

**SR 264 CORRIDOR
PLANNING STUDY**

Technical Working Group Meeting 4

October 28th, 2025



**SR 264 Corridor
Planning Study**
Agenda

- Introductions
- Planning Process Review
- Prioritization Process Review
- Long-Term Recommendation Prioritization Results
- Working Paper 3 Tour
- Public Information Meetings Round 2
- Next Steps

**SR 264 Corridor
Planning Study**
Introductions




**SR 264 Corridor
Planning Study**
Planning
Process
Review



Scope of Work Overview and Key Activities



Schedule



TWG Meeting



Public Information Meeting



**SR 264 Corridor
Planning Study**

Prioritization Process Review



Prioritization Process

Short-Term Alternatives



Packed for implementation using
maintenance funds




Long-Term Alternatives



Area of need prioritization

Corridor Priority Weighting

Sales



Area of Need Prioritization



Safety

- Monetary value of crashes avoided by recommendations
- Average crash rate of area of need
- Pavement and Bridge Condition of area of need (good, fair, poor)



Accessibility

- Recommendation adds or enhances a direct multimodal connection to an activity center
- Number of modes of travel improved in area of need
- Future estimated congestion in area of need



Engineering Constraints

- Number of constructability risks by recommendation related topography issues and areas of drop-off
- Severity of identified constructability issues (low, medium, high)
- Number of cultural sensitivity and environmental conflicts by recommendation



Construction and Maintenance Costs

- Recommendation planning-level construction costs
- Recommendation planning-level maintenance costs (high, medium, low)



Tribal Community, Public, and Stakeholder Support

- Number of previously recommended projects in area of need
- Average area of need ranking from public input
- Average area of need ranking for project from TWG

**SR 264 Corridor
Planning Study**



Long-Term Recommended Prioritization Results



Prioritization Results

Rank	Area of Need	Priority	Cost (\$k)
1	Second Mesa Area	High	\$42,000
2	First Mesa Area	High	\$33,270
3	Hopi Junior Senior High School Area	High	\$11,720
4	Moenkopi Area	High	\$4,310
5	IR 6 Area	Mid	\$3,510
6	Keams Canyon Area	Mid	\$3,440
7	Third Mesa/Hotevilla-Bacavi Area	Mid	\$8,260
8	Howell Mesa Curve Area	Low	\$3,760
9	Coalmine Canyon Area	Low	\$6,830
10	Oraibi Area	Low	\$2,460
11	Dinnebito Wash Area	Low	\$3,410

Prioritization Results




**SR 264 Corridor
Planning Study**
Working Paper
3 Tour



Working Paper 3 Tour




Public and Stakeholder Input



Planning-Level Unit Costs

Planning-level unit costs for recommended improvements by type:

- Roadway improvements
- Multiple low-cost countermeasures at stop-controlled intersections
- Signage improvements
- Multimodal improvements



Recommendations

Short-Term Recommendation Packages

Low-cost improvement packages for each area of need that can be implemented in the next five years using maintenance funds.

Long-Term Recommendation Packages

Improvement packages for each area of need that require additional funding and are anticipated to be implemented outside of the next five years.


Systemic Corridor Programs

Corridor-wide programs that will be implemented anywhere along the corridor where the program is applicable.


Future Study Recommendations

Infrastructure recommendations that require further study before implementation.

Short-Term Recommendation Packages



Long-Term Recommendation Packages



Implementation

- Potential funding sources
- Long-term recommendation funding opportunities by area of need
- Recommendation implementation process



SR 264 Corridor Planning Study

Public Information Meetings Round 2




Public Information Meetings Round 2

Upcoming Public Information Meetings

November 18 | Moencopi Senior Center | 5-6:30 PM

November 19 | Hopi Veterans Memorial Center | 6-7:30 PM



**Help us
spread the
word!**

- Flyer
- Press Release
- Social Media Post
- Stakeholder Outreach Letters



Next Steps —

**Public Information Meetings Round 2
(Mid-November)**

Draft Final Report (Mid-November)

Thank
you!



ADOT Project Contacts

Paula Brown | pbrown@azdot.gov
Don Sneed | dsneed@azdot.gov

Kimley-Horn Project Contacts

Chris Joannes | chris.joannes@kimley-horn.com
Kristen Faltz | kristen.faltz@kimley-horn.com

Kimley»Horn
Expect More. Experience Better.