances safety and efficiency on the road. One key benefit of such collaboration is the pooling of resources. By partnering with neighboring states, regional organizations, and private businesses, states can share the costs of infrastructure development, maintenance, and security, making it more cost-effective to provide adequate truck parking. This not only conserves state resources but also promotes a more comprehensive and efficient network of truck parking facilities.

Close coordination with the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) and the Pima Association of Governments (PAG) will allow ADOT to develop and streamline solutions for truck parking in the Phoenix and Tucson metro areas. Developing adequate solutions in these two metros is vital to improving first-mile and last-mile truck parking needs in the State.

# Jacobs



### 6.3.2 Prioritization Method Adjustments

ADOT could also refine the performance metrics outlined in the State Freight Plan<sup>18</sup> to reflect the adverse consequences resulting from inadequate truck parking and the advantages related to safety, economic viability, and infrastructure maintenance when adequate parking infrastructure is in place. This adjustment would enhance the competitiveness of truck parking projects when seeking funding against other infrastructure improvement projects.

### 6.3.3 Public Private Partnerships (P3)

The use of a P3 mechanism to improve truck parking infrastructure will be limited to urban areas. This is mostly due to the federal regulations on commercial activity within the interstate right-of-way. ADOT can explore P3 opportunities in which private entities develop new truck parking facilities and collect a fee from users for an agreed upon time prior to transferring the facility to the State.



# 6.4 Truck Parking Solutions Considered

Among the various parking solutions mentioned above, this study exclusively focused on exploring infrastructure improvements at existing rest areas and safe lots as viable options for enhancing truck parking facilities. While technology and policy-based solutions certainly have the potential to improve truck parking, they tend to have longer implementation timelines and may not fully address the immediate and substantial demand for truck parking on Arizona's extensive interstate system.

Infrastructure enhancements at existing rest areas and designated safe lots present a pragmatic approach. By expanding and modernizing rest areas and providing alternate safe lots, the state can swiftly alleviate some of the parking constraints faced by truckers while simultaneously enhancing the overall driver experience. These improvements encompass the addition of new parking spaces and updating amenities. By doing so, Arizona can immediately contribute to safety, driver well-being, and operational efficiency, recognizing the immediate challenges that truck drivers face. While technology and policy-based solutions should remain under consideration for the future, infrastructure improvements provide a more tangible and expeditious response to the pressing truck parking needs along the state's vast interstate network.



# 7 Parking Opportunities

Undesignated parking analysis was conducted across the state to identify major clusters of undesignated truck parking due to parking deficiencies. Undesignated truck parking clusters were a determining factor for the selection of proposed parking expansion sites.

Figure 7-1 and Table 7-1 show the top areas of undesignated truck parking across the state. In addition, ADOT right-of-way (ROW) availability was assessed along the major corridors where these undesignated parking incidents were recorded. Proposed sites were identified within the existing right of way for parking expansion to meet the parking deficiency needs.



Figure 7-1. Top 10 Undesignated Parking Cluster Locations

| Undesignated<br>Parking Ranking | 2022 Cluster Locations                              |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1                               | I-10 MP 120, Last-mile west of Phoenix              |  |  |  |
| 2                               | I-40 MP 185, Parks Rest Area On/Off<br>Ramps        |  |  |  |
| 3                               | I-10 MP 320, Texas Canyon Rest Area<br>On/Off Ramps |  |  |  |
| 4                               | I-10 MP 130, Last-mile west of Phoenix              |  |  |  |
| 5                               | I-40 MP 285, west of Holbrook                       |  |  |  |
| 6                               | I-40 MP 356, west of Painted Cliffs Rest<br>Area    |  |  |  |
| 7                               | I-40 MP 22, Haviland Rest Area On/Off<br>Ramps      |  |  |  |
| 8                               | I-40 MP 160, On/Off Ramp west of<br>Flagstaff       |  |  |  |
| 9                               | Meteor Crater Rest Area along at I-40 MP 236        |  |  |  |
| 10                              | I-40 MP 270, On/Off Ramp west of<br>Winslow         |  |  |  |

#### Table 7-1. Top 10 Undesignated Parking Cluster Locations

Table 7-1 shows multiple undesignated parking clusters along I-40 and some along I-10. The intensity of undesignated parking is observed to be higher along I-40 (east of Flagstaff), I-10 (west of Phoenix), and I-10 (east of Tucson). It should be noted, while areas of parking deficiencies were observed near Haviland, Parks, Christensen, McGuireville, and Sunset Point Rest Areas, the truck parking expansions for these rest areas are part of an ongoing design-build project.

Given the undesignated truck parking clusters and available ADOT ROW nearby, several truck parking expansion options are viable for ADOT to pursue. Parking expansion opportunities included existing rest areas, as well as safe lots. Amenities such as restrooms, trash receptacles, and lighting are already available at existing rest area locations, but new safe lot locations would require new amenities to be installed. These

# Jacobs

Final Report: Truck Parking Implementation Plan



amenities will include solar powered LED lighting, trash receptacles, and waterless vaulted toilets. The safe lot parking locations are designated for truck parking only, while the rest areas may serve all travelers.

# 7.1 Parking Expansion Locations

Several parking opportunities are described by location in the following sections. The parking layouts for each site are provided in Appendix A. Table 7-2 lists the parking opportunities and their expansion status.



| Parking Expansion Location  | Corridor | Milepost | Traffic Directions<br>Served | Expansion Status             |  |
|---|----------|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Haviland Rest Area  | I-40     | 23       | Both                         | Ongoing                      |  |
| Parks Rest Area   | I-40     | 185      | Both                         | Ongoing                      |  |
| Sunset Point Rest Area  | I-17     | 252      | Both                         | Ongoing                      |  |
| Christensen Rest Area   | I-17     | 324      | Both                         | Ongoing                      |  |
| McGuireville Rest Area  | I-17     | 296      | Both                         | Ongoing                      |  |
| Ehrenberg Rest Area   | I-10     | 5        | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Bouse Wash Rest Area  | I-10     | 53       | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Burnt Well Rest Area  | I-10     | 86       | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Sacaton Rest Area   | I-10     | 182      | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Texas Canyon Rest Area  | I-10     | 320      | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| San Simon Rest Area   | I-10     | 388      | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| US 191 TI Safe lot  | I-10     | 332      | WB Only                      | Evaluated                    |  |
| Sibyl Road TI Safe lot  | I-10     | 312      | EB Only                      | Evaluated                    |  |
| Taylor Road (B-10) Safe lot   | I-10     | 337      | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| City Gate Mall Private Lot  | I-10     | 198      | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Meteor Crater Rest Area   | I-40     | 235      | EB Only                      | Evaluated                    |  |
| Painted Cliffs Rest Area <sup>1</sup>                                     | I-40     | 359      | WB Only                      | Improvements Not<br>Possible |  |
| Hibbard Safe lot  | I-40     | 265      | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Crazy Creek Safe lot  | I-40     | 320      | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Dead River Safe lot   | I-40     | 316      | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Seligman Safe lot   | I-40     | 98       | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Mohawk Rest Area  | I-8      | 56       | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Sentinel Rest Area  | I-8      | 83       | Both                         | Good Parking<br>Availability |  |
| US 93/SR 97 Safe lot  | US 93    | 155      | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| I-19 MP 30 Safe lot   | I-19     | 30       | Both                         | Evaluated                    |  |
| Canoa Ranch Rest Area   | I-19     | 32       | Both                         | Good Parking<br>Availability |  |
| ROW, roadway geometry, and terrain constraints prevents parking expansion |          |          |                              |                              |  |

Table 7-2. Truck Parking Expansion Opportunities

# Jacobs

Final Report: Truck Parking Implementation Plan



#### 7.1.1 Parking Along I-10

#### 7.1.1.1 Texas Canyon Rest Area, I-10 Milepost 320

Along the I-10 corridor at milepost 320, Texas Canyon Rest Area is noted as having a major cluster of undesignated truck parking (Figure 7-2). The geography of the surrounding area and the existing ROW, limits truck parking expansion at the rest area. Results from the Undesignated Truck Parking Analysis shows, Texas Canyon may be deficient 135 spaces by 2032 and 186 spaces by 2042. Due to terrain and ROW constraints, an addition of only 17 spaces is possible. The nearest truck parking facilities to Texas Canyon are private truck stops, 15.1 miles east of Texas Canyon at Benson, and 19.9 miles east at Wilcox, neither of which can accommodate the truck parking needs of the rest area.



Figure 7-2. Texas Canyon Rest Area Expansion Opportunities



#### 7.1.1.2 Sibyl Road Interchange, I-10 Milepost 312

A potential safe lot for additional truck parking expansion to meet the Texas Canyon rest area need was identified along I-10 at milepost 312.8 and would serve eastbound traffic along I-10 (Figure 7-3). ROW acquisition is needed for this area to support the parking deficiencies since the safe lot can only accommodate 37 spaces. The 2032 truck parking deficiencies are 144 spaces, and 2042 truck parking deficiencies are 200 spaces for both directions. The westbound traffic faces a vertical clearance restriction of 13 feet 9 inches for the overpass to Sibyl Road, therefore, this site would only alleviate parking deficiencies in the eastbound direction.



Figure 7-3. I-10 Milepost 312.8 Safe lot Parking Opportunity



#### 7.1.1.3 I-10 and US 191 Interchange, I-10 Milepost 332

Another safe lot location to meet Texas Canyon's deficiencies was identified at the junction of I-10 and US 191 (Figure 7-4). An access point is located along the westbound on/off ramps to the location adjacent to I-10. The westbound on and off ramps would need to be reconfigured for appropriate truck turning radius into the parking area to accommodate westbound traffic only. The parking area may include up to 85 spaces. The 2032 truck parking deficiencies are 72 spaces are the 2042 truck parking deficiencies are 100 spaces in both directions.

This parking layout would feature a left-in, right-out configuration for westbound truck traffic only. This parking expansion would be coupled with the parking expansion at the Sibyl Road safe lot to meet the anticipated 2042 parking demand at Texas Canyon Rest Area. Combined, the Sibyl safe lot and the I-10/US 191 safe lot would provide 122 truck parking spaces. This leaves a truck parking deficiency of 64 spaces, which may be accounted for by a new private truck parking facility at Love's truck stop in Wilcox about 11 miles east of this potential safe lot. The new Love's truck stop can accommodate 65 truck parking spaces.



Figure 7-4. I-10 at US 191 Truck Parking Opportunity



#### 7.1.1.4 B-10/SR186 TI, I-10 Milepost 336

A more feasible location to meet the parking needs near the Texas Canyon rest area was identified at the junction of I-10 and State Route 186 (SR186), located at I-10 milepost 336 (Figure 7-5). The location could include a safe lot parking inside the traffic interchange in the southeast quadrant. This site has the capacity to add 127 new truck parking spaces. The 2032 truck parking deficiencies are 72 spaces, and the 2042 truck parking deficiencies are 100 spaces for both directions. This site is more feasible than the locations at the Sibyl Road TI and US 191 TI as it can serve truck traffic on both directions of I-10.



Figure 7-5. B-10/SR186 TI Truck Parking Opportunity



#### 7.1.1.5 San Simon Rest Area, I-10 Milepost 388

The San Simon Rest Area is located at milepost 388 along I-10 (Figure 7-6). The rest area is 75.7 miles east of the Texas Canyon Rest Area and 2.6 miles west of the Arizona-New Mexico state border. The San Simon Rest Area is anticipated to experience a truck parking deficiency of 134 spaces by 2032 and 186 spaces by 2042. The site has capacity to add 80 spaces within existing ROW. The nearest truck parking facilities are 13.6 miles west at a private truck stop in San Simon, Arizona and 8.9 miles east in Roadforks, New Mexico at a private truck stop.



Figure 7-6. San Simon Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity



#### 7.1.1.6 Ehrenberg Rest Area, I-10 Milepost 5

At milepost 5 along I-10, the Ehrenberg Rest Area site is expected to need 55 additional truck parking spaces by 2032 and 80 additional truck parking spaces by 2042 (Figure 7-7). The rest area has capacity to expand the truck parking by 53 spaces. Several private parking stops operate near the California State border, approximately 7 miles west of this site. The nearest parking opportunity east of this site is located in the town of Quartzite, approximately 13 miles to the east.



Figure 7-7. Ehrenberg Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity



#### 7.1.1.7 Bouse Wash Rest Area, I-10 Milepost 53

Along I-10 at milepost 53 is the Bouse Wash Rest Area (Figure 7-8). The Bouse Wash Rest Area is expected to need an additional 48 truck parking spaces by 2032 and 74 truck parking spaces by 2042. The rest area has the capacity to expand truck parking by 55 spaces if additional ROW is acquired. The estimated ROW needed for the expansion is 17.0 acres at an approximate cost of \$435,700. Truck parking facilities near the rest area include a private truck parking facility 7.6 miles to the west and the Burnt Well Rest Area 33 miles to the east.



Figure 7-8. Bouse Wash Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity



#### 7.1.1.8 Burnt Well Rest Area, I-10 Milepost 86

The Burnt Well Rest Area is located along I-10 at milepost 86 (Figure 7-9). The rest area is expected to need an additional 74 truck parking spaces by 2032 and125 truck parking spaces by 2042. With the acquisition of additional ROW, this location can accommodate an extra 103 parking spaces. The estimated ROW needed for the expansion is 12.0 acres at an approximate cost of \$285,350. The Burnt Well Rest Area has parking facilities to the west at the Bouse Wash Rest Area 33 miles away, and a private truck parking facility 8.1 miles to the east in Tonopah.



Figure 7-9. Burnt Well Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity



#### 7.1.1.9 Sacaton Rest Area, I-10 Milepost 182

Along I-10 at milepost 182 is the Sacaton Rest Area (Figure 7-10). The rest area is expected to be deficient by 33 truck parking spaces by 2032 and 38 truck parking spaces by 2042. The site has area within the existing right of way to add 20 spaces. Nearby parking facilities include private truck stops 26.7 miles west of the rest area and private truck stops 18.6 miles to the east.



Figure 7-10. Sacaton Rest Area Truck Parking Opportunity



#### 7.1.1.10 City Gate Mall Commercial Parking Opportunity, I-10 Milepost 198

A potential commercial truck parking public-private partnership opportunity is present at the City Gate Mall at I-10 milepost 198 (Figure 7-11). The site is currently for sale for \$15 million and is 2.8 miles north of the I-8 connection to I-10. The site is connected to utilities and amenities of lighting, restrooms, and trash receptacles may be available. This site may be a viable public private partnership option for additional parking provided a detailed agreement between the site owners and ADOT could be developed. Due to the policy restraints at this private lot, expansion at this site is a future consideration not detailed in the parking expansion analysis.



Figure 7-11. Casa Grande City Gate Mall Private Truck Parking Opportunity



|                            | Location Along I-10         | Milepost | Traffic Directions<br>Served | Potential Truck<br>Spaces | Truck Spaces<br>Needed |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
|                            | Ehrenberg                   | 5        | Both                         | 53                        | 80                     |
| S                          | Bouse Wash <sup>1</sup>     | 53       | Both                         | 55                        | 48                     |
| Area                       | Burnt Well <sup>1</sup>     | 86       | Both                         | 103                       | 125                    |
| Rest /                     | Sacaton                     | 182      | Both                         | 20                        | 38                     |
|                            | Texas Canyon                | 320      | Both                         | 17                        | 186                    |
|                            | San Simon <sup>1</sup>      | 388      | Both                         | 80                        | 120                    |
| S                          | US 191 TI                   | 332      | WB Only                      | 85                        | 100                    |
| e lot                      | Sibyl Road TI               | 312      | EB Only                      | 37                        | 86                     |
| Saf                        | B-10/SR186 TI               | 337      | Both                         | 127                       | 186                    |
| Private<br>Lot             | City Gate Mall <sup>2</sup> | 198      | Both                         | N/A                       | N/A                    |
| <sup>1</sup> ROW is needed | for parking expansion       |          | -                            | ·                         | ·                      |

Table 7-3. Truck Parking Expansion Opportunities Along I-10

<sup>2</sup> Public-Private Partnership to be determined



#### 7.1.2 Parking Along I-40

The I-40 corridor experiences major truck parking deficiencies and large clusters of undesignated parking. The eastern stretch of I-40 includes many incidents of undesignated parking for over 123 miles, starting at the Meteor Crater Rest Area and extending east to the state border with New Mexico. There are several private truck stops along this area but the parking needs are still not met. Potential truck parking expansion opportunities along I-40 are described in the following section.

#### 7.1.2.1 Painted Cliffs Rest Area, I-40 Milepost 359

Major parking deficiencies were recorded at the westbound Painted Cliffs Rest Area at milepost 359 (Figure 7-12). The truck parking expansion at this location is infeasible due to terrain and ROW constraints at the existing rest area, as well as vertical clearance restrictions from an overpass over I-40. Truck parking is needed for both eastbound and westbound directions. The Painted Cliffs Rest Area is expected to need an additional 100 truck parking spaces by 2032 and 162 truck parking spaces by 2042. The nearest truck parking facilities are 4.5 miles east at the New Mexico eastbound rest area and 25.6 miles west at a private truck stop at the junction of I-40 and US 191.



Figure 7-12. Painted Cliffs Rest Area Limited Expansion Opportunities



#### 7.1.2.2 Meteor Crater Rest Area, I-40 Milepost 235

The Meteor Crater Rest Area marks the beginning of a notable increase in undesignated parking. Multiple undesignated parking clusters were identified in the vicinity of this rear area. It is anticipated that there will be a shortage of 68 truck parking spaces by 2032, and this deficiency is projected to grow to 122 spaces by 2042. The rest area is along I-40 at milepost 235 and is 38.9 miles from Flagstaff to the west and 18.6 miles from Winslow in the east (Figure 7-13). Parking at this site can be expanded by developing overflow rights. With the acquisition of additional Right of Way (ROW), this location can accommodate an extra 70 spaces in each direction through such overflow lots.



Figure 7-13. Meteor Crater Rest Area Expansion Opportunity



#### 7.1.2.3 Hibbard Safe lot, I-40 Milepost 266

There is an opportunity to expand truck parking in the eastbound and westbound directions near the Meteor Crater Rest Area at the Hibbard Safe lot location (Figure 7-14). The Meteor Crater Rest Area and surrounding location is expected to be deficient 88 truck parking spaces by 2032 and158 truck parking spaces by 2042. This unutilized parking area can accommodate up to 158 spaces to meet parking needs. The area is 30.6 miles east of Meteor Crater and 20 miles west of Holbrook, Arizona.



Figure 7-14. Hibbard Safe lot Expansion Opportunity

#### 7.1.2.4 Joseph City Power Plant, I-40 Milepost 278

The Joseph City Power Plant, known as the Cholla Power Plant, was identified as a potential location for truck parking expansion along I-40 at milepost 278. Arizona Public Service (APS) is considering closing the power plant in the future and Arizona State University, the owner of the land, has expressed interest in converting the land into a truck parking safe lot. Further investigation into the feasibility of this location for truck parking is needed, thus this property was not evaluated in this project.



#### 7.1.2.5 Dead River Safe Lot, I-40 Milepost 315

Along I-40 at milepost 315.5 is the Dead River Safe lot (Figure 7-15). This area may be developed to provide parking in the eastbound direction for up to 31 spaces and in the westbound direction for up to 34 spaces. The location is 26 miles east of Holbrook and 24 miles west of Sanders.



Figure 7-15. Dead River Safe lot Expansion Opportunity

There is an additional site 4 miles east of the Dead River site that has a much larger capacity for parking expansion and can accommodate the parking deficiencies at Painted Cliffs Rest Area alone. This site is the Crazy Creek Safe lot at milepost 320. Due to the more effective parking expansion possibilities at the Crazy Creek Site, the Dead River site is not under consideration for parking expansion in this study.



### 7.1.2.6 Crazy Creek Safe lot, I-40 Milepost 320

East of the Dead River site is another potential parking site at the Crazy Creek Safe lot along I-40 at Milepost 320 (Figure 7-16). The 2032 truck parking need is 122 spaces, and the 2042 truck parking need is 162 spaces in both directions. The site is only 4.5 miles east of the Pinta TI and can accommodate 176 spaces, which serves most parking deficiencies at Painted Cliffs Rest Area.



Figure 7-16. Crazy Creek Safe lot Expansion Opportunity



#### 7.1.2.7 Future Rest Area near Seligman, I-40 Milepost 98

Along I-40 at milepost 98, a parking expansion opportunity is available near Seligman (Figure 7-17). ADOT obtained ROW at the site for a future rest area. The 2032 truck parking need is 78 spaces, and the 2042 truck parking need is 87 spaces in both directions. The site can accommodate 72 truck parking spaces to serve the eastbound and westbound directions. The site is 72.5 miles from Kingman to the west and 68.1 miles from Parks Rest Area to the east. This site was examined for a future safe lot and not considered for near term improvements.



Figure 7-17. Seligman Safe lot Expansion Opportunity



|   | Location Along I-40         | Milepost | Traffic Directions<br>Served | Potential Truck<br>Spaces to be Added | Truck Spaces<br>Needed |  |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| eas   | Meteor Crater               | 235      | Both                         | 140                                   | 122                    |  |
| Re<br>Are   | Painted Cliffs <sup>1</sup> | 359      | WB Only                      | 0                                     | 162                    |  |
|   | Hibbard                     | 265      | Both                         | 158                                   | 158                    |  |
| lots  | Crazy Creek                 | 320      | Both                         | 176                                   | 162                    |  |
| Safe  | Dead River                  | 316      | Both                         | 65                                    | 162                    |  |
|   | Seligman                    | 98       | Both                         | 72                                    | 87                     |  |
| <sup>1</sup> ROW and terrain constraints prevents parking expansion |                             |          |                              |                                       |                        |  |

Table 7-4. Truck Parking Expansion Opportunities Along I-40

#### 7.1.3 Other Parking Areas

### 7.1.3.1 Mohawk Rest Area, I-8 Milepost 56

The Mohawk Rest Area is located along I-8 at milepost 56 (Figure 7-18). The rest area serves both directions and is expected to be deficient by 16 truck spaces by 2032 and 25 truck parking spaces by 2042. This rest area has the capacity to expand truck parking by 16 spaces within the existing ROW. The undesignated truck parking analysis showed no clustering around Mohawk Rest Area, with the nearest minor cluster located in Yuma, Arizona. The nearest truck parking facilities include the Sentinel Rest Area 36.6 miles to the east and a private truck parking facility 14.6 miles to the west.



Figure 7-18. Mohawk Rest Area Expansion Opportunity



#### 7.1.4 Other Parking Opportunities

Stakeholder input was received as a part of the project kick off meeting where areas of potential truck parking expansion were given. The truck parking community sited areas near the ADOT Nogales Port of Entry near I-19 in Nogales, Arizona, and a location at the junction of US 93 at Arizona State Route 97 (SR 97) near the Bagdad Mine. These locations had minor undesignated truck parking clusters. Considerations for these potential expansion sites are listed below.

#### 7.1.4.1 SR 97 at US 93 – Bagdad Mine, US 93 Milepost 155

The location at the junction of SR 97 and US 93 is near the Bagdad mine and was evaluated based on the mining truck's staging and parking deficiencies (Figure 7-19). The area is along US 93 at milepost 155 and can accommodate parking facilities, however, this potential expansion would only serve future developments along the I-11 corridor adjacent to this location.

Due to low volume of trucks and the low undesignated parking intensity, the study team recommends this site or similar sites along US 93 be considered as part of the future I-11 program.



Figure 7-19. Bagdad Safe lot Expansion Opportunity



#### 7.1.4.2 I-19 Safe Lot, I-19 Milepost 30

The location near the ADOT Nogales Port of Entry for the truck parking and staging was identified within the 25-mile radius that is restricted for international truckers to enter the country and transfer loads to the US truckers for delivery in the US. The site was selected along I-19 at milepost 30 in an area parallel to the frontage road between I-19 (Figure 7-20). This site has the potential to add 20 new truck parking spaces. The site is 16.9 miles from the US-Mexico border to the south and 18.5 miles from Canoa Ranch Rest Area to the north. Developing this site will require ROW acquisition and extensive ground leveling effort (excavation and flattening to develop the site). These limitations will necessitate extensive work to provide access to this site.



Figure 7-20. I-19 Frontage Road Expansion Opportunity



#### 7.1.4.3 **Other Rest Areas**

The Sentinel Rest Area along I-8 and Canoa Ranch Rest Area along I-19 had sufficient truck parking as a result of the undesignated truck parking analysis. Therefore, these sites were not analyzed for truck parking expansion under this study. Table 7-5 lists the parking expansion considerations for the locations along I-8, I-19, and US 93.

|          | Site Location              | Corridor/Milepost | Traffic Directions<br>Served | Potential Truck<br>Spaces | Truck Spaces<br>Needed |
|----------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| sas      | Mohawk                     | I-8 MP 56         | Both                         | 16                        | 25                     |
| st Are   | Sentinel <sup>1</sup>      | I-8 MP 83         | Both                         | 0                         | 0                      |
| Re       | Canoa Ranch <sup>1</sup>   | I-19 MP 33        | Both                         | 0                         | 0                      |
| fe<br>Is | I-19 Safe lot <sup>2</sup> | I-19 MP 30        | Both                         | N/A                       | N/A                    |
| Safilot  | US 93/SR 97 <sup>3</sup>   | US 93 MP 155      | Both                         | N/A                       | N/A                    |

Table 7-5. Other Corridor Locations for Truck Parking Expansion

'Sufficient truck parking at site

Roadway access and existing geometry constraints prevents parking expansion

<sup>3</sup> Future consideration as part of I-11 construction



# 8 Implementation Plan

The parking expansion prioritization framework considers the factors of Parking Demand, Parking Needs Met, Rest Areas Truck Parking Expansion, Economy, Benefit-Cost Ratio, Project Readiness, Funding, and Stakeholder Input. These factors were selected based on review and inspection of other peer state truck parking plans with feasible prioritization factors and presented to the stakeholder group for consensus. Each factor is individually scored based on its contribution to mitigate the truck parking need.

The prioritization framework used in this analysis uses a weighting factor for each category. The weighted categories are shown in Table 8-1.

In addition, a post-processing stakeholder input factor is applied to the total weight for each site based on input from ADOT leadership and truck parking community leaders. The scoring criteria and weighting factors used to prioritize truck parking expansion opportunities are summarized in Table 8-1.

| Prioritization<br>Criteria           | Description  | Scoring Criteria  | Weighted<br>Factor |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------|
| Parking Demand                       | Improvements near high need for parking and high intensity of undesignated parking                             | Undesignated Parking > 100 = 3<br>Undesignated Parking > 50 but less than<br>100 = 2<br>Undesignated Parking <50 = 1                                | 30%                |
| Parking Need Met                     | Improvements will address the parking needs  | 2045 Parking Demand Met = 1<br>2045 Parking Demand Unmet = Ratio<br>of Provided/Needed  | 15%                |
| Rest Area Truck<br>Parking Expansion | Truck parking expansions are at a rest area  | Rest Area Parking Demand Met = 1<br>Rest Area Parking Demand Partially Met =<br>Ratio of Provided/Needed<br>Parking Not at a Rest Area Location = 0 | 5%                 |
| Economy                              | Truck VMT (per day) in 30-mile buffer  | VMT>500000 = 3<br>VMT between 500000 and 250000 = 2<br>VMT less than 250000 =1  | 10%                |
| Benefit-Cost                         | Benefits – Safety, Travel Time Savings,<br>Emissions Savings, Initial Cost, Operations<br>and Maintenance Cost | B/C > 3 = 3<br>B/C between 3 and 2 = 2<br>B/C less than 2 = 1   | 20%                |
| Project Readiness                    | Within ROW, Power, Water,<br>Wastewater Connectivity   | All Conditions met = 2<br>Partial Conditions met = 1<br>None = 0  | 10%                |
| Funding                              | Available and can be obligated within next two years   | Yes = 1<br>No = 0   | 10%                |
| Stakeholder<br>Input                 | Prioritized locations based on inp   | ut from ADOT leadership and trucking comm   | nunity             |

Table 8-1. Prioritization Framework Criteria and Weighted Factors



# 8.1 Parking Demand

The Parking Demand factor represents the need for truck parking based on the amount and intensity of undesignated parking. The number of trucks parked in undesignated locations was collected using American Transportation Research Institute (ATRI) truck GPS data, as described in *Working Paper 1: Existing Conditions Analysis*. The point values for the number of trucks parked in an undesignated area near a rest area or potential safe lot are shown in Table 8-2.

|           | Site ID             | Number of Trucks in Undesignated<br>Parking | Points Value |
|-----------|---------------------|---|--------------|
|           | Mohawk              | 25  | 1            |
|           | Ehrenberg           | 80  | 2            |
|           | Bouse Wash          | 48  | 1            |
| Areas     | Burnt Well          | 125   | 3            |
| test A    | Sacaton             | 38  | 1            |
|           | Texas Canyon        | 186   | 3            |
|           | San Simon           | 120   | 3            |
|           | Meteor Crater       | 122   | 3            |
|           | I-10 at US 191      | 100   | 2            |
| Safe lots | I-10 at Sibyl Rd    | 86  | 2            |
|           | B-10/SR186 TI       | 186   | 3            |
|           | I-40 at Hibbard     | 158   | 3            |
|           | I-40 at Crazy Creek | 162   | 3            |
|           | I-40 at Seligman    | 80  | 2            |

Table 8-2. Parking Demand Points Evaluation



# 8.2 Parking Need Met

The Parking Need Met factor considers how much of the parking deficiencies for a given location are met by the development of each potential site. If the site can completely accommodate the parking deficiencies, the score is 1. For sites that only meet a portion of the truck parking deficiencies, the ratio of parking spaces added to parking spaces needed is used as the score. Table 8-3 shows the scores for each potential site.

|           | Site ID             | Truck Spaces Added | Truck Spaces Needed | Points Value |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|
|           | Mohawk              | 16                 | 25                  | 0.64         |
|           | Ehrenberg           | 53                 | 80                  | 0.66         |
|           | Bouse Wash          | 55                 | 48                  | 1.00         |
| vreas     | Burnt Well          | 103                | 125                 | 0.82         |
| lest A    | Sacaton             | 20                 | 38                  | 0.53         |
| Я         | Texas Canyon        | 17                 | 186                 | 0.09         |
|           | San Simon           | 80                 | 120                 | 0.67         |
|           | Meteor Crater       | 140                | 122                 | 1.00         |
|           | I-10 at US 191      | 85                 | 100                 | 0.85         |
| Safe lots | I-10 at Sibyl Rd    | 37                 | 86                  | 0.43         |
|           | B-10/SR186 TI       | 127                | 186                 | 0.68         |
|           | I-40 at Hibbard     | 158                | 158                 | 1.00         |
|           | I-40 at Crazy Creek | 176                | 162                 | 1.00         |
|           | I-40 at Seligman    | 72                 | 80                  | 0.90         |

| Table 8-3 | Parking  | Need | Met  | Points   | Evaluation |
|-----------|----------|------|------|----------|------------|
|           | i urking | NCCU | wict | 1 011113 | LVuluution |



### 8.3 Rest Area Truck Parking Expansions

The factor for Rest Area Truck Parking Expansions considers the cost savings on amenities at rest areas as compared to safe lots. For example, the rest areas already include amenities, whereas the safe lots would need amenities added and will have additional O&M costs. For expansions at existing rest areas, the ratio of parking spaces added to parking spaces needed is scored. The locations not at rest areas score zero points. The scoring results for the Improvements at Rest Areas criteria is summarized in Table 8-4.

|           | Site ID             | Located at a Rest Area | Points Value |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------|
|           | Mohawk              | Yes                    | 0.64         |
|           | Ehrenberg           | Yes                    | 0.66         |
|           | Bouse Wash          | Yes                    | 1.00         |
| Areas     | Burnt Well          | Yes                    | 0.82         |
| Rest /    | Sacaton             | Yes                    | 0.53         |
| Ľ         | Texas Canyon        | Yes                    | 0.09         |
|           | San Simon           | Yes                    | 0.67         |
|           | Meteor Crater       | Yes                    | 1.00         |
|           | I-10 at US 191      | No                     | 0            |
| Safe lots | I-10 at Sibyl Rd    | No                     | 0            |
|           | B-10/SR186 TI       | No                     | 0            |
|           | I-40 at Hibbard     | No                     | 0            |
|           | I-40 at Crazy Creek | No                     | 0            |
|           | I-40 at Seligman    | No                     | 0            |

Table 8-4. Rest Area Truck Parking Expansions Points Evaluation



### 8.4 Economy

The Economy factor considers the truck vehicle miles traveled (VMT) within a 30-mile buffer of each site. The sites with a truck VMT greater than 500,000 miles receive a score of 3 points, sites with a truck VMT between 500,000 miles and 250,000 miles score 2 points, and sites with a truck VMT less than 250,000 miles score 1 point. The scoring results for the Economy factor is summarized in Table 8-5.

|           | Site ID             | 2022 Truck Vehicle Miles<br>Traveled | Points Value |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
|           | Mohawk              | 250,472                              | 2            |
|           | Ehrenberg           | 558,445                              | 3            |
|           | Bouse Wash          | 556,841                              | 3            |
| Areas     | Burnt Well          | 675,373                              | 3            |
| test A    | Sacaton             | 668,478                              | 3            |
|           | Texas Canyon        | 370,628                              | 2            |
|           | San Simon           | 453,592                              | 2            |
|           | Meteor Crater       | 675,614                              | 3            |
| Safe lots | I-10 at US 191      | 378,269                              | 2            |
|           | I-10 at Sibyl Rd    | 335,188                              | 2            |
|           | B-10/SR186 TI       | 370,628                              | 2            |
|           | I-40 at Hibbard     | 583,520                              | 3            |
|           | I-40 at Crazy Creek | 617,581                              | 3            |
|           | I-40 at Seligman    | 418,285                              | 2            |

#### Table 8-5. Economy Factor Site Evaluations



### 8.5 Project Readiness

The Project Readiness criteria considers whether each site is within ROW, includes access to power, water, sewer. The sites that can meet all of the project readiness needs receive a score of 2 points. The sites that meet some of the project readiness needs receive a score of 1 point, and sites that do not have access to utilities and need additional ROW score zero points. The scoring results for the project readiness factor is summarized in Table 8-6.

|        | Site ID             | Utilities Available | ROW | Points Value |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|-----|--------------|
|        | Mohawk              | Yes                 | Yes | 2            |
|        | Ehrenberg           | Yes                 | Yes | 2            |
|        | Bouse Wash          | Yes                 | No  | 1            |
| Areas  | Burnt Well          | Yes                 | No  | 1            |
| Rest / | Sacaton             | Yes                 | Yes | 2            |
| E.     | Texas Canyon        | Yes                 | Yes | 2            |
|        | San Simon           | Yes                 | Yes | 2            |
|        | Meteor Crater       | Yes                 | No  | 1            |
|        | I-10 at US 191      | No                  | Yes | 1            |
|        | I-10 at Sibyl Rd    | No                  | Yes | 1            |
| lots   | B-10/SR186 TI       | No                  | Yes | 2            |
| Safe   | I-40 at Hibbard     | No                  | Yes | 1            |
|        | I-40 at Crazy Creek | No                  | Yes | 1            |
|        | I-40 at Seligman    | No                  | Yes | 1            |

| Table 8-6. F | Project I | Readiness | Factor | Site | Evaluation |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------|------|------------|



# 8.6 Funding

The Funding factor considers whether the funds for each project area available and can purposed to develop the site over the next two years. If yes, then the funding factor earns 1 point. If the funding is not available within the two-year period, then zero points are awarded. At this stage, a score of 1 is assigned to all sites, as the funding availability is expected to change depending on how fast some sites can be advanced to implementation. Factors that play a key role in this decision include, project bundling opportunities, environmental clearance requirements, and legislative needs. Additionally, the available funding is expected to change due to the availability of new federal grants through the infrastructure bill.

#### 8.6.1 Funding Availability

ADOT allocated \$ 50 million of National Highway Freight Program (NHFP) funding for truck parking. Of the \$50 Million, \$18 Million has been obligated to the ongoing truck parking expansions at Haviland, Parks, Christensen, McGuireville and Sunset Point Rest Areas. This plan will recommend truck parking expansion projects to program the remaining \$32 Million.

Federal grants play a pivotal role in bolstering the development and enhancement of freight facilities within Arizona. These grants, often administered by agencies like the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT), provide a vital source of financial support for projects aimed at improving transportation infrastructure. They help states address crucial challenges related to freight movement, such as capacity constraints, infrastructure maintenance, parking enhancement, and the implementation of innovative technologies. ADOT should explore options for funding through such federal grants. The availability of federal grants will allow ADOT to pursue some of the improvements to freight parking identified in this study.

Federal freight-related grants or grants that support innovative freight practices allocated to Arizona within the near term are summarized in Table 8-7.



Table 8-7. Funding Opportunities in Arizona for Freight-Related Projects

| Grant Programs for Trucking<br>Investments   | Funding Agency  | Funding Amount Allocated to Arizona                               | Impacts for Trucking Infrastructure   |
|--|---|---|---|
| Infrastructure for Rebuilding<br>America (INFRA) Funds   | USDOT<br>Office of the<br>Secretary of<br>Transportation          | \$32.6 M  | <ul> <li>INFRA Grants cover up to 60% of total freight project cost<br/>(Freight projects on NHFN, NHS and other intermodal<br/>projects)</li> <li>Additional 20% of project cost maybe funded with other<br/>federal assistance</li> </ul>   |
| Rebuilding American<br>Infrastructure with Sustainability<br>and Equity (RAISE) Grant Funds            | USDOT<br>Office of<br>Infrastructure<br>Finance and<br>Innovation | \$60.8 M<br>(as of 2023)  | <ul> <li>RAISE discretionary grants help project sponsors at the State<br/>and local levels, including municipalities, Tribal<br/>governments, counties, and others complete critical freight<br/>and passenger transportation infrastructure projects</li> <li>Covers 80% of total project cost</li> </ul> |
| Carbon Reduction Funding   | USDOT<br>FHWA   | \$117 M<br>(through 2026)   | <ul> <li>IIJA also known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, contains program targeted at mitigating the impacts of climate change and increasing the resilience of the surface transportation system</li> <li>Advanced truck stop electrification systems are eligible for CRP funds</li> </ul>          |
| Infrastructure Improvements<br>and Jobs Act (IIJA) National<br>Highway Freight<br>Program (NHFP) Funds | USDOT<br>FHWA   | \$50 M<br>(through 2026 – <i>specifically for truck parking</i> ) | <ul> <li>NHFP funds are used to improve efficient movement of freight on the National Highway Freight Network (NHFN)</li> <li>Additional source of funding includes 5.7% state match</li> <li>About 90% of NHFP funds are available after 2025</li> </ul>   |
| National Highway Performance<br>Program (NHPP) Funds   | USDOT<br>FHWA   | \$2,700 M<br>(through 2026)                                       | <ul> <li>NHPP funds are eligible on a project that supports progress<br/>towards the achievement of national performance goals for<br/>improving infrastructure condition, safety, congestion<br/>reduction, system reliability, or freight movement on the<br/>National Highway System (NHS)</li> </ul>    |
| Surface Transportation Block<br>Grant<br>(STBG) Funds  | USDOT<br>FHWA   | \$1,300 M<br>(through 2026)                                       | <ul> <li>The STBG program provides specific eligibility for the<br/>construction of truck parking on Federal-aid highways</li> </ul>  |

# Jacobs

Final Report: Truck Parking Implementation Plan


| Grant Programs for Trucking<br>Investments  | Funding Agency | Funding Amount Allocated to Arizona | Impacts for Trucking Infrastructure  |
|---|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Highway Safety Improvement<br>Program (HSIP) Funds  | USDOT<br>FHWA  | \$290 M<br>(through 2026)           | <ul> <li>HSIP is a federal aid program with a purpose to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads including non state-owned roads and roads on tribal land</li> <li>Truck parking facilities may be funded through this program provided the need for commercial motor vehicle parking is consistent with the State Strategic Highway Safety Plan</li> </ul> |
| Promoting Resilient Operations<br>for Transformative, Efficient,<br>and Cost-saving Transportation<br>(PROTECT) Funds | USDOT<br>FHWA  | \$133.4 M<br>(through 2026)         | <ul> <li>PROTECT apportioned funds could be used to make<br/>resilience improvements at existing truck parking facilities</li> </ul>   |



# 8.7 Benefit-Cost Analysis

The Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA) was generally modeled after the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) 2023 Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs<sup>19</sup>. The methodology is consistent for each of the sites analyzed and includes determining existing and future conditions, as well as the benefits and costs associated with the construction and operation throughout the 20-year life of the facility. The methodology also uses USDOT recommended monetized values for travel time savings, emissions, reduced facilities, injuries, and property damage. Other benefits are monetized from best practices and prior project cost comparisons. The dollar values represent 2021 dollars, while future benefits and costs are discounted with a rate of 7%, consistent with USDOT guidance. The following sections detail the costs and benefits of safety, travel time, emissions, and operations and maintenance considerations.

### 8.7.1 Safety Savings

The Safety Savings criteria considers the number of crashes related to parked trucks and truck driver fatigue over the past five-year period. A 30-mile buffer around proposed parking expansion sites was used to identify crashes along each corridor. The crash rate for each injury severity category is determined by the number of crashes per 100 million miles traveled.

The safety savings by year are then calculated using the given savings by injury severity level per the 2023 BCA parameters (Table 8-8). The applied safety savings for each site can be seen in Table 8-9. The crash rates for each injury severity were assessed for a No-Build scenario and Build scenario, in which the Build scenario has a crash reduction rate of 100% for parked vehicle crashes and 50% for fatigued driver crashes among all injury types. The safety savings are applied to the crash rates to determine the discounted savings for the project facility's 20-year lifespan. Additional factors are applied to safety benefits if the parking demand exceeds those provided by expansion at specific site; if parking demand in a 30 mile buffer is satisfied, then the safety benefits as computed are not reduced, if parking demand is more than the available spaces even after expansion, then the safety benefits are reduced by applying a multiplicative factor determined using the ratio of parking provided by parking needed.

| Injury Severity        | Safety Savings per Incident (\$) |  |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| O – No Injury          | 4,000                            |  |
| C – Possible Injury    | 78,500                           |  |
| B – Non-incapacitating | 153,700                          |  |
| A – Incapacitating     | 564,300                          |  |
| K - Fatal              | 11,800,000                       |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Benefit Cost Analysis Guidance 2023 Update.pdf (transportation.gov)



|       | Site ID             | Fatigue<br>Crash Rate | Parked<br>Crash Rate | Total Undiscounted<br>Savings (in Millions \$) | Total Discounted Savings<br>(in Millions \$) |
|-------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
|       | Mohawk              | 1.25                  | 1.25                 | 2.01   | 0.85   |
|       | Ehrenberg           | 1.45                  | 0.78                 | 64.58  | 36.86  |
|       | Bouse Wash          | 2.92                  | 0.56                 | 10.41  | 5.70   |
| reas  | Burnt Well          | 1.20                  | 0.65                 | 73.89  | 39.22  |
| est A | Sacaton             | 0.19                  | 0.19                 | 3.13   | 1.74   |
| 8     | Texas Canyon        | 2.87                  | 1.01                 | 0.50   | 0.28   |
|       | San Simon           | 0.41                  | 0.83                 | 2.96   | 1.68   |
|       | Meteor Crater       | 1.48                  | 1.39                 | 0.77   | 0.51   |
|       | I-10 at US 191      | 1.40                  | 0.66                 | 36.34  | 19.37  |
|       | I-10 at Sibyl Rd    | 1.96                  | 1.68                 | 0.29   | 0.17   |
| lots  | B-10/SR186 TI       | 2.87                  | 1.52                 | 12.20  | 6.35   |
| Safe  | I-40 at Hibbard     | 0.32                  | 1.55                 | 35.78  | 18.76  |
|       | I-40 at Crazy Creek | 1.52                  | 1.42                 | 76.49  | 40.05  |
|       | I-40 at Seligman    | 1.94                  | 1.34                 | 7.65   | 4.10   |

Table 8-9. BCA Safety Savings Sites Evaluation

#### 8.7.2 Travel Time Related Savings

The travel time savings are based on the USDOT BCA value of travel time savings for trucks in 2021 dollars. A monetization factor of \$31.90 is applied to the annual vehicle hours traveled (VHT) savings for the single truck driver occupant per truck. The VHT is derived by dividing the vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by 60 miles per hour, which represents the average speed along the corridor. The VMT savings determines the VMT saved each day by adding truck spaces. A truck annualization factor of 320 (as per the USDOT BCA guidance) were used, as well as applying savings factors from fuel and driver time, noise, congestion reduction, and state of good repair (Table 8-10). The 7% discount factor is applied to the final travel time savings for each year of the project facility's 20-year lifespan. Table 8-11 details the travel time savings over the 20-year lifespan of each potential site.

| Travel Time Savings Factors  | Monetized Savings (\$) per Hour |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Fuel Savings and Driver Time | 1.1057                          |
| Noise                        | 0.0223                          |
| Congestion Reduction         | 0.197                           |
| State of Good Repair         | 0.1057                          |



|           | Site ID             | Truck<br>Spaces<br>Added | Truck<br>Spaces<br>Needed | Total VMT<br>Saved (in<br>Millions) | Total VHT<br>Saved (in<br>Millions) | Total<br>Undiscounted<br>Savings (in<br>Millions \$) | Total<br>Discounted<br>Savings (in<br>Millions \$) |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|           | Mohawk              | 16                       | 25                        | 1.84                                | 0.03                                | 3.62   | 1.06   |
|           | Ehrenberg           | 53                       | 80                        | 9.97                                | 0.17                                | 19.56  | 9.08   |
|           | Bouse Wash          | 55                       | 48                        | 9.43                                | 0.16                                | 18.51  | 8.26   |
| Areas     | Burnt Well          | 103                      | 125                       | 15.70                               | 0.26                                | 30.80  | 11.70  |
| Rest ,    | Sacaton             | 20                       | 38                        | 4.42                                | 0.08                                | 8.12   | 1.08   |
|           | Texas Canyon        | 17                       | 186                       | 3.43                                | 0.05                                | 6.73   | 2.83   |
|           | San Simon           | 80                       | 120                       | 16.1                                | 0.27                                | 31.65  | 15.26  |
|           | Meteor Crater       | 140                      | 122                       | 15.27                               | 0.25                                | 29.96  | 12.24  |
|           | I-10 at US 191      | 85                       | 100                       | 14.66                               | 0.24                                | 28.77  | 11.36  |
|           | I-10 at Sibyl Rd    | 37                       | 86                        | 7.46                                | 0.12                                | 14.64  | 5.83   |
| Safe lots | B-10/SR186 TI       | 127                      | 186                       | 15.29                               | 0.25                                | 30.01  | 13.32  |
|           | I-40 at Hibbard     | 158                      | 158                       | 20.16                               | 0.34                                | 39.56  | 16.66  |
|           | I-40 at Crazy Creek | 176                      | 162                       | 16.13                               | 0.27                                | 31.65  | 15.26  |
|           | I-40 at Seligman    | 72                       | 80                        | 14.52                               | 0.24                                | 28.48  | 12.00  |

| $T_{abla} 0 11$ | DCA Tr  | aval Tima  | Covinge  | Cito Eu  | aluation |
|-----------------|---------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
| 14018 0-11.     | DUA ITA | түсі тіппе | SAVILIUS | SHELV    | aluation |
|                 | 201111  |            | 0011190  | 0.00 - 0 |          |

#### 8.7.3 Environmental Benefits

The environmental benefits consider the tons of emissions avoided along each segment through the addition of a proposed parking expansion site. The analysis includes the number of metric tons avoided for emissions of Nitrous Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and 2.5 micrometers of particulate matter or smaller (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). The monetized value per metric ton for each of these emission types is a given parameter in the USDOT BCA. The emission rates in grams per hour for each of the emission types were sourced from studies conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Table 8-12 shows the parameters and assumptions used for the emissions savings analysis. The N<sub>2</sub>O and PM<sub>2.5</sub> have discounted emission savings at 7% while the CO<sub>2</sub> has discounted emission savings at 3%. The emissions savings are applied to vehicle delay hours avoided, also known as the VHT value savings. The idling emissions savings for the sites over the 20-year lifespan of each facility is summarized in Table 8-13.



| Tabla | 0 1 2 | Idling | Emissions    | Factors |
|-------|-------|--------|--------------|---------|
| Iable | 0-12. | lunny  | EIIII2210112 | Larin 2 |

| Pollutants                              | Emission Rates (g/hr) |
|---|-----------------------|
| Nitrous Oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O)        | 3.6715                |
| Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )       | 2443.925              |
| Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) | 0.02429               |

|        | Site ID                | VHT Savings (hr) | N <sub>2</sub> O<br>Avoided<br>(metric<br>tons) | CO <sub>2</sub> Avoided (metric tons) | PM <sub>2.5</sub><br>Avoided<br>(metric<br>tons) | Total<br>Undiscounted<br>Savings (in<br>Thousands \$) | Total<br>Discounted<br>Savings (in<br>Thousands \$) |
|--------|------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|
|        | Mohawk                 | 30,720           | 0.11  | 75.08                                 | 0.0007   | 8   | 4   |
|        | Ehrenberg              | 166,160          | 0.61  | 406.08                                | 0.0040   | 44  | 27  |
|        | Bouse Wash             | 157,216          | 0.58  | 384.22                                | 0.0038   | 40  | 30  |
| Areas  | Burnt Well             | 261,584          | 0.96  | 639.29                                | 0.0064   | 70  | 41  |
| Rest , | Sacaton                | 73,600           | 0.25  | 164.23                                | 0.0016   | 18  | 11  |
|        | Texas Canyon           | 57,120           | 0.21  | 139.60                                | 0.0014   | 10  | 9   |
|        | San Simon              | 268,800          | 0.99  | 656.93                                | 0.0065   | 70  | 40  |
|        | Meteor Crater          | 254,451          | 0.93  | 621.86                                | 0.00262  | 69  | 39  |
|        | I-10 at US 191         | 244,384          | 0.90  | 597.26                                | 0.0059   | 65  | 40  |
|        | I-10 at Sibyl Rd       | 124,320          | 0.46  | 303.83                                | 0.0030   | 33  | 20  |
| ots    | B-10/SR186 TI          | 254,912          | 0.94  | 622.99                                | 0.0062   | 70  | 40  |
| Safe I | I-40 at Hibbard        | 336,000          | 1.23  | 821.16                                | 0.0082   | 88  | 55  |
|        | I-40 at Crazy<br>Creek | 268,800          | 0.99  | 656.93                                | 0.0065   | 70  | 44  |
|        | I-40 at Seligman       | 241,920          | 0.89  | 591.23                                | 0.0059   | 64  | 40  |

Table 8-13. BCA Idling Emissions Savings Sites Evaluation

## 8.7.4 Residual Benefits

The residual benefits of each site considers the costs savings associated with the project components that have a longer lifespan than the analysis period. These assets include highway and street components, as well as electric, light, and power components. The Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) Rate of Depreciation, Service Lives, Declining-Balance Rates, and Hulten-Wykoff Categories<sup>20</sup> resource was used for determining the service life for the highway and streets, and power components. The alternative pavement lifespan was sourced from manufacturers of permeable grid polymer material (Table 8-14). The useful life is applied to the lifespan of the facilities and the 2021\$ cost of construction to determine a percentage of useful life at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> [PDF] The Measurement of Depreciation in the U.S. National Income and Product Accounts | Semantic Scholar Jacobs



the end of the analysis period and the value of remaining cost. The savings for each cost component at the potential sites after the 20-year lifespan of each facility are summarized in Table 8-15.

| Type of Asset                                     | Service Life (Years) | Proportion of Useful Life<br>Remaining |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Alternative Pavement Material                     | 40                   | 50%                                    |
| Telecommunications (Fencing, Poles)               | 40                   | 50%                                    |
| Highways and Streets (Ramp<br>Improvements)       | 60                   | 67%                                    |
| Highway and Streets (Rest Area Pavement Material) | 60                   | 67%                                    |

Table 8-14. Residual Savings Factors

Table 8-15. BCA Residual Savings Sites Evaluation

|           | Site ID             | Ramp<br>Improvements<br>(in Millions \$) | Fencing, Poles<br>(in Millions \$) | Alternative<br>Pavement Material<br>(in Millions \$) | Rest Area<br>Pavement Material<br>(in Millions \$) | Total<br>Undiscounted<br>Savings<br>(in Millions \$) | Total<br>Discounted<br>Savings<br>(in Millions \$) |
|-----------|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|           | Mohawk              | -  | -                                  | -  | 0.80   | 0.53   | 0.12   |
|           | Ehrenberg           | 1.09                                     | -                                  | -  | 1.33   | 1.25   | 0.28   |
|           | Bouse Wash          | 0.51                                     | -                                  | -  | 0.61   | 0.78   | 0.18   |
| Areas     | Burnt Well          | 0.48                                     | -                                  | -  | 2.76   | 2.25   | 0.51   |
| Rest .    | Sacaton             | 0.89                                     | -                                  | -  | 1.05   | 0.44   | 0.13   |
|           | Texas Canyon        | 0.64                                     | -                                  | -  | 0.85   | 1.04   | 0.23   |
|           | San Simon           | 1.24                                     | -                                  | -  | 1.78   | 1.60   | 0.36   |
|           | Meteor Crater       | 0.83                                     | -                                  | -  | 4.13   | 1.95   | 0.44   |
|           | I-10 at US 191      | 0.62                                     | 0.08                               | 3.26   | -  | 1.70   | 0.38   |
|           | I-10 at Sibyl Rd    | 0.16                                     | 0.06                               | 1.10   | -  | 0.69   | 0.16   |
| Safe lots | B-10/SR186 TI       | 0.49                                     | 0.11                               | 3.04   | -  | 1.99   | 0.45   |
|           | I-40 at Hibbard     | 1.64                                     | 0.08                               | 4.52   | -  | 3.54   | 0.80   |
|           | I-40 at Crazy Creek | 2.06                                     | 0.11                               | 4.83   | -  | 3.94   | 0.78   |
|           | I-40 at Seligman    | 1.46                                     | 0.10                               | 1.70   | -  | 1.96   | 0.44   |



### 8.7.5 Operations and Maintenance

The O&M costs are determined on a site-by-site basis. The cost difference between the annual O&M costs for each site in the No-Build condition and the Build condition was developed. The Build operation and maintenance costs are based on the site-specific cost per parking space added. Rest Areas have an adjusted operations and maintenance cost adjusted based on the ratio of added spaces to the total number of spaces at the facility, with the ratio applied to a flat O&M cost of \$250,000 annually. The Safe lot O&M costs are a flat fee of \$180,000 annually. This value comes from an average O&M at each of the rest areas according to ADOT Facilities Management, with a cost deflation applied due to the waterless vaulted toilets installation with decreased maintenance costs. A deflation factor is added based on a best practices for Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and Deflators, with fiscal year 2012 equal to 1.00 and estimates until 2027. The 7% discounted operations and maintenance costs for the 20-year lifespan of each facility are shown in Table 8-16.

|           | Site ID             | Spaces<br>Added | Total<br>Spaces | O&M Annual Cost<br>(in Millions \$) | Total<br>Undiscounted Cost<br>(in Millions \$) | Total<br>Discounted Cost<br>(in Millions \$) |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|           | Mohawk              | 16              | 31              | 0.12                                | 2.55   | 1.23   |
|           | Ehrenberg           | 53              | 83              | 0.16                                | 3.14   | 1.32   |
|           | Bouse Wash          | 55              | 95              | 0.13                                | 4.89   | 4.34   |
| Areas     | Burnt Well          | 103             | 163             | 0.16                                | 3.09   | 1.49   |
| Rest ,    | Sacaton             | 20              | 59              | 0.08                                | 1.67   | 0.80   |
|           | Texas Canyon        | 17              | 60              | 0.07                                | 1.37   | 0.66   |
|           | San Simon           | 80              | 116             | 0.16                                | 3.38   | 1.63   |
|           | Meteor Crater       | 140             | 261             | 0.16                                | 3.19   | 1.54   |
|           | I-10 at US 191      | 85              | 85              | 0.17                                | 3.53   | 1.70   |
|           | I-10 at Sibyl Rd    | 37              | 37              | 0.17                                | 3.53   | 1.70   |
| Safe lots | B-10/SR186 TI       | 127             | 127             | 0.17                                | 3.53   | 1.70   |
|           | I-40 at Hibbard     | 158             | 158             | 0.17                                | 3.53   | 1.70   |
|           | I-40 at Crazy Creek | 176             | 176             | 0.17                                | 3.53   | 1.70   |
|           | I-40 at Seligman    | 72              | 72              | 0.17                                | 3.53   | 1.70   |

#### Table 8-16. BCA Operations & Maintenance Savings Site Evaluation

The prioritization scoring and benefit-cost analysis with weighted ranking of sites for truck parking implementation are summarized in Table 8-17.



# Table 8-17. Truck Parking Implementation Overall Site Ranking

|           | Prioritization Criteria |                   |                     |                               |         |              |                      | Dotontial |                |                                   |                           |         |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|           | Site ID                 | Parking<br>Demand | Parking Need<br>Met | Improvements<br>at Rest Areas | Economy | Benefit-Cost | Project<br>Readiness | Funding   | Weighted Score | Truck<br>Spaces<br>to be<br>Added | Truck<br>Spaces<br>Needed | Ranking |
|           | Mohawk                  | 1                 | 0.64                | 0.64                          | 2       | 1            | 2                    | 1         | 1.13           | 16                                | 25                        | 14      |
|           | Ehrenberg               | 2                 | 0.66                | 0.66                          | 3       | 3            | 2                    | 1         | 1.93           | 53                                | 80                        | 4       |
|           | Bouse Wash              | 1                 | 1.00                | 1.00                          | 3       | 2            | 1                    | 1         | 1.40           | 55                                | 48                        | 10      |
| Areas     | Burnt Well              | 3                 | 0.82                | 0.82                          | 3       | 3            | 1                    | 1         | 2.16           | 103                               | 125                       | 1       |
| Rest .    | Sacaton                 | 1                 | 0.53                | 0.53                          | 3       | 1            | 2                    | 1         | 1.21           | 20                                | 38                        | 13      |
|           | Texas Canyon            | 3                 | 0.09                | 0.09                          | 2       | 1            | 2                    | 1         | 1.62           | 17                                | 186                       | 9       |
|           | San Simon               | 3                 | 0.67                | 0.67                          | 2       | 1            | 2                    | 1         | 1.73           | 80                                | 120                       | 8       |
|           | Meteor Crater           | 3                 | 1.00                | 1.00                          | 3       | 1            | 1                    | 1         | 1.8            | 140                               | 122                       | 6       |
|           | I-10 at US 191          | 2                 | 0.85                | 0                             | 2       | 3            | 1                    | 1         | 1.73           | 85                                | 100                       | 7       |
|           | I-10 at Sibyl Rd        | 2                 | 0.43                | 0                             | 2       | 1            | 1                    | 1         | 1.26           | 37                                | 86                        | 12      |
| Safe lots | B-10/SR186 TI           | 3                 | 0.68                | 0                             | 2       | 2            | 2                    | 1         | 1.90           | 127                               | 186                       | 5       |
|           | I-40 at Hibbard         | 3                 | 1.00                | 0                             | 3       | 2            | 1                    | 1         | 1.95           | 158                               | 158                       | 3       |
|           | I-40 at Crazy Creek     | 3                 | 1.00                | 0                             | 3       | 3            | 1                    | 1         | 2.15           | 176                               | 162                       | 2       |
|           | I-40 at Seligman        | 2                 | 0.90                | 0                             | 2       | 1            | 1                    | 1         | 1.34           | 72                                | 80                        | 11      |



## 8.8 Recommended Projects and Actions

Based on the prioritization ranking criteria, the sites that would have the greatest impacts for truck parking expansion are as follows:

- 1. Burnt Well Rest Area
- 2. Meteor Crater Rest Area
- 3. B-10/SR186 TI Safe lot
- 4. Crazy Creek Safe lot
- 5. Ehrenberg Rest Area
- 6. San Simon Rest Area
- 7. Bouse Wash Rest Area
- 8. Seligman Safe lot
- 9. Sacaton Rest Area
- 10. Mohawk Rest Area



Spreading the investment by corridor and prioritizing Burnt Well Rest Area, Meteor Crater Rest Area and B-10/SR186 TI Safe lot will cost \$28.95 M

In order to effectively make use of truck parking expansion funding, the sites were prioritized based on the improvement across multiple corridors of need with a pragmatic parking prioritization and implementation. The NHFP funding to the current truck parking expansion projects along I-17 (Christensen, McGuireville, and Sunset Point Rest Areas) amounts to \$10.4 million and the current truck parking expansion project along I-40 at Haviland Rest Area amounts to \$7.6 million. The remaining \$32 million allotted to truck parking expansion may be distributed between sites I- Burnt Well Rest Area (\$9.1M), Meteor Crater Rest Area (\$10.97M), and at B-10 TI Safe lot (\$8.88M). These prioritized sites amount to a total of \$28.95 million.

The prioritized truck parking implementation recommendations are summarized in Table 8-18. Sites that were analyzed but not recommended for further consideration are summarized in Table 8-19.



| Priority<br>Rank | Site ID                    | Route | Travel<br>Direction<br>Served | Type of Parking Expansion   | Number of<br>Anticipated<br>Truck Spaces<br>Gained | Total Cost (in<br>Millions \$) |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| 1                | Burnt Well<br>Rest Area    | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking by expanding rest area ROW<br>and provide overflow alternate pavement lot in SE<br>corner of existing rest area. Provide overflow<br>alternate pavement lot in NW corner of existing rest<br>area. | 103  | 9.1                            |
| 2                | Meteor Crater<br>Rest Area | I-40  | Both                          | Provide overflow alternate pavement lot for truck parking area in the SW corner of the existing rest area.  | 140  | 10.97                          |
| 3                | B-10/SR186<br>TI Safe lot  | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing<br>ROW. Utilize existing ramps for minor realignment<br>at traffic interchange. Provide alternative pavement<br>in truck parking lot.  | 127  | 8.88                           |
|                  |                            |       |                               | Subtotal Parking  | Expansion Cost                                     | 28.95                          |
| 4                | Crazy Creek<br>Safe lot    | I-40  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW.<br>Provide new on/off ramps and alternative pavement<br>in truck parking lot.   | 176  | 10.46                          |
| 5                | Ehrenberg<br>Rest Area     | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW by relocating ramp along freeway with new ramp gore(s).  | 53   | 5.95                           |
| 6                | San Simon<br>Rest Area     | I-10  | Both                          | Provide overflow gravel parking area.   | 80   | 7.85                           |
| 7                | Bouse Wash<br>Rest Area    | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW using minor ramp realignments and provide overflow parking.  | 55   | 4.6                            |
| 8                | Seligman Safe<br>lot       | I-40  | Both                          | Expand parking within the existing ROW by<br>providing on/off ramps along freeway with new<br>ramp gore(s).   | 72   | 8.28                           |
| 9                | Sacaton Rest<br>Area       | I-10  | Both                          | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW using minor ramp realignment.  | 20   | 5.57                           |
| 10               | Mohawk Rest<br>Area        | I-8   | EB                            | Expand truck parking within the existing ROW using minor ramp realignment.  | 16   | 2.36                           |
|                  |                            |       |                               | Total Parking   | Expansion Cost                                     | 74.02                          |

| Table 8-18. | Truck Parking | Expansion | Prioritized | Recommendations |
|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|
|             | J             |           |             |                 |



| Site ID                   | Route | Travel<br>Direction<br>Served | Reasoning for No Truck Parking Expansion   | Number of<br>Anticipated<br>Truck Spaces<br>Gained | Total Cost (in<br>Millions \$) |
|---------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Hibbard Safe<br>lot       | I-40  | Both                          | Close proximity to Meteor Crater Rest Area, which is developing additional truck parking spaces that meets the future demand in 2032 | 158  | 13.84                          |
| US-191 TI<br>Safe lot     | I-10  | WB                            | Close proximity to B-10/SR186 Safe lot, which is developing additional truck parking spaces that meets the future demand in 2032     | 85   | 7.37                           |
| Texas Canyon<br>Rest Area | I-10  | Both                          | Close proximity to B-10/SR186 Safe lot, which is developing additional truck parking spaces that meets the future demand in 2032     | 17   | 4.59                           |
| Sibyl Road TI<br>Safe lot | I-10  | EB                            | Close proximity to B-10/SR186 Safe lot, which is developing additional truck parking spaces that meets the future demand in 2032     | 37   | 3.68                           |

| Table 8-19. | Other Truck | Parking Sites | Not Prioritized |
|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
|             | 0           |               |                 |



# Appendices